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## Abstract

The purpose of this doctoral thesis is to improve our understanding of the biological pathways involved in breast cancer tumor progression. With this objective, a cDNA microarray platform containing 800 genes was constructed. These genes were chosen because they are in several representatives signaling pathways, namely estrogen and progesterone receptor related pathways, cell cycle, DNA repair, chromatin remodeling, cell proliferation, apoptosis, cell adhesion, cell invasion and angiogenesis. This gene expression platform was validated using, as a model, the endogenous hormone-receptorexpressing epithelial breast cancer cell line T47D with a synthetic progestin R5020 treatment in a time course experiment. The results of this validation experiment had a good correlation with previously published microarray data. Next, in order to identify the most representative signaling pathways in response to these hormones, an analysis of an extended time series of hormonal treatment (progestin and estradiol) was performed using the above mentioned model. Recent algorithms originally designed for microarray analysis in time course experiments were applied in order to investigate the dynamic hormonal response of our model and perform a functional study of the most significant gene expression profiles. Additionally, target genes induced by the action of hormones via cytoplasmatic kinase cascades or by direct genomic pathways were further classified, with the help of specific gene inhibitors or hormone antagonists. SAM (Significance Analysis of Microarrays) was employed as a statistical method for the identification of significant differentially expressed genes between conditions of specific time points of hormone response. Finally, an analysis of the gene expression profile of a group of breast tumors was carried out and good correlation with their clinicalhistopatological data was obtained. We focused on those hormone dependent tumors within our set of breast tumor biopsy specimens in order to identify different tumor subclusters with distinctive phenotype characteristics within our population, which could later correlate with clinical outcome. The most significant genes able to discriminate between different tumor phenotypes of our training set of samples were determined applying a "Leave-one-out" crossvalidation method of statistical analysis called PAM (Prediction analysis of microarrays). The predictor was further tested on a new incoming set of samples where we determined to which subtype of tumor new samples were allocated and predicted outcome was compared to clinical data, showing how some distinct tumor phenotypes correlate with a poor prognosis. Pathway analysis of the most significant genes belonging to each phenotype was performed to elucidate the most representative biological signaling pathways through which tumor progression might elapse. Breast tumor phenotype gene expression signatures were compared to the gene expression patterns obtained from the hormone treated breast cancer cell line model and significant resemblance with hormone dependant breast tumors was found.

We hope that, in the future, our established cDNA microarray platform or, after appropriate validation, a real time expression profiling platform constructed with a selection of the most differentially expressed genes in each subtype, could be
used as a technique to help improve the diagnosis and prognosis of the breast tumor samples of our sample population.

Keywords: Breast cancer, hormone dependent tumors, steroid hormones, T47D cell line, progestin, R5020, cDNA microarrays, breast tumor, basal subtype, luminal subtype, breast tumor phenotypes, gene expression profiling.
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1 Introduction

Breast Cancer is the most prevalent non-skin cancer in the world and the second leading cause of cancer related death in women. One in eight women is expected to be diagnosed with breast cancer sometime during their lives. Breast cancer accounts for approximately $30 \%$ of all cancers diagnosed and about $16 \%$ of all cancer deaths (Feuer EJ et al. 1993)

In Spain about 16,000 women are diagnosed with breast cancer yearly; from those, more than 6,000 will die from metastatic disease. The mortality index has decreased in the last 5 years, 1,4 \% annually, possibly due to the early detection campaigns.

Mortality from breast cancer results from the ability of some tumors to metastasize to distant sites. Selecting patients with micrometastasis at diagnosis is crucial for clinicians in deciding who should receive toxic and expensive adjuvant chemotherapy to eradicate these metastatic cells. Axilliary node status, the best marker available, is still an imperfect indicator, since about $25 \%$ of node-negative patients still carry micrometastasis and are destined to recur even without adjuvant treatment after many years of follow-up.

The primary treatments of localized breast cancer are either 1) complete tumor excision and radiation, or 2) mastectomy, with or without radiotherapy. The addition to the primary treatments of localized breast cancer, systemic adjuvant therapies (chemo, endocrine or Trastuzumab), which are designed to control micrometastatic disease, has been shown to increase the chance of long-term survival (Colozza M et al. 2006). Thus, adjuvant systemic therapy represents a standard option for most patients with localized breast cancer.

Various clinical and pathological factors, such as age, menopausal status, tumor size, histological grade, lymph vascular invasion, estrogen receptor (ER) and ERBB2 receptor status, have been carefully evaluated as prognostic indicators of clinical course. Most of these variables are combined into prediction models such as the Nottingham Prognostic Index (NPI) and Adjuvant! Online (http://www.adjuvantonline.com) or included in algorithms used for the development of guidelines for treatment decision-making, such as the proposed by the St. Gallen consensus expert panel (Goldhirsh et al 2005, and 2006).

Nevertheless, despite providing valuable information about the risk of recurrence, such prognostic indicators have only limited ability to predict individual patient outcomes. Indeed, patients with the same clinical-pathological parameters can have markedly different clinical courses. In addition, these prognostic indicators are derived from the analysis of patient cohorts, which offer no information about treatment effects on outcome. In other words, models and guidelines based on prognostic factors provide too little information that is of use for determining an individual patient's needs for systemic adjuvant therapy.

Sometimes, over-treatment of many patients, in whom cure would have been achieved without chemotherapy or even endocrine treatment, sometimes have significant side effects, such as as cardiotoxicity, neurotoxicity and secondary
cancers.(http://www.cancer.org; Asociación Española contra el cancer (AECC): http://www.anticancer.org).Therefore, better tools are needed for improved diagnosis and prognosis.

Expression levels of most genes individually have not proven powerful enough for routine clinical use to predict distant metastasis over the lifetime of patient. Recent developments in applying microarray technologies to breast tumor samples suggest that these new techniques, by providing measures of expression of multiple genes at once, may allow the transfer of molecular biological discoveries to a clinical application. The hypothesis is that genomic profiles generated using microarrays could predict a more accurately long-term outcome of individual breast cancer patients.

### 1.1 The biology of the breast

The breast is a glandular organ. It is a network of mammary ducts, which lead to lobes that are made up of lobules. The lobules contain cells that secrete milk and are stimulated by the ovarian hormones estrogen and progesterone, required for proliferation and morphogenesis of the normal mammary gland. Estrogen drives ductal development during puberty, whereas estrogen and progesterone together mediate the proliferative and morphological changes of ductal side-branching and alveologenesis that occur at sexual maturity and during pregnancy (Wooward et al. 1998, Fendric et al. 1998). Progesterone is a mitogen in the premenopausal and postmenopausal human breast. Progestins are compounds that demonstrate progesterone-like activity and are used in oral contraception, hormone therapy, and treatment of some reproductive disorders. The greater risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women receiving combined estrogen plus progestin hormone replacement therapy than in those receiving estrogen alone indicates a significant role for progesterone in mammary carcinogenesis (Stadel 2002).

Estrogen and progesterone dependent proliferation and morphogenesis in the epithelium depends on the surrounding stromal cell, and it is modulated by differential expression of specific growth factor and extracellular matrix proteins (Haslam and Woodward et al. 2003).

### 1.2 Mechanisms of action of steroid hormones

Steroid hormones (SH) including estrogens, progestins, androgens, glucocorticoids and mineralcorticoids) regulate many physiological processes in target cells which contain the corresponding steroid hormone receptors (SHR). SHR are intracellular transcription factors that can be activated in many ways, owing to the fact that they are able to bind high-affinity ligands. SHR-ligand complexes can translocate to the nucleus, bind to hormone response elements (HRE) in promoters present in chromatin DNA, activating or repressing transcription of target genes. SHR can also regulate the activity of many genes through protein-protein interactions with other sequence-specific transcription factors bound to their target sequences. Sometimes transactivation by SHR
often requires a synergistic interaction with other sequence-specific transcription factors (Beato et al. 1995) and finally SH response can also be cytoplasmatic through cross-talk with other signal transduction pathways activating protein kinase cascades to nuclear transcription factors which activate various target genes (Beato and Klug 2000).

Progestins act via progesterone receptors (PR), which belong to the nuclear steroid receptor ligand-activated transcription factor superfamily. There are two receptor isoforms PR-A and PR-B, transcribed by the same gene, under the regulation of two distinct promoters. PR-A contains a DNA binding domain, a ligand binding domain, and two transcription activation motifs: the C-terminal, ligand dependent AF-2 and the N-terminal, more constitutively active AF-1. The more transcriptionally potent $B$ isoform of $P R(P R-B)$ contains an additional $N$ terminal activating function AF-3. As a result, PR-A and PR-B have differing transcriptional activities (Vegeto et al. 1993, Tung et al. 1993, McDonell et al. 1994).

SH can act through several mechanisms (Figure 1). In the classical action of SHR, binding of progesterone to PR, causes receptor homodimerization, nuclear translocation, binding to HRE in promoters of target genes activating transcription (Piña et al. 1990). Ligand-occupied PR binds directly to DNA at progesterone response elements (Beato et al. 1989). Also, liganded PR can activate transcription of genes whose promoters lack HREs by acting as a bridge between transcription factors and coactivators recruited at promoters containing AP-1 and SP1 sites (Owen et al. 1998, Bamberger et al. 1996, Wardell et al. 2002).

In addition to direct genomic effects, progestins can activate a cytoplasmic membrane-associated PR-B isoform, via its additional N-terminal motif, and crosstalk with membrane receptor ER, activating the cytoplasmatic MAP kinase cascade. Estrogens can activate the Src/p21ras/Erk and the PI3K/Akt pathways via direct interaction of the estrogen receptor ER with c-Src and the regulatory subunit of PI3K, respectively (Castoria et al. 2001, Migliaccio et al. 1996). This results in the activation of ERK1/2, which is imported into the nucleus and phosphorylates a variety of substrates, including transcription factors such as FOS (c-fos), MYC (c-myc), JUN (c-jun), or indirectly activating transcription of different target genes such as CCND1 (Cyclin D1)/CDK4 promoting cell cycle progression (Miglaccio et al. 1998, Cicatello et al. 2004, Castoria et al. 1999).

SH can act by non-genomic mechanisms involving crosstalk with growth factor receptors and other cytoplasmatic signaling pathways (Lange et al. 1991, Lösel and Wehling 2003). PR-dependent transcriptional specificity depends on the PR isoforms and coregulators available in a target cell (Vegeto et al. 1993, Tung et al. 1993, McDonell and Goldman 1994, Richer et al. 2002). A functional difference between PR-A and PR-B is that PR-A can act as a dominant repressor of both PR-B and ER in a promoter- and cell type-specific manner.

Progestins can also crosstalk to kinase cascades through a direct interaction of PR with c-Src (Ballaré et al. 2003), which is activated in the absence of
estrogens and triggers activation of the MAP kinase cascades (Miglaccio et al. 1998). The ultimate targets of the activated kinase cascades are not known but likely include transcription factors and co-regulators (Bjornstrom ans Sjoberg 2005). There is also a direct connection between rapid kinase activation and gene induction by steroid hormones. The activation of Erk and Msk1 results in the recruitment of phosphorylated PR to the MMTV promoter leading to phosphoacetylation of histone H 3 , thus the non-genomic and genomic pathways converge on chromatin to enable gene regulation (Vincent et al. 2006).


Figure 1: Genomic and ligand-mediated signaling effects of steroid hormones.

### 1.3 Breast cancer pathology

The most common histological types of invasive breast cancer are infiltrating ductal carcinoma ( $70-80 \%$ ), which is caused by an abnormal proliferation in the epithelium of the ducts, and infiltrating lobular carcinoma (10\%), which begins in the lobules of the mammary glands. Most cancer types can be classified by clinical stage and pathological subtype. Clinical staging is according to the TNM criteria used as indicators of breast cancer recurrence and overall survival. In the case of breast cancer, the most significant risk factors are large tumor size ( T ), lymph node positive status ( N ) and presence of distant metastases (M). These morphological classifications have only a minor prognostic significance compared to tumor grade (determined by the mitotic count or state of cell proliferation) which can be more valuable.

In most cases, death results from metastasis of breast cancer cells (BCC) which migrate to other vital organs such as bone and lungs. Elucidating the mechanisms that make BCC able to migrate and metastasize, remains a major research challenge. These cells are genetically unstable; the classical hypothesis is that they sequentially acquire genetic and phenotypic alterations in a single cell followed by clone selection and expansion, acquiring cell aggressiveness with alterations in properties such as cell proliferation, cell adhesion, angiogenic ability and loss of estrogen receptor (ER).

### 1.4 Molecular markers in breast cancer

The pathogenesis of this disease is thought to involve multiple genetic and epigenetic events. The molecular markers routinely used in breast cancer diagnosis, are ER, PR, TP53 (p53) and ERBB2 (also know as the Her-2/neu oncogene). These markers are commonly scored by immunohistochemistry (determination of the presence of the protein) in the form of a percentage (percentage of positive cells in a visual field). These molecular markers have formed the basis of the three molecular classes of breast cancer recognized in medicine: 1) hormone receptor positive tumors, 2) ERBB2 positive tumors, and 3) tumors negative for both markers. These markers have helped significantly in the diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer during the past three dacades.

Predictive markers can be defined as factors that indicate sensitivity or resistance to a specific treatment. These are often confused with prognostic markers. Both types of markers are used to provide information on the likely future behavior of a tumor, but whereas predictive markers are used to prospectively select responsiveness or avoid resistance to a specific treatment, prognostic factors provide information on outcome independently of systemic adjuvant therapy. Some markers can have both predictive and prognostic utility, such as ER, that not only predicts response to endocrine therapy but also correlates with good prognosis, at least in the short term.

The presence of Estrogen receptor (ER) or Progesterone receptor (PR) is a predictive marker that tumors are likely to respond to endocrine therapy, and predicts response to anti-estrogens (e.g., Tamoxifen), aromatase inhibitors (e.g., Anastrozole and letrozole), and luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone agonists (e.g., goserelin). About 70\% of ER/PR-positive tumors will respond to Tamoxifen, whereas only $34 \%$ of ER-positive/PR-negative, and $45 \%$ of cases in ER-negative/PR-positive (Clarke et al. 2001).

However, the measurement of $E R$ and $P R$ alone is more complex, due to the impact of the two isoforms of ER (ER $\alpha$ and $E R \beta$ ) and PR (PR-A and PR-B), as well as several variant and mutant forms, and the "cross-talk" with growth factor and other cell-signaling pathways. Therefore, analysis of additional tumor biomarkers is needed to better classify the various phenotypes of breast tumors.

Bardou et al. (2003) showed that the combined measurement of ER and PR is superior to ER alone in predicting benefit from adjuvant hormone therapy. They showed that it can be especially useful identifying ER+ PR- tumors which have worse prognosis than ER+ PR+ tumors. ER+ PR- tumors are less responsive to hormonal treatment (Anti-estrogens), show early Tamoxifen resistance (Arpino et al. 2005), and may benefit from treatment with Aromatase Inhibitors (Anastrozole), which suppress tumor and plasma estrogen levels by blocking testosterone conversion to estrogen, avoiding unnecessary costly and toxic antiestrogen treatment. (Baum et al. 2002, Smith et al. 2003, Bardou et al. 2003, Dowsett et al. 2003, Jordan et al. 2004, Schiff et al. 2004, Ross et al. 1998, Fuqua et al. 2004, Tovey et al. 2005, Ellis et al. 2005, Osborne et al. 2005). The contribution of PR to ER may also depend on the relative amounts of the two forms of PR present. Hopp et al. (2004) reported that patients with high PR-A:PR-B ratios in their breast cancer responded poorly to adjuvant therapy. Genes that are known to confer susceptibility to developing breast cancer also affect expression of PR: BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation results in PRA predominance. Therefore, changes in progesterone signaling may be involved in the increased risk of cancer observed in women with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations (for a review on the clinical significance in breast cancer of estrogen and progesterone receptor isoforms see Fuqua et al. 2005).

The HER-2 protein, also known as c-erbB-2 or neu is a member of subclass 1 of the superfamily of receptor tyrosine kinases. Other members include epidermal growth factor receptor (HER-1), HER-3, and HER-4. All these proteins possess an extracellular ligand-binding domain, a membrane spanning region and a cytoplasmatic domain with tyrosine kinase activity (Olayioye et al. 2000). ERBB2 can act as receptor for EGF (epidermal growth factor). It can also form a heterodimer with other HER family members. After heterodimerization, HER-2 complexes initiate intracellular signaling via the mitogen-activated protein kinase, phosphatidyl-inositol 3'-kinase, and phospholipase C pathways.

In breast cell lines and model tumor systems, overexpression of the HER-2 gene has been associated with increased mitogenesis, cell motility, invasiveness, and metastasis. ERBB2 has been found amplified and overexpressed in $20-30 \%$ in primary invasive tumors of all human breast cancers. With up to 100 copies of the gene that can lead to a larger amount of receptors per cell. Either gene amplification or increased production of HER-2 is generally associated with adverse prognosis, particularly in node-positive breast cancer patients (Winston et al. 2004).

The presence of ERBB2 is also a predictive marker of response to trastuzumab (Herceptin ${ }^{\ominus}$, Genentech Inc.), a monoclonal antibody with specificity for the extracellular domain of the receptor (Slamon et al. 2001). Therapy with this antibody has been officially accepted in women with metastasis, with ERBB2positive tumors, either with overexpression of HER-2 protein scored by immunohistochemistry or with fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) for gene amplification. However, the initial response of inducing tumor regression is only of about $40 \%$ when this agent is used (Albanell and Baselga 2001). Also,
cancers overexpressing HER-2 are likely to benefit from CMF (cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and 5-fluorouracyl) or anthracycline-based adjuvant therapy.

Other cases of breast cancer can be due to inherited germline mutations in the susceptibility genes BRCA1 or BRCA2, which account for ca. $75 \%$ of autosomal dominant breast and ovarian familial cancer. There are other susceptibility genes such as PTEN, TP53, and MYC (Liao and Dickson 2000) that also act as tumor suppressors and are found to be associated with breast cancer.

However, familial breast cancer is rare, accounting for only 5\% of all cases (Gayther et al. 1998). BRCA1/2 mutations result in a premature protein truncation; when the presence of a mutant allele is accompanied by somatic loss of the wild-type allele (loss of heterozygosity, LOH) this results in complete loss of gene function (Collins et al. 1997, Venkitaraman 2002). In very few cases, allele inactivation by promoter hypermethylation (gene silencing) occurs at the BRCA1 locus in somatic breast cancer, but this does not happen at the BRCA2 locus (Dobrovic and Simpfendorfer 1997). The virtual absence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in sporadic breast cancer is still unexplained.

The most commonly mutated gene in human cancer is the p53 tumor suppressor gene located on chromosome 17p. It is found mutated in a $35 \%$ of sporadic breast cancers, and $66 \%$ of BRCA1-associated breast cancers, although the mutation spectrum is distinct from that of triple-negative sporadic tumors (Crook et al. 1998). Most p53 mutations are missense and occur in the DNA binding domain. The consequence of these mutations is loss of the ability of p53 to bind DNA in a sequence-specific manner. The p53 protein controls the expression of multiple genes that are divided in four categories: cell cycle inhibition, induction to apoptosis, control of genome stability, and inhibition of angiogenesis (Velculescu and El-Deiry 1996).

Also, the MYC (c-myc) gene is amplified in 15-20\% of human breast cancers (Bieche et al. 1999), however this amplification does not appear to be frequent in sporadic breast cancers (23\%). But it has been reported to occur in $53 \%$ of BRCA1-mutation-related breast cancer (Grushko et al. 2004).

Clinical staging and routine pathology methods for identification of individuals at risk of developing metastases are still relatively basic. Current diagnostic methods to determine who should receive adjuvant chemotherapy often result in "over-treatment" of many patients, who would otherwise have survived with milder interventions, hormone alone or no treatment. whatsoever Other tools are needed to better define which patients have a poor prognosis and would benefit the most from chemotherapy and which, even though being ER-positive, would show tamoxifen resistance (Michalides et al. 2004, Ma et al. 2004), or how they would react to different treatments.

### 1.5 Molecular profiling in cancer

Unlike standard methodologies that rely on a few pathological and immunohistochemical markers, molecular expression profiling using microarray technology allows to define tumors by the expression pattern of thousands of genes simultaneously (Macgregor and Squire 2002, Winegarden 2003). The use of microarrays for providing diagnosis and predicting patient outcome has two major advantages: (1) microarrays permit the screening of multiple genes without a previous knowledge of which genes might be predictive, and (2) with microarrays, groups of genes rather than single genes may be a more reliable indicator of clinical response. Therefore, tumors could be better classified based on a combination of genes whose expression level can discriminate efficiently between clinically distinct subtypes of breast tumors which would require different treatment strategies.

Genome-wide expression profiling using DNA microarrays (Schena et al. 1995, Schena et al. 1996) has been widely applied to the characterization of different cancer diseases whose genetic heterogeneity is not readily resolved by standard clinical diagnostics. Initial studies demonstrated that distinct pathological features could be separated by expression microarrays. Genomic classifications from microarrays have now been developed for many diseases (Golub et al. 1999). At the same time gene expression profiling was used to identify systematic phenotypic variation between human cancer cell lines used to screen for anti-cancer drugs (Ross et al. 2000).

Early studies on the use of microarrays for predicting anticancer drug response focused on cell line (Scherf et al. 2000, Staunton et al. 2001). These studies showed that the gene expression profile of untreated cells could be used for chemosensitivity testing. However, only a few studies have been published predicting clinical response or resistance to anticancer agents. Chang et al. (2003) found 92 genes were differentially expressed in tumors from patients that were sensitive from those resistant to neoadjuvant (given before surgery) docetaxol therapy. Ayers et al. (2004) also used microarrays to identify genes predictive of response to neoadjuvant therapy in patients with breast cancer.

Technical aspects of microarray applications for genomic classification approaches have been reviewed extensively (Schulze and Downward, 2001). Subclassification of tumors by gene expression microarray analysis can be performed in two ways. Microarray data from a selection of clinical samples of tumors can be questioned for groups of samples or "clusters" that are significantly related in terms of their expression profile. Samples that share expression profile features are expected to share phenotypic features, such as, for example, the clinically relevant estrogen receptor (ER) status. This approach is referred to as unsupervised clustering analysis (Quackenbush 2001). In contrast, supervised analysis begins with the designation of the samples into a "labeled" phenotypic subcategory. A search is made to define a list of genes that are distinct in their expression between the two "labeled" groups, belonging to the "training" set, that can subsequently be used to distinguish between them.

The discriminatory accuracy of the list of genes defined in this way can be tested for its ability to separate the samples into the defined groups on an independent set of samples (called "validation set").

Using unsupervised analysis of microarray data by hierarchical clustering, it is possible to differentiate "signatures" in breast cancer as a dominant pattern of gene expression that represent the origin and function of the predominant cell type, be it epithelial cells, infiltrating lymphocytes, adipose cells or surrounding stromal cells. Perou et al. (1999 and 2000) defined the "intrinsic list": a set of 427 genes that varied significantly in tumors of different individuals but not within tumor pairs of the same individual. These genes were chosen to show that each tumor was unique and identifiable by a molecular "portrait".

These signatures could be grouped into categories such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, cell adhesion, cell cycle, DNA repair or hormonal receptor status. They defined two major groups and 5 classes: The first group is the ER-positive family which was also named "luminal" class, with a molecular signature with resemblance to the luminal cells of the breast duct (Taylor-Papadimitriou et al. 1989) showing high expression of luminal epithelial endocrine specific genes, such as estrogen receptor (ER), X-box binding protein 1 (XBP1), trefoil factor 3 (TFF3), hepatocyte nuclear factor 3a (HNF3a), and estrogen-regulated LIV-1. The second major group is the ER-negative family, in turn composed by at least three classes: (1) (ER- PR-), (2) an ERBB2 (HER-2) positive group with amplification of this gene, and (3) a group of tumors with a signature of genes expressed in "basal"-contractile myoepithelial cells, including cytokeratins 5 (KRT5) and 17 (KRT17), c-kit (KIT), c-myc (MYC), a modulator of wnt signaling (SFRP1) and fatty acid binding protein 7 (FABP7).

West et al. (2001) made another classification by a supervised analysis of their microarray data depending on the ER status, and identified 100 genes that could discriminate between an ER+ and ER- tumor, and could classify tumors that were ambiguous in their clinical diagnostic assays by immunohistochemistry (IHC). This revealed the risk of relying only on a single prognostic marker for classification, bringing in a larger dataset that may more accurately predict the diagnosis and clinical outcome. Several other groups demonstrated that supervised data analysis can be used to derive a set of genes that can distinguish ER-positive from ER-negative tumors (Gruvberger et al. 2001), and how these molecular subtypes are entirely different disease entities, possibly resembling the precursor cell types.

Sorlie et al. (2001) and Sotiriou et al. (2003) expanded the classifications of the "luminal-ER-positive" to three other subtypes, one with a favorable prognosis (Luminal A), and two with less favorable prognosis (Luminal B y C). Sotiriou et al. (2003) found two subgroups in the "basal" type, one with genes involved in cell cycle and growth, such as PCNA, BUB1, and CDC2, and another one showing higher expression of the transcription factors $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{fos}, \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{jun}$ and fos B . Basal tumors have also been associated with BRCA1 inherited mutations, although it is not a necessary condition to develop this tumor type (Sorlie et al. 2003). By using a method called SAM (Significance Analysis of Microarrays),
identified 264 genes, involved in DNA replication, cell division, and genomic stability. They overlapped in 81 with the previous description of luminal, basal and proliferation of the "intrinsic set". By the use of gene expression microarray profiling, the molecularly distinct subtypes of breast tumors were associated with differences in clinical outcome (Sotiriou et al. 2003, Sorlie et al. 2003) demonstrating that clinical subtypes derived from unsupervised hierarchical clustering were indeed of clinical significance.

The studies from Van't Veer et al. (2002) and Van de Vijver et al. (2002) were the first ones to use gene expression profiling to predict survival in a multivariate analysis. They used a "leave-one-out" method to get a minimal discriminatory set of 70 genes, whose expression pattern identified a group of patients with lymph node negative sporadic tumors whom had not developed metastasis despite of systemic treatment, from the ones whom developed metastasis. They determined a signature that could identify BRCA1 carriers. In a "poor prognosis" signature they found overexpression of genes involved in cell cycle regulation, cell invasion, metastasis, and angiogenesis.

According to these microarray studies, only a few distinct breast tumor classes seem to exist. This suggests that phenotype transition from one class to another is very unlike to occur in the same tumor during disease progression. Tumor phenotypes seem to be defined very early in development of the lesions. Besides, no "mixed classes" have been observed (Ma et al. 2003). This could mean that breast tumors do not really progress, as they could acquire very early the ability to invade and metastasize (Weigelt et al. 2003).

Other studies followed the approach to find genes correlating with disease outcome (Huang et al. 2003). Nevins et al. 2003 introduced the term "metagenes" as a multiple gene expression signature or weighted average measure of expression of defined groups of genes, capable of resolving the biological heterogeneity, together with traditional clinical factors, and achieving a more accurate prediction of outcome for individual patients. Some other studies focused on the clinical response to treatment (Michalides et al. 2004, Ma et al. 2004). Van Laere et al. (2005) employed microarray analysis to distinguish inflammatory breast cancer disease (IBC) from non-IBC with a set of 50 discriminant genes.

More recent studies have focused on a "wound-response signature" in a variety of epithelial tumors, and have revealed links between wound healing and cancer progression, based on the hypothesis that the molecular program of normal wound healing might play an important role in cancer metastasis. Chang et al. (2004) previously identified consistent features in the transcriptional response of normal fibroblasts to serum, which they called "core serum response" (CSR). The CSR genes were chosen to minimize overlap with cell cycle genes, and appeared to represent important processes in wound healing such as matrix remodeling, cell motility, and angiogenesis, processes that contribute to cancer invasion and metastasis. Subsequently, they validated the prognostic value of this gene signature and independently predicted the outcome in a large independent dataset (Chang et al. 2005). Also, West et al.
(2005) used cDNA microarrays and SAM, for differential expression statistical analysis, to determine the stromal signature in breast carcinoma in order to distinguish between two types of tumors with fibroblastic features: solitary fibrous tumor (SFT) and desmoid-type fibromatosis (DTF). They found significant differences in the patterns of expression of extracellular matrix genes and growth factors, besides that DFT group had a more favorable disease outcome.

Other studies had focused on genomic chromosomal aberrancies and changes in DNA copy number (Pollack et al. 1999, Pollack et al. 2002, Monni et al. 2001, Hyman et al. 2002). The ERRB2 tumors are seen by fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) to have an amplification of the chromosomal region that include ERBB2, a tyrosine kinase that acts as an epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor, but which may also include other genes such as GRB7, GARP, EMSY (Hugues-Davis et al. 2003). There are also studies where amplifications have been seen in different chromosomal regions which may contain other familial susceptibility genes (Hedenfalk et al. 2001 and 2003, Albertson, 2003, Selaru et al. 2004).

Usary et al. (2003) investigated the different mutation variants of GATA3 in human breast ER $\alpha$ positive tumors, and corroborated the studies of Sorlie et al. (2001 and 2003) of the Luminal A subtype, which is the subtype associated with the most favorable survival outcome, where there is the highest expression of ER $\alpha$ and GATA3 (Hoch et al. 1999).

Recent scientific and technological developments from gene-array technologies enable breast cancers to be classified into prognostic categories depending on the expression of certain genes and gene panels. In February 2007, MammaPrint ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Agendia) became the first multi-gene panel test to be approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for predicting breast cancer relapse. MammaPrint was the first customized 60-mer oligo microarray suitable for a high-throughput processing, with 1900 features or spots, containing the 70-prognosis signature from Van't Veer et al. (2002), where those genes were spotted in triplicate. This test is suitable for young breast cancer patients (age < 55 years) who are lymph none negative. In Glas et al. (2006) study, they hybridized the 162 samples from the previous study from Van't Veer, using as the common reference a breast cancer reference pool, obtaining only 7 discordant cases between MammaPrint ${ }^{\circledR}$ risk assessment and the published data.

Another gene panel, Oncotype $\mathrm{DX}^{\circledR}$ (http://www.genomichealth.com/ oncotype/about/hcp.aspx), based on Real Time qPCR has been commercially available for the same use since 2004, approved by other regulatory pathway for clinical trials. The facts that both gene panels use different technologies, have a one single gene in common, and were cleared for public use in public by different regulatory agencies is indicative of the disease heterogeneity and challenges that this field must overcome. Oncotype $\mathrm{DX}^{\circledR}$ is a diagnostic test that quantifies the likelihood of disease recurrence in women with early stage breast cancer and assesses the likely benefit from chemotherapy. Oncotype DX ${ }^{\circledR}$
analyzes a specific set of genes within a tumor to determine a Recurrence Score ${ }^{\circledR}$. The Recurrence Score is a number between 0 and 100 that corresponds to a specific likelihood of breast cancer recurrence within 10 years of the initial diagnosis.

A comparison of breast cancer microarray data publications is at Appendix A1.

2 Objectives

The main objectives of this work are:

1. To establish a functional Breast Cancer Array cDNA microarray platform in order to investigate the expression profiles of breast cancer cell lines and breast tumor biopsies.
2. To determine new molecular markers that can be used both for diagnosis and prognosis.
3. To analyze the signaling pathways involved in tumor progression in hormonal dependent tumors.
4. To correlate hormonal response of hormone receptor-expressing breast cancer cell lines and hormone dependent breast tumors.
5. The application of different statistical algorithms and current applications for the analysis of microarray gene expression data.

3 Materials and methods

### 3.1 Clone selection

800 cDNA clones corresponding to genes possibly involved in breast cancer were selected to constitute our cDNA platform. In this platform there are different interlinked biological pathways represented: cell cycle, DNA repair, DNA damage, apoptosis, DNA remodeling, PR related pathways (West et al. 2001), endometrial and ovarian cancer related genes, and prognosis genes (Sotiriou et al. 2002; Van't Veer et al. 2002; Sorlie et al. 2003).

Clones were selected from the German Human Genome Resource Center (http://www.rzpd.de/) from different endometrial, ovary or breast cDNA libraries, and a clone information database was constructed using several web resources such as SOURCE (http://source.stanford.edu/cgi-bin/source/ sourceSearch, Diehn et al. 2003). The selection criterion was to choose the most 3' end clone, which contained a polyadenylation signal with a maximum length of 2 kb . We visualized these features and confirmed the position of the clone within its cluster using the GeneNest graphical database (http:// genenest.molgen.mpg.de). All cDNA clones were confirmed by sequencing from the 5'-end, and their position within the gene was determined by BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). About 10-12\% of clones gave a different match after sequencing. New clones for those genes were ordered from the German Human Genome Resource Center, and were subsequently incorporated to the current version of the cDNA microarray platform. See Appendix A2: Breast Cancer Array complete clone list and annotations.

An overview of the steps involved in the cDNA clone selection and sequence confirmation, with all the web resources used, is detailed in Figure 2.
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Figure 2: Overview of the steps involved in the cDNA clone selection and sequence confirmation, with all the web resources used.

### 3.2 Array controls

We incorporated sets of negative and positive microarray controls from EMBL (Arabidopsis and bacterial genes, Preiss 2001, Richter et al. 2002), Utrecht Microarray Controls (B. subtilus, Van der Peppel et al. 2003), and the Amersham Universal ScoreCard $®$, in order to normalize gene expression in cases of global changes, and set a noise level cutoff.

### 3.3 Microarray construction

DNA plasmid preparations were generated using the Plasmid miniprep 96 kit (Millipore®; cat.no.LSKP09604). Inserts were PCR amplified in a $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total volume reaction using a homemade thermostable Thermus aquaticus (Taq) DNA polymerase clone (Desai and Pfaffle, 1995), with vector specific primers. PCR products were purified with the PCR 96 Cleanup kit (Millipore $®_{\text {; }}$ cat.no.LSKC09604), eluted in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ milliQ water, and $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the PCR product were visualized on 96 -well format agarose gels using electrophoresis chambers (AbGene®). PCR products were normalized to $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ and allocated on 384-well plates. PCR products were printed in 1x Corning Pronto! ® spotting solution on CORNING UltraGAPS® II amino-modified glass slides, using a Robotic arrayer ChipWriter (Bio-Rad) and a pin-head of 16 SMP3 printing needles (Telechem®). Each spot contains millions of copies of cDNA fragments
from each gene. cDNA spots were immobilized by UV crosslinking at $2500 \mathrm{~mJ} / \mathrm{s}$ with an UV oven and stored under vacuum in desiccators until they were used for hybridization.

Each gene product was printed in quadruplicates on each microarray, two of them side-by-side on the same row, and the other two in different subgrids, by inverting the spotting plates, on the top or bottom half of the array. Therefore we are using different spotting needles to control intra-slide replicate variation and the specificity of hybridization (see Appendix A3 for microarray printing design). Replicated spots had a mirrored orientation, which made it easier to control the specificity of hybridization at once just by looking at the raw scanner images. Indeed multiple prints of each clone can be used to control within-array variability and performance owing to spatial effects due to the labeling and hybridization procedure and local artifacts (Tran et al. 2002) because they are printed by different spotting pins. Replicate spots in the top and bottom halves of the array are likely to be less well correlated than the side-by-side replicates.

The cDNA platform with the name "CRG Human Breast Cancer Array v4.00.8 K " can be downloaded from GEO (Gene Expression Omnibus, http: //www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GPL5953) with the accession number GPL5953. GEO is a gene expression/molecular abundance repository supporting MIAME compliant data submissions, and a curated online resource for gene expression data browsing, query and retrieval (Barrett et al. 2007).

### 3.4 Cell cultures

The cell line used in this study was originated from the breast cancer epithelial cell line T47D-MTVL, which endogenously expresses high levels of progesterone and estrogen receptors, with a modification in its genome consisting in of stably integrated copy of the luciferase reporter gene driven by the MMTV promoter (Truss et al. 1995). The MMTV promoter contains five HRE (hormone response element) responsive to progestins, androgens and glucocorticoids, but not estrogens (Payvar et al. 1983) and an adjacent site for the ubiquitous transcription nuclear factor NF1 (Beato et al. 1995).

The progestin-responsive T47D breast cancer cell line has been used for examining progestin-dependent gene expression in vitro (Richer et al. 2002, Wan and Nordeen 2002, Bray et al. 2003, Bray et al. 2005).

Cell cultures were grown to confluence in RPMI 1640 medium (Invitrogen®) supplemented with hormone-free (charcoal-treated) 10\% fetal bovine serum FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin. Cells were plated in RPMI medium in the absence of phenol red to prevent known estrogenic effects, supplemented with dextran-coated charcoal-treated FBS (DCC/FBS), and 48 hr later medium was replaced by fresh medium without serum. After 24 hr in serum-free conditions, cells were incubated with R5020 $(10 \mathrm{nM})$ or vehicle (ethanol) during different times at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When indicated ICI182780 (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, commercial name Fulvestrant) or PD98059 ( $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) were
also added after 6 hr of hormone induction (R5020, Estradiol). Cells were collected after 30 min, and after 1, 2, 6, 12, 24 and 48 hr .

### 3.5 Breast biopsy samples

Frozen tumor samples come from surgical biopsies provided by the Hospital del Mar (Barcelona). Samples were collected from 2002 on, and all relevant clinicalhistopatological data were determined: TNM criteria; grading; ER, PR, HER2 and TP53 status; age of the patient at the time of diagnosis; whether there existed treatment before surgery and what type of treatment. In $95 \%$ of cases, treatment was given after surgery, while only $3 \%$ of cases received neoadjuvant chemotherapy before surgery, leaving a very small number of cases that can be used to study the correlation with tumor response to treatment. All follow-up clinical history is currently being collected since the possibility of recurrence is still unknown in many cases. The clinical and molecular features of these tumors are listed in Appendix A4.

Total RNA was prepared by using Ultraspec® RNA isolation system (Biotecx laboratories Inc.) following manufacturer's instructions. We took 111 of these samples for further analysis, since some of them were handicapped in either quantity or quality of the total RNA material. Universal human reference RNA (UHRR) was obtained from Stratagene® (cat.no.740000).

The pathological diagnose is listed in table 1.
Table 1: Pathological diagnose of the patients and percentages in our population.

| Pathological diagnose | No. of patients | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| infiltrating ductal carcinoma | 65 | 61.9 |
| intraductal + infiltrating ductal | 15 | 14.3 |
| lobulillar infiltrating | 9 | 8.6 |
| lobulillar in situ | 2 | 1.9 |
| intraductal carcinoma | 2 | 1.9 |
| metaplasic + ductal carcinoma | 2 | 1.9 |
| papilar invasive | 2 | 1.9 |
| lobulillar "in situ" + infiltrating lobulillar | 1 | 1.0 |
| lobulillar "in situ"+ ductal infiltrating | 1 | 1.0 |
| mucinous carcinoma | 1 | 1.0 |
| atypical medular | 1 | 1.0 |
| medular infiltrating carcinoma | 1 | 1.0 |
| Ca Ductal with apocrine | 1 | 1.0 |
| differentiation+Ca intraductal | 1 | 1.0 |
| tubular infiltrating | 1 | 1.0 |

Distribution of the age of diagnosis of our population of breast tumors is shown at Figure 3:


Figure 3: Distribution of our population of breast tumors based on the age of diagnosis.

### 3.6 RNA quality assessment

To assess the quality of the RNA and to evaluate the level of degradation, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of intact Total RNA samples were analyzed using the Agilent Bioanalyzer $2100 ®$ and the RNA 6000 LabChip Kit (Agilent $®$ ) with the Eukaryote Total RNA Nano Assay®. 28S/18S ratios, degradation factor and RIN numbers were used to quantify the state of degradation. We decided to choose specimens with RIN quality factor higher than 6.5 for microarray analysis. This is so because, after visual inspection of the graphical representation on the RNA, we thought that below the threshold of 6.5 , the 28 S ribosomal peak was too small in comparison to the 18 S , and degradation also affected the mRNA population.

### 3.7 Linear T7 oligo-dT mediated mRNA amplification

Modifications of the method described by Van Gelder et al. 1990 and Eberwine et al. 1992 are described in detailed next.

### 3.7.1 First Strand cDNA synthesis

Total RNA templates were quantified with a spectrophotometer, and $3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ were used for mRNA amplification. To each sample, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}(100 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{l})$ of T7-oligo-dT composite primer, 5'-GGC CAG TGA ATT GTA ATA CGA CTC ACT ATA GGG AGG CGG(T) $)_{24}-3$ '; Sigma-Genosys) was added in $12 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of total volume in a 0.2 ml PCR tube and RNA was denatured for 10 minutes at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a MJ Research Thermocycler, and chilled on ice. Then $4 \mu$ of 5 X first strand buffer (Invitrogen), $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 0.1 M DTT, $1 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTPs (Roche) and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) were added to the primer and RNA solution and reverse transcribed in a $20 \mu$ reaction at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 2 hr in a thermal block (Eppendorf ${ }^{\circledR}$ ).

### 3.7.2 Second Strand cDNA synthesis

After the first strand synthesis, reactions were chilled on ice, and $16.7 \mu$ DEPC$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 10 \mu \mathrm{I} 5 \mathrm{X}$ second strand synthesis buffer (Invitrogen), $1 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTPs, $0.35 \mu \mathrm{l}$ E.coli DNA ligase ( $10 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}$, Invitrogen), $1.3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ DNA polymerase (10 $\mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}$, Invitrogen), and $0.7 \mu \mathrm{RNaseH}(2 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}$, Invitrogen) were added to the first strand reaction, well mixed and incubated in a heating block placed in a cold room at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 2 hr .

Then, reactions were chilled and quickly spun to bring down condensation of the sample on the lid. This was followed by addition of $100 \mu \mathrm{ldd} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 0.5 M EDTA to stop the reaction. The cDNA was purified by addition of $160 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a Tris saturated Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamylalcohol solution pH 8.0, mixed by pipetting and spun at 12000 rpm for 5 minutes at room temperature. The clear aqueous phase was transferred to a clean RNase-free 1.5 ml tube. Then, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of glycogen carrier was added, mixed, followed by $80 \mu \mathrm{l} 7.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$, mixing again, and adding $600 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ice-cold absolute Ethanol. The tube was shaken and spun down for 30 min . Supernatant was removed, and the double-stranded cDNA pellet was washed with $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $75 \%$ ice-cold ethanol. Pellets were airdried during 5 min and dissolved in $6 \mu$ DEPC-treated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

### 3.7.3 In vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase

RNA was in vitro transcribed using as a template all the double-stranded cDNA product using Megascript T7 RNA polymerase (Ambion) in a $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hr . Template DNA was digested with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DNAsel (Ambion) and aRNA purified using RNeasy mini spin columns (Qiagen), and eluted from the column with $50 \mu$ l RNAse-free $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. In vitro amplified aRNA samples were quantified with a Nanodrop® spectrophotometer.

### 3.7.4 aRNA direct labeling method

This method was previously described and validated by Richer et al. (2002). Our modifications are the following: $3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of the in vitro amplified aRNA samples
were reverse transcribed with $4 \mu \mathrm{IX}$ first strand buffer, $2 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{DTT} ,0.4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ low dT-dNTP mix ( 25 mM dA, dC, dG, 10 mM dT), $1.5 \mu$ Superscript II (Invitrogen) and $2 \mu \mathrm{l} 25 \mathrm{mM}$ Cy3-dUTP or Cy5-dUTP (Amersham). The reaction was incubated at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hr , chilled on ice and quick spun to bring down condensation. To stop the reaction $1 \mu \mathrm{l} 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH} / 20 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, was added followed by $80 \mu$ MilliQ- $\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{l} 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOAc} \mathrm{pH} \mathrm{5}$. purified with Quiaquick PCR purification columns (Qiagen) and eluted twice with $50 \mu \mathrm{I}$ EB ( 10 mM Tris pH 8.5). Labeling efficiency was calculated by quantification with Nanodrop, obtaining between $50-80 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Cy3 or Cy5 labeled probes. Labeled samples for the same array were combined, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ Human Cot DNA (Invitrogen) was added, and the labeled mix was desiccated by Speed-Vac centrifugation.

### 3.8 Design of the microarray experiment

The objective of the design is to facilitate the interpretation of the data analysis results. For this aim, this design must be simple but conclusive given the purpose of the experiment, which may be to find differentially expressed genes, to search for phenotypic class or significant dynamic time dependent changes. As many replicates as possible are needed to control for all random variation in order to have accurate enough measurements. For example, the statistical variance decreases as the number $n$ of samples increases,

$$
\operatorname{var}(\bar{A})=\frac{\sigma_{A}^{2}}{n},
$$

where $\bar{A}$ is the mean of the measurement, and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of $\bar{A}$. In the case of the experiments with breast cancer cell line samples it was feasible to have biological replicates, that is, another parallel specimen for labeling and hybridization. In the case of the experiments of breast tumor biopsy samples, where sample amount is a limiting factor, biological replicates were not possible. However, we did incorporate two biological replicates of a couple of samples and technical replicates to check correlation and hybridization specificity.

The design choice in our microarray experiments was always the same one whether the purpose was to select differentially expressed genes, to search for specific gene-expression patterns in a time-course setting, or to identify tumoral phenotypic subclasses. In every case, we used an indirect design, that is, the commercially available Universal Human Reference RNA was always used as reference sample. We found this reference very useful since it can serve as a consistent control for data set comparisons, as well as can be used in multiple experiments that need to be carried out over long periods of time. It is also the most stable, unlimited sample, where every gene is represented but no gene is
biased for overrepresentation since it is a pool of ten different tumor cell lines from different human tissues.

In this manner we would be able to correlate directly the response to progestins of a breast tumor cell line and the immortalized picture of the gene expression breast tumor biopsy. The samples under study would be always labeled with Cy5 fluorochrom and reference RNA always labeled with Cy3. Cy3- or Cy5conjugated nucleotides are bulky, which makes their incorporation using standard enzymes very inefficient. In addition, rates of incorporation can differ between dyes, potentially resulting in dye biases (Yang et al. 2002). If some gene were preferentially labeled by any of these fluorochrom, it would never show up as significant at the time of contrasting two hybridizations since comparison would be between same dye channels. For that reason we did not routinely perform dye-swap experiments.

In order to infer the relative gene expression difference between two samples in the indirect design, we need to subtract two hybridizations (Figure 4) with the handicap that the variance of the measurement is four times the associated to the direct design.

|  | Direct | with dye swapping | Indirect |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Figure 4: Standard error associated to the experimental design.

### 3.9 Microarray hybridization

### 3.9.1 Slide processing

Slides were pre-hybridized in prewarmed 5X SCC, $0.1 \%$ SDS and $0.1 \%$ BSA at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min , rinsed under milliQ water and spun dry using a centrifuge for 5 $\min$ at 1500 rpm .

### 3.9.2 Hybridization

Labeled samples were redissolved in $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prewarmed $12 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Hybridization buffer A (50\% formamide, 6X SSC, $0.5 \%$ SDS and 5X Denhardt's) applied to a glass coverslip, covered with the spotted glass slide. Arrays were incubated in a Corning hybridization chamber for 18 hr at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humid environment (In Slide Out oven, Boeckel).

### 3.9.3 Array post-processing

Arrays were washed at room temperature using an orbital shaker for 10 min with a high stringency wash buffer ( 0.1 X SSC, $0.1 \%$ SDS), twice for 10 min with a low stringency wash buffer ( 0.1 X SSC), rinsed for 5 min in milliQ water and spun dry for 5 min at 1500 rpm using a centrifuge.

### 3.10 Image acquisition

Fluorescent images were obtained using the G2565BA Microarray Scanner System (Agilent) with 100\% laser power and 100\% PMT settings and 16-bit TIFF images, one for each channel, were quantified using GenePix Pro 6.0 microarray analysis software (Molecular Devices, www.moleculardevices.com). This software discriminates between the relevant spots and the spot surrounding background by a segmentation method allowing for non-circular spots and recording every pixel intensity. First of all, mean foreground and background intensities were extracted from the red (Cy5) and green (Cy3) channels for every spot on the microarray. The background intensities are used to correct the foreground intensities for local variation on the array surface, resulting in corrected red and green intensities. A secondary purpose of the image analysis is to collect quality measurements for each spot that can be used to flag unreliable spots, which are those spots with abnormal background level due to spatial effects or "dye-dust" that interferes with spot measurement. The quality measures were the variation coefficient and the mean-median correlation of the foreground and surrounding background intensities of the spot. As a last quality control, images were also checked manually by flagging abnormal spots and the specificity of hybridization was checked by comparison of replicate spots side-by-side and at the opposite side of the array in a mirrored orientation (Figure 5).


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Figure 5: (Up) Image of a Breast Cancer Array v4.0. Notice (in the reduced copy on the left) the 16-print tip grid and the four spot quadruplicates: two of them side-by-side on the vertical and the other two on the bottom part of the array in a mirrored orientation. Spike-in were not included in the labeling reactions. Their spot were used as negative controls.

### 3.11 Array raw data normalization

Raw data were processed using MMARGE (see user's guide: http://nin.crg.es/manuals/MMarge170707.pdf) an in house developed web implementation of LIMMA, a microarray statistical analysis package of Bioconductor (http://www.bioconductor.org, Dudoit et al. 2003) that is run in the R programming environment (Gentleman et al. 2004, Wettenhall et al. 2004). Gene intensities were locally background subtracted using Normexp algorithm. This method adjusts the foreground adaptively for the background intensities and results in strictly positive adjusted intensities, so negative or zero corrected intensity are avoided. This results in a smooth transformation of the background subtracted intensities such that all the corrected intensities are positive. Spots with intensities smaller than two times the local background in both dye filter channels (Cy3 or Cy5), as well as control spots, were excluded from normalization, and were referred to as "non reliable".

Expression ratios $T$ of each gene $i$ were calculated as

$$
T_{i}=\frac{R_{i}}{G_{i}},
$$

where $R$ and $G$ are the red and green color intensities, respectively, commonly used to represent array data. We transformed ratios to logarithm base 2, to be able to treat upregulated and downregulated genes in the same manner and produce a symmetrical distribution of the ratio values.

Next, since $\log _{2}$ Ratios could have a systematic dependence on intensity which most commonly appears as a deviation from zero for low-intensity spots, an intensity dependent normalization algorithm was applied (Yang IW et al. 2002; Yang IV et al. 2002). Locally weighted linear regression (Lowess) analysis was used as a normalization method to remove those intensity-dependent effects of $\log _{2}$ Ratio values. The variables $M$ and $A$ are defined as

$$
M_{i}=\log _{2} \frac{R_{i}}{G_{i}}, \quad A_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}\left(R_{i} \times G_{i}\right)
$$

Normalization effect can be observed on $M-A$ plots before and after normalization, which show $M$, the $\log _{2}$ Ratio, for each element on the array as a function of the $A$, the log2 geometric average intensity. Final $M-A$ plots can be visualized at http://nin.crg.es/res/MM207610732042/index.html.

Print-tip Lowess normalization was applied to each print-tip group or subgrid to correct any systematic spatial variation on the array, between spotting needles or variability on slide surface, beside correcting for intensity-based trends, by adjusting the mean of the $\log _{2}$ Ratio values in each subgrid to zero. This function relies on the assumption that most genes do not respond to experimental conditions, and so the average log ratio on the array should be
zero. The variance across all subgrids was adjusted using a smoothing factor for normalization. The smoothing factor applied was $f=0.2$ in order to homogenize the variance of the $\log _{2}$ Ratio within each print-tip. The appropriate smoothing factor is chosen as the variance for a particular subgrid divided by the geometric mean of the variances for all subgrids (Huber et al. 2002).

Lowess normalization methods combine the least square regression with a nonlinear regression. Each M -value is normalized by subtracting from it the corresponding value of the tip-group Lowess curve, constructed applying local regression for each point in the $M-A$ plots.

Normalized $\log _{2}$ Ratios across all arrays were scaled so that every array has the same median intensity and same absolute standard deviation, in order to give the same weight to every gene on all arrays, and therefore changes of the expression ratio between arrays are not only due to the magnitude of $M$. Interarray normalization adjusts the range of $\log _{2}$ Ratio data.

The non-parametric empirical Bayes $B$-statistic was also computed for replicate hybridizations at time-course experiments, since we included hybridization replicates to determine the genes with significant regulation (Lönnsted and Speed, 2001). The $B$-statistic is an estimate of the posterior log-odds for each gene being differentially expressed. Values of $B$ equal to zero correspond to a $50-50$ chance that the gene is differentially expressed. The B-statistic is similar to a penalized $t$-statistic

$$
t=\frac{\bar{M}}{\sqrt{\left(a+\sigma^{2}\right) / n}},
$$

where the penalty $a$ is estimated from the mean $\bar{M}, \sigma$ is the standard deviation, and $n$ is the number of sample replicates. With this data set, we considered genes that showed a 1.4-fold gene up or down-regulation relative to control sample with a $B$-rank value above $90 \%$ significant.

In the analysis of the breast tumor samples, which only had hybridization replicates of a few samples, we did not compute any other statistical criteria, and we considered as "reliable" all the genes with expression above the described background threshold, and as "non reliable" those which signal was below background level in both dye channels.

The value of fold change or relative copy number change was calculated as $2^{\text {Log }}{ }_{2}$ Ratio $=$ ratio, if the ratio is positive, or $2^{-1 / \log \mathrm{R}_{2} \text { Ratio }}$ if the ratio is negative.

### 3.12 Hierarchical clustering methods

Results of multiple hybridization experiments can be further analyzed to seek for similarities between gene expression profiles or sample gene expression
patterns by assembling all normalized and scaled $\log _{2}$ Ratios measurement in a numerical matrix where rows correspond to genes and columns correspond to samples. In this matrix each gene or sample can be defined as a vector of $\log _{2}$ Ratio value coordinates.

For all gene expression matrix analysis, we have used the open-source, freely available software package for microarray data management, visualization and analysis TM4 (Saeed et al. 2003) obtained from TIGR (http://www.tigr.org/software/microarray.shtm), TMEV: TIGR multiple experiment viewer) that uses hierarchical clustering analysis from Cluster and Treeview (Eisen et al. 1998). These packages can be also freely downloaded from Stanford software programs database (http://genomewww5.stanford.edu/).

### 3.12.1 Measures of similarity (or distance)

A measure is needed in order to compare the similarity or the distance between two or more genes or samples. We can regard any of these objects (rows or columns on a matrix) as points in an $n$-dimensional space or as $n$-vectors, where $n$ is the number of genes or the number of samples.

There are two types of distance metrics extensively used in the comparison of expression profiles: the Euclidean distance and the Pearson correlation coefficient. We would like to search for genes with an identical expression profile which may represent a co-ordinate response to a stimulus, or genes with opposite profiles which may represent activation versus repression. The Euclidean distance is obtained as the absolute distance between two points in space, in this case defined by the two expression profiles or also called expression vectors. The Euclidean distance usually finds two genes or samples similar when these have the same magnitude of expression.

Although this property may be significant in some cases, it is usually biologically more relevant to search for genes expressed at different levels but with the same overall profile. The Pearson correlation coefficient is useful to identify profiles with similar shapes. It can be also be used to detect negatively correlated genes.

### 3.12.2 Cluster analysis

Clustering is the most widely used tool for microarray data analysis. The goal of clustering is to group together objects (genes or samples) with similar properties. It produces groups of gene expression profiles based on a distance function. Clustering can be used to find groups of co-expressed genes (Eisen et al. 1998), which are often functionally related, or to obtain clusters of experimental conditions (Perou et al. 1999). Depending on the way the data is clustered, we can distinguish between hierarchical and non-hierarchical clustering. Hierarchical clustering allows detecting higher order relationships between clusters or profiles. While the majority of non-hierarchical classification
techniques work by allocating gene expression profiles to a predefined number of clusters, without any assumption on the inter-cluster relationships. We could chose different distance functions (based on Euclidean or correlation coefficient) which can produce alternative clustering of data. Aggregative hierarchical clustering (Sneath and Sokal, 1973) is still the preferred choice for analysis of patterns of gene expression. We have always used the average linkage algorithm, which works as follows: firstly, the closest pair of genes or samples is selected and joined by a node, secondly these are averaged, and finally a new correlation matrix is created which replaces the previous pair of genes or samples by their average. The process continues until only one single element remains.

### 3.13 Class comparison methods

We have used SAM (Significance Analysis of Microarrays, Tusher et al. 2001, http://www-stat.stanford.edu/\~tibs/SAM/index.html) in order to identify differentially expressed genes associated to a variable such as treatment or time. SAM can be used to pick out statistically significant genes based on a different expression ratio between sets of experiments by assigning genespecific $t$-tests. A score is assigned to each gene on the basis of its change in gene expression relative to the standard deviation of repeated measurements for that gene with the assumption of gene-specific fluctuations. This procedure allows to dynamically change the threshold value for significance through a parameter delta $\Delta$ after looking at the distribution of the $d$-statistic. This makes the data-mining process more sensitive.

The test gives an estimate of the False Discovery Rate (FDR), which is the percentage of genes likely to have been misidentified by chance as significantly differentially expressed. To estimate the FDR, nonsense genes are identified by analyzing permutations of the measurements.

We have mainly employed a two-class unpaired design to pick out genes whose mean expression level is significantly different between two groups of samples (analogous to between subjects $t$-test), where under the null hypothesis of no differential expression the question we asked was whether the mean expression level of a gene in group $A$ significantly different from the mean expression level in group $B$.

$$
\frac{\bar{A}_{i j}-\bar{B}_{i j}}{\operatorname{var}_{i j}}
$$

where $i$ and $j$ are the gene and experiment indices, respectively, and var is an estimate of the variance of a "gene-specific" $t$-test. A $d$-value, analogous to the t-statistic, is computed for each gene, and is called the "observed" $d$-value ( $d$ statistic)

$$
d_{i}=\frac{r_{i}}{\sigma_{i}+s_{0}}
$$

where $r$ is the ratio for $i=1,2, \ldots, p$ is the gene index, $\sigma$ is the standard deviation, and $s_{0}$ is a small positive constant that makes that the genes with small fold-change will not be selected as significant even when the standard deviation is very small. An "expected" $d$-value $d$ ' is computed by order statistics permutation tests. For each permutation of the data, the test calculates the number of positive and negative significant genes for a given parameter $\Delta$. The cutoff for significance is determined by the user by tuning $\Delta$, based on the false discovery rate.

The median number of significant genes from these permutations divided by the median number of genes called significant is the median FDR. We set $\Delta$ so that only a 1 to $5 \%$ of falsely discovered genes show up as false positives. We can select also a fold change threshold, to ensure that "called" genes change at least a pre-specified amount. We obtain also a $q$-value for each gene, which is the lowest FDR at which that gene is called significant. It is like a $p$-value but adapted to multiple-testing situations.

The selected settings for every situation were (1) the FDR threshold to 0.05 , that is, a $5 \%$ of falsely discovered genes, and (2) the fold-change threshold of 1.3 , that is, the relative change of the expression ratio between two unpaired classes must be at least of 1.3.

With these conditions multiple gene-lists were generated and saved for posterior comparisons with other hybridization experiments, Ingenuity ${ }^{\ominus}$ pathway software package analysis and GSEA functional analysis (see chapter below on methods for functional analysis).

### 3.14 Time course microarray analysis

The identification of genes whose expression varies when biological conditions change is a frequent goal in microarray experiments. Differential expression can be analyzed from a static or a dynamic point of view. In a static experiment, gene expression is obtained instantaneously as it happens in the analysis of a breast tumor biopsy sample. In a dynamic experiment the arrays are collected as a time series, which allows us to observe the dynamic behavior of gene expression.

Up until recently, there were no established general statistical methods for identifying differentially expressed genes in a time course study, where gene expression data is collected as a function of time. None of the available clustering methods (PCA, K-means, and Bayesian model-based) are directly applicable to identify genes that show significant changes in expression over time. These previous methods require that the statistical significance be calculated under the assumption that the clustering model estimated for each
variable is true, and fail to properly use the temporal structure present in the data, leading to loss of power or incorrect calculation of significance.

EDGE, an open-source software package, applies ideas of spline-based methods. It is able to identify statistically significant genes, whose expression varies between groups of treatment or within a single group, accounting for sources of dependence over time and the untreated group. The EDGE algorithm considers two types of sampling: longitudinal or independent. We applied longitudinal sampling since, in our case, there is a dependence of the data on the cell batch (that is, the day of the experiment). Using this technique we identified statistically significant genes, whose expression varies within a single treatment (R5020, E2) or between treatments (Storey et al. 2005, http://faculty. Washington edu/jstorey/edge/).

Since we had two biological replicates, we first referred treated samples $T_{i}$, at a given time $t_{i}=30 \mathrm{~min}, 1 \mathrm{hr}, 2,6,12,24$, and 48 hr , to their associated $T_{0}$, or untreated sample, of their corresponding biological replicate as follows:

$$
\log _{2} \frac{T_{i}}{T_{0}}=\log _{2} \frac{T_{i}}{U H R R}-\log _{2} \frac{T_{0}}{U H R R} .
$$

We also decided that the time series of collected hormone treated cell line samples had a longitudinal distribution due to sampling of each biological replicate. Pre-filtered data with a threshold $q$-value less than 0.01 which is less than $1 \%$ FDR level was averaged and grouped into just one $M$ value.

Following the above describe procedures, we took the significant gene list, imported the values into the TMEV (TIGR Multiple experiment viewer) microarray statistical analysis program, and finally used K-Means (a supervised hierarchical clustering method, Hartigan and Wong, 1979) with Pearson correlation coefficient as the distance metric, in order to cluster genes which follow similar trends in gene expression along time. The clustering of genes for finding co-regulated and functionally related groups had been successfully earlier carried out by DeRisi et al. (1997), Brazma et al. (1998), and Van Helden et al. (1998). From these clusters of genes we also generated gene-lists that can be subsequently imported into other functional analysis software (chapter 3.19: methods for functional analysis).

### 3.15 Class prediction methods

Conventional diagnosis of cancer has been based traditionally on the examination of the morphological appearance of stained tissue specimens under light microscopy. This method is subjective and depends on highly trained pathologists. The microarray technique could make cancer classification more objective and accurate.

Two of the most important uses for microarray data are 1) to generate gene expression profiles which can discriminate between different known cell types or conditions (like for example, to differentiate between tumor and normal tissues or different types of tumors) and 2) to identify previously unknown types or conditions (e.g. new subclasses of existing class of tumors). These two tasks have been referred to respectively as class prediction and class discovery in the work of Golub et al. 1999. The class prediction and discovery techniques are also known as supervised and unsupervised learning of gene expression profiles, or discrimination and class clustering, respectively. Clustering methods are appropriate if classes do not exist in advance, but if the classes are preexisting, then discriminant analysis methods are more suitable and more efficient than clustering methods.

Initially, the FADA (Full analysis of DNA microarrays, Lozano et al. 2005) unsupervised clustering method was used to define new classes and assign samples to these classes, as a hierarchical clustering method. The objective was to identify and define the possible tumor classes, discriminate tumors from normal samples, find a distinct expression signature for each subcluster, find associated statistically significant GO terms, and predict the diagnosis category of a sample on the basis of its gene expression profile.

### 3.16 Full analysis in DNA microarrays (FADA)

FADA applies a Factor Analysis (FA, Reyment and Joreskog, 1996) a multivariate tool related to PCA, along with clustering algorithms applied to sample sets, $t$-test scores in gene set and data mining procedures. FA assumes that the observed gene expression levels are a result of a linear combination of an unknown number of independent underlying transcriptional programs, called factors. The contribution of each factor to the expression levels of the genes in each sample is given by the elements of the loaded data matrix. FA calculates the covariance of a data matrix. Covariance in the mRNA expression levels occurs in proteins involved in related pathways and functions, as well as colocalized proteins in the cell, and is indicative of common regulation of gene expression, and may uncover of a shared regulatory mechanism (Bar-Joseph et al. 2003). Specific variance of a given gene which is not associated to other genes is most probably related to artifacts, and would not represent biological significance.

Data matrix reduction is achieved by FA along with clustering algorithms to generate clusters in sample space or sample dendrograms (Hartigan et al. 1975). Multiple testing corrected Student $t$-test ( $q$-value) is employed for the associated gene extraction of each obtained subcluster for the measurement of the differential gene expression of the gene compared with the rest of the samples. The $q$-value is similar to the $p$-value, except that it is a measure of significance in terms of the false discovery rate. Genes with $q$-value less than 0.05 were taken as differentially expressed for that particular cluster.

Significant genes associated to each cluster are used for the detection of pathways predominantly activated in that cluster in order to find statistically significant GO terms (Ashburner et al. 2000).

### 3.17 Between groups analysis (BGA)

BGA is a supervised classification method (Culhane et al. 2002). The basis of this method is to ordinate the formed groups rather than individual samples on a tri-dimensional space. BGA is a multiple discriminant analysis approach, which uses a dimension reduction technique such as principal component analysis (PCA) and correspondence analysis (COA, Fellenberg et al. 2001) to examine the correspondence to the most discriminant genes on each axis. Instead of dimension reduction of the individual samples performed in these classical ordination techniques, BGA ordinates the groups. It finds the eigenvectors or axes that discriminate the groups so as to maximize the between group variances. BGA, when used together with COA, ranks the genes, so that at the end of the axis the most discriminating genes are selected. In this way the genes associated with each group are determined. BGA is implemented as one of the microarray analysis tools of our laboratory group web-based server as SUCA (SUpervised CIAssification Bioconductor R-scripts) and also runs on the $R$ programming language environment.

### 3.18 Prediction analysis of microarrays (PAM)

The supervised class prediction method used was PAM (Prediction Analysis of Microarray, Tibshirani et al. 2003). This method for class prediction was firstly applied to distinguish molecular subtypes in breast cancer and to predict overall survival (Sorlie et al. 2003).

PAM can be freely downloaded from http://www-stat.stanford.edu/~tibs/PAM/, as excel add-in which runs on the R environment.

Briefly, the method computes a standardized centroid for each class. This is the average gene expression for each gene in each class divided by the withinclass standard deviation for that gene. Nearest centroid classification takes the gene expression profile of a new sample, and compares it to each of these class centroids. The class whose centroid it is closest to, in squared distance, is the predicted class for that new sample. Nearest shrunken centroid classification makes an additional modification to standard nearest centroid classification. It "shrinks" each of the class centroids toward the overall centroid for all classes by a constant called "threshold". This shrinkage consists of moving the centroid towards the zero. After shrinking the centroids, the new sample is classified by the usual nearest centroid rule, but using the shrunken class centroids.

This shrinkage has two advantages: 1) it can make the classifier more accurate by reducing the effect of noisy genes; 2) it does automatic gene selection. In particular, if a gene is shrunk to zero for all classes, then it is eliminated from the prediction rule. Alternatively, it may be set to zero for all classes except one, and high or low expression for that gene characterizes that class. Typically, the user would choose the threshold value that gives the minimum cross-validated misclassification error rate.

PAM applied to breast tumor classification, shifts the mean expression level of each gene for each class towards the overall mean expression level for all classes by a fixed standardized difference (shrunken centroids). For a given shrunken centroid, only those genes for which the shrunken means still differ from the overall mean will contribute to the distance between centroids and any individual tumor sample's expression pattern. The class for which the shrunken centroids most closely reaches the observed expression pattern of a certain patient by using a Pearson correlation is then the predicted class for that patient. The standardized difference, and the number of relevant genes, is chosen by minimizing the prediction error using a 10 -fold balanced, leave-10\%out cross-validation within the training set.

The same method is then used to predict classes for new samples (when they have a prior class assignment they represent a validation set): Pearson correlation coefficient is computed for each new sample to each of the five centroids and assigns each sample to the subtype with which it showed the highest correlation. Therefore, in our study, we are applying a Nearest Shrunken Centroid method to successfully find a minimal set of genes to distinguish classes with the minimal misclassification error.

### 3.19 Functional analysis (EASE-DAVID, EA, GSEA, Ingenuity)

### 3.19.1 EASE-DAVID

In order to gain biological understanding from microarray data, it is necessary to analyze functional annotations of all genes in the obtained gene lists. The Gene Ontology database (Ashburner et al. 2000) provides a useful catalogue to annotate and analyze the functions of a large number of genes.

With every gene lists from every produced cluster, we performed a functional analysis using EASE-DAVID (NIH, http://david.niaid.nih.gov/david/ ease.htm, Dennis et al. 2003). The EASE algorithm (Hosack et al. 2003) looks at the representation of functional classes in a significant set and compares it to the representation on the entire array taken as the reference or the background list using a Fisher's exact probability test to calculate $p$-values for a particular category. The resulting list of $p$-values is sorted. The GO terms that are more distinctive in the analyzed list of genes have lower $p$-values.

Since the number of GO terms for which we tested significance is large, the computed $p$-values were corrected in order to control the error rate associated to multiple testing (Shaffer et al. 1995, Dudoit et al. 2002). The Benjamini and Hochberg (1995) correction was selected which controls the FDR. To avoid type I errors when multiple comparison are being made, by selecting 1000 iterations for bootstrapping, and a FDR percentile threshold of $1 \%$. Selecting a $p$-value below 0,01 , we expect that a $1 \%$ of the selected GO terms will not be specific.

EASE can be installed and run locally on a personal computer; we have used the version 2.0 and run up locally since the number of genes (about 800) is small enough. Hyperlinks to all databases are listed in an output file.

### 3.19.2 Enrichment analysis (EA)

The software package GOstat (Beißbarth and Speed, 2004) is a tool that utilizes GO information to uncover which annotations are distinctive for the analyzed list of genes. GOstat automatically obtains annotations from a database and generates statistics of the annotations that are over- or underrepresented in the analyzed list of genes. EA (Enrichment analysis, Falcon and Gentleman, 2007) is a Bioconductor package written in R, and implemented in our laboratory web server, that uses GOstats. EA implements GOstats, which applies a conditional hypergeometric test that use the relationships among GO terms. EA allows to test GO terms of one gene list for over or underrepresentation using the complete array gene list as reference or background list. For all the genes analyzed, GOstat determines the annotated GO terms and a $\chi^{2}$ test is used in order to approximate the $p$-value.

EA differentiates with EASE in that EASE uses Fisher's exact test probability or the EASE score, the upper bound distribution of Jackknife Fischer exact test probabilities.

### 3.19.3 Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) is a computational method that determines whether an a priori defined set of genes shows statistically significant, concordant differences in gene expression changes between two biological states (e.g. phenotypes). This method evaluates microarray data at the level of gene sets. GSEA-P is a software package which can be freely downloaded from: http://www. broad.mit.edu/gsea/msigdb/index.jsp.

GSEA compares and finds similar lists of genes in curated gene lists from a Molecular Signature Database (MsigDB), The gene sets are defined based on prior biological knowledge, as published information about biochemical pathways or coexpression in previous experiments.

The MSigDB (version 2.1) gene sets are divided into four major collections:

- c1: positional gene sets for each human chromosome and each cytogenetic band.
- c2: curated gene sets from online pathway databases, publications in PubMed, and knowledge of domain experts.
- c3: motif gene sets based on conserved cis-regulatory motifs from a comparative analysis of the human, mouse, rat and dog genomes.
- c4: computational gene sets defined by expression neighborhoods centered on 380 cancer-associated genes.

An additional customized gene set was constructed, which we called c5, with all the obtained gene lists on microarray experiments using the Breast Cancer Array cDNA microarray platform. This includes up- or down- regulated gene lists obtained from hormonal treatments (R5020, estradiol) on a time series, gene lists obtained from K-Means cluster analysis, gene lists obtained after significance microarray contrast analysis (SAM) of the hormonal treatments with and without specific inhibitors or hormone antagonists, and significantly over- or under-represented genes in the different classified breast tumor phenotypes.
$\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{c} 2, \mathrm{c} 4$ and c5 are mainly the gene sets used.
GSEA first calculates an enrichment score (ES) as a degree to which a set $S$ is overrepresented at the extremes (top or bottom) of the entire ranked list L. It is calculated as the maximum deviation from zero encountered in the random walk; it corresponds to a weighted Kolmogorov-Smirnov-like statistic. On a second step, GSEA estimates the statistical significance (nominal $P$ value) of the ES by using an empirical phenotype-based permutation test procedure that preserves the phenotype structure of the gene expression data, permutes the phenotype labels and recompute the ES of the gene set for the permuted data, which generates a null distribution for the ES. The empirical, nominal $p$-value of the observed ES is then calculated relative to this null distribution. The permutation of class labels preserves gene-gene correlations and, thus, provides a more biologically reasonable assessment of significance than would be obtained by permuting genes. On a third level, GSEA adjusts the estimated significance level to account for multiple hypothesis testing. First the ES for each gene is normalized to account for the size of the gene set, yielding a normalized enrichment score (NES), and determines the proportion of false positives by calculating the false discovery rate (FDR) corresponding to each NES. The FDR $q$-value is the estimated probability that a set with a given NES represents a false positive; it is computed by comparing the tails of the observed and null distributions for the NES. There are other corrections for multiple hypothesis testing such as the conservative family wise error rate (FWER), but nominal $p$-value $<0.05$ were selected since the primary purpose was to generate hypothesis.

Later, the so called "Leading-edge subset" similarity analysis" is performed. Gene sets can be defined by using a variety of methods, but not all of the members of a gene set will typically participate in a biological process. Often it is useful to extract the core members of high scoring gene sets that contribute
to the ES. The leading-edge subset can be interpreted as the core of a gene set that accounts for the enrichment signal across diverse experimental data sets.

### 3.19.4 Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (IPA)

The Ingenuity Pathways Knowledge Base (Ingenuity Systems ${ }^{\ominus}$ ) was used for functional analysis of genes. Briefly, the Ingenuity Pathways Knowledge Base consists of 106 individually modeled relationships into an ontology with more than 550000 biological concepts. Relationships between genes, proteins, small molecules, complexes, cells, processes and diseases were manually extracted by scientists from more than 200000 peer-reviewed articles.

### 3.20 Real time qPCR assays

Real time qPCR is a standard method for validation of microarray results, and has already been extensively reviewed (Bustin 2000, Bustin 2002, Bustin et al. 2005, and Stahlberg et al. 2005). It is currently the most sensitive method to determine the amount of a specific DNA in a complex biological sample. In realtime PCR, the amount of product is measured during an ongoing amplification using fluorescent reporters or dsDNA dyes (SYBR Green 1). Fluorescence signal is monitored each cycle during the annealing/extension phase of PCR to be quantified, the product has to accumulate enough to generate signal above background noise. The point where fluorescence rises above the background level is quantified as the second derivative maximum (Cp) or crossing threshold of the curve ( Ct ) and correlates with the amount of starting copies within a PCR reaction. Real time PCR is characterized by a wide quantification dynamic range (with seven to nine orders of magnitude), high sensitivity, and high reproducibility. Real time PCR is a more suitable diagnostic platform than microarrays especially for small gene sets and large numbers of samples are analyzed.

Below we describe in detail the standard procedure we followed, consisting in four steps: primer design, two step RT-PCR, determination of the reaction efficiency, and data analysis.

### 3.20.1 Primer-design

The primers were designed using Primer 3 (Rozen and Skaletzky 2000) (http://www-genome.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer/primer3-www.cgi) with their distinctive RefSeq Accession number (Appendix A5: List of primers for Real Time qPCR Assays). The primers were designed such that their annealing temperature was $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, giving a $90-200$ base pair product. In order to minimize primer-dimer formation, the maximum self-complementary score was 4 and the maximum 3' self-complementary score was 2 . The primers were designed, when possible, within the last 3 kb from the 3 ' end of the gene, flanking one or more introns to avoid gDNA amplification, or across exon boundaries.

The targets amplified by the primer pairs were characterized using M-fold (Santa Lucia 1998, http://bioinfo.math.rpi.edu/~mfold/dna/form1.cgi) in order to predict any secondary structures which might form at the site of primer or probe binding.

Primers were synthesized by Sigma-Genosys in a $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ scale, desalted while purified, and without any additional HPLC purification.

### 3.20.2 Two-step RT-PCR

First strand cDNA synthesis was carried out with a constant sample quantity of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ total RNA using 70 pmoles of oligo-dT, and Reverse Transcriptase Superscript II (Invitrogen ${ }^{\text {© }}$, cat.no. 18064-014) in a final volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Firstly, total RNA together with oligo-dT, and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 10 mM dNTP in a total volume of $12 \mu \mathrm{l}$, prepared in 0.2 ml microtubes, was incubated for 5 min at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a heat block in order to denature RNA. Reaction was chilled on ice for 5 min . A first strand reaction mix was added containing $4 \mu$ of First strand buffer, $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 0.1 M DTT and $1 \mu$ of RNAseOUT RNAse-inhibitor ( $40 \mathrm{u} / \mu$ I, Invitrogen ${ }^{\circ}$ ). Reverse transcription reaction was prewarmed at 420 C and then $1 \mu$ of Superscript II ( $200 \mathrm{u} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added.

Reaction was further incubated at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour on a thermal cycler (MJ Research), and then an additional incubation was performed at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min in order to inactivate the enzyme, followed by the chill on ice.

Before PCR amplification, every reverse transcription reaction was diluted at the proportion 1 to 20, to avoid Taq polymerase inhibition by DTT or excess of salts. No additional purification was performed.

We added, to the set of samples for reverse transcription, a duplicated RNA sample without reverse transcriptase to verify the absence of any gDNA contamination. We named it as "-RT negative control".

PCR amplification was carried out with $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the previously diluted reverse transcription sample, as described above, with $5 \mu$ of $2 x$ SYBR Green Master Mix (ROCHE, cat.no. 4309155), and 3 pmol of specific gene primer pairs to a 10 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ total volume. Reactions were aliquoted in 384-well microtiter plates. The optical lid was applied and fixed to microtiter plates. Reactions were mixed by short plate vortexing, and spun for 2 min at 1600 rpm speed in a centrifuge with a rotor with microtiter plate adaptors (Eppendorf ${ }^{\ominus}$ ). PCR reactions were run on a Lightcycler $480^{\circledR}$ system (ROCHE), using the following temperature cycling program:

1. Denaturing and Taq DNA polymerase heat activation step:
$95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min
2. Amplification step consisting of 45 cycles with the following cycle program:

Denaturing step: 15 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Annealing step: 40 s at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Extension: 5 s at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

A single fluorescence measurement at 533 nm wavelength was taken at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
3. Dissociation step: ramp ( $4.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s}$ ?) from $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a continuous measurement of the fluorescence. This dissociation step was added in order to verify that a single amplification product and no unspecific secondary products or other products due to primer dimer formation were formed.

### 3.20.3 Determination of reaction efficiency

Standard dilution curves (1:4 serial dilutions) of a RNA sample were produced by dilution in nuclease-free water. A master mix was made up and aliquoted into the PCR plate prior to individual addition of the template into each reaction tube. A graph of the threshold cycle $\left(C_{t}\right)$ versus the $\log _{10}$ [copy-number] of the sample from the dilution series was produced. The slope $m$ of this graph was used to determine the reaction efficiency $E$ as

$$
E=10^{-1 / m}-1 .
$$

### 3.20.4 Data analysis

PCR reactions were always run three times, so that first of all mean $C t$ values and mean standard deviations were calculated for error propagation. Relative copy number (RCC) or fold-change ratio was calculated as:

$$
R C C=\frac{E_{\text {target }}^{\Delta C_{t}}}{E_{\text {reference }}^{\Delta C_{t}}}, \quad \text { where } \quad \Delta C_{t} \equiv C_{t}(\text { calibrator })-C_{t}(\text { sample }),
$$

$E$ is the calculated efficiency of each gene target or reference, and $\Delta C_{t}$ the difference of the mean cycle threshold $C_{t}$ of the calibrator sample and the experimental sample (Pfaffl 2001).

Standard error associated to the relative copy number was calculated as:

$$
S D=\sqrt{S D_{T C}^{2}+S D_{T S}^{2}+S D_{R C}^{2}+S D_{R S}^{2}},
$$

where subscripts $T$ and $R$ mean target and reference genes, respectively, and subscripts $C$ and $S$ mean calibrator and experimental samples, respectively.

### 3.20.5 Determination of the normalization factor by geNORM

The geNorm VBA applet for Microsoft Excel (http://medgen.ugent.be/~jvdesomp/genorm/ determines the most stable housekeeping genes from a set of tested genes in a given cDNA sample panel. This application calculates a gene expression normalization factor for each tissue sample based on the geometric mean of a user-defined number of housekeeping genes. geNorm calculates the gene expression stability measure $M$ for a control gene as the average pairwise variation $V$ for that gene with all other tested control genes. Stepwise exclusion of the gene with the highest $M$ value allows ranking of the tested genes according to their expression stability. The underlying principles and calculations are described in Vandesompele et al. 2002. The geometric mean of the n housekeepers (HGK) is calculated as a reliable normalization factor $\left(N F_{n}\right)$ :

$$
N F_{n}=\sqrt[n]{H G K_{1} \times H G K_{2} \times \ldots \times . H G K_{n}}
$$

## 4 Results

### 4.1 Reproducibility assays of the microarray platform

Initial microarray performance tests with the human breast cancer cell line T47D-MTVL in response to hormones were performed. Reference sample was the commercially available Universal Human Reference RNA UHRR (Stratagene ${ }^{\circledR}$, a pool of 10 types of tissue cancer cell lines) for broad gene coverage. Methods of linear mRNA amplification and aRNA labeling have been previously validated (Van Gelder et al. 1990, Eberwine et al. 1992) from starting RNA material of $3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$.

A random amplification method has been adapted and validated using a composite random primer $\mathrm{T} 3-\mathrm{N}_{9}$ (Xiang et al. 2003), to detect differentially expressed transcripts in partially degraded RNA samples. This method was successfully applied to bladder tumor biopsies in a collaborative study with the Puigvert Foundation (Mengual et al. 2006). We found that transcripts were not as 3 '-end biased as with the primer T7-dT, able to call more genes but, as disadvantages, there was a large amount of additional ribosomal RNA as part of the yield and amplified transcripts were smaller, as we verified using the Agilent Bioanalyzer mRNA assay, with a subsequent loss in sensitivity. Since breast tumor biopsies are often poorly preserved, we found that this method could be a good alternative to the standard T7-dT protocol.

Correlation coefficients from different mRNA amplification methods hybridizing the same sample were determined and gave good reproducibility with a linear correlation coefficient up to 0.96 when the same amplification method was compared (Figure 6).

Hybridization assay tests were also performed with different conditions, giving a low intra-chip variation coefficient between replicate spots (specificity) and a good hybridization signal when freshly spotted arrays were used. We learned how high ambient humidity and aging affect the quality of the hybridization signal and increase dramatically the background Cy3 intensity level of the substrate.
"Spike-in" controls had a good behavior, whenever samples similar in RNA integrity were co-hybridized. Calibration controls (with ratio $T=R / G=1$ ) need to be adjusted within the concentration range of the experimental sample, since they are often found to be in the saturation region and might compete during the amplification reaction with the experimental sample owing to the fact that they can be more abundant than the sample mRNA population. Artificial "fold-ratio" controls had a good behavior too.


Figure 6: Correlation between two amplifications, labeling and hybridization of the same sample performed on different days giving a linear correlation coefficient of 0.96 taking only spots which were 2 times above background level threshold as reliable.

We have been also testing different methods for very low amount of total RNA increasing the number of rounds of mRNA amplification in order to apply them to laser microdissected cells (Baugh et al. 2001, Kenzelmann et al. 2004) up to one ng total RNA (in collaboration with F.X. Real, IMIM, Barcelona). After testing different protocols, and estimating linear correlation coefficients with standard conditions, we found high correlation with those methods that are able to extend and preserve the length of the transcripts.

### 4.2 Comparison with previously published cell line data

Firstly the microarray platform was validated with a short time course experiment, with only four time points, using a ER and PR expressing human breast cancer cell line T47D-MTVL. We hybridized every cell culture sample treated either with progestin R5020 or vehicle ethanol after 30 min , and 1, 2, and 6 hr , using as common reference UHRR (in collaboration with M.J. Melià, CRG, Barcelona).

After normalization of the individual arrays, median scaling in their array intensities, and data processing as explained in material and methods (chapter 4.11), we selected the genes that were differentially expressed only due to the hormonal treatment by referring to the expression of the time-paired ethanol (hormone vehicle) treated. This was achieved by subtracting the logarithms of
the ratio from each pair of arrays corresponding to vehicle and hormone treated samples from each experimental replicates as follows

$$
\log _{2} \frac{R 5020}{E t O H}=\log _{2} \frac{R 5020}{U H R R}-\log _{2} \frac{E t O H}{U H R R} .
$$

We found 147 genes that were expressed with a minimum of 1.4 fold-change in at least one of the time points, relative to the ethanol-only control treatment (Appendix A6: Short time course experiment), including representative GO annotations (Ashburner et al. 2000). Since there was no biological replicate there is not an alternative statistical parameter for thresholding.

It has been reported that progestins stimulate growth, have no effect, or even inhibit growth depending on experimental conditions or the status of the cellular hormone receptors (Sutherland et al. 1998, Groshong et al. 1997, Jeng et al. 1992, Lin et al. 1999). This disagreement reflects the insufficient understanding of progesterone biology and has hindered the effective application of progestins or antiprogestins in breast cancer treatment.

In mammary epithelial cells, ovarian hormones induce the recruitment of quiescent cells (cell cycle phase $\mathrm{G}_{0}$ ) to enter the cell cycle, undergo progression $\mathrm{G}_{1}$, and go through $\mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{S}$ transition. This is achieved in part through the direct transcriptional control of genes encoding key cell cycle regulators such as CCND1 (Cyclin D1). An immediate cell cycle arrest early in $\mathrm{G}_{1}$, and growth inhibition, both mediated by PR have been observed after completing one round of replication (Musgrove et al. 1991, Skildum et al. 2005). These findings were corroborated in our experimental results as the most represented canonical KEGG pathways (http://david.niaid.nih.gov/david/ ) as shown in Figure 7, obtained by functional analysis using Ingenuity. Similar results were obtained using EASE. The figure shows a maximum number of expressed genes involved in ER signaling at 6 hr after hormone induction, a progressive increase in the ERK/MAPK signaling, $\mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{S}$ reach its maximum at 30 min and $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ reached its maximum at 6 hr .


Figure 7: Representation of the most representative canonical KEGG pathways calculated from the regulated genes belonging to each pathway. Red bar denotes significance threshold ( $p$ value smaller than 0.05 ). Significance is calculated from the number of genes present belonging to each pathway in each time point (figure from Ingenuity® pathway analysis software program).

The small subpopulation of cells that might have escaped cell cycle arrest which respond differently to hormones can dilute the measured response to hormone treatment. This occurs even though our population of cells was serum deprived 24 hr before treatment, and most cells were presumable quiescent.

We confirmed previous observations from other groups that progestins act on genes that regulate cell cycle progression, such as a high increase in EGF (epidermal growth factor) and TGF $\alpha$ (transforming growth factor $\alpha$ ) after 6 hr rather than an early response (Musgrove et al. 1991). Also MYC (c-myc) was also previously found to be induced by progestins, suggesting that this transcription factor might participate in growth modulation (Musgrove et al. 1991). MYC is already activated after 30 min .

In order to visualize these events, using TMEV we applied a K-means unsupervised hierarchical grouping (Soukas et al. 2000) using Pearson correlation as the distance metric with complete linkage, grouping the 147 genes in 5 groups with similar patterns of expression throughout the set of experimental conditions (Figure 8). Since co-regulated genes are usually coexpressed, those co-regulated genes that follow a similar pattern of gene expression might be regulated by the same transcription factors, through the same binding sites.


Figure 8: K-Means unsupervised grouping using Pearson correlation as the distance metric and complete linkage of the 147 regulated genes during the time course hormonal treatment with progestin R5020 of the T47D cell line in 5 groups which follow similar patterns of gene expression. The $x$-axis is time (hr) after R5020 treatment, and the $y$-axis is the $\log _{2}$ Ratio.

Taking a closer look at cluster 5 (Figure 9), the one that shows an expression profile of a higher peak at 2 hr , and downregulation at 6 hr , we observe some of the nuclear receptors PR-B (Progesterone receptor B) and AR (Androgen Receptor) co-regulated with differentiation markers such as cytokeratins KRT5, KRT16 and KRT17. The MAP kinase pathway, by GO functional analysis, appear to be activated earlier on by $30 \mathrm{~min}-1 \mathrm{hr}$, and we see MAP3K1 (Mitogen activated protein 3 kinase 1) and MYC (c-myc) following this expression profile.


Figure 9: Cluster 5. K-Means unsupervised grouping using Pearson correlation as the distance metric with complete linkage, which shows early activation of MYC and MAP3K1. Scale-1.0 to +1.0 are $\log _{2}$ Ratio values.

Clusters 1 and 2 (Panel 10) assemble all the genes whose expression increases with time of hormone treatment. The genes most highly expressed at 6 hr are, mainly

- TGF $\alpha$ (transforming growth factor alpha)
- DUSP1 (dual specificity phosphatase 1)
- RPS6KA5 (ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90kDa, polypeptide 1, MSK1)
- ELL2 (elongation factor, RNA polymerase II, 2)
- HMGB3 (high-mobility group box 3 )
- JUN (V-jun sarcoma virus 17 oncogene homolog, avian)
- EGF (epidermal growth factor)
- GRB2 (Growth factor receptor-bound protein 2)
- IL6ST (Interleukin 6 signal transducer)
- CCND1 (Cyclin D1).

Transcription factors that are expressed by 30 min ., from our microarray results are

- TFDP1 (transcription factor dp-1)
- E2F3 (E2F transcription factor 3)
- SP1 (sp1 transcription factor)
- JUN (V-jun sarcoma virus 17 oncogene homolog)
- PR-B (progesterone receptor B)
- AR (Androgen receptor)
- ELL2 (elongation factor, RNA polymerase II, 2)
- SAP30 (sin3-associated polypeptide, 30kDa)
- GTF2H2 (general transcription factor IIH)
- PC4 (activated RNA polymerase II transcription cofactor 4).


## Clusters 3 and 4 are shown in Panel 11.

Some of the downregulated genes after 6 hr of hormonal induction were

- ER- $\beta$ Estrogen receptor $\beta$
- MYB (V-myb myeloblastosis viral oncogene homologue)
- SRC-2 (NCOR2 or Nuclear receptor coactivator 2)
- SRC-3 (NCOR3 or Nuclear receptor coactivator 3)
and previously reported co-regulators or direct downstream targets of ER such as
- FN1 (Fibronectin1)
- FGFR2 (Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2)
- IGFBP1 (Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1)
- IGFBP3 (Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3)
- GAS8 (Growth-arrest specific 8)
- TFF1 (PS2 or Trefoil factor 1)
- FOS (c-fos)
- SP1 (Sp1 transcription factor)
- PLAUR (Plasminogen activator, urokinase receptor)
- GATA3 (GATA binding protein 3)
- ZNF350 (Zinc finger protein 350)

The above list shows that the expression profile may be, in part, mediated by endogenous estrogen receptor.. Also, early response genes upregulated at 30 min , after the cell cycle progressed from $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ to S , are rapidly downregulated such as

- CCNE1 (Cyclin E1)
- H1F0 (H1 histone family, member 0)
- LMNB (Lamin B1)
- CKS2 (CDC28 protein kinase regulatory subunit 2).


Panel 10: Cluster 1 (upper figure) and 2 (lower figure). K-Means grouping using Pearson correlation as the distance metric with complete linkage. Scale-1.0 to +1.0 are $\log _{2}$ Ratio values.


Panel 11: Cluster 3 (upper figure) and 4 (lower figure). K-Means grouping using Pearson correlation as the distance metric and complete linkage. Scale-1.0 to +1.0 are $\log _{2}$ Ratio values.

### 4.3 Comparison of the microarray cDNA platform

Independent hybridizations show high reproducibility with correlation coefficient up to 0,983 from the sample and different aRNA amplification and labeling. Some of the genes identified as being differentially expressed in our research, have been previously mentioned in earlier hormone response studies using gene expression microarrays. We confirmed the genomic and non-genomic representative pathways, in which progesterone may act, and the crosstalk with the ER ligand-activated pathway initiating the MAP kinase signaling cascade.

In order to validate the results obtained with the breast cancer cell line T47DMTVL treated with R5020, we compared these results with those from Cunliffe et al. 2003. They used another cDNA platform of 13824 sequence-verified cDNA clones (corresponding to 10535 genes) from the National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI), applied different growth and differentiation regulators on three different breast cancer cell lines with different estrogen receptor status (T47D, MCF7 and MDA-MB-436), and compared the patterns of gene regulation to previously published tumor expression profiles.

We focused on the results obtained from the cell line T47D and treated with progestin R5020, in a time course of 2,8 and 24 hr . We note the difference in the dose of the drug, which was 1000 fold higher $\left(10^{-6} \mathrm{M}\right)$ than the $10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$ used in our test experiments, as well as the design of the microarray experiments, since they directly compared on the same array the effects of the drug against the mock treated, instead of using, as we did, a common external reference and performing indirect comparisons

After quality filtering of the data they obtained 1023 genes that responded with a fold-change larger than 1.5 fold in at least 2 or more of the 42 conditions. Among these genes, we have an overlap of 108 sequences ( 107 genes). We note that from these 107 genes, 36 genes did not respond to progestin in the T47D cell line with fold change above the threshold of 1.5, leaving only 72 genes (Appendix A7: BCA overlap with Cunliffe et al.). From these 72 genes, there is an overlap of 35 between our regulated genes with fold change larger than 1.4. To better visualize the genes that are regulated in the same direction, we performed an unsupervised hierarchical clustering in search for similarities of the combined data using the Euclidean distance as distance metric (Figure 12).

We observe that of the 35 genes mentioned above, there are 21 genes with a high degree of overlap after 2,6 or 8 hr , marked in figure 10 with a green bar.

Among the upregulated genes are

- ELL2 (Elongation factor, RNA polymerase II, 2)
- BCAR1 (breast cancer anti-estrogen resistance 1)
- CCND1 (Cyclin D1)
- TP53BP2 (Tumor protein p53 binding protein, 2)
- HMGB3 (High-mobility group box 3 )
- RPS6KA5 (MSK1; Ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90kDa, polypeptide 5)
- RPS6KA1 (RSK1; Ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90kDa, polypeptide 1)
- RFC3 (Replication factor C (activator 1) 3, 38kDa)
- MYC (V-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog, avian)
- MAP3K3 (Mitogen-activated protein 3 kinase 3), and
- THBS1 (Thrombospondin 1).

Among the downregulated genes

- IFIT2 (|Interferon-induced protein)
- CACMKIIN $\alpha$ (Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II)
- ERBB2 (V-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2)
- ERBB3 (V-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 3)
- IGFBP5 (Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5)
- FN1 (Fibronectin 1)
- HIST1H2AC (Histone 1, H2ac)
- MYB (V-myb myeloblastosis viral oncogene homolog)
- ESR1 (Estrogen receptor), and
- GATA3 (GATA binding protein 3).

We conclude that our results have good concordance with the results obtained in Cunliffe et al. (2003), although they obtained a greater response probably because they used 1000 fold higher progestin concentrations.


BTG1/B-cell translocation gene 1, anti-proliferative
PIK3R1/Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, regulatory subunit 1 (p85 alpha)
PLAU/plasminogen activator, urokinase
KRT18/Keratin 18
MAP2K3/Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 3
RBBP8/Retinoblastoma binding protein 8
ABCC5/ATP-binding cassette, sub-family C (CFTR/MRP), member 5
BTG2/BTG family, member 2
AHR/Aryl hydrocarbon receptor
ARAFI/A-Raf proto-oncogene serine/threonine-protein kinase
MELK/Maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase
NRIP1/Nuclear receptor interacting protein 1
AKT3/V-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog 3 (protein kinase $B$, gamma)
SHARP/Spen homolog, transcriptional regulator (Drosophila)
MMP9/Matrix metalloproteinase 9 (gelatinase B, 92kDa gelatinase, 92 kDa type IV collagenase) HMGA1/High mobility group AT-hook 1
ITGA6/Integrin, alpha 6
GADD45B/Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, beta
CKB/CKB/Creatine kinase, brain
AURKB/Aurora kinase B
MUC1/Mucin 1, transmembrane
GM2A/GM2 ganglioside activator
PHB/Prohibitin
RFC3/Replication factor C (activator 1) $3,38 \mathrm{kDa}$
MAP3K3/Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 3
RPS6KAl/Ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90kDa, polypeptide 1
IGF1R/insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor
TFF3/Trefoil factor 3 (intestinal)
IYC/ -myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (avian)
THBS1/Thrombospondin 1
BIRC5/Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 5 (survivin)
ANKT/Nucleolar and spindle associated protein 1
CCNB2/Cyclin B2
CDC20/CDC20 cell division cycle 20 homolog ( S . cerevisiae)
CKS2/CKS2/CDC28 protein kinase regulatory subunit 2
CDC2/Cell division cycle 2, G1 to $S$ and $G 2$ to $M$
ECT2/Epithelial cell transforming secuence 2 oncogene
CDC25BMClin A2
CDTV6/Serine/thrision cycle 25B
TOP2A/Topoisomerase (DNA) II alpha 170 kDa
MCM5/MCM5 minichromosome maintenance deficient 5, cell division cycle 46 (S. cerevisiae) QSCN6/Quiescin 06
SCUBE 2 /Signal peptide, CUB domain, EGF-like 2
NCOA3/Nuclear receptor coactivator 3
CHESI/CHESI/Checkpoint suppressor 1
PLAUR/Plasminogen activator, urokinase receptor
HDAC1/Histone deacetylase 1
TOPBP1/Topoisomerase (DNA) II binding protein 1
RPS6KA5/Ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90kDa, polypeptide 5
ELL2/Elongation factor, RNA polymerase II, 2
BCAR1/breast cancer anti-estrogen resistance 1
CCND1/Cyclin D1 (PRAD1: parathyroid adenomatosis 1)
HMGB3/High-mobility group box 3
TP53BP2/Tumor protein p53 binding protein, 2
IFIT2/Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 2
MXI/Myxovirus (influenza virus) resistance 1 , interferon-inducible protein p78 (mouse)
BBC3/BCL2 binding component 3
GATA3/GATA binding protein 3
FN1/Fibronectin 1
ERBB3/V-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 3 (avian)
HIST1H2AC/Histone 1. H2ac
ERBB2/V-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2 , neuro/glioblastoma derived IGFBP5/Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5
CACMKIINalpha/Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II
ESR1/Estrogen receptor 1
MYB/V-myb myeloblastosis viral oncogene homolog (avian)
UNG2/Uracil-DNA glycosylase 2
ZNF147/Tripartite motif-containing 25
Figure 12: Comparison of the cDNA microarray data from our experiments and the published results by Cunliffe et al. 2003. To better visualize the results we applied a hierarchical clustering using the Euclidean distance as the similarity parameter to compare the genes that are regulated in the same orientation. Marked with a green bar are the 21 genes with a high degree of overlap after 2,6 or 8 hr of treatment.

### 4.4 Application to an extended time course experiment

We performed an extended time course experiment with hormone treated breast cancer cell line samples, with either progestin R5020 or estradiol, using as a model the endogenously $E R^{+} \mathrm{PR}^{+}$breast cancer cell line T47D-MTVL for an extended time in cell culture of up to two days of treatment. This work was done in collaboration with C. Ballaré (CRG, Barcelona).

The purpose of this experiment was to investigate the dynamic behavior of our population of cells in response to added hormones.

We collected the gene expression data using our cDNA microarray platform latest version v4.0 at times $0,30 \mathrm{~min}$, and 1, 2, 6, 12, 24 and 48 hr . We incorporated in this study two biological replicate experiments, that is, a replicate experiment was performed one week after the first experiment. By doing this we assured some statistical inference could we applied. The reference sample chosen was the universal human RNA reference (UHRR). We decided that our data had a longitudinal structure which means that samples of the same cell batch have a natural dependence between time points since they originate from the same sample.

Time course experiments allow us to study the dynamic behavior of the genes in response to hormone and to follow metabolic pathways. For this purpose, recent algorithms for analysis of microarray time-course experiments such as the software program called EDGE (Storey et al. 2005, http://faculty.washington.edu/jstorey/edge/ were used (see chapter 3.14 on methods for time course experiments).

The $q$-value is the estimate of FDR that we used to call a gene significant. These estimates are obtained by resampling of the data by bootstrapping (Efron et al. 1993). The resampling scheme takes into account dependence between time points since our study is structured as a longitudinal sampling. Our $q$-value cut-off is 0.01 , a fixed FDR of $1 \%$, which means that one percent of the genes assumed to be differentially expressed in a time series are false positives.

### 4.4.1 Temporal differential gene expression due to progestin R5020 hormone treatment

First of all, we carried out a "within-class" temporal differential expression analysis of the progestin treated cell line culture T47D. After referring every time point of the gene expression data to their corresponding $T_{0}$, of each biological replicate or cell batch, EDGE found 173 genes ( $p$-value $\leq 0.01$ ) or 226 genes ( $q$-value $\leq 0.01$ ). To decide which "cut-off" value would have more sense, we looked at the plot of the function of the $q$-value cut-off ( $x$-axis) versus the number of significant tests ( $y$-axis), and the plot of the function of the number of
significant genes ( $x$-axis) versus the number of false positives ( $y$-axis) as in Storey and Tibshirani (2003). These plots are represented in Figure 13. There is change in the slope of the function of the $q$-value cut-off versus the number of significant tests at $q$-value $\approx 0.01$ (Figure 13A) while the number of expected false positives increase after the selection of 226 significant genes (Figure 13B).


Figure 13: (A) The $q$-value cut-off ( $x$-axis) versus the number of significant tests ( $y$-axis), and (B) the number of significant genes ( $x$-axis) versus the number of false positives ( $y$-axis).

In addition, we looked at the significant gene list and the fold-change of both replicates to check if this decision makes sense and we concluded that the $q$ value cut-off of about 0.01 was the best option. Subsequently, from the obtained gene list, we averaged the $M$ values of the two biological replicates at every time point and imported the significant gene list averaged data into TMEV for visualization and clustering analysis.

A clustering analysis compatible with the now reduced data set of time series was carried out using a supervised clustering by K-Means. The Euclidean distance was used as the similarity metric for grouping genes with a similar trend and magnitude in gene expression. This was done in order to generate a smaller gene list and to look for co-regulated genes and to perform functional analysis by looking at their overrepresented GO terms, and later on import them into pathway databases. In this case, where the data are already normalized and the data set is reduced, the Euclidean distance as the distance metric, gives results very similar to the ones given by the Pearson correlation. The Euclidean distance is the default method for this type of clustering. We decided, by inspection of the average cluster size and the gene expression vector components, that the best fitting number of groups was nine. A representation of the groups of the expression vector clusters is shown in Figure 14.


Time after R5020 treatment

Figure 14: Time series of $M$ ( $y$-axis) as a function of time after R 5020 treatment ( $x$-axis) for the nine groups obtained by K-Means clustering.

The resulting K-Means clusters grouping using the Euclidean distance as the distance metric and complete linkage of the EDGE 226 "within-temporal" significant genes ( $q<0.01$ ) in 9 groups which follow similar patterns of gene expression are shown in, Panel 15, Panel 16 and Panel 17. Every resulting gene list from every cluster is also stored for future examination during the analysis of breast tumor samples, with the aim to identify similar patterns of gene expression in comparison with the breast cancer cell line hormonal response.


## Cluster 1



## Cluster 4



## Cluster 5

Panel 15: Resulting significant K-Means cluster (clusters number 1 to 5) grouping using the Euclidean distance as the distance metric and complete linkage of the EDGE 226 R5020 responsive significant genes ( $q<0.01$ ) in 9 groups which follow similar patterns of gene expression.


Cluster 6
Cluster 7

Panel 16: As in Panel 13 but for clusters 6 and 7.


Cluster 8
Cluster 9

Panel 17: As in Panel 13 but for clusters 8 and 9.

A functional analysis using EASE-DAVID (NIH, http://david.niaid.nih.gov/ david/ease.htm) was performed with every resulting cluster gene list to find overrepresented ontology categories among GO molecular function, GO biological process, and pathway databases such as the KEGG and the GenMAPP. The Fisher's exact probability taken as threshold was a $p$-value equal or less than 0.05. Top Ontology categories obtained are summarized in
Table 2. We also obtain a Benjamini and Hochberg corrected p-value for multiple testing procedures (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995).

It was used as a background, the list of 820 genes of the collection of the Breast Cancer Array v4.0, therefore, since this list is a small set of genes, after multiple testing correction by Benjamini and Hochberg $p$-values are larger. Therefore functional analysis can be only considered it as an exploratory instrument unless $\mathrm{BH} p$-values are less than 0.05 .

Table 2: Overrepresented GO categories and GenMAPP pathways obtained with a threshold of 0.05 of the probability value of Fisher's exact test applied by the EASE program. Genes belonging to the cluster causing this overrepresentation are listed. Symbols mf and bf stand for molecular function and biological process, respectively.

| Kmeans Cluster | Enrichment categories | Functional annotations categories | Genes | Fisher's exact test $p$-values | BH p.value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | GO mf | receptor activity | IGFBP4, IGFBP5, AR, ERBB2, ITGA2 | 4.5E-3 | 0.2 |
|  | GO mf | protein binding | IGFBP4, IGFBP5, AR, NCOR2, ITGA2, ID4 | 1.1E-2 | 0.2 |
|  | GO mf | insulin-like growth factor binding | IGFBP4, IGFBP5 | 1.3E-2 | 0.2 |
|  | GO bp | regulation of cell growth | IGFBP4, IGFBP5 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 0.2 |
|  | GO mf | signal transducer activity | IGFBP4, IGFP5, AR, ERBB2, ITGA2, GRB14 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 0.2 |
|  | GO mf | transcription corepressor activity | ID4, NCOR2, HDAC9 | 4.0E-2 | 0.2 |
| 2 |  | no significant |  |  |  |
| 3 | GO mf | epidermal growth factor receptor binding | EGF, TGFA | 4.8E-5 | 1.8E-03 |
|  | GO mf | cytokine activity | EGF, TGFA | 4.2E-2 | 8.9E-02 |
|  | GO bp | growth factor activity | EGF, TGFA | 5.5E-3 | 8.9E-02 |
|  | GO bp | protein kinase cascade | EGF, STAT5A | 1.2E-2 | 1.1E-01 |


|  | GO mf | receptor binding | EGF. TGFA | 1.8E-2 | 1.2E-01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | GO bp | cytokinesis | CDC45L, MCM5, CDC25A, CDC6, CDK8, CCNE2 | 8.1E-4 | 1.7E-01 |
|  | GO bp | mitotic cell cycle | RBBP4, SAP30, CDC45L, MCM5, CDC25A, EXO1, E2F1, CDC6, CCNE2 | 1.1E-3 | 1.7E-01 |
|  | GO bp | DNA replication checkpoint | CDC45L, CDC6 | 5.3E-3 | 2.6E-01 |
|  | GO bp | DNA replication initiation | CDC45L, MCM5 <br> CDC45L, MCM5, EXO1, CDC6 | 5.3E-3 | 2.6E-01 |
|  | GO bp | DNA dependent DNA replication |  | 5.7E-3 | 2.6E-01 |
|  | GO bp | S phase of mitotic cell cycle | RBBP4, CDC45L, MCM5, EXO1, CDC6 | 7.0E-3 | 2.6E-01 |
|  | KEGG pathway | integrin mediated cell adhesion | GRB2, HRASLS, SOS1, MAPK7 | 8.6E-3 | 2.6E-01 |
| 5 | GO bp | protein kinase cascade | GADD45B, STAT5B, RPS6KA5, PIK3CB, MAP3K3 | 2.2E-3 | 2.5E-01 |
|  | GO bp | MAPKKK cascade | GADD45B, PIK3CB, MAP3K3 | 7.0E-3 | 2.5E-01 |
|  | GO bp | cell communication | ADRBK1, LAMA3, GADD45B, STAT5B, EGFR, RPS6KA5, AKAP13, VEGF, GAS6, PIK3CB, MAP2K1, MAP3K3 | 7.5E-3 | 2.5E-01 |
|  | GO bp | signal transduction | ADRBK1, GADD45B, <br> STAT5B, EGFR, RPS6KA5, <br> AKAP13, VEGF, GAS6, <br> PIK3CB, MAP2K1, MAP3K3 | 1.0E-4 | 2.5E-01 |
|  | GO bp | negative regulation of cell proliferation | PCAF, QSCN6, ING1, CDKN1B | 1.0E-2 | 2.5E-01 |
|  | GO bp | intracellular signaling cascade | GADD45B, STAT5B, RPS6KA5, AKAP13, PIK3CB, MAP3K3 | 1.1E-2 | 2.5E-01 |
|  | GO bp | regulation of cell proliferation | PCAF, VEGF, QSCN6, ING1, CDKN1B | !.2E-2 | 2.5E-01 |
|  | GO mf | kinase activity | ADRBK1, EGFR, RPS6KA5, AKAP13, PIK3CB, MAP2K1, DCK, MAPЗK3 | 1.6E-2 | 2.5E-01 |
|  | GenMAPP pathway | Hs MAPK cascade | MAP2K1, MAP3K3 | 5.6E-2 | 2.5E-01 |
| 6 | GO mf | RNA binding | RPL7, DDX5, PUM1, FUS, SNURF, BCAS2 | 5.1E-5 | 1.3E-02 |



| 9 | GO mf | small GTPase <br> regulatory / interacting <br> protein activity | MAP4K1, MAP4K3, RASA1 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GO mf | transcriptional <br> repressor activity | MBD1, PPP1R15A | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |  |
| GO mf | transcription regulator <br> activity | BTG1, ATRX, MBD1, <br> NCOA4, PPP1R15A, CALR, <br> AHR, EGR1 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |  |
| transcription factor <br> activity | BTG1, ATRX, MBD1, AHR, <br> EGR1 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |  |  |

Every gene lists from the obtained K-Means cluster analysis was added to the customized gene list collection c5 for GSEA posterior analysis.

### 4.4.2 Temporal differential gene expression due to estradiol hormone treatments

Secondly, we carried out a "within-class" temporal differential expression analysis of the estradiol treated cell line culture T47D. After referring every time point of the gene expression data to their corresponding $T_{0}$, of each biological replicate or cell batch, we found (using EDGE) 127 genes ( $p$-value $\leq 0.01$ ) or 82 genes ( $q$-value $\leq 0.01$ ). In this case $p$-values behave better, and we obtained a larger number of significant genes because of a greater variability between the two estradiol cell treated replicates. The $q$-value as a function of the $p$-value is shown in Figure 18. There is a better behavior of the $p$-values with respect to the $q$-values, as we can note from the range and magnitude of the scale, the slope of the function of the $p$-value to the $q$-value of the "within-class" estradiol temporal differential gene expression.

Afterwards, M values were averaged from the obtained gene list of 127 genes of every experimental time of both biological replicates and imported into TMEV for visualization and clustering analysis. We performed, as with the R5020 time course experiment, a K-Means clustering analysis. We decided that, in this case, the best number of groups was 8 by looking at the average cluster size and the trend of the gene expression vector components. A representation of the obtained expression vector clusters is shown in Figure 19.


Figure 18: The $q$-value ( $y$-axis) as a function of the $p$-value ( $x$-axis). The red lines mark the location corresponding to $p$-value $=0.01$.


Figure 19: Time series of $M$ ( $y$-axis) as a function of the time after the Estradiol treatment ( $x$ axis) for the eight groups obtained by K-Means clustering.

Smaller gene lists from each subcluster were generated, and functional analysis was performed to search their overrepresented GO term. We brought those 8 gene lists into EA (Enrichment Analysis) which uses GOstat (Falcon and Gentleman 2007), a Bioconductor package written in R, that allows to test GO terms for over or under-representation. We mainly looked into the GO
categories of biological process and molecular function. EA calculates the probability that any GO term would be overrepresented by the conditional hypergeometric test. We also obtain a Benjamini and Hochberg corrected pvalue for multiple testing procedures (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995). The top GO terms are listed in Table 3. These values were obtained with a threshold value of 0.01 of the probability value of the conditional hypergeometric test Genes belonging to the cluster associated to the GO term are listed.

Table 3: Overrepresented GO terms from the GO biological process category.

| K- <br> Means Cluster | GO.Term | $\underset{(\mathrm{p}<0.01)}{\text { raw_p.value }}$ | BH.p.value | Associated genes represented |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | chromosome condensation <br> induction of apoptosis by intracellular signals <br> regulation of enzyme activity <br> regulation of cyclin dependent protein kinase activity | 6.2E-5 <br> $2.2 \mathrm{E}-3$ <br> $2.2 \mathrm{E}-3$ <br> $3.3 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.13 <br> 0.14 <br> 0.14 <br> 0.14 | TOP2A, NUSAP1 <br> CHEK2, CDKN1A, BBC3 <br> CDKN3, CKS1B, MAP4K1, CDKN1A, BBC3, CCNA2 <br> CDKN3, CKS1B, CDKN1A, CCNA2 |
| 2 | DNA replication <br> DNA metabolism <br> DNA-dependent DNA replication <br> nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide and nucleic acids <br> G1 phase of mitotic cell cycle | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 1.0 \mathrm{E}-06 \\ 7.0 \mathrm{E}-06 \\ 2.1 \mathrm{E}-05 \\ 9.4 \mathrm{E}-6 \end{gathered}$ | 8.0E-06 <br> $9.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ <br> 3.2E-5 <br> $1.0 \mathrm{E}-2$ <br> 0.03 | RFC5, RFC4, CCNE2, RBBP4, DTL, CDC6, RFC1, EXO1, CDK2 <br> RFC5, RFC4, CCNE2, HAT1, TOPBP1, RBBP4, HLTF, DTL, CDC6, RFC1, EXO1, CDK2 <br> RFC4, CCNE2, CDC6, RFC1, EXO1, CDK2 <br> RFC5, RFC4, E2F1, CCNE2, HAT1, TOPBP1, RBBP4, HLTF, DTL, CDC6, RFC1, EXO1, CDK2 <br> E2F1, CDK2, CDC6 |
| 3 | mitosis <br> M phase of mitotic cell cycle | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \mathrm{E}-05 \\ & 2.1 \mathrm{E}-05 \end{aligned}$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-3$ <br> $1.1 \mathrm{E}-3$ | BIRC5, CCNB1, CCNG1, CCNB2, AURKA <br> BIRC5, CCNB1, CCNG1, CCNB2, AURKA |


|  | M phase <br> mitotic cell cycle <br> G2/M transition of mitotic cell cycle <br> cell division | 1.5E-6 <br> 2.2E-5 <br> 1.1E-3 <br> 3.3E-3 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.01 \\ & 0.01 \\ & 0.03 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | BIRC5, CCNB1, CCNG1, CCNB2, AURKA <br> BIRC5, CCNB1, CCNG1, CCNB2, AURKA <br> BIRC5, CCNB1 <br> BIRC5, CCNB1, CCNG1, CCNB2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | no significant |  |  |  |
| 5 | B cell differentiation nucleosome spacing phospholipid metabolism | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \mathrm{E}-3 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \\ & 0.24 \\ & 0.24 \end{aligned}$ | HDAC7A, HDAC9, PIK3R1 <br> HIST1H1A, HIST1H1E <br> AYTL1, PIK3R1 |
| 6 | cellular protein metabolism <br> negative regulation of cell adhesion <br> posttranslational protein folding | $\begin{aligned} & 0.01 \\ & 0.01 \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \\ & 0.24 \\ & 0.24 \end{aligned}$ | UBR2, MAP3K2, RASA1, CDC2L5, SEP15, MAP4K3, PARP3 <br> RASA1 <br> SEP15 |
| 7 | regulation of fibroblast growth factor receptor negative regulation of smoothened signaling pathway fibroblast growth factor receptor signaling pathway | $\begin{aligned} & 0.01 \\ & 0.01 \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0.34 \\ & 0.34 \end{aligned}$ | RUNX2 <br> RUNX2 <br> RUNX2 |
| 8 | purine ribonucleotide metabolism purine ribonucleotide biosynthesis ribonucleotide biosynthesis ribonucleotide metabolism nucleotide biosynthesis | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-3$ <br> $1.1 \mathrm{E}-3$ <br> $1.1 \mathrm{E}-3$ <br> $1.1 \mathrm{E}-3$ <br> $2.2 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | NME1, GMPS <br> NME1, GMPS <br> NME1, GMPS <br> NME1, GMPS <br> NME1, GMPS |

It was used as a background, the list of 820 genes of the collection of the Breast Cancer Array v4.0, therefore, since this list is a small set of genes, after multiple testing correction by Benjamini and Hochberg $p$-values are larger. Therefore functional analysis can be only considered it as an exploratory instrument unless BH $p$-values are less than 0.05 .

We conclude from this experiment that gene expression changes ( $M$ values) with estradiol hormonal treatment are larger than in the case of progestin treatment. This is so because the absolute changes of the $\log _{2}$ Ratio values are larger throughout the time (greater $M$ values) in the case of estradiol hormonal treatment.

However, the cell cycle progression to mitosis is delayed, the transition of $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ (the first gap phase of the cell division cycle) to the $S$ phase (the DNA replication phase) occurs only after 24 hr , and the M phase of the mitosis takes place only once 48 hr after hormonal induction. It seems that activation of transcription on our model cell line T47D-MTVL by estradiol is not as effective as it is with progestin. This probably happens because cytoplasmatic signaling cascades through MAPK and PI3K kinases signaling pathway are to a large extent effective initiating transcription on cell cycle progression target genes.

Every gene lists from the obtained K-Means cluster analysis after estradiol treatment was also added to the customized gene list collection c5 for GSEA posterior analysis.

### 4.4.3 Distinctive profiles of temporal differential gene expression between progestin and estradiol hormone treatments

In order to further investigate this differential response to progestin or to estradiol in a dynamic temporal analysis, combined data from both treatments were imported into the time-dependent statistical analysis program EDGE. "Between-class" temporal differential expression was selected, being "treatment", either progestin or estradiol the class variable selected for differential analysis of gene expression. A set of 113 significant genes were obtained with $p$-value $\leq 0.01$, and 62 significant genes with $q$-value $\leq 0.01$. The $q$-value threshold was selected this time in order to obtain a more conservative set of genes. Log ${ }_{2}$ Ratio values of both experimental biological replicates were averaged, and resulting $M$ data of the 62 genes were imported into TMEV for visualization and clustering. Genes were clustered using an unsupervised hierarchical clustering algorithm, and the resulting gene tree obtained is shown in Figure 20 with the most significant GO terms which show up as the output from the statistical program EASE-DAVID for functional analysis of each gene list.

As is observed in the pattern of the gene subclusters, genes are time delayed in the estradiol treatment in comparison with the progestin treatment, as we previously noted, so that it looks like cell cycle progression is more efficiently activated by progestins. This behavior is observed in every gene subcluster. However, it seems that genes in the group marked with a light green bar are only activated by progestins between 6 and 12 hr , and not by estradiol, or have an inferior response. These genes are the transcription factor GTF2H2 (General transcription factor IIH, polypeptide $2,44 \mathrm{kDa}$ ), the membrane receptor NEO1 (Neogenin chicken homolog 1), the protein tyrosine kinases MAPK7 (Mitogen-
activated protein kinase 7), ABL1 (V-abl Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1), and RPS6KA1 (RSK1, Ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90 kDa , polypeptide 1). These are genes involved in cytoplasmatic mitogen kinase cascades that we found in our search for functional annotations.


Figure 20: Unsupervised hierarchical cluster of the genes found with distinctive temporal differential expression between progestin and estradiol treatment. The different genes were clustered using the Pearson correlation coefficient as distance metric and complete linkage as the aggregative clustering algorithm. These clusters are labeled with a different color code with the significant functional analysis output from the statistical program EASE-DAVID.

### 4.4.4 Common profiles of temporal differential gene expression among progestin and estradiol hormone treatments

In order to investigate the genes that behave similarly on the dynamic response to progestin or to estradiol, combined data from both treatments were analyzed. The data were imported into the time-dependent statistical analysis program EDGE and a "within-class" temporal differential expression was carried out. Our aim here was to find similarities among treatments while in the previous case our aim was to search for dissimilarities.

The class variable selected for differential analysis of gene expression was "treatment", either progestin or estradiol. A set of 97 significant genes was obtained with a threshold $p$-value $\leq 0.01$, or a set of 24 significant genes with $q$ value $\leq 0.01$. The $p$-value threshold was this time selected to obtain a larger gene list, since this time a smaller number of significant genes were found with the $q$-value threshold. $\log _{2}$ Ratio values of both experimental replicates were then averaged, and the resulting data matrix was imported into TMEV for visualization and clustering.

Genes were clustered using an unsupervised hierarchical clustering algorithm, and the resulting gene tree obtained is shown in Figure 19. We observed the genes that after a different hormonal treatment, progestin or estradiol, follow a similar gene expression pattern along the time. Remarkably, there is a group of transcription factors that are activated early by both treatments, with estradiol and progestins, such as FOS (V-fos FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog), ATF3 (Activating transcription factor 3), SNAI1 (Snail homolog 1, Drosophila), and MYC (V-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog, avian). This group of genes is marked with a yellow bar in Figure 21.

In the next chapter we further investigate the reason why the genes in this group behave similarly, so that it is possible that different hormone treatments might activate the same transcription factors. They may have the same mechanism of transcriptional activation, or ultimately what are their target proteins as transcription factors.


Figure 21: As in Figure 16 but for the genes found similarly expressed across time in response to both progestin and estradiol treatments.

### 4.5 Hormonal induction inhibitors

As described on chapter 2.2, addressing the different mechanisms of action of steroid hormones, progestins transactivate rapidly and transiently estrogentarget genes via a cross-talk between ER $\alpha$ and PR-B, and activate the MAP kinase Erk1/2 signaling pathway. This induction of endogenous estrogen target genes by progestins might be essential for its proliferative effects on breast cancer. It is also known that progestins repress estradiol-induced endometrial proliferation in vivo and repress estrogen receptor function in vitro (Vegeto et al. 1993, Tung et al. 1993, McDonell et al. 1994, Kraus et al. 1995).

To elucidate the contribution of the different mechanisms of action of progestins in the induction of hormone target genes, we have used PD98059 (hereafter PD) as an inhibitor of the Erk1/2 pathway, and ICI182780 (hereafter ICI) as an antagonist of ER, and investigated which hormone target genes are inhibited by PD, by ICI, or both drugs (in collaboration with C. Ballaré, CRG, Barcelona).

Genes that are induced by progestin and later on inhibited by both PD and ICI will be genes that are activated via ER $\alpha-P R-B$ crosstalk and are dependent on the Erk $1 / 2$ signaling pathway. On the other hand, genes that are induced by progestin and later only inhibited by PD and not by ICI will be genes dependent on the Erk1/2 signaling pathway but ER independent. Genes that are induced by progestins but inhibited only by ICI and not by PD are consequently independent of the Erk $1 / 2$ pathway and only ER dependent, and therefore are dependent on the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway or other still unknown mechanisms. And finally, genes that are induced by progestins but neither inhibited by PD nor by ICI will be independent of ER-PR crosstalk, independent of Erk1/2 and would be due to a purely genomic signaling pathway or other undefined mechanisms (Figure 22).

In order to investigate the effect of PD and/or ICI on the differential gene expression of hormone target proteins, we treated our model cell line T47DMTVL with either R5020 or estradiol, and simultaneously added either PD or ICI . After 6 hr of treatment with R5020, or 1 hr after estradiol, total RNA was prepared from the corresponding cell cultures. There were also untreated cell culture samples and a sample treated with PD drug vehicle. Two biological replicates of every sample were prepared for statistical inference with one week of time difference.

Every sample was labeled and hybridized on the breast cancer array platform v3.1 taking, as usual, as reference sample the UHRR, since we wanted to contrast paired samples between two different conditions. Images were quantified, arrays were normalized, and $M$ values were calculated (see chapters 3.9.2, 3.10, and 3.11). We carried out a paired sample contrast analysis between different conditions, using SAM "two-class unpaired" (see chapter 3.13).


Figure 22: Effect of inhibitors PD98059 and ICl 182780 on the ligand-mediated signaling pathways by steroid hormones.

The selected settings to discriminate significantly differentially expressed genes were (1) the FDR threshold to 0.05 , that is, a $5 \%$ of falsely discovered genes, and (2) the fold-change threshold of 1.3, that is, the relative change of the expression ratio between two unpaired classes must be at least 1.3. Less stringent than the previous 1.4 fold-change threshold since this time we have a replicate experiment.

The SAM version used runs on the R environment and is implemented in our laboratory server. The first contrast analysis use samples induced by R5020 after 6 hr versus the untreated samples and, as a result, 120 genes were obtained with a FDR less than 5\%. Appendix A8 (inhibition by PD or ICI or both of R5020 responsive genes) represents the genes that are induced by R5020 after 6 hr , either upregulated or downregulated, and the corresponding $q$-value percentage. The columns show the results after PD or ICI treatment and the corresponding $q$-value obtained in the contrast analysis. NA means "not applicable" since the $p$-value is larger than our FDR threshold.

We conclude, from the results of the experiments, that some of the genes belong to the investigated signaling pathways since they were found inhibited by PD, ICI or both..

Genes that are induced by progestin and later on inhibited by both PD and ICI are genes that are activated via ER $\alpha-P R-B$ crosstalk and hence are target genes dependent on the Erk1/2 signaling pathway. These include, for example,

- RASL10B (RAS-like. family 10. member B)
- ELL2 (Elongation factor. RNA polymerase II. 2)
- EGFR (Epidermal growth factor receptor)
- CDKN1C (Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1C, p57. Kip2)
- EGLN1 (Egl nine homolog 1, C. elegans)
- H3F3B (H3 histone. family 3B)
- PTCH2 (Patched homolog 2, Drosophila)
- ORC6L (Origin recognition complex. subunit 6 homolog-like, S. cerevisiae)
- FANCA (Fanconi anemia. complementation group A)
- POLD4 (Polymerase (DNA-directed). delta 4)
- IGFBP5 (Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5).

Genes that are induced by progestin and later only inhibited by PD but not by ICI are genes dependent on the Erk1/2 signaling pathway but independent of ER. Some examples are,

- EGF (epidermal growth factor)
- MUC2L (mucin 2 like)
- PPARGC1B (Peroxisome proliferative activated receptor $\gamma$ coactivator 1 $\beta$ )
- GRB2 (Growth factor receptor-bound protein 2)
- CCNE2 (Cyclin E2)
- PLAUR (Plasminogen activator. urokinase receptor)
- QSCN6 (Quiescin Q6)
- STAT3 (Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3)
- RAMP (Denticleless homolog, Drosophila)
- MXI1 (MAX interactor 1)
- MKLN1 (Muskelin 1. intracellular mediator containing kelch motifs)
- CDC6 (CDC6 cell division cycle 6 homolog, S. cerevisiae)
- NEO1 (Neogenin homolog 1, chicken)
- MTA1 (Metastasis associated 1
- NCOA3 (Nuclear receptor coactivator 3)
- CHEK2 (CHK2 checkpoint homolog, S. pombe)
- ARHGAP5 (Rho GTPase activating protein 5)
- NBS1 (Nibrin)
- IGFBP4 (Insulin-like growth factor binding orotein 4)
- ITGA5 (Integrin. alpha 5 (fibronectin receptor $\alpha$ polypeptide)

Genes that are induced by progestins but inhibited only by ICI and not by PD, are consequently independent of the Erk1/2 pathway and only dependent on ER, therefore dependent on the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway or other still unknown mechanisms. Examples are,

- BIRC3 (Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 3)
- TP53BP2 (Tumor protein p53 binding protein 2)
- SERPINA3 (Serine (or cysteine) proteinase inhibitor clade A member 3)
- HSPA9B (Heat shock 70kDa protein 9B, mortalin-2)
- IGFBP3 (Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3)
- HSD17B3 (Hydroxysteroid, $17 \beta$ dehydrogenase 3)
- SOS1 (Son of sevenless homolog 1, Drosophila)
- E2F3 (E2F transcription factor 3)
- NFIB (Nuclear factor I)
- LMNA (Lamin A/C)
- NOTCH3 (Notch homolog 3, Drosophila)
- GATA3 (GATA binding prorein 3)
- AR (Androgen receptor)
- UNG2 (Uracil-DNA glycosylase 2).

And finally, genes that are induced by progestins but not inhibited by neither PD nor ICl are genes that are independent of ER $\alpha-P R-B$ crosstalk, independent of Erk1/2 pathway and likely to be pure genomic signaling, PI3K/Akt or JAK/STAT signaling pathway or other still unknown mechanisms. As examples, we have,

- STAT5A (Signal transducer and activator of transcription 5A)
- TGFA (Transforming growth factor $\alpha$ )
- DUSP1 (Dual specificity phosphatase 1)
- GADD45A (Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible $\alpha$ )
- CCND1 (Cyclin D1)
- HMGB3 (High-mobility group box 3 )
- JUN (v-jun sarcoma virus 17 oncogene homolog, avian)
- IL6ST (Interleukin 6 signal transducer gp130 oncostatin M receptor)
- SAP30 (Sin3-associated polypeptide 30kDa)
- KLF5 (Kruppel-like factor 5, intestinal)
- XLHSRF-1 (Dynein axonemal. heavy polypeptide 1)
- CDC14B( CDC14 cell division cycle 14 homolog B, S. cerevisiae)
- RPS6KA5 (Ribosomal protein S6 kinase 90kDa polypeptide 5)
- AKAP13 (A kinase (PRKA) anchor protein 13)
- GADD45B (Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible $\beta$ )
- MAP3K3 (Mitogen-activated protein 3 kinase 3)
- CXCL12 (Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 12)
- SNAI1 (Snail homolog 1, Drosophila)
- VEGF (Vascular endothelial growth factor)
- RPS6KA1 (Ribosomal protein S6 kinase. 90kDa. polypeptide 1).

Secondly, a two-class unpaired contrast analysis was performed using SAM, samples induced by estradiol after 1 hr versus the untreated samples were confronted, but the FDR threshold selected could not be so stringent since, in the case of the estradiol treatment, there was a greater variation between biological replicates at the earlier time of 1 hr , and the fact that we only had two biological replicates gave us, in this case, too little statistical power. Therefore
we just selected the 96 genes induced by a fold greater than 1.3 or smaller than 1.3. (Appendix A9: Inhibition by PD or ICl or both of estradiol responsive genes).

On this table the genes that are induced by estradiol after 1 hr of hormonal treatment is represented, the upregulated or the downregulated ones. Also the corresponding $q$-value percentage is calculated by SAM. The following columns are the result after PD or ICI treatment and the corresponding $q$-value due to the two class contrast analysis. NA means "not applicable" since the FDR threshold came above 5\%.

As a conclusion from our experiment, we could note some of the genes that are induced by estradiol and are inhibited by both PD and ICl , therefore are activated via ER $\alpha-P R-B$ crosstalk and thus are dependent on the Erk1/2 signaling pathway are, for example,

- TOP3B (Topoisomerase DNA, III b)
- SNAI1 Snail homolog 1, Drosophila)
- IGFBP3 (Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3)
- GADD45A (Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible $\alpha$ )
- TIMP3 (Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 3)
- SERPINA3 (Serine or cysteine proteinase inhibitor clade A member 3)
- CDKN1C (Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1C, p57 Kip2)
- PPP1R15A (Protein phosphatase 1 regulatory inhibitor subunit 15A)
- AKAP9 (A kinase PRKA anchor protein 9)
- STAT5A (Signal transducer and activator of transcription 5A)
- SCD4 (Stearoyl-CoA desaturase 5)
- MYB (V-myb myeloblastosis viral oncogene homolog, avian)
- KRT5 (Keratin 5)
- WISP2 (WNT1 inducible signaling pathway protein 2)
- DDB1 (Damage-specific DNA binding protein 1 127kDa)
- DNMT3B (DNA cytosine-5--methyltransferase $3 \beta$ )
- E2F1 (E2F transcription factor 1)
- GATA3 (GATA binding protein 3)
- MGST1 (Microsomal glutathione S-transferase 1)
- GSTM3 (Glutathione S-transferase M3, brain)
- RPS6KA5 (Ribosomal protein S6 kinase. 90kDa. polypeptide 5)
- TGFB3 (Transforming growth factor $\beta 3$ )
- IGF1 (Insulin-like growth factor 1, somatomedin C)

Genes that are induced by estradiol and later only inhibited by PD but not by ICI would be genes dependent of the Erk1/2 signaling pathway but independent of ER. As examples we could note:

- ATF3 (Activating transcription factor 3)
- DDIT3 DNA-damage-inducible transcript 3)
- ITGA5 (Integrin. a 5)
- CDC14A (CDC14 cell division cycle 14 homolog A, S. cerevisiae)
- ZNF350 (Zinc finger protein 350)
- MAP3K5 (Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 5)
- PIK3CA (Phosphoinositide-3-kinase catalytic $\alpha$ polypeptide)
- ADRA1B (Adrenergic $\alpha$ 1B receptor)
- BRCA2 (Breast cancer 2 early onset)
- SAP18 (Sin3-associated polypeptide 18 kDa )
- RUNDC1 (RUN domain containing 1)
- IL6ST (Interleukin 6 signal transducer, gp130 oncostatin M receptor)
- GPR126 (G protein-coupled receptor 126)
- CTNNB1 (Catenin - cadherin-associated protein- $\beta 1.88 \mathrm{kDa}$ )
- NCOA2 (Nuclear receptor coactivator 2)
- FLT1 (Fms-related tyrosine kinase 1 - vascular endothelial growth factor)
- ESRRB (Estrogen-related receptor $\beta$ )
- C20orf46 (Chromosome 20 open reading frame 46)
- CaMKIINalpha (Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II inhibitor 1)
- UNG2 (Uracil-DNA glycosylase 2)
- WNT5B (Wingless-type MMTV integration site family. member 5B)
- ERBB3 (V-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 3, avian)
- MAPK13 (Mitogen-activated protein kinase 13)
- ATM (Ataxia telangiectasia mutated)
- SNRPN (Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide N)
- ADAM15 (A disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain 15 (metargidin))
- HIRA (HIR histone cell cycle regulation defective homolog A, S. cerevisiae)
- AR (Androgen receptor)
- DNMT3A (DNA, cytosine-5-methyltransferase $3 \alpha$ )

Genes that are induced by estradiol but inhibited only by ICI and not by PD, are consequently independent of the Erk1/2 pathway and only dependent of ER, therefore dependent of the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway or other still unknown mechanisms are, for example,

- FOS (V-fos FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog)
- MYC (V-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog, avian)
- TFF1 (Trefoil factor 1)
- GADD45B (Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible $\beta$ )
- IGFBP1 (Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1)
- ENPP2 (Ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase phosphodiesterase 2)
- CDC42 (Cell division cycle 42, GTP binding protein 25kDa)
- HSD17B3 (Hydroxysteroid 17- $\beta$ dehydrogenase 3)
- PTCH (Patched homolog, Drosophila)
- WT1 (Wilms tumor 1)
- HSD17B7 (Hydroxysteroid 17- $\beta$ dehydrogenase 7)

And finally, genes that are induced by estradiol but not inhibited by neither PD nor ICI are genes independent of ER $\alpha-P R-B$ crosstalk, independent of Erk1/2 pathway, and would be due to pure genomic signaling pathway or other still unknown mechanism. Some of the genes that are strongly upregulated at 1 hr after hormone induction are:

- EGR1 (Early growth response 1)
- JUN (V-jun sarcoma virus 17 oncogene homolog, avian)
- DUSP1 (Dual specificity phosphatase 1)
- ID4 (Inhibitor of DNA binding 4)
- CKS2 (CDC28 protein kinase regulatory subunit 2)

See Appendix A10 for the generated Venn diagrams of progestin and estradiol hormone induction and inhibition by PD and ICI.

Every gene lists from this analysis was also added to the customized gene list collection c5 for GSEA posterior analysis.

### 4.6 Confirmation of microarray results by Real Time qPCR

Real time quantitative PCR assays were performed to confirm the gene expression of some of the genes. This is important in order to validate the results obtained by microarray experiments. Primer design, procedures, efficiency calculation, and data analysis are described in detail in chapter 3.20.

The obtained PCR efficiencies of the assayed genes are listed in Table 4.
Table 4: Assay efficiency obtained for the evaluated genes.

| Gen symbol (alias) | RefSeq Acc. No. | Efficiency | Efficiency (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| GAPD | NM_002046 | 1.754 | 87.70 |
| ACTN | NM_001102 | 1.775 | 88.75 |
| FOS | BX647104 | 1.764 | 88.20 |
| MYC | NM_002467 | 1.654 | 82.70 |
| TFF1 (PS2) | NM_003225 | 1.733 | 86.65 |
| CCND1 | NM_053056 | 1.747 | 87.35 |
| RPS6KA1 (RSK1) | BC014966 | 1.670 | 83.50 |
| RPS6KA5 (MSK1) | AB209667 | 1.694 | 84.70 |
| MUC2L | BG675392 | 1.665 | 83.25 |
| CCNE2 | NM_057749 | 1.855 | 92.75 |

First of all, we determined which gene was the best one we could use for normalization in relative quantification analysis. It is well known that there is not a universal endogenous gene, also called housekeeping, since their levels of expression are not always constant (Barber et al. 2005). We first carried out a bibliographic search looking for reference genes used in real time assays for our tissue type (Szabo et al. 2004). The reference gene of choice should be stably expressed in breast tissue, and in our breast cancer cell line model, and most importantly, with a minimal variation between treated and untreated samples or normal and tumor tissue.

A combination of genes has been used as a normalization factor as well. This is usually done by calculating a geometric mean of a combination of 3 to 5 reference genes (Vandesompele et al. 2002). The underlying assumption is that gene pairs showing stable expression patterns relative to each other are appropriate control genes. However, this model requires extensive practical validation to identify a combination of reference genes appropriate for an individual experiment. To avoid this extensive search, we first tried a couple of reference genes, commonly used as endogenous genes such as ACTN (Actinin, $\alpha$ 1) and GAPD (Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase), and carried out real time quantitative PCR assays against our treated and untreated cell line samples. Three more target genes (FOS, MYC, and TFF1) were included for comparison. Overall behavior of ACTN and GAPD with respect to the target genes was analyzed. We used for the data analysis, the excel-based program Bestkeeper ${ }^{\circ}$, that performs a pair-wise correlation analysis between various reference and target genes, in order to find the best reference gene with a minimal variation with the assayed samples (Pfaffl et al. 2004). We concluded, after this analysis, that GAPD had the minimal pair-wise correlation to the target genes, and consequently, we used it as our reference gene.

We observed, looking at the PCR amplification plots, the perfect overlap of the amplification plots of treated and untreated samples in the case of GAPD, while this did not occur with ACTN. This is because the total RNA starting amount before reverse transcription was the same one in all samples, and all these samples were equally treated throughout the qPCR procedure. This observation was our best confirmation that GAPD was the best endogenous gene to use as reference gene in relative quantification of the cell line experiments.

The results obtained with the set of analyzed genes are shown in graphical bar representation of the relative copy number of every sample normalized to the calibrator sample $T_{0}$ (Figures 23-30). We can compare the R5020 samples before and after PD or ICI inhibitors at 6 hr after hormone induction (orange color bars in Figures 23-30). For comparison we include also the estradiol treated samples before and after 1 hr of treatment with PD or ICI treatment (yellow bars).

Microarray measurements are not as accurate as those by real time qPCR assays. Fold-change ratios are larger when analyzed by real time qPCR due to the different type of complementary DNA strand hybridization. In the case of microarray hybridization, one DNA strand, the PCR product of the cDNA, is fixed to a solid support, the slide. However, in the case of Real Time qPCR, both hybridizing complementary DNA strands are in solution. This might be the reason why real time qPCR measurements are more sensitive and reproducible than microarray measurements. Besides, there is a risk of cross-hybridization at microarray experiments since it is performed under a single hybridization temperature that might not be optimal for all probes. Real time qPCR has a much broader dynamic range than microarray techniques.


Figure 23: Relative copy number for the hormone treated time series and inhibitors by Real Time qPCR in the analysis of FOS.


Figure 24: As in Figure 23 but for gene MYC.
FOS and MYC are transcription factors that are strongly upregulated by estradiol after 1 hr of treatment, and are inhibited by the estrogen antagonist ICI. FOS is also inhibited by PD but to a minor degree as it is shown in the bar graph (Figure 23 and Figure 24). FOS is induced by R5020 treatment with a 2 fold ratio at 6 hr and later inhibited by PD and not by ICI. This was not seen by
microarray analysis since fold-change ratio is below cut-off of significance, and fold-change ratios values are compressed in microarray measurements.


Figure 25: As in Figure 23 but for the TFF1 (PS2) gene.
Real time PCR quantification shows that TFF1 (PS2, trefoil factor 1) is not affected by any of the two inhibitors, at least, at these times, but from microarray results seems affected by ICl after estradiol treatment (see Table 5: Inhibition by PD or ICI or both of estradiol responsive genes). TFF1 is strongly induced by estradiol after one hour of hormone treatment (Figure 25).


Figure 26: As in Figure 23 but for gene CCND1 (Cyclin D1).
CCND1 (Cyclin D1) is consistently induced by progestin at 6 hr , and no inhibitor is able to affect this expression level (Figure 26). This fact is in agreement with microarray results. Induction by estradiol is below our microarray detection threshold, which we set at 1.3 fold-change ratio. Only at 48 hr , CCND1 has similar expression levels after estradiol hormonal treatment as it happens with progestin at 6 hr , therefore promoting cell cycle progression at earlier times in our model cell line.


Figure 27: As in Figure 23 but for gene RPS6KA1 (p90/RSK1).


Figure 28: As in Figure 23 but for gene RPS6KA5 (MSK1).

Neither PRS6KA1 (p90/RSK1, Figure 27) nor RPS6KA5 (MSK1, Figure 28) gene expression responses to hormones are affected by PD or ICI inhibitors, this fact agrees with microarray results. Hormone induction of both genes is independent of the ER-PR crosstalk, and independent of Erk signaling pathway, this probably means that these genes are target genes due to direct genomic signaling or other still unknown mechanisms.


Figure 29: As in Figure 23 but for gene MUC2L (TFCP2L3).
MUC2L (TFCP2L3, transcription factor CP2-like 3, Figure 29) upregulated expression in response to R5020 at 6 hr is only inhibited by PD, as it is shown from microarrays results. For that reason MUC2L is independent of ER and activated by progestins via the Erk signaling pathway.


Figure 30: As in Figure 23 but for gene CCNE2 (Cyclin E2)
CCNE2 (Cyclin E2, Figure 30) is strongly induced by R5020 at 6 hr. Real Time qPCR results agree with microarray measurements, though it is also observed that CCNE2 might be also affected by ICI , which means that CCNE2 is dependent of ER and the Erk signaling pathway. Induction of CCNE2 by estradiol is below the detection threshold at 60 min , and it has expression levels similar to progestin only 24 hr after estradiol hormonal induction. This is an indication of the delayed cell cycle progression in response to estradiol treatment in comparison with progestin treatment in our model cell line.

See Appendix A11 for a comparison between Real Time qPCR values and $\log _{2}$ Ratio values obtained by microarray analysis.

### 4.7 Pathway analysis of the time course experiment

Global functional pathway analysis was performed using a gene ontology built from experimental evidence compiled in the Ingenuity Pathways Knowledge Base (see chapter 3.19.4).

We focused on the ERK/MAPK signaling pathway to observe the genes induced by R5020 and the effect of PD as an inhibitor of the Erk1/2 pathway or the effect of ICI as the antagonist of ER on the ERK/MAPK pathway component transcript levels.

Figure 31, shows the gene expression values ( $M$ values) of the induced genes 6 hr after progestin treatment. Genes are highlighted in red or green based on their gene expression values, as up-regulated or down-regulated respectively, interpreting them as long term changes as a possible modulation on signal transduction.

© 2000-2007 Ingenuity Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.
Figure 31: ERK/MAPK signaling pathway component transcript levels 6 hr after hormone induction with R5020
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Figure 32: Effect of PD on ERK/MAPK signaling pathway component transcript levels 6 hr after hormone induction with R5020.

In order to observe the effect of PD, average $M$ values from each of microarray experiments which were referred to average T0 were subtracted as follows:

$$
\log _{2}(\text { PDeffect })=\log _{2} \frac{R 5020+P D}{E t O H}-\log _{2} \frac{R 5020}{E t O H}
$$

These expression values were imported into Ingenuity Pathway analysis software. This effect is shown in Figure 32. Among the genes whose gene expression is reduced by the effect of PD are GRB2, and DUSP1 (MKP1) indicating that Erk signaling is not active. Gene expression of MSK1 and RSK1 are also found under expressed. Downstream Histone H3, STAT3, ER and ETS transcription regulators are down-regulated, and MYC is under-expressed.

To observe the effect of ICI , we proceeded in a similar way:

$$
\log _{2}(\text { ICIeffect })=\log _{2} \frac{R 5020+I C I}{E t O H}-\log _{2} \frac{R 5020}{E t O H}
$$

Erk/MAPK signaling pathway after the effect of ICI shows a different situation (Figure 33) where SOS1 and GRB2 are down-regulated, DUSP1 (MKP1), and HSP9B (HSP27) are over-expressed, and downstream transcription regulators such as Histone H3, STAT3, MYC, and ETS1 (Ets protein) are down-regulated and $E R$ is up-regulated.

ERK/MAPK Signaling
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Figure 33: Effect of ICI on ERK/MAPK signaling pathway component transcript levels 6 hr after hormone induction with R5020.

Likewise, if we focused on the estradiol induced genes after 1 hr of treatment, in Figure 34, the gene expression values ( $M$ values) of the induced genes 1 hr after estradiol treatment are shown. Genes are also highlighted in red or green based on their gene expression values, as up-regulated or down-regulated respectively, in response to estradiol.
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Figure 34: ERK/MAPK signaling pathway component transcript levels 1 hr after hormone induction with estradiol.

In order to observe the effect of PD after estradiol treatment, we proceed as follows:

$$
\log _{2}(P D e f f e c t)=\log _{2} \frac{E 2+P D}{E t O H}-\log _{2} \frac{E 2}{E t O H}
$$

In this case, the ERK/MAPK pathway is shown in Figure 35, where ERK1/2, p90RSK, PAK2 (p21 Activated Protein Kinase 2) transcripts are downregulated, and SOS1 GRB2, DUSP1 (MKP1), HSP27 are being overexpressed. And downstream, transcription regulators such as FOS, ER, STAT3 are under-expressed, and MYC and Histone H3 are also affected by this treatment over-expressing them.

ERKIMAPK Signaling
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Figure 35: Effect of PD on ERK/MAPK signaling pathway component transcript levels 1 hr after hormone induction with estradiol.

Moreover, the effect of ICl 1 hr after hormone induction with estradiol was calculated similarly as:

$$
\log _{2}(\text { ICIeffect })=\log _{2} \frac{E 2+I C I}{E t O H}-\log _{2} \frac{E 2}{E t O H}
$$

At this case, ERK/MAPK pathway looks as in Figure 36 where this effect is more profound and SOS1, GRB2, PAK2, ERK1/2, DUSP1, and p90RSK, are under-expressed.

FOS and MYC are strongly under-expressed, as well as transcription regulators such as ER, ETS1, and STAT3.

ERK/MAPK Signaling
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Figure 36: Effect of $I C I$ on ERK/MAPK signaling pathway component transcript levels 1 hr after hormone induction with estradiol.

### 4.8 Application to the breast tumor classification

A set of 108 breast tumor tissue samples and 3 from normal breast tissue adjacent to tumors of different individuals were amplified, labeled and hybridized, as described in the methods section (chapter 3.7) to our cDNA platform, version 4. UHRR was used as reference RNA. A first batch of 77 samples, including normal breast samples was hybridized on a first batch of arrays. The second batch of 34 tumor samples was hybridized a year later with a new array printing batch. Therefore, in order to avoid any additional sources of variability due to the microarray batch, the first set of 77 samples, which have well characterized clinical-histopathological parameters, was analyzed separately and used as our training set in class prediction. The new breast sample batch was treated as a test set, and its characteristics assumed to be unknown were corroborated a posteriori. Clinical and histopathological features of the training and the test set are shown in Table 5.

The objectives of the experiment were (1) to classify tumor samples of our population in the various gene expression phenotypes, (2) to identify distinctive genes that can distinguish breast cancer subtypes, (3) to relate these phenotypes to specific cell-signaling pathways, and (4) identify molecular biomarkers, within our small gene collection, for breast cancer tumor progression.

The molecular biomarkers could be used in the future in clinical diagnosis, to improve the choice of treatment, to predict prognosis and identify patients at higher risk of developing metastasis, as well as for following the response to therapy.

The comparison of tumor data with the data obtained from our hormonal dependent breast cancer cell line model treated with progestin and estradiol in a time series, as well as the effect of the specific inhibitor and antagonist of the genomic and non-genomic ligand-activated pathways through which progestins act, might provide additional information about the most represented pathways influencing how hormone-dependent tumors develop.

Table 5: Clinical and histopathological characteristics of the training and the test set of breast tumor samples.

Clinical and histopathological characteristics of the patients and their breast tumors

| All patients ( $\mathrm{n}=105$ ) |  | Training set ( $\mathrm{n}=74$ ) | Test set ( $\mathrm{n}=31$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) |
| $\leq 40$ | 5 (4.8\%) | 3 (4.1\%) | 2 (6.5\%) |
| $>40$ and $\leq 50$ | 11 (10.5\%) | 7 (9.5\%) | 4 (12.9\%) |
| $>50$ and $\leq 60$ | 30 (28.6\%) | 22 (29.7\%) | 8 (25.8\%) |
| $>60$ and $\leq 70$ | 27 (25.7\%) | 17 (23\%) | 10 (32.3\%) |
| > 70 | 32 (30.5\%) | 23 (31.1\%) | 9 (29.0\%) |
| Therapy | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) |
| HT only | 21 (20\%) | 19 (25.7\%) | 3 (9.7\%) |
| QT only | 26 (24.8\%) | 24 (32.4\%) | 4 (9.7\%) |
| HT + QT | 38 (36.2\%) | 26 (35.1\%) | 19 (61.3\%) |
| neoadjuvant QT | 3 (2.9\%) | 1 (1.4\%) | 2 (6.5\%) |
| HT + QT + Herceptin | 1 (1\%) |  | 3 (9.7\%) |
| none | 5 (4.8\%) | 4 (5.4\%) | 1 (3.2\%) |
| Tumor size, cm | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) |
| 1 | 51 (48.6\%) | 32 (43.2\%) | 19 (61.3\%) |
| 2 | 42 (40\%) | 32 (43.2\%) | 10 (32.3\%) |
| 3 | 9 (8.6\%) | 7 (9.5\%) | 2 (6.5\%) |
| 4 | 2 (1.9\%) | 2 (2.7\%) |  |
| isquemic | 1 (1\%) | 1 (1.4\%) |  |
| Lymph node | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) |
| none | 60 (57.1\%) | 46 (62.2\%) | 15 (48.4\%) |
| 1 | 29 (27.6\%) | 16 (21.6\%) | 13 (41.9\%) |
| 2 | 8 (7.6\%) | 6 (8.1\%) | 2 (6.5\%) |
| 3 | 6 (5.7\%) | 5 (6.8\%) | 1 (3.2\%) |
| micro | 1 (1\%) | 1 (1.4\%) |  |
| Metastatic sites | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) |
| none | 101 (96.2\%) | 70 (94.6\%) | 31 (100\%) |
| one | 4 (3.8\%) | 4 (5.4\%) |  |
| Vascular invasion | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) |
| yes | 30 (28.6\%) | 18 (24.3\%) | 12 (38.7\%) |
| none | 74 (70.5\%) | 55 (74.3\%) | 17 (54.8\%) |
| Histological grade | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) |
| 1 | 17 (16.2\%) | 12 (16.2\%) | 5 (16.1\%) |
| 2 | 30 (28.6\%) | 21 (28.4\%) | 9 (29.0\%) |
| 3 | 33 (31.4\%) | 23 (31.1\%) | 10 (32.3\%) |
| unknown | 25 (23.8\%) | 18 (24.3\&) | 7 (22.6\%) |
| Recurrence | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) |
| free of disease (VL) | 58 (55.2\%) | 57 (77\%) |  |
| with disease (VE) | 5 (4.8\%) | 3 (4.1\%) |  |
| exitus | 10 (9.5\%) | 10 (13.5\%) |  |
| unknown | 31 (29.5\%) |  | 31 (100\%) |
| no follow-up | 2 (1.9\%) | 2 (2.7\%) |  |
| p53 status | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) |
| negative | 70 (66.7\%) | 47 (63.5\%) | 23 (74.2\%) |
| positive | 34 (32.4\%) | 27 (36.5\%) | 7 (22.6\%) |
| unknown | 1 (1\%) |  | 1 (3.2\%) |
| Steroid receptor status | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) |
| ER+ PR+ | 56 (53.3\%) | 36 (48.6\%) | 20 (64.5\%) |
| ER+ PR- | 15 (14.3\%) | 11 (14.9\%) | 4 (12.9\%) |
| ER-PR+ | 2 (1.9\%) | 2 (2.7\%) |  |
| ER- PR- | 32 (30.5\%) | 25 (33.8\%) | 7 (22.6\%) |
| her-2 status (IHC/FISH) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases (\%) |
| negative/negative | 90 (85.7\%) | 67 (90.5\%) | 23 (74.2\%) |
| positive/amplified polysome | 10 (9.5\%) | 5 (6.8\%) | 5 (16.1\%) |
| negative/amplified polysome | 4 (3.8\%) | 1 (1.4\%) | 3 (9.7\%) |
| positive/non-amplified polysome | 1 (1\%) | 1 (1.4\%) |  |

[^0]
### 4.9 Classification of breast tumor samples by unsupervised hierarchical clustering

FADA was applied as described in methods (chapter 3.16) using complete linkage as the hierarchical clustering algorithm. An unsupervised cluster dendrogram was obtained where breast tumor samples were distributed based on similarity of gene expression profiles (Figure 37).


Figure 37: Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of breast samples with complete linkage.
Primarily, samples were distributed into two main branches of the tree dendrogram. These two main clusters gave two distinctive profiles with opposite differentially expressed genes (Figure 38). The first profile (Figure 38 A) brought together 11 tumor samples with significantly differential genes such as

TP53BP2 (tumor protein p53 binding protein 2), S100A2 (s100 calcium binding protein 2), HRASLS (HRAS-like suppressor), KRT5 (cytokeratins 5), NF1B (transcription factor 1B), GPR180 (G protein-coupled receptor 180) and RUNX2 (Runt-related transcription factor 2) characteristic upregulated markers for the basal myoephitelial subtype of breast cancer, often p53+, and ER- and ERBB2breast tumors (Rakha et al. 2007). Cytokeratin markers have been also shown to correlate with poor prognosis in breast cancer (Sorlie et al. 2003). Runtrelated transcription factor RUNX2 has been associated as a breast cancer marker for developing bone metastasis (Barnes et al. 2005). The second profile (Figure 38 B) includes genes such as DDB2 (Damage-specific DNA binding protein 2), GATA3 (GATA binding protein 3), TFF1 (trefoil factor 1), CCNH (cyclin H), HSD17B4 (hydroxysteroid $17 \beta$ dehydrogenase 4, TNFRSF4 (tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 4), ITGB5 (Integrin $\beta$ 5), AR (androgen receptor), and IL6ST (interleukin 6 signal transducer). Some of these genes have been reported as upregulated markers for ER+ tumors (West et al. 2001).


Figure 38: Expression levels of the most relevant genes selected from the two main clusters.
To reveal new distinctive tumor phenotypes within these two main branches, a threshold value (green dash line in Figure 37) was chosen. This threshold gives us 9 groups of samples, therefore performing a supervised analysis, with a
minimum number of 2 sample tumors per group. The most relevant gene list, up and downregulated, of each cluster was obtained with a $q$-value of 0.05 of being differentially expressed relative to the other cluster. The most significant gene expression profiles are represented in Panel 39.

Next, functional analysis was performed with the most differentially expressed genes of each group in both directions, up or downregulated, in search of enriched functional categories among GO terms in biological process (GO bp) or molecular function ( GO mf ). The significant hits are summarized in the following Table 6. Most of the groups have no relevant enriched GO term with a Fisher's exact probability less than 0.01, and a Benjamini and Hochberg (1995) adjusted $p$-value lower than 0.05 . Background gene list used was the 820 genes from BCA v4.0.

Table 6: Significant (BH $p$-value<0.05) GO terms of the first three groups of gene expression clusters. ("GO mf" means GO molecular function, "GO bp" means GO biological process).

| Group | Enrichment categories | Functional annotations categories | Genes | Fisher's exact $p$-values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (Down) | GO bp <br> GO bp <br> GO bp | Cell adhesion <br> Regulation of cell cycle dependent kinase activity <br> Integrin-mediated signaling pathway | ITGA5, PTEN, ITGB5, FN1 <br> PTEN, CDKN1A, GTF2H1 <br> ITGB5, ITGA5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & 2.2 \mathrm{E}-3 \\ & 1.0 \mathrm{E}-2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 (Down) | GO bp | Cell motility | S100A2, ITGA6, ITGB2, PLAUR | 5.9E-5 |
|  | GO bp | Cell migration | S100A2, ITGA6, ITGB2 | 1.1E-4 |
|  | GO bp | Integrin-mediated signaling pathway | ITGA6, ITGB2 | 1.0E-2 |
|  | GO mf | Isomerase activity | HSD17B4, PECI, TOP1 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
|  | GO mf | Sterol transporter activity | HSD17B4 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-2$ |
| 3 (Up) | GO bp | Ectoderm development | KRT6A, KRT6B, KRT14, KRT17, KRT5 | 0.0 |
|  | GO bp | Tissue development | KRT6A, KRT6B, KRT14, KRT17, KRT5, RUNX2 | 2.0E-6 |
|  | GO mf | Structural constituent of cytoskeleton | KRT14, KRT17, KRT5, KRT6B | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-6$ |
|  | GO mf | Structural molecule activity | KRT6A, KRT6B, KRT14, KRT17, KRT5 | 1.3E-5 |

The profile for the groups 1, 2 and 3 clusters 11 tumor samples, 9 of which ( $82 \%$ ) are positive for p53 and are negative for ER, PR and ERBB2 receptors. All these tumors present histological grade 3, or have developed metastatic sites. Relapse occurred in 6 cases resulting in death shortly after. These tumors would appear to match a particularly well defined class of tumors, usually called basal-cell or myoepithelial-like since they show high expression of cytokeratins 5/6, 14 and 17, are very aggressive (Nielsen et al. 2004). Basal-like tumor samples are included in the so-called triple-negative tumor class as defined by the absent expression of these three receptors. But not all triple-negative are basal-like tumors (for a review see Cleator et al. 2007). This tumor subtype do not respond to targeted therapies such as hormonal or trastuzumab treatment. This subtype of tumor ( $15 \%$ of all breast tumor samples) is supposed to arise from the outer (basal) layer of breast duct (myoepithelial cells). Basal-like breast
cancers usually show high p53 protein expression due to p53 mutations. Protein p53 acts as a checkpoint in the cell-cycle to trigger molecular response to DNA damage, including repair and apoptosis. A proportion (57\%) of basal-like tumors expresses EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor), c-KIT (29\%) and Cyclin E ( $80 \%$ ). Sporadic triple-negative breast cancers share similar features with BRCA1-related cancer, including ER negativity, high nuclear grade, high Ki-67 staining, and high CK5/6 and EGFR expression. Results from a report indicate that BRCA1 mRNA expression was significantly 2 -fold lower in basal-like sporadic breast cancer. Additionally, ID4, a negative regulator of BRCA1, is also expressed at higher levels in basal-like breast cancers (Turner et al. 2006)

Group 4 clusters two samples from normal tissue adjacent to tumors.
Group 5 gathers 5 samples of the ERBB2+ subtype. They present also high histological grade $2 / 3$. Positive staining for her-2 protein was only seen in 2 cases out of 5 . Gene expression profiling of this group shows high expression for the genes ERBB2, PPARBP, SMARCE1, and GRB2 among others, which has been already shown by Sorlie et al. 2003.

Group 6 clusters 11 samples. One of them, T70 (R13626) being a normal tissue sample adjacent to tumor sample T71 (R13628), it is assembled into the same cluster as its paired sample. We thought that it could still contain tumoral cells, but it was confirmed by pathologists that it is indeed a normal sample. Most interesting in this cluster is that $60 \%$ of these samples are positive for both estrogen and progesterone receptor proteins, and the other 4 samples are positive for either progesterone or the estrogen receptor. The gene expression profile shows high expression of PR , and some known genes found to be regulated by progestins in breast cancer cell line experiments, such as SNAI3, SAP18, PQLC2, CR1L, PRL, FANCC, and C20orf149, indicating that these samples have PR activity. These tumor samples are also all ERBB2 and p53 negative.

Group 7 assembles 5 samples, which are positive for both estrogen and progesterone receptor proteins, and negative for ERBB2 (her-2) and p53 proteins, except in one case that was found by clinicians to be p53 positive. Among the most significant genes are PR, WNT6, STK11, TGFBR1, RPRM, HSPA4, DICER1, and GLTSCR2. We hypothesize that this group of samples are hormone dependent tumors and the tumor progression is due to signaling pathways different to those of group 6.

Group 8 clusters 29 samples: 76\% are ER+PR+, 20\% are ER+, and only one sample (T03-R7545) is negative for both hormone receptors and negative for ERBB2 (her-2) and p53 protein. We hypothesize that this tumor sample has intact ER signaling pathway, and it is a hormonal-dependent tumor. The gene expression profile shows activated ER signaling pathways showing high levels of GATA3, CYP2B6, HSPB1, CHD3, TSC2, SERTAD1, GTF2E2, DHRS7, and MS4A7. Some of these genes have been previously reported as being regulated by ER or have been found to be co-expressed with ER.

Group 9 collects 14 samples. These breast tumor samples are more heterogeneous in their immunohistochemical clinical data: only 6 of them are either positive for one or both hormone receptors, half of them are triplenegative and p53+. Significant overexpressed genes for this cluster are PTGS2, RRAD, MET, CAV1, AURKC, KISS1, CDKN1C, SEPT7, and SLC2A3. Functional analysis gave no significant result.


Panel 39: Expression levels of the most relevant genes selected from the nine groups obtained by supervised analysis.

### 4.10 Principal component analysis (BGA)

Datasets were divided into training and test dataset. The training set consisted in 77 samples. At the time of the gene expression analysis by microarray technique, the clinical-histopatological characteristics of these samples (including status of the molecular markers such as ER, PR, p53, and her-2 proteins scored by immunohistochemistry) were known. On the contrary, at the time of the gene expression analysis, nothing was know about the newly hybridized batch of 34 breast tumor samples. Therefore we used this dataset as the test set, and wait for their clinical-histopatological parameters be determined. Initially a two class supervised analysis was performed. Depending on their estrogen receptor status, which was determined by IHC, samples were classified into ER positive (class 1) or ER negative (class 0). Appendix A4 displays the clinical-histopatological patient data. Between groups analysis (BGA) was applied, and samples were distributed along one axis for visualization purposes (Figure 40). Since BGA used correspondence analysis (CA), the most dicriminant genes are also determined. It is observed that samples are grouped into three sets along the axis, leaving the middle group as a mixed class.


Figure 40: Supervised classification of breast samples by BGA into two groups 0 and 1 based on their ER status reveals an intermediate mixed class.

Table 7 summarizes the distribution of the samples along the axis and their clinical markers scored by immunohistochemistry. The column "IHC ER status" provides the assignment of class prior the BGA analysis, defined based on clinical status of the ER. The samples that are lying on the axis discordant with the clinical data are colored in red. Sample T70 (R13626) is a normal sample adjacent to the tumor sample T71 (R13627) and, instead of grouping with the ER- samples, close to the other normal breast samples, behaves as its paired tumor sample. This is indicative of its heterogeneity; it might contain tumoral cells. This fact was reported to the Pathology Department at the Hospital del Mar for clarification of this result and they confirm that it is indeed a normal sample.

Marked with orange color are the molecular markers ER, PR, ERBB2 (her-2) and p53 analyzed by IHC and found positive. It is observed that the classification by BGA performs well, with a few exceptions. In Figure 40 assorted with the group 0 and labeled in red, there are several breast tumor samples that are being predicted as ER-, although this could be due to a different subtype of tumor, BGA considers that these samples are closer in distance to the ER- group as to the ER+ group. There are also a few ER+ tumor samples which are grouped with the ER- samples, such as T49 (R7142), T51 (R7170), T53 (R7145), T56 (R7328), and T52 (R7176). On the ER+ positive side, there are 2 samples ER- but PR+, which could be due to still active ER+ signaling pathway. Tumor sample T03 (R7545) is also allocated between ER+ samples, even though it has been classified by IHC as ER-. There is also a higher proportion of samples which are P53+ between the ER- samples, as it is expected from epidemiological studies.

A summary for the prediction of the ER status of the test set consisting of a new independent series of 34 breast samples is shown in Table 8.

Based on these results we decided to group the samples into six groups, and perform a multiple class supervised classification. The groups for the multiple class supervised clustering analysis were assigned by looking at the best fitting of the PCA model. Since this is a supervised clustering analysis, by "trial-anderror", samples were exchanged from one group to another to get the best fitting picture of the PCA. The final class assignment is stated on the last column of Table 7. The best fitting picture of the PCA by multiple classes BGA is shown in Figure 41. BGA uses correspondence analysis (CA) to select the genes that discriminate the groups situated on the 3D space (Figure 42). The most distant and well-defined groups are 1 and 5. The other groups are also well-separated but the distances between them are smaller than from the group 1 to group 5. From this analysis it is corroborated that among ER+ hormonedependent tumors there are different subtypes that can be allocated in 3D space into groups 1 and 2 . Groups 2 and 3 are less well defined. The summary for the class prediction of the test set consisting of a new independent series of 34 breast samples is shown in Table 9.

From this analysis, we could not correlate the genes that differentiate the formed groups, but just the most significant on the four axes on the 3D space.

For this aim, Prediction Analysis of Microarrays (PAM) was employed in the next chapter.

Table 7: Distribution of tumor samples along one axis after BGA two class supervised classification upon the ER status. Samples are sorted according the BGA axis 1 value. Status of their immunohistochemical markers and predicted class into which the application would distribute the samples is stated.

| Sample ID | Patient ID | IHC ER status | ER | PR | her-2 | p53 | BRCA1/2 | Histological grade | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tumor } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | Lymph node status | Metastatic sites | $\begin{gathered} \text { BGA - Two } \\ \text { Class - Axis1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BGA } \\ \text { predicted } \end{gathered}$ | multiclass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T83 | R13722 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T2 | N1 | M0 | 756.2 | 1 | 1 |
| T69 | R12861 | 1 | ER- | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 525.3 | 1 | 1 |
| T65 | R12853 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N2 | M0 | 469.9 | 1 | 1 |
| T29 | R10112 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 423.5 | 1 | 1 |
| T82 | R13721 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N0 | M0 | 414.9 | 1 | 1 |
| T77 | R13633 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 397.3 | 1 | 1 |
| T75 | R13631 | 1 | ER- | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 362.5 | 1 | 1 |
| T68 | R12860 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N3 | M0 | 352.6 | 1 | 1 |
| T76 | R13632 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 268.2 | 1 | 2 |
| T71 | R13627 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T3 | N1 | M0 | 252.5 | 1 | 1 |
| T70 | R13626 | 0 | Normal | (adjac | ent to T71) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 251.1 | 1 | 1 |
| T73 | R13629 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 212.3 | 1 | 2 |
| T15 | R7946 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N1 | M0 | 189.8 | 1 | 2 |
| T72 | R13628 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N1 | M0 | 179.6 | 1 | 2 |
| T09 | R7617 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 167.9 | 1 | 2 |
| T24 | R10104 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 163.8 | 1 | 2 |
| T32 | R10116 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T3 | N0 | M0 | 149.9 | 1 | 2 |
| T22 | R10101 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 134.0 | 1 | 2 |
| T14 | R7939 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 127.8 | 1 | 2 |
| T05 | R7612 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 124.3 | 1 | 2 |
| T12 | R7906 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N1 | M0 | 117.2 | 1 | 2 |
| T17 | R8150 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGO | IS | N0 | M0 | 108.9 | 1 | 2 |
| T18 | R8153 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 88.8 | 1 | 2 |
| T31 | R10115 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T3 | N0 | M0 | 88.3 | 1 | 2 |
| T27 | R10108 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T4 | N1 | M0 | 73.9 | 1 | 2 |
| T42 | R6265 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2+ | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N1 | M0 | 59.9 | 1 | 2 |
| T02 | R7540 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N3 | M0 | 56.3 | 1 | 2 |
| T23 | R10103 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N1 | M0 | 55.5 | 1 | 2 |
| T67 | R12856 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 49.2 | 1 | 2 |
| T26 | R10107 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N1 | M0 | 46.6 | 1 | 2 |
| T13 | R7904 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T3 | N0 | M0 | 43.8 | 1 | 2 |
| T44 | R6280 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 36.3 | 1 | 2 |
| T37 | R6282 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 28.4 | 1 | 2 |
| T80 | R13715 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 17.0 | 1 | 2 |
| T25 | R10105 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 16.6 | 1 | 2 |
| T30 | R10113 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 2.1 | 1 | 2 |
| T60 | R7343 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M1 | -9.1 | 0 | 3 |
| T03 | R7545 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N2 | M0 | -12.4 | 0 | 3 |
| T38 | R6283 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | No | M0 | -14.6 | 0 | 3 |
| T43 | R6267 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N1 | M0 | -16.8 | 0 | 3 |
| T46 | R6345 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | No | M0 | -19.4 | 0 | 3 |
| T33 | R10117 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | No | M0 | -33.3 | 0 | 3 |
| T45 | R6285 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | -36.0 | 0 | 3 |
| T61 | R7346 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -44.7 | 0 | 3 |
| T10 | R7541 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N2 | M0 | -59.1 | 0 | 3 |
| T06 | R7614 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -62.6 | 0 | 3 |
| T50 | R7143 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N3 | M1 | -63.2 | 0 | 3 |
| T62 | R7347 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N2 | M0 | -64.5 | 0 | 3 |
| T47 | R6256 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N2 | M0 | -68.0 | 0 | 3 |
| T20 | R8403 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | N3 | M0 | -70.4 | 0 | 3 |
| T48 | R7140 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N2 | M0 | -71.5 | 0 | 3 |
| T49 | R7142 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -73.4 | 0 | 3 |
| T59 | R7335 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG1 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -89.9 | 0 | 3 |
| T51 | R7170 | 1 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -103.0 | 0 | 3 |
| T39 | R6250 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -104.9 | 0 | 3 |
| T53 | R7145 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -109.4 | 0 | 3 |
| T28 | R10109 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -115.1 | 0 | 3 |
| T36 | R6279 | 0 | Normal | (adjac | ent to T35) |  |  |  |  |  |  | -122.4 | 0 | 6 |
| T55 | R7322 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HG0 | T3 | N3 | M0 | -135.8 | 0 | 4 |
| T56 | R7328 | 0 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T4 | N0 | M0 | -146.9 | 0 | 4 |
| T78 | R13713 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -208.0 | 0 | 5 |
| T35 | R6277 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -208.6 | 0 | 5 |
| T52 | R7176 | 0 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -211.2 | 0 | 5 |
| T58 | R7334 | 0 | Normal | (adjac | ent to T59) |  |  |  |  |  |  | -214.8 | 0 | 6 |
| T63 | R7351 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ | BRCA2 | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -217.8 | 0 | 4 |
| T54 | R7156 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -219.8 | 0 | 4 |
| T41 | R6255 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M1 | -234.8 | 0 | 5 |
| T57 | R7331 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -238.3 | 0 | 4 |
| T04 | R7553 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T3 | N1 | M0 | -238.9 | 0 | 5 |
| T40 | R6254 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M1 | -248.7 | 0 | 5 |
| T08 | R7693 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGO | T3 | N1 | M1 | -285.7 | 0 | 5 |
| T07 | R7692 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG0 | T3 | N1 | M1 | -290.6 | 0 | 5 |
| T74 | R13630 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -341.4 | 0 | 5 |
| T66 | R12854 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGx | T2 | N1 | M0 | -392.0 | 0 | 5 |
| T64 | R12849 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -471.3 | 0 | 5 |
| T79 | R13714 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -545.6 | 0 | 5 |
| T81 | R13719 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -598.0 | 0 | 5 |

Table 8: Distribution of tumor samples of the test set along one axis after two class supervised classification by BGA "two class "based on ER status determined by IHC. Samples are sorted on the table according the PCA axis 1 value.

| Sample ID | BGA - Two <br> Class - Axis1 | closest.centre | BGA <br> predicted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T88 | 226.0 | 1 |  |
| T91 | 184.9 | 1 | 1 |
| T95 | 170.0 | 1 | 1 |
| T102 | 164.1 | 1 | 1 |
| T99 | 160.2 | 1 | 1 |
| T106 | 159.7 | 1 | 1 |
| T101 | 158.4 | 1 | 1 |
| T90 | 140.9 | 1 | 1 |
| T115 | 120.7 | 1 | 1 |
| T108 | 111.1 | 1 | 1 |
| T89 | 110.2 | 1 | 1 |
| T116 | 104.9 | 1 | 1 |
| T100 | 104.5 | 1 | 1 |
| T114 | 101.9 | 1 | 1 |
| T98 | 97.3 | 1 | 1 |
| T117 | 87.2 | 1 | 1 |
| T105 | 84.5 | 1 | 1 |
| T96 | 62.0 | 1 | 1 |
| T104 | 55.4 | 1 | 1 |
| T85 | 53.9 | 1 | 1 |
| T111 | 51.9 | 1 | 1 |
| T92 | 47.1 | 1 | 1 |
| T84 | 45.4 | 1 | 1 |
| T112 | 35.7 | 1 | 1 |
| T109 | 32.1 | 1 | 1 |
| T113 | 30.3 | 1 | 1 |
| T97 | 25.3 | 1 | 1 |
| T103 | 1.7 | 1 | 1 |
| T93 | -14.5 | 0 | 1 |
| T94 | -17.9 | 0 | 0 |
| T87 | -63.5 | 0 | 0 |
| T86 | -71.5 | 0 | 0 |
| T107 | -100.8 | 0 | 0 |
| T110 | -120.5 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |



Figure 41: Supervised classification of breast samples by multiple class Between Groups Analysis (BGA) in six groups. (A) Allocation of breast samples. (B) Diagram of the spatial position of the six classes.


Figure 42: Most discriminant genes oriented on each axis after supervised classification of breast samples by multiple class BGA into six groups.

Table 9: Distribution of tumor samples of the test set as a result of multiple class supervised classification by BGA.

| Sample ID | projected.Axis1 | projected.Axis2 | projected.Axis3 | projected.Axis4 | projected.Axis5 | closest.centre | predicted |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| T84 | 64.5 | -99.1 | -23.2 | -7.4 | 12.7 | 6 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T85 | 94.6 | -109.9 | -3.3 | 30.9 | 53.3 | 6 | 6 |
| T86 | 100.4 | 23.6 | -28.3 | -6.2 | 81.4 | 6 | 6 |
| T87 | 61.4 | 38.4 | 3.5 | -35.3 | 94.2 | 6 | 5 |
| T88 | -24.2 | -227.3 | 105.0 | 18.8 | -31.8 | 1 | 1 |
| T89 | 49.3 | -150.6 | 24.3 | 26.5 | 13.3 | 2 | 2 |
| T90 | -70.8 | -114.1 | 84.7 | -45.8 | -5.8 | 1 | 1 |
| T91 | -168.0 | -140.9 | -11.3 | -53.7 | 40.0 | 1 | 6 |
| T92 | -59.3 | -52.7 | -50.4 | 9.5 | 4.4 | 1 | 1 |
| T93 | 48.2 | -46.6 | -112.4 | -72.3 | 97.9 | 6 | 6 |
| T94 | -66.8 | 48.7 | 57.7 | -109.2 | 21.4 | 5 | 5 |
| T95 | -121.6 | -143.4 | -6.7 | -48.0 | -36.6 | 1 | 1 |
| T96 | -9.0 | -95.4 | -70.8 | -82.2 | 89.2 | 6 | 6 |
| T97 | 49.1 | -69.1 | -46.0 | 52.3 | 63.0 | 6 | 6 |
| T98 | 10.8 | -122.9 | 3.9 | -6.8 | 46.1 | 6 | 6 |
| T99 | -33.0 | -146.4 | 117.9 | -14.6 | 39.8 | 1 | 5 |
| T100 | 25.3 | -135.6 | 14.0 | 19.3 | 11.8 | 2 | 2 |
| T101 | -81.0 | -130.1 | 85.3 | -99.9 | -27.3 | 1 | 1 |
| T102 | -54.5 | -154.7 | 81.7 | -10.7 | -49.4 | 1 | 1 |
| T103 | 54.9 | -40.9 | -13.4 | -0.1 | -8.3 | 6 | 3 |
| T104 | 2.4 | -63.3 | 62.9 | -0.5 | -64.2 | 2 | 3 |
| T105 | 49.2 | -118.0 | 36.1 | -4.4 | -23.7 | 2 | 3 |
| T106 | -14.8 | -173.7 | 50.7 | 4.9 | -32.1 | 1 | 1 |
| T107 | 143.7 | 31.3 | -17.4 | 4.8 | 8.1 | 6 | 4 |
| T108 | -3.8 | -120.6 | 39.1 | -33.8 | 34.5 | 1 | 5 |
| T109 | -15.0 | -57.2 | -93.4 | -64.7 | 99.1 | 6 | 6 |
| T110 | 52.9 | 100.1 | -14.2 | 19.6 | 38.8 | 5 | 4 |
| T111 | 117.9 | -105.8 | 51.0 | 4.7 | -2.8 | 6 | 2 |
| T112 | 104.5 | -86.7 | 39.7 | 28.4 | 6.9 | 6 | 2 |
| T113 | 60.7 | -61.7 | 10.2 | 17.6 | 0.1 | 6 | 2 |
| T114 | -20.9 | -105.3 | 16.9 | 44.8 | 16.9 | 1 | 2 |
| T115 | -32.2 | -115.7 | 56.7 | -7.8 | 29.3 | 1 | 5 |
| T116 | -39.9 | -110.1 | -8.1 | 15.3 | -6.9 | 1 | 1 |
| T117 | -2.0 | -110.1 | -17.4 | -41.2 | 39.1 | 1 | 6 |

in addition, a BGA two class classification based on the PR status was performed, yielding a similar plot as with the previously shown for the ER status (see Figure 43) where all samples are distributed likewise as in Figure 40, and the most discriminant genes are comparable to the ones obtained for the BGA classification by means of the ER status.

On Table 10 a summary of the distribution of samples along the axis and their clinical markers scored by immunohistochemistry. The column "IHC PR status" provides the assignment of class prior the BGA analysis, defined based on the clinical status of the PR. The samples that are lying on the axis discordant with the clinical data are colored in red.


Figure 43: Supervised classification of breast samples by BGA into two groups based on their PR status.

Table 10: Distribution of tumor samples along one axis after two class supervised classification by BGA considering the PR status. Samples are sorted according the PCA axis 1 value. Status of their immunohistochemical markers and the predicted class into which the application would distribute the samples are stated.

| Sample ID | Patient ID | IHC PR status | ER | PR | her-2 | p53 | BRCA1/2 | Histological grade | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tumor } \\ & \text { size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lymph } \\ \text { node } \\ \text { status } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Metastatic sites | $\begin{gathered} \text { BGA - Two } \\ \text { Class - Axis1 } \end{gathered}$ | BGA predicted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T83 | R13722 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T2 | N1 | M0 | 653.0 | 1 |
| T29 | R10112 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 364.5 | 1 |
| T69 | R12861 | 1 | ER- | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 359.7 | 1 |
| T77 | R13633 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 307.7 | 1 |
| T75 | R13631 | 1 | ER- | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 247.6 | 1 |
| T24 | R10104 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 245.6 | 1 |
| T68 | R12860 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N3 | M0 | 233.6 | 1 |
| T76 | R13632 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 219.1 | 1 |
| T09 | R7617 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 209.9 | 1 |
| T32 | R10116 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T3 | N0 | M0 | 208.2 | 1 |
| T15 | R7946 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N1 | M0 | 179.3 | 1 |
| T82 | R13721 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N0 | M0 | 164.6 | 1 |
| T05 | R7612 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 161.6 | 1 |
| T72 | R13628 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N1 | M0 | 153.5 | 1 |
| T31 | R10115 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T3 | N0 | M0 | 151.8 | 1 |
| T73 | R13629 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 141.0 | 1 |
| T12 | R7906 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N1 | M0 | 136.2 | 1 |
| T22 | R10101 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 126.8 | 1 |
| T27 | R10108 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T4 | N1 | M0 | 112.6 | 1 |
| T23 | R10103 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N1 | M0 | 103.3 | 1 |
| T13 | R7904 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T3 | N0 | M0 | 102.8 | 1 |
| T37 | R6282 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 98.2 | 1 |
| T25 | R10105 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 91.6 | 1 |
| T71 | R13627 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T3 | N1 | M0 | 90.0 | 1 |
| T44 | R6280 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 88.6 | 1 |
| T14 | R7939 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | No | M0 | 84.9 | 1 |
| T02 | R7540 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N3 | M0 | 82.1 | 1 |
| T43 | R6267 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N1 | M0 | 78.6 | 1 |
| T26 | R10107 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N1 | M0 | 78.5 | 1 |
| T30 | R10113 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | No | M0 | 62.5 | 1 |
| T18 | R8153 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 58.2 | 1 |
| T38 | R6283 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 56.9 | 1 |
| T17 | R8150 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGO | IS | N0 | M0 | 40.8 | 1 |
| T61 | R7346 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 35.1 | 1 |
| T45 | R6285 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 29.0 | 1 |
| T10 | R7541 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N2 | M0 | 28.3 | 1 |
| T67 | R12856 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 21.9 | 1 |
| T46 | R6345 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | No | M0 | 21.2 | 1 |
| T03 | R7545 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N2 | M0 | 20.4 | 1 |
| T60 | R7343 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M1 | 17.0 | 1 |
| T80 | R13715 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 11.8 | 1 |
| T42 | R6265 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2+ | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N1 | M0 | 10.5 | 1 |
| T33 | R10117 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 10.5 | 1 |
| T06 | R7614 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 8.3 | 1 |
| T62 | R7347 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N2 | M0 | -13.0 | 0 |
| T65 | R12853 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N2 | M0 | -14.6 | 0 |
| T48 | R7140 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N2 | M0 | -16.5 | 0 |
| T47 | R6256 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N2 | M0 | -16.7 | 0 |
| T70 | R13626 | 0 | Norma | (adjac | ent to T71) |  |  |  |  |  |  | -18.4 | 0 |
| T53 | R7145 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -29.4 | 0 |
| T50 | R7143 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N3 | M1 | -31.2 | 0 |
| T51 | R7170 | 0 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -35.3 | 0 |
| T49 | R7142 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -46.0 | 0 |
| T20 | R8403 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | N3 | M0 | -48.7 | 0 |
| T55 | R7322 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HGO | T3 | N3 | M0 | -56.1 | 0 |
| T59 | R7335 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG1 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -62.5 | 0 |
| T56 | R7328 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T4 | N0 | M0 | -68.6 | 0 |
| T39 | R6250 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -72.0 | 0 |
| T36 | R6279 | 0 | Norma | (adjac | ent to T35) |  |  |  |  |  |  | -76.9 | 0 |
| T52 | R7176 | 1 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -106.3 | 0 |
| T58 | R7334 | 0 | Norma | (adjac | ent to T59) |  |  |  |  |  |  | -114.1 | 0 |
| T54 | R7156 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -121.2 | 0 |
| T28 | R10109 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -121.8 | 0 |
| T57 | R7331 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -132.6 | 0 |
| T35 | R6277 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -135.6 | 0 |
| T63 | R7351 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ | BRCA2 | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -141.2 | 0 |
| T04 | R7553 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T3 | N1 | M0 | -181.5 | 0 |
| T40 | R6254 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M1 | -227.2 | 0 |
| T41 | R6255 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M1 | -233.8 | 0 |
| T78 | R13713 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -262.5 | 0 |
| T07 | R7692 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGO | T3 | N1 | M1 | -273.2 | 0 |
| T08 | R7693 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGO | T3 | N1 | M1 | -283.0 | 0 |
| T74 | R13630 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -299.0 | 0 |
| T66 | R12854 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGx | T2 | N1 | M0 | -414.5 | 0 |
| T79 | R13714 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -604.5 | 0 |
| T81 | R13719 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | No | M0 | -683.5 | 0 |
| T64 | R12849 | 0 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | NO | M0 | -765.9 | 0 |

### 4.11 Prediction analysis of microarrays (PAM)

Variation in expression patterns of human breast tumors analyzed by cDNA microarrays and hierarchical clustering provides a "molecular portrait" of each tumor, and tumors can be classified into subtypes based solely on differences in these patterns. Sorlie et al. 2003, performed an analysis of a large number of tumor samples and explored the clinical value of the found subtypes by searching for correlations between gene expression patterns and clinically relevant parameters. They found that classification of tumors based on gene expression patterns could be used as a prognostic marker with respect to overall and relapse-free survival. Local recurrence is associated with an increased risk of developing distant metastasis and subsequent death from breast cancer. Their approach was to correlate the PAM predicted tumor subtypes with already known local recurrence, presence of metastatic sites or death. The supervised class prediction method employed by Sorlie was PAM (Prediction analysis of microarrays; Tibshirani et al. 2003).

Sorlie's training set was a pre-selected set of samples of invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC), the ones that showed best fitting in their model (see Figure 44). IDCs constitute $80 \%$ of all breast cancers. Invasive lobular carcinoma, constitute an additional 10-15\% of breast cancers. Besides, ten additional types of breast cancer have also been described, although they account for less than 10\% (Vargo-Gogola and Rosen, 2007).

Sorlie "training" set of tumors, a total of 81, classified in five groups:

- Luminal A (28),
- Luminal B (11),
- Basal (19),
- ERBB2+ (11), and
- Normal (10).

Firstly, we tried to follow the classification of our set of tumors based on Sorlie's set to see if we could find similar patterns. We tried to use the overlapping genes within the "intrinsic list" (552 spots that correspond to 526 genes; Sorlie et al. 2003, hereafter Sorlie). Genes from the Sorlie "intrinsic list" that overlap with BCA v4.0 were determined using Matchminer (http://discover.nci.nih.gov/ matchminer/index.jsp). There were only 50 spots corresponding to 42 genes. Gene expression data from Sorlie were downloaded from the Stanford database (http://genome-www5.stanford.edu/). We observed that from these 50 spots there were several gene products or ESTs included for the same RefSeq accession number but with a significantly different behavior.

We have also noticed in their array data that they had many spots with missing values, which could handicap the data and give misleading results, even though PAM checks for missing values and imputes them, using the K-nearest neighbor average expression for that gene (by default $\mathrm{k}=10$ ).

We tried to use this overlapping set of genes to classify samples of our dataset, but in this way the misclassification error was too large, and even the training error for her training set of tumors was larger than $10 \%$.


Figure 44: Hierarchical clustering of 115 tumor tissues and 7 nonmalignant tissues using the "intrinsic" gene set. Dendrogram shows the clustering of the samples into five subtypes of IDC. Figure from Sorlie et al. 2003.

Besides, Sorlie's "intrinsic list" has also failed for class prediction when applied to other sets of breast tumor microarray data, possibly due to work with a small number of overlapping genes, change of nature of microarray platform (oligos/cDNA), microarray technology, sample used as reference, selection of genes represented on the array, experimental conditions, patient selection or breast tumor sample origin. This is being reported in various studies (Ein-Dor et al. 2005; Michiels et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2005).

Therefore after this attempt, we concluded that it was better to use our own dataset of well-characterized samples by clinicians and our complete gene collection to find distinctive breast tumor subtypes. Later, our approach was, once the discriminant genes from our phenotypes were selected, to extract the data from Sorlie, and see if with our gene set we could find other patterns in their expression and clinical data.

### 4.11.1 Determination of the training set of samples

The first set of 74 well characterized tumor samples tumors was used as a training set. Starting from the BGA supervised classification into 6 groups, we considered 5 subtypes labeled 1-5 after removing the "normal" group due to its small size (only 3 samples). Besides, there is no need for a prediction of normal samples since these samples are extracted from tissue adjacent to tumor. Scheme for this analysis is shown in Figure 45.


Figure 45: Scheme of the PAM procedure applied to our set of breast tumor samples.
The K-nearest neighbor shrunken centroid method was used as classification engine, employing 10 neighbors. Columns, that is, tumor samples, were centered to the mean and scaled, for correction of batch effects. Training error was plotted and sample classification was observed. Tumor samples were exchanged among the classes, in order to obtain the lowest training error. The training error plot is represented in Figure 46.


Figure 46: Training error plot for PAM classification. The minimum training error is found at a threshold $\Delta$ of 1.32 , using a set of 506 genes as the minimal classification set. Using only 94 genes the training error is still below $10 \%$.

Classification of tumor samples was distributed as shown in Table 11.

Table 11: Tumor sample prediction among the 5 predicted classes.
Training Confusion Matrix (Threshold=1.32)

| TruelPredicted | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | Class Error rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |

During the cross-validation the parameter $\Delta$, which sets the amount of shrinkage, is iteratively increased to balance prediction accuracy and the selection of a minimal set of genes. Using as threshold a $\Delta$ of 1.33 , which includes 506 genes as significant, just two samples were differently assign: sample T77 which would change from class 1 to class 3, and sample T60 classified as belonging to class 2 (Figure 47).


Figure 47: Cross-validated probabilities of the training set of tumor samples.
From those 506 genes, our "intrinsic list", a set of top discriminating genes between classes can be selected, which have greater classification weight due to their absolute change in gene-expression amongst the classes. The parameter $\Delta$ is further used to minimize the gene list during the prediction. During a ten-fold cross-validation, $\Delta$ was increased so that a lesser misclassification error could be chosen for the final model. Using just 150 significant genes between classes, that is, selecting a threshold $\Delta$ of 2.65 , misclassification error was less than $1 \%$, and only two samples were misclassified after cross-validation: sample T60 firstly assign to class 3 was predicted to belong to class 2, and sample T77 was predicted to be in class 3. The final class prediction model with their nearest shrunken centroids is shown in Figure 48 showing their cross-validated probabilities.

From the clinical histopatological records, the 12 tumor samples from the training set which belonged to PAM class 1 are ER+ (10/12) and PR+ (10/12), all are p53- and have low histological grade. From these 12 samples, 11 are free of disease and only one, tumor sample ID T65, had recurrence in liver and bone. From these findings, this subtype of tumor consists of hormonedependent tumors, often luminal or endocrine-like, which may reflect the origin of the cancer cell, with overall good prognosis.

PAM Class 2 contains 26 samples which are mostly ER+ (25/26), PR+ (18/26), a few samples were positive for p53+ (8/26), and also a few have high histological grade (5/26). From these 26 samples, only 2 had recurrence of visceral or systemic type. The other 24 samples are disease-free. This class has high expression of ER co-regulated genes such as GATA3, genes found regulated by estrogens as TFF1, TFF3, SERPINA3, or products of estradiol metabolism as CYP2B6 or HSD17B4. This tumor subtype is hormonedependent, luminal or endocrine-like, and has good prognosis with a $92 \%$ of
disease-free-survival. This class is similarly termed in Sorlie's as luminal subtype A and shares genes in common with Sorlie's luminal subtype A.

Class 3 contains 19 samples, including ER+ (12/19), PR+ (7/19), p53+ (7/19) and high grade is found in 7 samples. There has not been follow-up in three cases, and in three other cases patient had recurrence locally, liver and bone.

Class 4 is the ERBB2+ subtype characterized by high expression of several genes in the ERBB2 amplicon at 17q12-q24 (Bergamaschi et al. 2006) including the ERBB2 gene, TOP2A, CDC6, PPARBP, GRB2, and SMARCE1. One out if five samples is ER+. These patients are now, after treatment, disease-free.

Class 5 is the characteristic basal-like subtype characterized by high expression of keratins 5A and 5B and showed also high expression of other basal epithelial genes as KIT1 and ID4. These are also termed as "triple-negative tumors" as they are found negative for ER, PR and ERBB2. 9 out of 12 samples are p53+ and have high histological grade (10/12). This tumor subtype has a lower disease-free survival time and 7 patients presented metastasis in lung, viscerae, central nervous system, systematically or locally, and died from the disease.


Figure 48: Expression shrunken centroids of each of the five subclasses showing the most discriminating genes, with higher scores, between predicted breast tumor subtypes.

A summary of the PAM predicted classification of the training set together with the clinical histopathological features is shown at Table 12. BGA two classes and BGA multiple class predicted classes is shown in parallel to PAM.

Table 12: Class prediction of tumor samples by PAM.

| Sample ID | Patient ID | ER | PR | her-2 | p53 | BRCA1/2 | Histological grade | Tumor size | Lymph node status | Metastatic sites | $\begin{gathered} \text { BGA - Two } \\ \text { Class - Axis1 } \end{gathered}$ | BGA ER two class | BGA <br> multiclass | PAM multiclass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T02 | R7540 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N3 | M0 | 56.3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T03 | R7545 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N2 | M0 | -12.4 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| T04 | R7553 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T3 | N1 | M0 | -238.9 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| T05 | R7612 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 124.3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T06 | R7614 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -62.6 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| T07 | R7692 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGO | T3 | N1 | M1 | -290.6 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| T08 | R7693 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGO | T3 | N1 | M1 | -285.7 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| T09 | R7617 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 167.9 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T10 | R7541 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N2 | m0 | -59.1 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T12 | R7906 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N1 | M0 | 117.2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T13 | R7904 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T3 | N0 | M0 | 43.8 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| T14 | R7939 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | m0 | 127.8 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T15 | R7946 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N1 | M0 | 189.8 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T17 | R8150 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGO | IS | N0 | M0 | 108.9 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| T18 | R8153 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 88.8 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T20 | R8403 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | N3 | M0 | -70.4 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T22 | R10101 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T2 | N0 | m0 | 134.0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T23 | R10103 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N1 | M0 | 55.5 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T24 | R10104 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 163.8 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T25 | R10105 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 16.6 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T26 | R10107 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N1 | M0 | 46.6 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T27 | R10108 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T4 | N1 | M0 | 73.9 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T28 | R10109 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -115.1 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T29 | R10112 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | 423.5 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| T30 | R10113 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 2.1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T31 | R10115 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T3 | N0 | M0 | 88.3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T32 | R10116 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T3 | N0 | M0 | 149.9 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T33 | R10117 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -33.3 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| T35 | R6277 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -208.6 | 0 | 5 | 4 |
| T37 | R6282 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | m0 | 28.4 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T38 | R6283 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | -14.6 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| T39 | R6250 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -104.9 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T40 | R6254 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M1 | -248.7 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| T41 | R6255 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M1 | -234.8 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| T42 | R6265 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2+ | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N1 | M0 | 59.9 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| T43 | R6267 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N1 | M0 | -16.8 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| T44 | R6280 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 36.3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T45 | R6285 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HGO | T1 | N0 | M0 | -36.0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| T46 | R6345 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -19.4 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T47 | R6256 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N2 | M0 | -68.0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T48 | R7140 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N2 | M0 | -71.5 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T49 | R7142 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -73.4 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T50 | R7143 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N3 | M1 | -63.2 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T51 | R7170 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -103.0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T52 | R7176 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -211.2 | 0 | 5 | 4 |
| T53 | R7145 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -109.4 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T54 | R7156 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -219.8 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| T55 | R7322 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HGO | T3 | N3 | M0 | -135.8 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| T56 | R7328 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T4 | N0 | M0 | -146.9 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| T57 | R7331 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -238.3 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| T59 | R7335 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG1 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -89.9 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T60 | R7343 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M1 | -9.1 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T61 | R7346 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -44.7 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T62 | R7347 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N2 | M0 | -64.5 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| T63 | R7351 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ | BRCA2 | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -217.8 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| T64 | R12849 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -471.3 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| T65 | R12853 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N2 | M0 | 469.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| T66 | R12854 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HGx | T2 | N1 | M0 | -392.0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| T67 | R12856 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 49.2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| T68 | R12860 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N3 | M0 | 352.6 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| T69 | R12861 | ER- | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 525.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| T71 | R13627 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T3 | N1 | M0 | 252.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| T72 | R13628 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N1 | M0 | 179.6 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| T73 | R13629 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 212.3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| T74 | R13630 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -341.4 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| T75 | R13631 | ER- | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 | 362.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| T76 | R13632 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 268.2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| T77 | R13633 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 397.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| T78 | R13713 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 | -208.0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| T79 | R13714 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2+ | P53- |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -545.6 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| T80 | R13715 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M0 | 17.0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| T81 | R13719 | ER- | PR- | ERBB2- | P53+ |  | HG3 | T2 | N0 | M0 | -598.0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| T82 | R13721 | ER+ | PR- | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HGO | T2 | N0 | M0 | 414.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| T83 | R13722 | ER+ | PR+ | ERBB2- | P53- |  | HG1 | T2 | N1 | M0 | 756.2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

### 4.11.2 Selection of the most significant molecular markers

PAM can identify the minimal subsets of the genes that distinctively characterize each cluster. The effect of selecting a lower threshold $\Delta$ gives a higher weight to those genes whose expression is more stable within samples of the same gene expression signature class. The 150 most dicriminant genes for each identified class and their scores is shown in Table 13.

Table 13: List of most significant genes for subtype discrimination at a shrinkage parameter $\Delta$ of 2.65. A positive score means up-regulated gene expression, and a negative score means down-regulated gene expression.

GENES UP-REGULATED

| CLASS 1 |  |  | CLASS 2 |  |  | CLASS 3 |  |  | CLASS 4 |  |  | CLASS 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbol | GenBank | Score | Symbol | GenBank | Score | Symbol | GenBank | Score | Symbol | GenBank | Score | Symbol | GenBank | Score |
| SERPINB2 | NM_002575 | 0.4208 | TFF1 | NM_003225 | 0.5936 | CAV1 | NM_001753 | 0.2563 | TOP2A | NM_001067 | 0.717 | KRT5 | NM_005554 | 0.7143 |
| CEBPD | BM924801 | 0.3854 | gata3 | NM_002051 | 0.582 | APOD | NM_001647 | 0.1576 | ERBB2 | NM_004448 | 0.709 | KRT5 | NM_005555 | 0.7006 |
| CCNH | NM_001239 | 0.2498 | SCGB1D2 | NM_006551 | 0.3562 | FGF7 | NM_002009 | 0.1458 | SMARCE1 | NM_003079 | 0.7011 | S100A2 | NM_005978 | 0.579 |
| DIAPH3L | BC041395 | 0.24 | CYP2B6 | NM_000767 | 0.3195 | CCND2 | NM_001759 | 0.1321 | CDC6 | NM_001254 | 0.6574 | CCNB2 | NM_004701 | 0.5079 |
| GPR126 | NM_020455 | 0.1314 | IFITM1 | NM_003641 | 0.227 | SLC2A3 | NM_006931 | 0.1318 | H2AFY2 | NM_018649 | 0.3356 | MAD2L1 | NM_002358 | 0.401 |
| CXCR4 | NM_003467 | 0.1244 | SERPINA3 | NM_001085 | 0.1779 | DUSP1 | NM_004417 | 0.1211 | SMARCA4 | NM_003072 | 0.236 | E2F3 | NM_001949 | 0.392 |
| PPIA | NM_021130 | 0.1105 | TFF3 | NM_003226 | 0.1744 | TMEM2 | NM_013390 | 0.0989 | GRB2 | NM_002086 | 0.1721 | TSPYL5 | NM_033512 | 0.3796 |
| PALM2-AKAP2 | NM_007203 | 0.0807 | AR | NM_000044 | 0.1631 | GEM | NM_005261 | 0.0878 | PPARBP | NM_004774 | 0.1495 | TP53BP2 | NM_005426 | 0.3581 |
| LOH11CR1J | AB096249 | 0.0615 | SERTAD1 | NM_013376 | 0.1608 | EGR1 | NM_001964 | 0.0725 | DUSP6 | BC037236 | 0.1385 | PRC1 | NM_003981 | 0.288 |
| PTGS2 | NM_000963 | 0.0402 | IL6ST | NM_002184 | 0.1492 | HDAC4 | NM_006037 | 0.013 | IGFBP2 | NM_000597 | 0.0773 | MSH6 | NM_000179 | 0.2681 |
| EGR1 | NM_001964 | 0.0213 | PHB | NM_002634 | 0.1247 |  |  |  |  |  |  | MELK | NM_014791 | 0.2591 |
| SCUBE2 | NM_020974 | 0.0158 | DHRS7 | NM_016029 | 0.1203 |  |  |  |  |  |  | LIN9 | NM_173083 | 0.2369 |
| DTL | NM_016448 | 0.0067 | STAT3 | NM_139276 | 0.1094 |  |  |  |  |  |  | HRASLS | NM_020386 | 0.2362 |
| GADD45A | NM_001924 | 0.0015 | TSC2 | NM_000548 | 0.1022 |  |  |  |  |  |  | NCOA7 | AL834442 | 0.2252 |
|  |  |  | IGFBP2 | NM_000597 | 0.0923 |  |  |  |  |  |  | RUNX2 | AL353944 | 0.2001 |
|  |  |  | POLR2E | NM_002695 | 0.0894 |  |  |  |  |  |  | CDC2 | NM_001786 | 0.1966 |
|  |  |  | MUC1 | NM_002456 | 0.0893 |  |  |  |  |  |  | KRT5 | NM_000424 | 0.1588 |
|  |  |  | MX1 | NM_002462 | 0.078 |  |  |  |  |  |  | CDC7 | NM_003503 | 0.1552 |
|  |  |  | HSD17B4 | NM_000414 | 0.076 |  |  |  |  |  |  | CKS2 | NM_001827 | 0.1052 |
|  |  |  | CCNG2 | NM_004354 | 0.0727 |  |  |  |  |  |  | C16orf61 | NM_020188 | 0.0983 |
|  |  |  | MS4A7 | NM_021201 | 0.0706 |  |  |  |  |  |  | RFC4 | NM_002916 | 0.0775 |
|  |  |  | GTF2E2 | NM_002095 | 0.067 |  |  |  |  |  |  | GMPS | NM_003875 | 0.075 |
|  |  |  | ZNF533 | NM_152520 | 0.0625 |  |  |  |  |  |  | MMP9 | NM_004994 | 0.0673 |
|  |  |  | HSPB1 | NM_001540 | 0.0516 |  |  |  |  |  |  | MCM6 | NM_005915 | 0.0668 |
|  |  |  | CHD3 | AK096555 | 0.0376 |  |  |  |  |  |  | E2F5 | NM_001951 | 0.0627 |
|  |  |  | ESR1 | NM_000125 | 0.0326 |  |  |  |  |  |  | MSH2 | NM_000251 | 0.0356 |
|  |  |  | IGFBP4 | NM_001552 | 0.0192 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ITGA6 | NM_000210 | 0.0345 |
|  |  |  | DDR1 | NM_013994 | 0.0057 |  |  |  |  |  |  | NFIB | NM_005596 | 0.0271 |
|  |  |  | IRF7 | NM_004031 | 0.0042 |  |  |  |  |  |  | PITRM1 | NM_014889 | 0.0253 |
|  |  |  | BCL2L2 | NM_004050 | 0.0029 |  |  |  |  |  |  | MYC | NM_002467 | 0.0222 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TFDP1 | NM_007111 | 0.009 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | KNTC2 | NM_006101 | 0.0085 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RPL7 | NM_000971 | 0.0052 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOP2A | NM_001067 | 0.0022 |

GENES DOWN-REGULATED

| CLASS 1 |  |  | CLASS 2 |  |  | CLASS 3 |  |  | CLASS 4 |  |  | CLASS 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbol | GenBank | Score | Symbol | GenBank | Score | Symbol | GenBank | Score | Symbol | GenBank | Score | Symbol | GenBank | Score |
| PHB | NM_002634 | -0.6152 | RND3 | X97758 | -0.2853 | CCNG2 | NM_004354 | -0.104 | SERPINA3 | NM_001085 | -0.8794 | GATA3 | NM_002051 | -0.9088 |
| PUM1 | NM_014676 | -0.5897 | MET | NM_000245 | -0.2512 | CCNE2 | NM_057749 | -0.054 | CEBPD | BM924801 | -0.4391 | KRT5 | NM_005555 | 0.7006 |
| EP300 | NM_001429 | -0.473 | SERPINB2 | NM_002575 | -0.2457 | ECT2 | NM_018098 | -0.0539 | CCNH | NM_001239 | -0.1246 | TFF3 | NM_003226 | -0.7792 |
| STAT3 | NM_139276 | -0.4536 | PTCH | AK124593 | -0.2293 | NCOA6 | NM_014071 | -0.0359 | IFITM1 | NM_003641 | -0.0619 | TFF1 | NM_003225 | -0.6242 |
| COL1A1 | NM_000088 | -0.3977 | DIAPH3L | BC041395 | -0.2186 | BAZ1A | NM_013448 | -0.0234 | MYC | NM_002467 | -0.0252 | AR | NM_000044 | -0.5377 |
| TMEM2 | NM_013390 | -0.3928 | KNTC2 | NM_006101 | -0.2141 | SCGB1D2 | NM_006551 | -0.0158 |  |  |  | TGFB3 | NM_003239 | -0.5344 |
| RELA | NM_021975 | -0.3469 | SCUBE2 | NM_020974 | -0.2127 |  |  |  |  |  |  | HSD17B4 | NM_000414 | -0.5131 |
| RELA | NM_021975 | -0.3337 | GPR126 | NM_020455 | -0.1812 |  |  |  |  |  |  | SCGB2A2 | NM_002411 | -0.4286 |
| MMP9 | NM_004994 | -0.3009 | WNT6 | NM_006522 | -0.1488 |  |  |  |  |  |  | IGFBP4 | NM_001552 | -0.4012 |
| MCM5 | NM_006739 | -0.285 | RAD54B | NM_012415 | -0.1173 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ITGB5 | NM_002213 | -0.4007 |
| RUNX2 | NM_004348 | -0.2787 | CCNE1 | NM_001238 | -0.1167 |  |  |  |  |  |  | DDB2 | NM_000107 | -0.3569 |
| CDK4 | NM_000075 | -0.2643 | PTGS2 | NM_000963 | -0.108 |  |  |  |  |  |  | RP11-977G19 | AC073896 | -0.2732 |
| HMGN2 | NM_005517 | -0.237 | CDC20 | NM_001255 | -0.1061 |  |  |  |  |  |  | TEK | NM_000459 | -0.1911 |
| COL4A2 | NM_001846 | -0.2097 | CDKN3 | NM_005192 | -0.0933 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \|L6ST | NM_002184 | -0.1832 |
| CTSD | NM_001909 | -0.178 | CDC20 | NM_001255 | -0.0828 |  |  |  |  |  |  | DUSP1 | NM_004417 | -0.1745 |
| APEX1 | NM_001641 | -0.1533 | CDCA7 | NM_031942 | -0.0717 |  |  |  |  |  |  | FOS | NM_005252 | -0.1443 |
| DHX9 | NM_001357 | -0.1453 | NCOA7 | AL834442 | -0.0674 |  |  |  |  |  |  | C200rf149 | NM_024299 | -0.1096 |
| AKT1 | NM_005163 | -0.1342 | HOXA11 | NM_005523 | -0.0482 |  |  |  |  |  |  | BCL2L1 | NM-138578 | -0.0748 |
| CDK5 | NM_004935 | -0.1271 | NPAL2 | NM_024759 | -0.0368 |  |  |  |  |  |  | DUSP6 | BC037236 | -0.0594 |
| CKS1B | NM_001826 | -0.095 | KIAA1357 | XM_050421 | -0.0341 |  |  |  |  |  |  | PECI | NM_006117 | -0.0194 |
| TOP2A | NM_001067 | -0.0846 | BIRC3 | NM_001165 | -0.0327 |  |  |  |  |  |  | CCNH | NM_001239 | -0.018 |
| POLR2E | NM_002695 | -0.0732 | EXO1 | NM_130398 | -0.0296 |  |  |  |  |  |  | PARP3 | NM_005485 | -0.0098 |
| LMNA | NM_170707 | -0.0687 | IGFBP3 | NM_000598 | -0.0285 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCGB1C1 | NM-145651 | -0.0631 | CD24 | AK125531 | -0.0284 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XRCC3 | NM_005432 | -0.0366 | CHES1 | NM_005197 | -0.0262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MK167 | NM_002417 | -0.0222 | KRT5 | NM_005554 | -0.0253 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RPL7 | NM_000971 | -0.0032 | S100A2 | NM_005978 | -0.0225 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | E2F3 | NM_001949 | -0.0205 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FANCD2 | NM_033084 | -0.0172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DNMT3B | NM_006892 | -0.0137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DTL | NM_016448 | -0.0113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.11.3 Analysis of PAM predicted subtypes by Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis.

GSEA was supplied with our data files: a chip annotation file, an expression dataset file, a phenotype label file, and gene sets files. Firstly the Breast Cancer Chip v4.0 chip annotation file (file extension .chip) with HUGO gene symbols was loaded in GSEA in order to employ it as background for gene set enrichment analysis.

The expression dataset containing features (genes), samples and an expression value of all 74 tumor samples (format .gct) and the PAM predicted phenotype labels (format .cls) were also imported into GSEA following (see chapter 3.19.3).

Gene sets of most significant genes of each of the PAM predicted breast tumor phenotypes on our set of tumor samples were added to the customized collection of gene sets c5.

GSEA was used in order to perform gene expression signature analysis with already known gene set collections previously described (c1, c2, c3, and c4), and the newly created customized gene-set collection c5, to identify pathways in which the genes could be associated to the predicted breast tumor phenotype. Enrichment test were run using the default settings of the program but changing the minimal size of the gene set to 10 since some of them are smaller than the default minimum of 15 .

We selected gene sets with nominal $p$-value less than $1 \%$, since our intention was to discover similarities between gene sets without being too stringent, since and our gene sets were sometimes small. Nominal $p$-value is an unadjusted $p$ value which estimates the statistical significance of a gene-set without adjusting for gene-set size or multiple hypothesis testing. The FDR statistic adjusts for both. Typically, an FDR of less than $25 \%$ is most likely to generate more consistent hypothesis but is infrequent to achieve this statistical power with small gene sets.

## Analysis of PAM subtype 1

PAM class 1 has 2 gene sets form the c5 dataset collection which are significantly enriched at nominal p-value < $1 \%$ and 6 gene sets are significantly enriched at nominal $p$-value $<5 \%$. In this case no gene sets were found enriched with a FDR of less than $25 \%$.

Leading-edge analysis was performed to find the similarities among different significant gene lists and to determine which genes would have more weight. Mainly, the enriched gene sets correspond to genes which were typically induced by progestins after 6 h in studies using T47D model cell line, such as

AKAP13, CXCR4, BIRC3, GADD45A, ARID1A (SMARCF1), EGR1, DUSP1, CHES1, TGFB3, and FOS (Figure 49).


Figure 49: Leading edge analysis of PAM 1 tumor phenotype versus de rest of tumors.
Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA, see chapter 3.19.4) was used to analyze the most significant signaling pathways and functions of this phenotype. Lists of the most significant genes of each phenotype were imported into IPA. The most relevant functions of this tumor phenotype were cancer (with 26 molecules involved, $p$-value $=6.97 \mathrm{E}-26$ ) showing 3 activated molecules involved in cell tumorigenesis such as EGR1, PTGS2 and CXCR4, and others such as CEPDB, CCNH, SERPINB2 and GADD45A, are associated to tumor growth. The most represented molecular and cellular functions are cell growth and proliferation with 25 molecules taking part, and cell signaling with 20 genes of the list. PAM class 1 gene expression signature yielded three main networks. In Figure 50 is shown the most represented network with the discriminant genes of this subtype.


Figure 50: Network 1 of phenotype 1, in which the most significant signaling pathway is the activated glucocorticoid receptor signaling pathway. Molecules are shown in red/green relative to the PAM centroid expression value, red represented a positive score or up-regulated gene expression and green represented a negative score or down-regulated gene expression.

In network 1, there are 14 molecules of our intrinsic list involved, and there appear to be an activated glucocorticoid receptor pathway characteristic of a hormone-dependant tumor type. In network 2, there are 12 molecules of our set involved, related with ongoing inflammatory disease (Figure 51).

Network 2 : PAM_Class1: PAM_Class1
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Figure 51: Network 2 of phenotype 1 in a subcellular view, in which to most significant function is related to an inflammatory disease state.

If these two networks are merged, a gene network is obtained in which activated glucocorticoid receptor signaling is connected with inflammation and cell proliferation (Figure 52).

Networks 1,2 Merged 3


Figure 52: Merged network in a subcellular view of most significant genes of predicted subtype 1.

## Analysis of PAM subtype 2

Gene set analysis of this tumor phenotype overlaps significantly with a gene list of estrogen signaling (nominal p-value of 0.017), the Sorlie's Luminal A phenotype list (nominal p-value of 0.040 and the Van't Veer good prognosis signature, a gene set which is called "BRCA_ER_positive_signal" (Figure 53).
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Figure 53: Leading-edge Analysis of the GSEA results of the PAM phenotype 2. Genes found distinctive from PAM subtype 2 are aligned on the horizontal axis. Found similar gene lists from other studies are on the vertical axis. Red boxes are marked the overlaps between the different gene sets showing genes that are found over-expressed on those studies.

The analysis with IPA gave to their distinctive gene signature of that tumor phenotype a top scoring functional molecular categories which includes 37 significant molecules which were previously found in cancer ( $p$-value of 9.11E28). The top molecular and cellular functions are cell growth and proliferation with 31 molecules being involved, and cell signaling with 28 molecules being represented. Top canonical pathways found are aryl hydrocarbon receptor signaling, Erk/MAPK pathway, IL-6 signaling, and IGF-1 signaling.

Pathway analysis gave 3 most relevant networks. The most significant with 21 molecules (Figure 54) gave canonical signaling pathways strongly activated as the Erk/MAPK pathway with genes up-regulated such as ESR1, DDR1, HSPB1 and STAT3; IGF-1 signaling pathway with genes being up-regulated such as IGFBP1 and IGFBP4, but IGFBP2 down-regulated; IL-6 signaling with genes such as HSPB1, IL6ST, and STAT3; and glucocorticoid receptor signaling (PI3K, RAS, STAT3, and TGFB) and JAK/STAT signaling (PI3K, RAS, STAT, and STAT3).

Network 1: PAM_Class2 : PAM_Class2
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Figure 54: Network 1 of phenotype 2 in a subcellular view, in which the most significant canonical pathways are marked.

A second relevant network with a high score since it contains 30 genes from our gene list as it is shown in Figure 55. The score is based on a p-value calculation, which calculates the likelihood that the Network Eligible Molecules that are part of a network are found therein by random chance alone. A merged network 1 and 2 of phenotype 2 is shown in Figure 56 in a subcellular view.

Network 2 : PAM_Class2 : PAM_Class2
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Figure 55: Network 2 of phenotype 2 in a subcellular view, in which to most significant canonical pathways are added, such as estrogen receptor signaling, glucocorticoid receptor signaling and the aryl hydrocarbon receptor signaling.

Networks 1,2 Merged 2
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Figure 56: Merged networks 1 and 2 of phenotype 2 in a subcellular view.

## Analysis of PAM subtype 3

Ingenuity pathway analysis shows that this tumor subtype gene signature contains 12 significant molecules which were previously found in cancer ( $p$ value of $1.95 \mathrm{E}-11$ ). The top molecular and cellular functions are cell cycle ( 12 genes involved), gene expression (9), cell growth and proliferation (9), cellular development (8), and cell-cell signaling (8). Top canonical signaling pathways found significant are G1/S cell cycle checkpoint regulation with 3 genes involved: a positive expression of CCND2 and HDAC4, and negative expression of CCNE2; aryl hydrocarbon receptor signaling (CCND2 and CCNE2); PDGF signaling (CAV1, caveolin); and FGF signaling (FGF7). This tumor subtype has
two high scoring networks which overlap in two molecules (DUSP1 and CAV1). The first network (Figure 57) is driven to cellular growth and proliferation containing 10 molecules of our gene list of this tumor subtype. It is observed at the diagram that cell proliferation could be driven extracellularly by the fibroblast growth factor FGF7, an EGF-like molecule, interacting downstream with the transcription regulators CCND2 and EGR1. DUSP1 is known to take part in the glucocorticoid receptor pathway and act as negative feedback regulator of JNK/STAT and p38 MAPK signaling pathway (Amit et al. 2007). This phenotype of tumors partially resembles the "core serum response" described by Chang et al. (2004). Plasma membrane associated ER interacts with CAV1 which plays an important role in E2 induced signal transduction. Phosphorylation of caveolin-1 forces caveolae to leave the plasma membrane, thereby decreasing the amount of plasma membrane-associated caveolin-1. This loss of caveolin/caveolae activates the signal cascade that triggers cell proliferation (Kiss et al. 2005).

Network 1 : PAM_Class3: PAM_Class3
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Figure 57: Network 1 of phenotype 3 in a subcellular view, of the cell growth and proliferation signaling is driven extracellular by FGF7.

GSEA analysis shows an overlap with a gene list of down-regulated genes after hormone induction by means of progestin on breast cancer model cell line T47D, and with early induced genes 1 hr after E2 treatment such as MYC, EGR1, WISP2, STAT5A, CDKN1C, ITGA5, IGFBP1 and IGFP3 (NOM p-value $=0.045$ ). Also there is an overlap with a gene set of MYC target genes such as CCND2, CCNE1, DUSP1, CDKN1A, CDK4, CDKN2B, CCNA2, FN1, APEX1, HSPA4, and MYC itself (NOM p-value $=0.045$ ). Leading edge analysis of this tumor subtype is shown in Figure 58.

## 
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Figure 58: Leading edge analysis of tumor subtype 3.
If the two networks are merged, the obtained figure is shown in Figure 59.

Networks 1,2 Merged 3
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Figure 59: Merged network 1 and 2 of phenotype 3 in a subcellular view.

## Analysis of PAM subtype 4

This tumor subtype consists of the know ERBB2+ like tumors. 3 of the 5 cases in this class had HER-2 gene amplification by fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis. The most discriminating genes of this subtype are genes mainly involved in cell cycle progression and proliferation in breast cancer such as ERBB2, SMARCE1, TOP2A, SMARCA4, IGFBP2, and PPARBP (MED1), involved in cell signaling and activating Erk/MAPK signaling through GRB2 and the transcription modulator DUSP6 which acts as a repressor of Erk. The network obtained has a score of 40, and connects 14 genes of our list of 15 discriminant genes of the PAM predicted ERBB2+ tumor subtype (Figure 60). The most represented pathways are the estrogen receptor pathway, the glucocorticoid receptor pathway and the Erk/MAPK pathway. There is some evidence for the interaction of growth factor signaling (EGF, ERBB2) and steroid hormone pathway in controlling the growth of breast cancer cells. It seems that there is an apparent cross-talk between these growth regulatory systems (Wilson and Slamon 2005). ERBB2 overexpression is associated to resistance to Tamoxifen and could directly modulate ER levels (Arpino et al. 2005). As it is shown in the diagram, ERBB2 directly interacts with DUSP6 which act as a specific transcriptional repressor of Erk (Amit et al. 2007). All these findings have led to the hypothesis that involves peptide growth factor pathways as possible mediators of the steroid hormone-independent phenotype in some human breast cancers, where peptide hormone pathways are replacing, in part, the steroid hormone pathways in regulating growth for these tumors.

Network 1 : PAM_Class4 : PAM_Class4
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Figure 60: Network 1 phenotype 4, ERBB2+-like, which shows how most of the discriminating genes (14/15) are connected.

GSEA analysis shows an overlap with Van't Veer negative prognosis gene list (called "BRCA_PROGNOSIS_NEG_signal", nominal $p$-value $=0.006$ ) with 18 genes (Figure 61), being highly expressed genes such as AURKA, MCM6, ECT2, NMU, DIAPH3, MAD2L1, CCNB2, and MELK. Other gene lists are genes of a serum fibroblast signature (NOM p-value $=0.011$ ), and a set of down-regulated genes after hormonal induction by progestins using another model cell line T47y which does not express PR endogenously but was transfected with a vector containing PR carrying a point mutation on the ERID domain, the interaction domain with $\mathrm{ER} \alpha$, and therefore has lost its ability to crosstalk and activate Erk1/2 signaling pathway (Ignacio Quiles, pH Doctoral Thesis, University of Pompeu Fabra, CRG, Barcelona). This indicates that genes that are usually induced by progestins are by introducing a mutation on the ERID domain down-regulated, and overlap in part with the discriminant genes of the PAM subtype 4.


Figure 61: Leading edge analysis of ERBB2+ tumor phenotype.

## Analysis of PAM subtype 5

This tumor subtype is the characteristic basal-like subtype characterize with high expression of keratins 5 A and 5 B and showed also high expression of other basal epithelial genes as KIT1 and ID4. These are also termed as "triplenegative tumors" as they are found negative for ER, PR and ERBB2. As we mentioned earlier, 9 out of 12 samples are p53+ and have high histological grade (10/12).

Ingenuity analysis shows that the genes most discriminating for this tumor subtype are mainly involved in cancer ( 36 of them, obtaining a $p$-value of 2.17 E 30. The molecular and cellular functions of this subtype are cell death, cell cycle, cellular growth and proliferation, and cell signaling. Pathway analysis gives three significant networks.

Network 1 : PAM_Class5: PAM_Class5
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Figure 62: Network 1 phenotype 5, basal-like-like.
A first network involves 21 molecules of our list, is shown at Figure 62. ER signaling pathway is inactive, ER regulated genes TFF1, FOS, TGFB3 and CCNH are down-regulated, and now, there is high expression of genes involved in G1/S mitotic cell phase with transcription factors being up-regulated such as E2F3, E2F5, MYC, TFDP1, promoting cell cycle progression to mitosis, indicative of high proliferative tumors. Erk/MAPK pathway is also inactive with dual specificity phosphatases DUSP1 and DUSP6, TEK tyrosine kinase and FOS down-regulated in this tumor subtype.

Network 2 : PAM_Class5 : PAM_Class5
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Figure 63: Network 2 phenotype 5, basal-like-like.
On a second significant network there is high expression of basal/myoepithelial genes such as KRT5/6 and calcium binding protein such as S100A (Figure 63).

Network 3 : PAM_Class5 : PAM_Class5
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Figure 64: Network 3 phenotype 5, basal-like-like.
On the third significant network by IPA, ER signaling pathway is also shown inactive in this tumor phenotype, as many genes typically regulated by ER or co-expressed by ER are down-regulated such as TFF3, ILST6, GATA3, FOS, and AR. (Figure 64).

Networks 1,2,3 Merged 1

© 2000-2007 Ingenuity Systems, Inc. All rights reserved

Figure 65: Merged networks of PAM predicted phenotype 5, basal-like breast tumors.
Merging the three networks (Figure 65), a gene expression picture of this tumor subtype is obtained where the ER signaling pathway is shut off, and a set of transcription factors such as MYC, RUNX2, TFDP1, E2F3 activate CCD2 and CCNB2, inducing the breast cancer cell to proliferate.

GSEA analysis found significant the list of Sorlie's basal-like subtype (called "BRCA_ER_negative_signal") with an FDR $q$-value of 0.101 , nominal $p$-value of 0.006 ), Van't Veer poor prognosis (FDR $q$-value of 0.209 , nominal $p$-value of 0.004 ), and Van't Veer breast cancer outcome good versus poor (FDR $q$-value of 0.464 , nominal $p$-value of 0.064 ). Leading edge analysis of most relevant results of GSEA is shown in Figure 66.


Figure 66: Leading edge analysis of GSEA result of PAM predicted subtype 5.

### 4.11.4 Analysis of the test or validation set

Using the 150 centroid genes used to classify into five breast cancer subtypes described above, a classification was performed of a new incoming batch of breast biopsy samples using Pearson correlation coefficient to centroids to assign each tumor sample into a predicted phenotype.

Since in this new batch of breast biopsies there were also included 3 samples of normal breast tissue taken from a tissue adjacent to a tumor, it was also used a classifier adding the centroid resulting from normal breast samples. The training set had only 3 normal samples, but one of them was not consistent by unsupervised clustering and was aligned with tumor samples (T70).

Selecting a threshold $\Delta$ of 1.31 , breast samples were assigning to a subtype centroid by means of Pearson correlation coefficient as the probability to belong to that class. Probabilities of the test set of new incoming samples are shown in Figure 67.


Figure 67: Class assignment and probabilities of the predicted test set.

In Table 14 the predicted probability is shown together with the clinical and histopathological patient data showing good correlation with molecular markers. Normal breast samples are correctly assigned. Triple-negative samples, those samples which are negative for ER, PR, and HER-2, are assigned with high probability to the poor prognosis basal-like subtype 5 . Only one sample is left unclassified, since probability of being assigned to a tumor subtype did not reach a $50 \%$. Grey cells means data not yet available.

Table 14: Test set probabilities and clinical histopathological data.

|  |  | Predicted Probabilities |  |  |  |  |  | Clinical and Histopathological data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Array ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Predicted } \\ & \text { Class } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Patient ID | ER | PR | HER-2 | p53 | Histological Grade | Tumor size | Lymph node status | Metastatic sites |
| T84 | 3 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.28 | R15301 | POS | NEG | 3+++ | NEG | HG2 | T1 | N0 | M0 |
| T85 | 3 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15302 | POS | POS | NEG- POLISOMICO | NEG | HG2 | T2 | N0 | M0 |
| T86 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | R15303 | NEG | NEG | NEG | POS | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 |
| T87 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | R15304 | NEG | NEG | NEG | POS | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 |
| T88 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15305 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | HG2 | T1 | N1 | M0 |
| T89 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.67 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | R15306 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 |
| T90 | 3 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15307 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | HG1 | T1 | N0 | M0 |
| T91 | 1 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | R15308 | POS | NEG | NEG | NEG | - | - | - | - |
| T92 | 1 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.33 | R15309 | NEG | NEG | 3+++ | NEG | - | - | - | - |
| T93 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | R15326 | NORM | AL BRE | EAST |  |  |  |  |  |
| T94 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | R15328 | NEG | NEG | NEG | NEG | HG3 | T1 | N1 | M0 |
| T95 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15330 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | - | T2 | N0 | M0 |
| T96 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | R15331 | NORM | AL BRE | EAST |  |  |  |  |  |
| T97 | 1 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.32 | R15332 | POS | POS | 3+AMPLIFICADO FISH | NEG | HG3 | T3 | N1 | M0 |
| T98 | Unclass | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | R15333 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | HG2 | T2 | N2 | M0 |
| T99 | 3 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15334 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | HG1 | T1 | N1 | M0 |
| T100 | 3 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15335 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | - | T2 | N1 | M0 |
| T101 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15336 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | HG1 | - | - | - |
| T102 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15371 | POS | POS | 2+AMPLIFICADO | NEG | HG3 | - | - | - |
| T103 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.04 | R15372 | POS | NEG | NEG 1+ | POS | HG3 | T1 | N0 | M0 |
| T104 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15374 | NEG | NEG | 3+AMPLIFICADO FISH | NEG | HG3 | - | - | - |
| T105 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15375 | POS | POS | NEG | POS | - | - | - | - |
| T106 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15376 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | - | - | - | - |
| T107 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | R15378 | NEG | NEG | NEG | POS | HG3 | - | - | - |
| T108 | 3 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | R15398 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | HG2 | - | - | - |
| T109 | 6 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.99 | R15399 | NORM | AL BRE | AST |  |  |  |  |  |
| T110 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | R15400 | NEG | NEG | NEG | POS | HG3 | - | - | - |
| T111 | 2 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15401 | POS | POS | NEG | - | HG2 | - | - | - |
| T112 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15402 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | - | - | - | - |
| T113 | 1 | 0.97 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15404 | POS | NEG | NEG | NEG | HG2 | - | - | - |
| T114 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15405 | POS | POS | NEG 1+ | NEG | HG2 | - | - | - |
| T115 | 1 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15406 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | HG2 | - | - | - |
| T116 | 1 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | R15407 | POS | POS | NEG | POS | HG3 | - | - | - |
| T117 | 1 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | R15408 | POS | POS | NEG | NEG | HG1 | - | - | - |

Clinical and histopathological characteristics of the all patients and their tumors of the PAM predicted groups in both training and test set are listed in Table 15.

Table 15: Clinical and histopathological characteristics of the patients and their tumors of the PAM predicted groups.

Clinical and histopathological characteristics of the patients of the PAM predicted groups

| All patients ( $\mathrm{n}=105$ ) |  | PAM 1 ( $\mathrm{n}=21$ ) | PAM 2 ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ) | PAM 3 ( $\mathrm{n}=31$ ) | PAM 4 ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) | PAM 5 ( $\mathrm{n}=17$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases |
| $\leq 40$ | 5 (4.8\%) | 1 (4.8\%) | 2 (6.9\%) | 1 (3.2\%) |  |  |
| $>40$ and $\leq 50$ | 11 (10.5\%) | 2 (9.5\%) | 4 (13.8\%) | 3 (9.7\%) |  |  |
| $>50$ and $\leq 60$ | 30 (28.6\%) | 6 (28.6\%) | 4 (13.8\%) | 9 (29.0\%) | 2 (33.3\%) | 6 (35.3\%) |
| $>60$ and $\leq 70$ | 27 (25.7\%) | 6 (28.6\%) | 7 (24.1\%) | 5 (16.1\%) | 3 (50\%) | 1 (5.9\%) |
| > 70 | 32 (30.5\%) | 4 (19.0\%) | 11 (37.9\%) | 6 (19.4\%) | 1 (16.7\%) | 5 (29.4\%) |
| Therapy | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases |
| HT only | 21 (20\%) | 5 (23.8\%) | 9 (31.0\%) | 8 (25.8\%) |  |  |
| QT only | 26 (24.8\%) |  | 3 (10.3\%) | 8 (25.8\%) | 2 (33.3\%) | 13 (76.5\%) |
| HT + QT | 38 (36.2\%) | 15 (71.4\%) | 17 (56.6\%) | 10 (32.3\%) | 3 (50\%) |  |
| neoadjuvant QT | 3 (2.9\%) |  |  |  |  | 3 (17,6\%) |
| HT + QT + Herceptin | 1 (1\%) | 1 (4.8\%) |  | 2 (6.5\%) |  |  |
| none | 5 (4.8\%) |  |  | 2 (6.5\%) | 1 (16.7\%) | 1 (5.9\%) |
| Tumor size, cm | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases |
| 1 | 51 (48.6\%) | 9 (42.9\%) | 17 (56.6\%) | 14 (45.2\%) | 2 (33.3\%) | 9 (52.9\%) |
| 2 | 42 (40\%) | 10 (47.6\%) | 8 (27.6\%) | 15 (48.4\%) | 4 (66.7\%) | 4 (24.5\%) |
| 3 | 9 (8.6\%) | 2 (9.5\%) | 2 (6.9\%) | 1 (3.2\%) |  | 4 (24.5\%) |
| 4 | 2 (1.9\%) |  | 2 (6.9\%) |  |  |  |
| isquemic | 1 (1\%) |  |  | 1 (3.2\%) |  |  |
| Lymph node | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases |
| none | 60 (57.1\%) | 11 (52.4\%) | 18 (62.1\%) | 20 (64.5\%) | 4 (66.7\%) | 8 (47.1\%) |
| 1 | 29 (27.6\%) | 7 (33.3\%) | 9 (31.0\%) | 4 (12.9\%) |  | 9 (52.9\%) |
| 2 | 8 (7.6\%) | 1 (4.8\%) | 1 (3.4\%) | 5 (16.1\%) |  |  |
| 3 | 6 (5.7\%) | 2 (9.5\%) | 1 (3.4\%) | 2 (6.5\%) | 1 (16.7\%) |  |
| micro | 1 (1\%) |  |  |  | 1 (16.7\%) |  |
| Metastatic sites | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases |
| none | 101 (96.2\%) | 21 (100\%) | 29 (100\%) | 29 (93.5\%) | 6 | 15 (88.2\%) |
| one | 4 (3.8\%) |  |  | 2 (6.5\%) |  | 2 (11.8\%) |
| Vascular invasion | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases |
| yes | 30 (28.6\%) | 7 (33.3\%) | 10 (34.5\%) | 7 (22.6\%) | 5 | 4 (23.5\%) |
| none | 74 (70.5\%) | 14 (66.7\%) | 19 (65.5\%) | 23 (74.2\%) | 1 (16.7\%) | 11 (64.7\%) |
| Histological grade | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases |
| 1 | 17 (16.2\%) | 6 (28.6\%) | 3 (10.3\%) | 8 (25.8\%) |  |  |
| 2 | 30 (28.6\%) | 8 (38.1\%) | 12 (41.4\%) | 7 (22.6\%) | 1 (16.7\%) | 1 (5.9\%) |
| 3 | 33 (31.4\%) | 3 (14.3\%) | 4 (13.8\%) | 9 (29.0\%) | 4 | 13 (76.5\%) |
| unknown | 25 (23.8\%) | 4 (19.0\%) | 10 (34.5\%) | 7 (22.6\%) | 1 (16.7\%) | 3 (17.6\%) |
| Recurrence | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases |
| free of disease (VL) | 58 (55.2\%) | 11 (52.4\%) | 24 (82.8\%) | 13 (41.9\%) | 4 (66.7\%) | 5 (29.4\%) |
| with disease (VE) | 5 (4.8\%) | 2 (9.5\%) | 1 (3.4\%) | 3 (9.7\%) |  | 1 (5.9\%) |
| exitus | 10 (9.5\%) | 1 (4.8\%) | 1 (3.4\%) | 2 (6.5\%) |  | 6 (35.3\%) |
| unknown | 31 (29.5\%) |  | 3 (10.3\%) | 14 (45.2\%) | 1 (16.7\%) | 5 (29.4\%) |
| no follow-up | 2 (1.9\%) |  |  | 1 (3.2\%) | 1 (16.7\%) |  |
| p53 status | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases |
| negative | 70 (66.7\%) | 20 (95,2\%) | 20 (69.0\%) | 23 (74.2\%) | 3 (50\%) | 3 (17,6\%) |
| positive | 34 (32.4\%) | 1 (4.8\%) | 8 (27.6\%) | 8 (25.8\%) | 3 (50\%) | 14 (82.4\%) |
| unknown | 1 (1\%) |  | 1 (3.4\%) |  |  |  |
| Steroid receptor status | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases |
| ER+ PR+ | 56 (53.3\%) | 14 (66,7\%) | 23 (79.3\%) | 17 (54.8\%) | 1 (16.7\%) |  |
| ER + PR- | 15 (14.3\%) | 4 (19.0\%) | 5 (17.2\%) | 5 (16.1\%) | 1 (16.7\%) |  |
| ER-PR+ | 2 (1.9\%) | 2 (9.5\%) |  |  |  |  |
| ER-PR- | 32 (30.5\%) | 1 (4.8\%) | 1 (3.4\%) | 9 (29.0\%) | 4 (66.7\%) | 17 (100\%) |
| her-2 status (IHC/FISH) | Number of cases (\%) | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases | Number of cases |
| negative/negative | 90 (85.7\%) |  | 28 (96.6\%) | 26 (83.9\%) |  | 16 (94.1\%) |
| positive/amplified polysome | 10 (9.5\%) | 2 (9.5\%) | 1 (3.4\%) | 4 (12.9\%) | 3 (50\%) | 1 (5.9\%) |
| negative/amplified polysome | 4 (3.8\%) | 2 (9.5\%) |  | 1 (3.2\%) | 1 (16.7\%) |  |
| positive/non-amplified polysome | 1 (1\%) |  |  |  | 1 (16.7\%) |  |

$\mathrm{HT}=$ hormone therapy; QT = chemotherapy: $\mathrm{IHC}=$ immunohistochemistry; FISH =fluorescence "in situ" hybridization

The more discriminating parameters of the basal-like subtype, PAM class 5 , are the higher percentage of high grade tumors (76.5\%) higher percentage of recurrence with exitus cases (35.55), higher percentage of p53 positive tumors (82.4\%), all are ER-PR- (100\%), and ERBB2- (94.1\%).

### 4.12 Real Time qPCR assay for confirming results of breast tumor samples

To validate the class prediction of the test set, breast samples T84 to T117, it was performed real time qPCR assays with a set of genes selected from the top discriminatory genes from each predicted class. It was also included DUSP1 and DUSP6, for their role as negative feedback in growth factor signaling. DUSP1 preferentially inactivates JNK/p38 signaling, and DUSP6 is a repressor of Erk signaling pathway (Amit et al. 2007).

Primer pairs were design as explained in chapter 3.20.1. Reverse transcription of Total RNA samples were performed as stated in chapter 3.20.2, with a difference, which is that it was employed a mix of oligo-dT and random primers (hexamers) in a 1 to 1 proportion, that is, 50 pmoles of oligo dT and $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ per transcription reaction, since our breast tumor samples are not intact but partially degraded.

List of primers for real time qPCR assays are listed at Appendix A4.
Assay efficiencies for each primer pair were calculated as in chapter 3.20.3, but using for these assays a pool of breast tumor samples, for taking in consideration the quality of the RNA besides of the primer pair efficiency (Table 16).

6 genes were included as endogenous controls to determine whether a single gene or a combination of various genes were suitable for normalization of the whole set. These genes were selected since they were previously selected for primary breast tumor samples (Szabo et al. 2004). Each sample was assayed by duplicate. It was included a "minus RT" control for checking any genomic DNA carry-over, and a "non-template" control for each primer pair for check for the specificity of the assay. As a result, the breast tumor "gene panel" consisted in 21 genes, and looked as in Figure 68.

Ct values from duplicates were averaged, and referred to the calibrator sample, which is UHRR, as it was used at microarray gene profiling analysis.

Table 16: Breast tumor samples gene panel.

| Function | Symbol (alias) | RefSeq | Efficiency | Efficiency (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Endogenous | ACTN | NM_001102 | 1.855 | 92.75 |
| Endogenous | GAPD | NM_002046 | 1.943 | 97.15 |
| Endogenous | MRPL19 | NM_014763 | 1.831 | 91.55 |
| Endogenous | SF3A1 | NM_005877 | 1.904 | 95.20 |
| Endogenous | PUM1 | NM_014676 | 1.98 | 99.00 |
| Endogenous | PSMC4 | NM_006503 | 1.97 | 98.50 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 1 | SERPINB2 | NM_002575 | 1.806 | 90.30 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 1 | CEBPD | NM_005195 | 1.852 | 92.60 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 2 | TFF1 (pS2) | NM_003225 | 1.894 | 94.70 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 2 | GATA3 | NM_002051 | 1.942 | 97.10 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 2 | SERPINA3 | NM_001085 | 1.873 | 93.65 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 3 | CAV1 | NM_001753 | 1.916 | 95.80 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 3 | APOD | NM_001647 | 1.989 | 99.45 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 3 | DUSP1 | NM_004417 | 1.864 | 93.20 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 4 | TOP2A | NM_001067 | 1.97 | 98.50 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 4 | ERBB2 | NM_004448 | 1.517 | 75.85 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 4 | SMARCE1 | NM_003079 | 1.788 | 89.40 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 4 | SMARCA4 | NM_003072 | 1.866 | 93.30 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 4 | DUSP6 | BC037236 | 1.982 | 99.10 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 5 | KRT5 | NM_000424 | 1.855 | 92.75 |
| Up-regulated in <br> PAM Class 5 | S100A2 | NM_005978 | 1.845 | 92.25 |



Figure 68: Gene panel the breast tumor samples for microarray data validation.
Three of the assayed tumor samples failed, T91, T92, and T93, since there was not enough Total RNA sample for reverse transcription.

Real time qPCR data was normalized applying geNORM (see chapter 3.20.5) to determine the more suitable set of genes for normalization of real time qPCR data. This algorithm gives as the most stable genes across all tumor samples, were MRPL19 and PSMC4, and the least stable was GAPD and ACTN1 (Figure 69).

Average expression stability values of remaining control genes


Figure 69: Average expression stability of reference genes by geNORM.

GeNORM calculates the pairwise variation $V$ between two sequential normalization factors containing an increasing number of genes. A large variation means that the added gene has a significant effect and should preferably be included for calculation of a reliable normalization. The lowest cutoff value for the pairwise variation was 0.247 using 4 genes (excluding ACTN1 and GAPD) (Figure 70).

Determination of the optimal number of control genes for normalization


Figure 70: Pairwise variation is the lowest by using 4 control genes (V3/4) to compute the normalization factor for each gene.

The obtained Cp values are transformed to quantities by referring to the calibrator sample, in our case the UHRR sample, which was our reference sample at microarrays. A normalization factor for each gene is calculated from the geometric mean of all control genes ( $n=4$ ). Normalized quantities of each gene are obtained by dividing raw quantity values by the normalization factor.

Results of the screen of the later test set of 34 tumor samples are resumed at Appendix A12. Microarray $\log _{2}$ Ratio value is also stated in parallel. It is observed how the expression values by real time qPCR are similar to those obtained by means of microarray analysis.

Microarray data has good correlation with Real Time qPCR; expression values as it is noted by the coefficient of correlation between both data values of each tumor sample. As expected, values are larger by means of Real Time qPCR than with microarray technique.

As a conclusion from this experiment, gene panel could be useful, it is able discriminate between distinct phenotypic subtypes by its distinctive genes in a context of a group of samples.

But, in my opinion, a gene panel with only 15 genes, it is a too reduced approximation to the reality. Gene expression profiling by means of microarray gives a much better characterization of the breast tumor samples.

## 5 Discussion

### 5.1 Establishment of the custom cDNA breast cancer microarray platform

Gene expression profiling using DNA microarrays allows the simultaneous determination of gene expression status of hundreds to thousands of genes, thus it permits to obtain an instant picture of a tissue, being either a cell line or a more heterogeneous sample such as a breast tumor specimen.

The establishment of the customized breast cancer cDNA microarray has been a major success at the CRG. Due to its replicate sample reproducibility, the highest signal to noise at microarray facility, and the intrinsic hybridization specificity due to DNA long probes, it has been successfully used, so far in 476 samples at various gene expression studies at the CRG. Since it has a reduced format, having only 820 unique genes, and the actual lower cost of whole genome commercial platforms, it has been slowly replaced.

Another interesting feature of this platform is that in every new print were included new biomarkers that were found interesting at references and potentially involved in breast cancer. This made the BCA platform to be always evolving.

### 5.2 In vitro studies of the dynamic hormonal response.

To study the signaling pathways that play a major role in a breast tumor environment and lead to tumor progression, an in vitro model system was first approached to understand the underlying mechanisms of gene expression in response to hormones.

Breast cancer model cell line T47D-MTVL was investigated under the effect of progestins and estradiol, with the objective to elucidate the signaling pathways in which the endogenously expressing hormone receptors ER and PR respond to artificially added hormones over time. Recent mathematical algorithms specially indicated for this type of experiment, were applied to investigate the dynamic behavior of our population of cells. These algorithms account for the longitudinal sampling of each replicate, and lead us to the identification of the molecules involved in hormonal response, assigning them to various cell biological and molecular functions. Statistical significance analysis was performed to detect genes whose expression changed with a single hormone treatment (E2, R5020) and to identify genes responding differently or similarly between treatments. Genes were further classified based upon their behavior throughout the experimental course, grouping genes that have the same trend, following the premise by which genes that are found to be co-expressed at a certain time and follow similar patterns of expression are functionally related, and often activated through the same transcription factors. Early response
transcription factor genes whose transcription was activated by hormonal induction were also identified, which will be later involved in the rapid activation of various target genes initiating transcription of target proteins. A group of transcription factors were activated early by both hormone treatment which included FOS, ATF3, MYC and SNAI1. This fact indicated that both hormone treatments share similar mechanisms of cell signaling. On the contrary, another set of genes were found to be only activated by progestins and not by estradiol or with a minor response. These genes are GTFH2, NEO1, MAPK7, ABL1, and RPS6KA1, which are involved in cytoplasmatic mitogenic signaling cascades. As a global result, cell cycle progression is time delayed with estradiol in comparison with progestin.

By means of the Erk1/2 pathway specific kinase inhibitor PD98059 (PD) or ER antagonist ICI182780 (ICI), the contribution of the different mechanisms of action of progestins or estradiol in the induction of hormone target genes were further elucidated. Genes which are inhibited by PD, by ICI, or by both drugs at fixed time intervals after hormone induction were also identified. The genes that are induced by hormones and later are inhibited by both PD and ICI are genes that are activated via ER $\alpha-P R-B$ crosstalk mechanism and are dependent on the activation of the Erk1/2 signaling pathway. On the other hand, genes that are induced by hormones, and later only inhibited by PD and not by ICI, are genes dependent on the Erk1/2 signaling pathway but ER independent. Genes that are induced by hormones, but inhibited only by ICI and not by PD, are consequently independent of the Erk1/2 pathway and only ER dependent, and therefore are dependent on the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, the JAK/STAT signaling pathway or other still unknown mechanisms. And finally, genes that are induced by hormones but neither inhibited by PD nor by ICI will be independent of ER $\alpha-P R-B$ crosstalk, independent of Erk1/2 and would be due to a purely genomic signaling pathway or other still unknown mechanisms (see Appendix 10 for Venn diagrams).

From the analysis of 120 genes found to be induced by progestin, 75 of them are neither inhibited by PD nor ICI after 6 h of hormonal induction. Therefore it can be confirmed that the majority of genes in our analysis are induced independently of the ER $\alpha-P R-B$ crosstalk, independent of the Erk1/2 signaling pathway, and are most probably due to a direct genomic signaling pathway, PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, JAK/STAT signaling pathway or other still unknown mechanisms.

In the case of E2, from the 95 genes induced by E2 in the T47D model cell line, a third of them are unaffected by either PD or ICI one hour after induction. Again, global gene response to progestins, in our model cell line, is more mitogenic than with estradiol. In the case of estradiol, the cell cycle progression to mitosis is delayed. This probably occurs since cytoplasmatic signaling cascades through MAPK and PI3K kinase signaling pathways are to a large extent more effective at initiating transcription of target genes. It has been observed that other model cell lines, like for example MCF7, have a stronger response to estradiol, possibly due to a higher content of ER.

### 5.3 Breast tumor gene expression signatures

Gene expression profiling using microarray technology allows the definition of a tumor phenotype from the expression pattern of several genes simultaneously, in contrast to standard methodologies which rely on a few pathological and immunohistochemical markers. Tumors can be more finely classified based on a combination of genes whose expression level is able to discriminate efficiently between clinically different phenotypes of breast tumor. This in turn could be used to establish if they require different treatment strategies.

Due to the genetic heterogeneity of the sample, breast tumors can not be treated individually. Probably, expression profiling variation due to the heterogeneity of the biopsy specimen (proportion of tumoral cells, blood vessels, stromal cells, ductal or lobular tissue), can be observed on the microarray result of different samples from the same tumor, and can explain variability among tumors of similar clinical type.

Our first objective was to classify tumor samples in our population of breast tumor biopsies into various gene expression phenotypes. This was firstly approached employing an unsupervised hierarchical clustering algorithm, where samples were distributed into two groups with maximal differential gene expression. The two main branches of the tree dendrogram, segregated samples based upon their hormone receptor status into ER negative or positive. The first profile of 11 breast tumor samples had characteristic overexpression of genes such as TP53BP2, S100A2, KRT5, NF1B, HRASLS, GPR180, MMP9 and RUNX2, which are distinctive basal/myoepithelial-like molecular markers. These breast tumors are hormone independent and often p53+, and have a poor prognosis (Sorlie et al. 2003, van't Veer et al. 2002, and van de Vijver et al. 2002). To discover new subtypes a threshold line was drawn and 7 sets of tumors were obtained. These were investigated at the functional ontology level to see whether they showed any characteristic cell signaling property, but since the gene lists were small, significant hits were found in three groups of seven.

Unsupervised clustering can be a first exploratory approach as a method to distribute samples on a tree dendrogram, grouping samples upon their similarity metric as the distance, and ultimately for class discovery. Unsupervised clustering can be used only when the discovered classes are clearly divergent. In our dataset of tumor samples, the basal/myoepithelial-like subtype showed this behavior and yielded significant functional annotation traits.

A supervised approach was employed applying principal components analysis by BGA, dividing the tumor collection into two datasets, the training and the test set, since at the time of the analysis the clinical histopathological data were unknown. Unexpectedly, the use of this test set as a validation set awaits follow up of patients' disease progress. The distribution of the samples versus their ER status resulted in more than two groups. The samples that were determined to be basal-like by FADA were again distributed together in a separate group, indicating that this set of tumors is a coherent class with a distinct signature in
agreement with other studies in different patient populations. The limit between ER+ or ER- is unclear, since there is mix of samples, it can not be set from this sample classification.

However the prediction for the test set yields that 6 samples are predicted to be ER- (group 0). We later learned that all these samples (T94, T87, T86, T107, T110) but one (T93) would be also predicted to be basal-like by PAM.

Since the collection comprehended more than two classes, a multiple-class analysis by PCA was performed. This analysis gave that the most distant and coherent groups were the basal-like subtype (group 5) which also included a few ERBB2+ samples, and a group of 10 tumor samples positive for ER, PR, or both receptors (group 1). The other groups were also distant in the 3D PCA space but not enough compared to the previous groups.

Finally, applying prediction analysis of microarrays 6 subtypes ( 5 breast tumor subtypes and one normal breast subtype) were successfully predicted with a miss-classification error of less than $1 \%$. However, the "normal breast" subtype has to be excluded since it had only three members, and one of them showed dubious aggregative results.

Discriminant genes for each of the 5 predicted pathological subtypes were determined. PAM class 1 and 2 were found to have the lowest number of recurrence cases. PAM class 5 was found to be the class associated with the lowest disease-free survival, and the majority presented recurrence in a period of time of less than 5 years.

### 5.4 Analysis of PAM predicted subtypes by Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis.

To relate these phenotypes to specific cell-signaling pathways and compare tumor data with the data obtained from the hormone dependent breast cancer cell line model treated with progestin and estradiol in a time series, as well as the data from the experiments with inhibitors, we employed recently developed specific applications such as GSEA and Ingenuity pathway analysis.

PAM class 1 over-expresses genes commonly found induced by progestins after 6 hr such as AKAP13, CXCR4, GADD45A, ARID1A (SMARCF1), EGR1, DUSP1, CHES1, TGFB3, and FOS, as well as genes found down-regulated by ICI but not by PD after the effect of progestin treatment. Therefore R5020 induced but ER dependent, such as BIRC3 and SOS1. PAM class 1 overexpressed genes overlap with the gene list of the K-Means cluster 9 after EDGE analysis of the progestin treatment time series with genes such as EGR1, RASA1, and MBD1 functionally related with transcription regulator activity.

Pathway analysis of the discriminating genes of PAM class 1 showed a connection between the glucocorticoid receptor signaling pathway, inflammation and cell proliferation. My hypothesis is that once patients are treated with a hormonal therapy, such an ER antagonist, tumor growth and inflammation could be diminished. All these patients received hormone therapy, and some received adjuvant chemotherapy and the majority are disease-free (11/12).

PAM class 2 matches ER expressing phenotype described by Sorlie, with good overall prognosis. GSEA analysis gave significant overlaps with the good prognosis signature of Van't Veer and Sorlie. It also overlaps with gene lists of T47D cell line found down-regulated after 6 hr of R5020 treatment, such as GATA3, AR, SCGB1D2, HSPA5, NCOR2, ITGA2, UNG2, and NOTCH3.

Top canonical pathways represented are ER signaling, with up-regulated genes such as ESR1, AR, GTF2E2, POLR2A, GATA3, and TFF1; Erk/MAPK signaling, with up-regulated genes such as ESR1, DDR1, HSPB1 and STAT3; glucocorticoid receptor signaling with genes involved such as HSP27, STAT3, and RAS; IL-6 signaling with genes involved such as PI3K, RAS, STAT3 and TGFB3; IGF-1 signaling (IGFBP1 and IGFBP4), and JAK/STAT signaling with PI3K, RAS, STAT, and STAT3.

PAM class 3 analyses by GSEA finds significant overlaps with genes downregulated after 6 hr of progestin treatment induced early by estradiol after 1 hr of hormonal induction, showing that these tumor subtype is of the luminal or endocrine type with still a hormonal dependence. Cellular growth and proliferation is driven by FGF7, an EGF-like molecule, interacting with CCND2 and EGR1. DUSP1, a gene with is known to act as a negative feedback regulator of JAK/STAT and p38 signaling pathway is activated in this subtype.

PAM class 4 matches the ERBB2+ subtype. In this tumor subtype there is a genomic amplification typically found by fluorescence in situ hybridization localized on chromosome region 17q12-q21, which amplifies genes such as SMARCE1 (17q21.2), GRB2 (17q24-26), PPARBP (17q12-21), CDC8 (17q21.3), ERBB2 (17q11.3-q12-17q21.1), TOP2A (17q21-22), and deletes NME1 (17q21.3). Some of these genes are involved in cell cycle progression and proliferation such as ERBB2, SMARCE1, TOP2A, SMARCA4, IGFBP2, and PPARBP. GRB2 is involved in cell signaling by the Erk/MAPK pathway, which is later repressed by DUSP6.

Ingenuity pathway analysis shows that the most represented canonical pathways are the Erk/MAPK pathway, the ER pathway and the glucocorticoid receptor pathway. There is evidence for the interaction of growth factor signaling by EGF and ERBB2, and steroid hormone signaling in controlling the growth of breast cancer cells, in the form of an apparent cross-talk between these growth regulatory systems (Wilson and Slamon, 2005). ERBB2 directly interacts with DUSP6 which is a specific inhibitor of Erk which acts desphosphorylating MAPK and p38 (Amit et al. 2007). ERBB2 overexpression is associated to resistance to Tamoxifen and could directly modulate ER levels (Arpino et al. 2005). This finding has lead to the hypothesis which involves
peptide growth factor pathways as possible mediators of the steroid hormoneindependent phenotype in some human breast cancers, suggesting that the peptide hormone pathways are replacing, in part, the steroid hormone pathways in regulating growth for these tumors.

GSEA analysis of PAM class 4 shows an overlap with the Van't Veer negative prognosis gene set, with highly expressed genes such as AURKA, MCM6, ECT2, DIAPH3•, MAD2L1, CCNB2, and MELK. It also overlaps with a fibroblast serum response gene set. Another significant overlap is with a set of downregulated genes after hormonal induction by progestins using another model cell line T47y which does not express PR endogenously but was transfected with a vector containing PR carrying a point mutation on the ERID domain, the interaction domain with $E R \alpha$, where $P R$ has lost its ability to crosstalk and activate Erk1/2 signaling pathway (Ignacio Quiles, Doctoral Thesis, University of Pompeu Fabra, CRG, Barcelona). Thus, some genes that are usually induced by progestins are down-regulated by introducing a mutation on the ERID domain, and overlap in part with the discriminant genes of the PAM subtype 4.

PAM class 5 is the characteristic basal-like subtype characterized by high expression of keratins 5A and 5B and showing high expression of other basal epithelial genes such as KIT1 and ID4. They are also termed as triple-negative tumors as they are found negative for ER, PR and ERBB2. 9 out of 12 samples are p53+ and have high histological grade (10/12).

Ingenuity analysis shows that the significant molecular and cellular functions of this subtype are cell death, cell cycle, cellular growth and proliferation, and cell signaling. The ER signaling pathway is inactive, ER regulated genes TFF, FOS, TGFB3 and CCNH are down-regulated, and now, there is high expression of genes involved in G1/S mitotic cell phase with transcription factors being upregulated such as E2F3, E2F5, MYC, TFDP1, promoters of cell cycle progression to mitosis, indicative of high proliferative tumors. The Erk/MAPK pathway is also inactive.

High expression of basal/myoepithelial genes such as KRT5/6 and the calcium binding protein S100A, shutt off the ER signaling pathway, and up-regulation of an alternative set of transcription factors such as MYC, RUNX2, TFDP1, and E2F3 which in turn activate cell cycle genes CCND2 and CCNB2 induces the breast cancer cell to proliferate.

Employing GSEA a significant overlap was found with gene lists from Van't Veer's poor prognosis and Sorlie's basal-like phenotype. Poor prognosis of this set of tumors has been also observed by clinicians where tumor samples have high histological grade (HG3 in 10 of 12 samples), most of them are p53+ (9/12), and 7 patients presented early recurrence and died from metastatic disease.

Our PAM predicted phenotypes partially corroborate the tumor subtypes found by Sorlie: the basal-like subtype, which is predominantly ER negative, PR negative and ERBB2 negative (often referred as triple-negative); the ERBB2-
like subtype characterized by the increased expression of several genes at the ERBB2 amplicon, and at least 3 luminal-like subtypes, predominantly hormone receptor positive. Besides identifying the Sorlie's Luminal A, we find 2 additional hormone-dependent tumor phenotypes: PAM class 1 and 3. These defined molecular subtypes have distinct molecular outcomes and responses to therapy. The low grade and low proliferation of the ER positive Luminal A, in our classification PAM class 2, are sensitive to endocrine therapy, and have more favorable prognosis than the ER negative and high grade tumors as in our case the PAM class 5, that are unresponsive to endocrine therapy and respond better to chemotherapy.

Another interesting finding from all these studies was that the identification of extremely distinct expression patterns that differentiated breast tumors beyond the expression of ER, ERBB2 and tumor grade may reflect distinct cell types of origin. However, other factors like menopausal status, tumor size, and nodal status were not associated to dissimilar gene expression patterns

### 5.5 Prediction of the test set

Normal breast samples which were also included in the test set were correctly assigned considering the 6 PAM predicted subtypes, being PAM class 6 the normal-like. Triple-negative samples, which are negative for ER, PR, and HER2, are assigned with high probability to the poor prognosis basal-like subtype 5 : T86, T87, T94, T107, and T110. Only one tumor sample was left unclassified, since the predicted probability of being assigned to a specific tumor subtype did not reach a $50 \%$. Up to now there is no prognosis data available for this test set of breast tumors samples to corroborate our prediction.

It could be interesting for this type of triple-negative tumors to discover of a functional androgen receptor (AR) pathway in this subgroup of ER and PR negative patients reported by two independent groups. These investigators identified a subgroup with an expression pattern that suggests an active hormone-regulated transcriptional program involving the AR, suggesting to the potential of this pathway for therapeutic targeting in breast cancer (Farmer et al. 2005, Doane et al. 2006).

### 5.6 Gene expression patterns as a tool for risk assessment

The potential advantages of improving tumor classification by expression profiling has been central for several-large scale breast cancer studies that have reported identification of signature gene lists with potential for prediction of clinical outcome over the past few years. The ability to identify patients who have a favorable prognosis could, after independent confirmation, allow clinicians to avoid systemic therapy or to choose less aggressive therapeutic options. About 60-70\% of patients with lymph node negative breast cancer are cured by local or regional treatment alone. St Gallen (Goldhirsh et al. 2003) and the US National Institutes of Health' consensus criteria (Eifel et al. 2000)
recommend adjuvant systemic therapy for $85-90 \%$ of lymph-node negative patients (Early Breast Cancer Trialists, 1998). There is a need for a definition of an individual patient's risk of disease recurrence to ensure that she receives appropriate therapy.

In the work of van't Veer et al. (2002) focused on younger patients ( $44 \pm 8$ years) who were lymph node negative (See Appendix A1 for more details) they was determined a 70-gene signature (the "Amsterdam signature") was able to predict distant metastasis in less than five years after diagnosis. Van't Veer randomly selected a set of 78 patients as training set, which was used to measure for the correlation between each gene expression and disease outcome. The genes were ranked according to this correlation, and the 70 most-correlated genes were used to construct a classifier discriminating between patients with good and poor prognosis. The remaining 19 patients served as the test set to validate their prognosis classifier. They developed the "MammaPrint" in collaboration with Agilent for patients below 55 years of age, and lymph node negative, as a predictor for distant metastasis-free survival. Following they validated this prognostic gene signature on a cohort of 295 young patients, including lymph node negative and positive breast tumors.

Sorlie et al. (2003) concentrated on the classification of breast cancer subtypes and survival-related feature of each of these subtypes was demonstrated on two independent breast cancer datasets (Van't Veer et al. and West et al.). Only 17 genes appeared in both lists of the "intrinsic list" of 456 genes and the 231 predictor genes of Van't Veer. A follow-up study (van de Vijver et al. 2002) proved the efficiency of Sorlie's classifier as a survival predictor on a large set of 295 tumor specimens.

On a third study, Ramaswamy et al. (2003) identified a set of 128 genes separating metastasis from primary tumors. A set of 17 metastasis associated genes were tested on a large diverse set of primary tumors, and were found to successfully distinguish patients with good versus poor prognosis, with only a 2 gene overlap with the 456 intrinsic list of Sorlie's.

Wang et al. (2005), in collaboration with a company called Veridex LLC, identified a 76-gene signature consisting in 60 genes for ER+ patients and 16 genes in ER- patients (the "Rotterdam signature"). This gene profile could identify patients who develop distant metastasis within 5 years in lymph node negative patients. Cohort size of ER+ patients was 80, and for ER- patients were 35. Test set consisted in 171 patients. Patients came from 25 different hospitals. There were no differences among the ER+ and ER- groups in age or menopausal status. The test set also did not differ from the training set in any of the characteristics of patients and tumors. Comparison of their results with those of Van der Vijver is difficult because of differences in patients, techniques, and materials used. Their study included node-negative and node positive patients, who had not received adjuvant systemic therapy, and only women younger than 53 years. Microarray platforms differ, Affymetrix and Agilent. Of the 70 genes in the study of Van't Veer and co-workers, 48 genes are present on the Affymetrix, whereas only 38 of the 76-gene signature are present on the

Agilent array. The most striking finding when comparing the signature lists is the virtually complete lack of agreement in the included genes, only a 3 gene overlap between the two signatures. However, both signatures included genes that identified several common pathways that might be involved in tumor recurrence. This finding supports the idea that effective signatures could be required to include representation of specific pathways. The importance of Wang' 76 -gene prognostic signature is that, only $30-40 \%$ of untreated lymph node negative patients would develop tumor recurrence, and this signature could provide a powerful tool to identify patients at low risk, preventing overtreatment and thus reducing the amount of systemic treatment used in early breast cancer.

In their study, Huang et al. (2003) also identified aggregate patterns of gene expression that associate with lymph node status and disease recurrence, capable of predicting outcome in individual cancer patients. Genes found implicated in recurrence prediction were found associated to distinct biological processes as cell proliferation control, specific to cell-cycle, cell signaling activities, growth factor receptors, and G-protein coupled receptors. None of the 70-gene "Amsterdam" Van't Veer signature genes appears to be present in key metagenes in their recurrence study. They believe that the integration of genomic data with clinical risk factors determines the strategy for treating patients as individuals with distinct genomic disease feature, and that genomic data can not replace traditional clinical risk factors but can add substantial detail to this clinical data, especially in a disease such as breast cancer in which multiple, interacting biological and environmental processes define a physiological state.

In our opinion, only the change in microarray technology from Van't Veer, Wang and Huang' works can not satisfactorily explain the disagreements in gene signature, since the different platforms have thousands of genes in common. Also neither age nor the microarray analysis method can be relevant factors in this disagreement. One possible cause is the observation made by Ein-Dor et al. 2005 that many genes might correlate with survival, and it is possible to combine genes in many ways to produce signatures with similar predictive power, even from the same data set. In their study, they commented how several microarray studies yielded gene sets whose expression profiles successfully predicted survival, nevertheless with an overlap of the gene sets of almost zero. They focused on data from a single experiment (van't Veer et al. 2002) using a bootstrapping method selected 10 training sets of samples randomly, and obtained 10 different top 70 genes correlating with survival with a minimal overlap between them. They confirmed that the dataset is characterized by three main properties: (1) many genes are correlated with survival, (2) the differences between these correlations are small, and (3) the correlation-based rankings of the genes depend strongly on the training set. These properties indicate that the top 70 genes are not superior to others in predicting disease outcome and raises doubts about the reliability and robustness of the reported predictive gene lists. This has been also observed in other complex diseases, how many variables can account for the found differences, and how it is strongly influenced by the subset of patients used for
gene selection, and the small number of samples that were used to generate the gene lists. The same author (Ein-Dor et al. 2006) introduce a mathematical model called probably approximately correct (PAC), for evaluating how many samples are needed to generate a robust gene list for predicting outcome in cancer and calculate this number for several breast cancer published studies. He states that thousand of samples are needed to achieve an overlap of $50 \%$ between two predictive lists.

Also Michiels et al. 2007 investigated the stability of 7 predictive gene lists from 7 large microarray studies and showed that the prediction performances were overoptimistic in comparison with results obtained by reanalysis of the same data performed using different training sets. They listed the potential limitations of microarray for the prediction of cancer outcome in the context of a disease characterized by complex heterogeneous mechanisms.

However, despite these differences most classifiers show a high degree of concordance in predicting the outcome of independent patient populations, suggesting that all these signatures contain very similar information with regards to the outcome. This has been investigated by Fan et al. (2006), in which they applied 5 different gene expression signatures with a very small gene overlap to the same data set and found that four of the five predictors showed similar prognostic values.

We can conclude that the ability to use appropriate profiles of gene expression, in clinically homogeneous group of patients, as, for example, dividing the patients into smaller subgroups or phenotypes as in the work of Sorlie's, or to correlate tumor characteristics such as the S-phase fraction, tumor histological grade, ERBB2 overexpression, vascular invasion, presence of lymph node metastasis, hormone receptor status, could add great information towards the a best characterization of a breast tumor, besides the few known clinical histopathological attributes.

Conclusions

The main conclusions from this work are:

- We have establishment a cDNA breast cancer array platform, which has been successfully applied as a useful tool for exploring gene expression profiles of various studies of hormone signaling.
- Tumor samples from our population were classified into various gene expression phenotypes.
- Predicted breast tumor subtypes can be identified by distinctive genes, which discriminate efficiently between them.
- Basal-like phenotype is efficiently discriminated from the other subtypes, and correlates with tumors with high histological grade, p53 negative, triple-negative tumors, and with a large number of recurrence cases which ends in a big percentage in death of the patients.
- An ERBB2 phenotype which typically over-expresses genes of the ERBB2 amplicon region is efficiently discriminated from the other subtypes.
- Sorlie's Luminal subtype A, an ER+ expressing phenotype which correlates with good prognosis, can be discriminated from the other subtypes efficiently. Discriminant genes of this subtype overlap partially with genes inhibited by ER antagonist ICI after hormone induction by R5020.
- Pathway analyses of the predicted breast tumor phenotypes have predominantly characteristic cell-signaling pathways mostly related to cell cycle progression.
- We have been able to detect similar breast tumor subtypes associated with differential survival outcome found in other populations in a heterogeneous local population.
- Different molecular biomarkers were identified for breast cancer tumor progression for each tumor subtype. This set of molecular markers could be used in the future in clinical diagnosis, to improve the choice of treatment, to predict prognosis and identify patients at higher risk of developing metastasis, as well as for following the response to therapy.
- We have accomplished the purpose of giving an alternative tool to clinicians for the complementary validation of their standard diagnostic methods.

6 Future work

Real Time qPCR of multiple marker sets is an alternative emerging technique to obtain expression profiles of a manageable number of genes which could be faster, cheaper, give more reproducible results, and it is a more suitable routine diagnostic platform especially for samples whose RNA integrity is not optimal for gene expression arrays.

To develop appropriate profiles of gene expression, in clinically homogeneous group of patients, as to correlate tumor characteristics such as the S-phase fraction, tumor histological grade, ERBB2 overexpression, vascular invasion, presence of lymph node metastasis, hormone receptor status, could add greater detail in tumor characterization besides the few known biological attributes

It is desirable to use gene expression profiling in diagnosis, prognosis and prediction to treatment, since this technique can potentially be a more precise diagnostic tool and a fine predictor of poor prognosis than any standard diagnostic parameter.

Once all the clinical history is collected, the long term goal of this study will be to carry out prognosis studies with the help of a statistician.
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## Appendices

| Appendix_A1: Breast cancer studies using microarrays |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Publication | Title | Array Platform | Patients (invasive tumor size) | Reference sample used | Cohort sze | Follow-up | Minimal discriminatory element |
| Pollack, Nature Genetics, 1999 | Genome-wide analysis of DNA copynumber changes using cDNA microarrays | cDNA microarray $(5,240)$, CGH analysis |  | BT-474 tumor cell line |  |  |  |
| Perou et al, Nature 1999 | Distinctive gene expression patterns in human mammary epithelial cells and breast cancers | cDNA/Stanford 8,102 genes | T3-T4: >5 cm | HMEC cell line | 38 invasive | ---- | 496 elements. 4 biological classes of invasive |
| Perou et al, Nature 2000 | Molecular portraits of Human Breast Tumors | cDNA/Stanford 8,102 genes |  | Pool of mRNAs isolated from 11 different cultured cell lines | 42 breast tumors |  |  |
| Hedenfalk, N Engl J Med, 2001 | Gene-Expression Profiles in Hereditary Breast Cancer |  |  | MCF-10A |  |  |  |
| Gruvberger, Cancer Res, 2001 | Estrogen receptor status in breast cancer is associated with remarkably distinct gene expression patterns | cDNA microarray ( 6,728 ) |  | BT-474 tumor cell line |  |  |  |
| West, PNAS, 2001 | Predicting the clinical sstatus of human breast cancer by using gene expression profiles | Affymetrix 7,129 25-mer oligos | T1-T2: $1.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  | 49 | ------ | 100 genes. Differentiate ER+ from ER- |
| Sorlie, PNAS,2001 | Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications | $\begin{gathered}\text { cDNA microarray } \\ \text { features }\end{gathered} \quad 8,102$ |  | Four normal breast tissue samples from different individuals, three of which were pooled, plus normal breast samples from multiple individuals (Clontech), gave three different batches of common reference, each differently produced | 78 breast tumor samples (49 samples forsurvival analysis) |  |  |
| Dan Cancer Res, 2002 | An Integrated Database of Chemosensitivity to 55 Anticancer Drugs and Gene Expression Profiles of 39 Human Cancer Cell Lines | cDNA microarray ( 9,216 ) |  | mRNA pool from all 39 human cancer cell lines |  |  |  |
| Ellis et al, Clin Cancer Research, 2002 | Development and Validation of a Method for Using Breast Core Needle Biopsies for Gene Expression Microarray Analyses | nylon filter arrays (4032) |  | housekeeping genes, normalizing factor |  |  | top 30 breast cancer genes |
| Hyman, Kallioniemi, Cancer Research, 2002 | Impact of DNA amplification on GE patterns in Breast Cancer | cDNA microarray, CGH analysis |  | Universal Human RNA reference (Stratagene) |  |  |  |
| Van't Veer, Nature, 2002 | Gene Expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer | Agilent 24,479 60-mer oligos | T1-T2: $<5 \mathrm{~cm}$ | Reference cRNA made by pooling equal amounts of cRNA from each of the sporadic carcinomas | 97 young patients (prediction set: 78 patients, test set: 19 patients) $44 \pm 8$ years | 5 years minimum | 70 genes. Risk of distant metastasis in LN- patients |
| Van de Vijver, N Engl J Med, 2002 | A gene expression signature as a predictor of survival in breast cancer | Agilent 24,479 60-mer oligos | T1-T2: <5 cm | Reference cRNA made by pooling equal amounts of cRNA from each of the sporadic carcinomas | 295 | 5 years minimum | 70 genes. Risk of distant metastasis in LN - and LN+ patients |
| Pollack, PNAS 2002 | Microarray analysis reveals a major direct role of DNA copy number alterations in the transcriptional program of human breast tumors | cDNA array ( 6,691 ), CGH analysis |  | Normal female leukocyte DNA from a single donor |  |  |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sotiriou, PNAS, 2003 | Breast cancer classification and prognosis based on gene expression profiles from a population-based study | cDNA microarray (7,650 features, NCI$)$ | T1-T2: <5 cm | Universal Human RNA reference (Stratagene) | 99 | 6 yeras minimum | 706 elements. 6 biological classes of invasive |
| Hedenfalk, PNAS, 2003 | Molecular classification of familial nonBRCA1/BRCA2 breast cancer | cDNA microarray (Agilent), CGH analysis |  | Breast Cancer cell likne BT-474 (ATCC) |  |  |  |
| Sorlie, PNAS, 2003 | Repeated obsevation of breast tumor samples subtypes in independent gene expression data sets | cDNA/Stanford 8,102 genes | T3-T4: >5 cm | Four normal breast tissue samples from different individuals, three of which were pooled, plus normal breast samples from multiple individuals (Clontech), gave three different batches of common reference, each differently produced | 115 tumor samples (81 samples for training set) | 8 years |  |
| Ramaswamy et al. Nat Genet 2003 | A molecular signature of metastasis in primary solid tumors | Rosetta inkjet (24,479 genes; breast adenocarcinoma) oligonucleotide microarrays | 78 <br> small stage I primary breast adenocarcinomas |  | 279 primary tumors of diverse types (lung, breast, prostate) |  | Identified a set of 128 genes separating metastasis from primary tumors able to distinguish patuients with good versus poor prognosis.Selection of the 17-gene signature associated with metastasis. |
| Huang et al, Lancet, 2003 | Gene expression predictors of breast cancer outcomes | Hu U95Av2 Affymetrix |  |  | 89 tumor samples |  | Identification of aggregates of gene expression (metagenes) that associate with lymph node status and recurrence, predicting outcome. |
| Selaru, Cancer Research, 2004 | An unsupervised approach to identify molecular phenotypic components influencing breast cancer features | cDNA microarray ( 8,064 features) |  | Mixture containing aRNAs from eight human cancer cell lines |  |  |  |
| Ma et al. Cancer Cell, 2004 | A two-gene expression ratio predicts clinical outcome in breast cancer patients treated with tamoxifen | 22 K oligonucleotide array | 103 ER+ early stage cases | Universal Human RNA reference (Stratagene) | 103 ER+ early stage cases | $\underset{\text { years }}{\text { minimum } 5}$ | 2 gene expression ratio to predict tumor recurrence in the setting of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy |
| Nielsen TO, et al. Clin Cancer Res, 2004 | Immunohistochemical and clinical characterization of the Basal-like subtype of invasive breast carcinoma. | 22 K oligonucleotide array | T3-T4: >5 cm | Universal Human RNA reference (Stratagene) plus $1 / 10$ of RNA from MCF7 cells plus 1/10 ME16C | 115 breast primary tumors | $\begin{gathered} \text { median } 17.4 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Nevins et al. 2003 | Towards integrated clinico-genomic models for personalized medicine: combining gene expression signatures and clinical factors in breast cancer outcomes prediction. | multiple gene expression signatures "metagenes" | $86 \mathrm{LN}+$ breast cancer samples |  | $86 \mathrm{LN}+$ breast cancer samples | 5 years minimum | Determination of two metagenes of 117 genes and 31 genes, to predict clinical outcome |
| Zhao et al. ,Mol Biol Cell, 2004 | Different gene expression patterns in invasive lobular and ductal carcinomas of the breast | cDNA microarray > 42,000 features (Stanford) | samples were IDC (38) or ILC (21) | Universal Human RNA reference (Stratagene) | 59 primary breast cancer | - | 78 clones selected to discriminate between IDC and ILC |
| Usary et al . Oncogene, 2004 | Mutation of GATA3 in human breast cancer | Agilent Human 1A plus 3000 custom oligos |  | (SAM analysis multiclass (tumor grade i , II, III) breast cancer cell lines and 122 tumors from Sorlie | breast cancer cell line samples |  | identification of 74 GATA3 regulated genes |
| Michalides 2004 | Tamoxifen resistance by a conformational arrest of the ER $\alpha$ after PKA activation in breast cancer | NKI 18K Human cDNA array | ER+ tumors stagel-III Tamoxifen versus noTamoxifen | Reference pool mada with 56 tumors (16 recurrence, 40 non-recurrence | 56 tumors samples | median 132 months | phosporylation of serine-305 of ER $\alpha$ by protein kinase A (PKA) induces resistance to Tamoxifen |
| Van Laere et al. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2005 | Distinct molecular signature of inflammatory breast cancer by cDNA microarray analysis | cDNA microarray (Sanger center) 10,750 features | breast adenocarcinoma | Universal Human Reference RNA (Stratagene) | 34 breast cancer samples |  | distinguish inflammatory breast cancer (IBC) and non-inflammatory breast cancer (non-IBC) |
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| West et al. PLOS Biology, 2005 | Determination of the stromal signatures in breast carcinoma | 42,000 cDNA microarray | early breast cancer (stage I and II) |  | 58 tumors | 7.8 years | SAM analysis between solitary fibrous tumor (SFT) and desmoid-type fibromatosis (DTF) with 700 discriminatory set |
| Chang et al 2004 | Gene expression signature of fibroblast serum response predicts human cancer progression: similarities between tumors and wounds. | 36,000 cDNA microarray | 50 fibroblast cultures from 10 anatomic sites | Pool of mRNAs isolated from 11 different cultured cell lines |  |  | 459 genes of fibroblast core serum response, the CSR gene set |
| Chang et al 2005 | Robustness, scalability, and integration of a wound-response gene expression signature in predicting breast cancer survival. | 36,000 cDNA microarray | T1-T2: <5 cm | Pool of cRNA from all samples | 295 | 5 years minimum | 459 genes of fibroblast core serum response, the CSR gene set |
| Wang et al. The Lancet 2005 | Gene-expression profiles to predict distant metastasis of lymph-node negative primary breast cancer | Affymetrix U133A 25-mer oligos | LN - and LN+ patients with invasive breast cancer |  | 286 lymph-nodenegative patients (Training set 80 patients ER+, 35 patients ER-, test set of 171 | median 101 months | 76-gene signature to distinguish LNprimary breast cancer to develop distant metastasis within 5 years |
| Rouzier et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2005 | Breast cancer molecular subtypes respond differently to preoperative chemotherapy | Affymetrix U133A 25-mer oligos | Stage I to Ill breast cancer |  | 83 invasive breast tumors | ----- | 298 genes (SAM) to discriminate between four subtypes |
| Bertucci et al. Cancer Res. 2005 | Gene expression profiling identifies molecular subtypes of inflamatory breast cancer | nylon membranes microarrays with 8016 spots |  |  | 81 invasive breast cancer |  | 120 commomn genes with Sorlie et al. |
| Naderi et al. Oncogene, 2006. | A gene-expression signature to predict survival in breast cancer across independent data sets | Agilent 22,575 60-mer oligos | No criteria for selection | reference CRNA pool generated by mixing the labelled targets from 50 samples chosen at random. | 135 tumor samples (only quantity of RNA as criteria) | 10-15 yeras | 70-gen prognostic signature |
| Nuyten et al. Breast Cancer Res) 2006 | Predicting a local recurrence after breastconserving therapy by gene expression profiling | Agilent 24,479 <br> 60-mer oligos | Stage I and II breast cancer with age < 53 years | Reference cRNA made by pooling equal amounts of cRNA from each of the sporadic carcinomas | 81 patients (training set), 80 patients validation set | 6.2 years median | 70-gen predictor of local recurrence |
| Feng et al. Breast Cancer Res Treat. $2006$ | Differentially expressed genes between primary cancer and paired lymph node metastases predict clinical outcome of nodepositive breast cancer patients | Operon 70-mer two-color 21,239 probes | primary tumor and Lymph node metastasis paired samples | not stated | 35 patients | 43 months average | 79 differentially expressed genes between primary cancers and metastasis samples |
| Sorlie et al. BMC Genomics 2006 | Distinct molecular mechanisms underlying clinically relevant subtypes of breast cancer: gene expression analyses across threee different platforms | Three different platforms: Agilent 44,000K, Applied Biosystems 31,700 60-mer; TaqMan array-based real time qPCR | early breast carcinomas (T1/T2) | Human reference RNA (Stratagene) | 20 tumor biopsies | ----- | 54 discriminatory genes to discriminate luminal subtype and basal-like subtype |
| Teschendorff et al. Genome Biology 2007 | An immune response gene expression module identifies a good prognosis subtype in estrogen receptor negative breast cancer | Agilent/Affymetrix | 240 breast tumor samples from different datasets |  | 186 ER- samples integrated from different datase4ts |  | immune response related 7-gene module for identifying higher risk of distant metastasis |
| Kapp et al. BMC Genomics, 2007 | Discovery and validation of breast cancer subtypes | datasets from Sorlie et al. 2003 | datasets from Sorlie et al. 2003 | datasets from Sorlie et al. 2003 | 98 breast samples |  | classification of ESR1+/ERBB2-ESR1-/ERBB2-, and ERBB2 subtypes |
| Glas et al. BMC Genomics 2006 | Converting a breast cancer microarray signature into a high-throughput diagnostic test | Agilent customized 1,900 60mer oligos "MammaPrint" | young breast cancer patients < 55 years (LN-) | Pooled and amplified RNA from 105 primary breast tumors selected from patients of the clinical validation series | 162 patients | $\underset{\text { years }}{\text { minimum } 5}$ | 232-gen gene signature to predict disease outcome |
| Yau et al. Breast Cancer Res 2007 | Aging impacts transcriptme but not genome of hormone-dependent breast cancers | expression Affymetrix 13,000 and array-CGH analysis | two cohorts of 66 and 71 breast tumor mixed samples |  | two cohorts of 66 and 71 breast tumor mixed samples |  | two-age independent phenotypes |


| 흘 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\mathbf{E}} \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \vdots \\ & \overline{\#} \\ & \hline \mathbf{U} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ర్ } \\ & \text { त్ర } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28SrRNA | 28SrRNA | 28rRNA |  |  |  |
| BC029276 | BC029276 | BC029276 |  |  |  |
| ABCC5 | ATP-binding cassette, sub-family C (CFTR/MRP), member 5 | NM_005688 | AF146074 | Hs. 368563 | 3 q 27 |
| ABL1 | V -abl Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1 | NM_005157 | NM_007313 | Hs. 431048 | 9 q 34.1 |
| ACTB | Actin, beta | NM_001101 | AK125561 | Hs. 520640 | 7p15-p12 |
| ADAM15 | ADAM metallopeptidase domain 15 (metargidin) | NM_003815 | AB209157 | Hs. 312098 | 1 q 21.3 |
| PARP1 | Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase family, member 1 | NM_001618 | NM_001618 | Hs. 177766 | 1q41-q42 |
| PARP3 | Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase family, member 3 | NM_005485 | NM_005485 | Hs. 271742 | 3p21.31-p21.1 |
| ADRA1B | Adrenergic, alpha-1B-, receptor | NM_000679 | NM_000679 | Hs. 368632 | 5q23-q32 |
| ADRA1B | Adrenergic, alpha-1B-, receptor | NM_000679 | NM_000679 | Hs. 368632 | 5q23-q32 |
| ADRBK1 | Adrenergic, beta, receptor kinase 1 | NM_001619 | AB209588 | Hs. 83636 | 11q13 |
| AHR | Aryl hydrocarbon receptor | NM_001621 | NM_001621 | Hs. 171189 | 7p15 |
| AKAP13 | A kinase (PRKA) anchor protein 13 | NM 0007200 | NM_006738 | Hs. 459211 | 15q24-q25 |
| PALM2-AKAP2 | PALM2-AKAP2 protein | NM_007203 | NM_053016 | Hs. 591908 | 9q31-q33 |
| AKAP9 | A kinase (PRKA) anchor protein (yotiao) 9 | NM_147171 | NM_147171 | Hs. 527348 | 7q21-q22 |
| AKT1 | V-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog 1 | NM_005163 | NM_005163 | Hs. 525622 | 14q32.32\|14q32.32 |
| AKT2 | V-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog 2 | NM_001626 | AK122839 | Hs. 541273 | 19q13.1-q13.2 |
| AKT3 | V-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog 3 (protein kinase B, gamma | NM_005465 | NM_005465 | Hs. 498292 | 1943-q44 |
| ALDH4A1 | Aldehyde dehydrogenase 4 family, member A1 | NM_003748 | NM_003748 | Hs. 77448 | 1 p 36 |
| ALPP | Alkaline phosphatase, placental (Regan isozyme) | NM_001632 | BC094743 | Hs. 284255 | 2 q 37 |
| NUSAP1 | Nucleolar and spindle associated protein 1 | NM_016359 | BC037888 | Hs. 406234 | 15915.1 |
| ANTXR1 | Anthrax toxin receptor 1 | NM_032208 | AF279145 | Hs. 165859 | 2 p 13.1 |
| AP2B1 | Adaptor-related protein complex 2, beta 1 subunit | NM_001282 | NM_001030006 | Hs. 514819 | 17q11.2-q12 |
| APAF1 | Apoptotic peptidase activating factor | NM_013229 | NM_181861 | Hs. 552567 | 12 q 23 |
| APC | Adenomatosis polyposis coli | NM_000038 | NM_000038 | Hs. 158932 | 5q21-q22 |
| APEX1 | APEX nuclease (multifunctional DNA repair enzyme) 1 | BC002338 | CR611116 | Hs. 73722 | 14q11.2-q12 |
| APEX1 | APEX nuclease (multifunctional DNA repair enzyme) 1 | NM_001641 | CR611116 | Hs. 73722 | 14q11.2-q12 |
| APEX2 | APEX nuclease (apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease) 2 | NM_014481 | NM_014481 | Hs. 555936 | Xp11.21 |
| APOD | Apolipoprotein D | NM_001647 | BF790155 | Hs. 522555 | 3q26.2-qter |
| AR | Androgen receptor | NM_000044 | NM_000044 | Hs. 496240 | Xq11.2-q12 |
| ARAF | V-raf murine sarcoma 3611 viral oncogene homolog | NM_001654 | AB208831 | Hs. 446641 | Xp11.4-p11.2 |
| AREG | Amphiregulin (schwannoma-derived growth factor) | NM_001657 | BC009799 | Hs. 270833 | 4q13-q21 |
| RND3 | Rho family GTPase 3 | X97758 | X97758 | Hs. 6838 | 2 q 23.3 |
| ARHGAP5 | Rho GTPase activating protein 5 | NM_001173 | NM_001030055 | Hs. 592313 | 14 q 12 |
| ATE1 | Arginyltransferase 1 | NM_007041 | BC022026 | Hs. 501239 | 10q26.13 |
| ATF2 | Activating transcription factor 2 | NM_001880 | BC107698 | Hs. 591614 | 2q32 |
| ATF3 | Activating transcription factor 3 | NM_004024 | AB209032 | Hs. 460 | 1 q 32.3 |
| ATF4 | Activating transcription factor 4 (tax-responsive enhancer element B67) | NM_001675 | NM_001675 | Hs. 496487 | $22 \mathrm{q13.1}$ |
| ATM | Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (includes complementation groups $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}$ and L | NM_000051 | NM_000051 | Hs. 435561 | 11q22-q23 |
| ATR | Ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3 related | NM_001184 | NM_001184 | Hs. 271791 | 3q22-q24 |
| ATRX | Alpha thalassemia/mental retardation syndrome X-linked (RAD54 homolog, | U09820 | NM_138271 | Hs. 533526 | Xq13.1-q21.1 |
| AURKB | Aurora kinase B | NM_004217 | CD049340 | Hs. 442658 | 17 p 13.1 |
| AURKC | Aurora kinase C | NM_003160 | AF059681 | Hs. 98338 | 19q13.43 |
| BAD | BCL2-antagonist of cell death | NM_004322 | AK023420 | Hs. 370254 | 11913.1 |
| ACTL6A | Actin-like 6A | NM_178042 | NM_178042 | Hs. 435326 | 3q26.33 |
| BAG1 | BCL2-associated athanogene | NM_004323 | NM_004323 | Hs. 377484 | 9 p 12 |
| BARD1 | BRCA1 associated RING domain 1 | NM_000465 | AK223409 | Hs. 591642 | 2q34-q35 |
| BAX | BCL2-associated $X$ protein | NM_138764 | AK001361 | Hs. 433670 | 19q13.3-q13.4 |
| BAZ1A | Bromodomain adjacent to zinc finger domain, 1A | NM_013448 | NM_013448 | Hs. 592311 | 14q12-q13 |
| BBC3 | BCL2 binding component 3 | NM_014417 | AF332558 | Hs. 467020 | 19q13.3-q13.4 |
| BCAR1 | Breast cancer anti-estrogen resistance 1 | NM_014567 | AK124526 | Hs. 479747 | 16q22-q23 |
| BCAS2 | Breast carcinoma amplified sequence 2 | NM_005872 | BC022880 | Hs. 22960 | 1p21-p13.3 |
| BCCIP | BRCA2 and CDKN1A interacting protein | NM_078469 | AK092054 | Hs. 370292 | 10q26.1 |
| BCL2 | B-cell CLLIlymphoma 2 | NM_000633 | NM_000633 | Hs. 592350 | 18q21.33\|18q21.3 |
| BCL2L1 | BCL2-like 1 | NM_138578 | CR936637 | Hs. 516966 | 20q11.21 |
| BCL2L2 | BCL2-like 2 | NM_004050 | AK024489 | Hs. 410026 | 14q11.2-q12 |
| ACSBG2 | Acyl-CoA synthetase bubblegum family member 2 | NM_030924 | AY358766 | Hs. 465720 | $19 p 13.3$ |
| BIRC2 | Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 2 | NM_001166 | BC028578 | Hs. 503704 | 11q22 |
| BIRC3 | Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 3 | NM_001165 | NM_001165 | Hs. 127799 | 11q22 |
| BIRC5 | Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 5 (survivin) | NM_001168 | NM_001012271 | Hs. 514527 | 17 q 25 |
| BIRC5 | Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 5 (survivin) | NM_001168 | NM_001012271 | Hs. 514527 | 17 q 25 |
| BLM | Bloom syndrome | NM_000057 | BC034480 | Hs. 169348 | 15q26.1 |
| BNIP3 | BCL2/adenovirus E1B 19kDa interacting protein 3 | NM_004052 | BX647339 | Hs. 144873 | 10q26.3 |
| BRAF | V-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 | NM_004333 | NM_004333 | Hs. 550061 | 7 q 34 |
| BRAF | V-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 | NM_004333 | NM_004333 | Hs. 550061 | 7 q 34 |
| BRCA1 | Breast cancer 1, early onset | NM_007295 | NM_007295 | Hs. 194143 | 17q21 |
| BRCA2 | Breast cancer 2, early onset | NM_000059 | NM_000059 | Hs. 34012 | $13 \mathrm{q12.3}$ |
| BTG1 | B-cell translocation gene 1, anti-proliferative | NM_001731 | BC009050 | Hs. 255935 | 12 q 22 |
| BTG2 | BTG family, member 2 | NM_006763 | NM_006763 | Hs. 519162 | 1932 |
| BTN2A2 | Butyrophilin, subfamily 2, member A2 | NM_006995 | U90550 | Hs. 373938 | 6p22.1 |
| C140rf130 | Chromosome 14 open reading frame 130 | BU739864 | NM_018108 | Hs. 275352 | 14 q 32.12 |
| C140rf138 | Chromosome 14 open reading frame 138 | NM_024558 | BX247997 | Hs. 558541 | 14q22.1 |
| C20orf149 | Chromosome 20 open reading frame 149 | NM_024299 | BG168849 | Hs. 79625 | 20q13.33 |
| C20orf46 | Chromosome 20 open reading frame 46 | NM_018354 | AK126837 | Hs. 516834 | 20p13 |
| VHL | Von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor | NM_018462 | NM_000551 | Hs. 517792 | 3p26-p25 |
| C9orf3 | Chromosome 9 open reading frame 3 | BX372918 | AF043897 | Hs. 434253 | 9q22.32 |
| CA2 | Carbonic anhydrase II | NM_000067 | AK123309 | Hs. 155097 | 8 q 22 |
| CAMK2N1 | Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II inhibitor 1 | NM_018584 | CR604926 | Hs. 197922 | 1p36.12 |
| CALR | Calreticulin | NM_004343 | M84739 | Hs. 515162 | 19p13.3-p13.2 |
| CARM1 | Coactivator-associated arginine methyltransferase 1 | XM_032719 | NM_199141 | Hs. 371416 | 19 p 13.2 |
| CASP1 | Caspase 1, apoptosis-related cysteine peptidase | NM_033292 | AK223503 | Hs. 2490 | 11923 |
| CAV1 | Caveolin 1, caveolae protein, 22kDa | NM_001753 | NM_001753 | Hs. 74034 | 7 q 31.1 |
| CBFB | Core-binding factor, beta subunit | NM_001755 | NM_001755 | Hs. 460988 | 16 q 22.1 |
| CCNA2 | Cyclin A2 | NM_001237 | CR604810 | Hs. 58974 | 4q25-q31 |
| CCNB1 | Cyclin B1 | NM_031966 | BX537394 | Hs. 23960 | 5q12 |
| CCNB2 | Cyclin B2 | NM_004701 | AL080146 | Hs. 194698 | $15 q 22.2$ |
| CCNC | Cyclin C | NM_005190 | BC041123 | Hs. 430646 | 6 2 21 |


| CCND1 | Cyclin D1 | NM_053056 | NM_053056 | Hs. 523852 | 11q13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCND2 | Cyclin D2 | NM_001759 | NM_001759 | Hs. 376071 | 12 p 13 |
| CCND3 | Cyclin D3 | NM_001760 | AK096276 | Hs. 534307 | 6p21 |
| CCNE1 | Cyclin E1 | NM_001238 | BC035498 | Hs. 244723 | 19q12 |
| CCNE2 | Cyclin E2 | NM_057749 | NM_057749 | Hs. 567387 | 8 q 22.1 |
| CCNF | Cyclin F | NM_001761 | NM_001761 | Hs. 1973 | 16 p 13.3 |
| CCNG1 | Cyclin G1 | NM_004060 | NM_004060 | Hs. 79101 | 5q32-q34 |
| CCNG2 | Cyclin G2 | NM_004354 | BC032518 | Hs. 13291 | 4 q 21.1 |
| CCNH | Cyclin H | NM_001239 | AB209342 | Hs. 292524 | 5q13.3-q14 |
| CCNI | Cyclin I | NM_006835 | NM_006835 | Hs. 591702 | 4 q 21.1 |
| CCNK | Cyclin K | XM_085179 | AB209373 | Hs. 510409 | 14932 |
| CCNT1 | Cyclin T1 | NM_001240 | NM_001240 | Hs. 279906 | 12pter-qter |
| CCNT2 | Cyclin T2 | NM_058241 | BX648174 | Hs. 591241 | 2 q 21.3 |
| CCRK | Cell cycle related kinase | NM_178432 | AF113130 | Hs. 522274 | 9q22.1 |
| CD24 | CD24 molecule | AK125531 | AK057112 | Hs. 375108 | 6 2 21 |
| CD34 | CD34 molecule | BX640941 | BX640941 | Hs. 374990 | $1 q 32$ |
| SEPT7 | Septin 7 | NM_001788 | AB209677 | Hs. 191346 | 7p14.3-p14.1 |
| CDC14A | CDC14 cell division cycle 14 homolog A (S. cerevisiae) | NM_003672 | BC071578 | Hs. 127411 | 1p21 |
| CDC14B | CDC14 cell division cycle 14 homolog B (S. cerevisiae) | NM_033332 | AF064105 | Hs. 40582 | 9q22.33 |
| CDC16 | CDC16 cell division cycle 16 homolog (S. cerevisiae) | NM_003903 | AB209850 | Hs. 374127 | 13 q 34 |
| CDC2 | Cell division cycle 2, G1 to S and G2 to M | NM_001786 | CR933728 | Hs. 334562 | 10q21.1 |
| CDC20 | CDC20 cell division cycle 20 homolog (S. cerevisiae) | NM_001255 | BG256659 | Hs. 524947 | 1p34.1 |
| CDC20 | CDC20 cell division cycle 20 homolog (S. cerevisiae) | NM_001255 | BG256659 | Hs. 524947 | 1 p 34.1 |
| CDC23 | CDC23 (cell division cycle 23, yeast, homolog) | NM_004661 | NM_004661 | Hs. 153546 | 5q31 |
| CDC25A | Cell division cycle 25A | NM_001789 | NM_001789 | Hs. 437705 | 3p21 |
| CDC25B | Cell division cycle 25B | NM_021874 | NM_021873 | Hs. 153752 | 20 p 13 |
| CDC25C | Cell division cycle 25 C | NM_001790 | BC039100 | Hs. 656 | 5 q 31 |
| CDC27 | Cell division cycle 27 | NM_001256 | S78234 | Hs. 463295 | 17q12-17q23.2 |
| CDC2L5 | Cell division cycle 2 -like 5 (cholinesterase-related cell division controller) | NM_003718 | NM_003718 | Hs. 233552 | 7p13 |
| CDC34 | Cell division cycle 34 | NM_004359 | BM906315 | Hs. 514997 | 19 p 13.3 |
| CDC37 | CDC37 cell division cycle 37 homolog (S. cerevisiae) | NM_007065 | NM_007065 | Hs. 160958 | $19 p 13.2$ |
| CDC42 | Cell division cycle 42 (GTP binding protein, 25kDa) | NM_001791 | BC018266 | Hs. 487266 | 1 p 36.1 |
| CDC42BPA | CDC42 binding protein kinase alpha (DMPK-like) | NM_003607 | NM_003607 | Hs. 35433 | 1942.11 |
| CDC42BPB | CDC42 binding protein kinase beta (DMPK-like) | NM_006035 | NM_006035 | Hs. 569310 | $14 q 32.3$ |
| CDC45L | CDC45 cell division cycle 45-like (S. cerevisiae) | NM_003504 | NM_003504 | Hs. 474217 | 22 q 11.21 |
| CDC6 | CDC6 cell division cycle 6 homolog (S. cerevisiae) | NM_001254 | NM_001254 | Hs. 405958 | 17 q 21.3 |
| CDC7 | CDC7 cell division cycle 7 (S. cerevisiae) | NM_003503 | AB209337 | Hs. 533573 | 1p22 |
| CDCA7 | Cell division cycle associated 7 | NM_031942 | AL834186 | Hs. 470654 | 2 q 31 |
| CDH1 | Cadherin 1, type 1, E-cadherin (epithelial) | NM_004360 | NM_004360 | Hs. 461086 | 16 q 22.1 |
| CDH13 | Cadherin 13, H-cadherin (heart) | NM_001257 | NM_001257 | Hs. 436040 | 16q24.2-q24.3 |
| CDK10 | Cyclin-dependent kinase (CDC2-like) 10 | NM_003674 | BC045670 | Hs. 109 | 16 q 24 |
| CDK2 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 2 | NM_001798 | NM_001798 | Hs. 19192 | 12 q 13 |
| CDK3 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 3 | NM_001258 | BX647274 | Hs. 584745 | 17q22-qter |
| CDK4 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 4 | NM_000075 | BM467999 | Hs. 95577 | 12 q 14 |
| CDK5 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 5 | NM_004935 | BG577212 | Hs. 166071 | 7 q 36 |
| CDK6 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 6 | NM_001259 | NM_001259 | Hs. 119882 | 7q21-q22 |
| CDK7 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 7 | NM_001799 | NM_001799 | Hs. 184298 | 5q12.1 |
| CDK8 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 8 | NM_001260 | BC047364 | Hs. 382306 | 13 q 12 |
| CDK9 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 9 (CDC2-related kinase) | NM_001261 | NM_001261 | Hs. 557646 | 9q34.1 |
| CDK9 | Cyclin-dependent kinase 9 (CDC2-related kinase) | NM_001261 | NM_001261 | Hs. 557646 | 9q34.1 |
| CDKL1 | Cyclin-dependent kinase-like 1 (CDC2-related kinase) | NM_004196 | AF390028 | Hs. 280881 | 14q22.1 |
| CDKL2 | Cyclin-dependent kinase-like 2 (CDC2-related kinase) | NM_003948 | NM_003948 | Hs. 591698 | 4 q 21.1 |
| CDKL3 | Cyclin-dependent kinase-like 3 | NM_016508 | BC041799 | Hs. 105818 | $5 q 31$ |
| CDKN1A | Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (p21, Cip1) | NM_078467 | NM_078467 | Hs. 370771 | 6p21.2 |
| CDKN1B | Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B (p27, Kip1) | NM_004064 | NM_004064 | Hs. 238990 | 12p13.1-p12 |
| CDKN1C | Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1C (p57, Kip2) | NM_000076 | BC067842 | Hs. 106070 | 11p15.5 |
| CDKN2A | Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (melanoma, p16, inhibits CDK4) | NM_058197 | BQ945397 | Hs. 512599 | 9p21 |
| CDKN2B | Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2B (p15, inhibits CDK4) | NM_078487 | NM_078487 | Hs. 72901 | 9p21 |
| CDKN2C | Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2C (p18, inhibits CDK4) | NM_001262 | AK091170 | Hs. 525324 | 1p32 |
| CDKN2D | Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2D (p19, inhibits CDK4) | NM_001800 | NM_001800 | Hs. 435051 | 19 p 13 |
| CDKN3 | Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 3 (CDK2-associated dual specificity phosk | ¢NM_005192 | BQ056337 | Hs. 84113 | 14 q 22 |
| CEBPA | CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), alpha | NM_004364 | NM_004364 | Hs. 590973 | 19q13.1 |
| CEBPB | CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta | BC021931 | BC021931 | Hs. 592138 | 20q13.1 |
| CEBPB | CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta | BC021931 | BC021931 | Hs. 592138 | $20 \mathrm{q13.1}$ |
| CEBPD | CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), delta | BM924801 | BM924801 | Hs. 440829 | 8p11.2-p11.1 |
| CEBPE | CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), epsilon | CR594219 | CR594219 | Hs. 558308 | $14 q 11.2$ |
| CENPA | Centromere protein A, 17kDa | NM_001809 | BM911202 | Hs. 1594 | 2p24-p21 |
| CENPA | Centromere protein A, 17kDa | NM_001809 | BM911202 | Hs. 1594 | 2p24-p21 |
| CFTR | Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator, ATP-binding cassette | NM_000492 | NM_000492 | Hs. 489786 | 7 q 31.2 |
| CHD1 | Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 1 | NM_001270 | NM_001270 | Hs. 121098 | 5q15-q21 |
| CHD1L | Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 1-like | NM_024568 | AF537213 | Hs. 191164 | 1 q 12 |
| CHD2 | Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 2 | NM_001271 | NM_001271 | Hs. 220864 | 15 q 26 |
| CHD2 | Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 2 | NM_001271 | NM_001271 | Hs. 220864 | $15 q 26$ |
| CHD3 | Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 3 | AK096555 | AK125928 | Hs. 596899 | 17p13.1 |
| CHD1 | Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 1 | AK096553 | AK125926 | Hs. 596897 | 17 p 13.1 |
| CHD4 | Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 4 | NM_001273 | BC038596 | Hs. 162233 | 12 p 13 |
| CHEK1 | CHK1 checkpoint homolog (S. pombe) | NM_001274 | NM_001274 | Hs. 24529 | 11q24-q24 |
| CHEK2 | CHK2 checkpoint homolog (S. pombe) | NM_007194 | AF217975 | Hs. 291363 | 22q11\|22q12.1 |
| CHES1 | Checkpoint suppressor 1 | NM_005197 | NM_005197 | Hs. 434286 | $14 q 31.3$ |
| chr6p21.3_HistoneClu: | chr6p21.3_HistoneCluster | chr6p21.3_HistoneCluster |  |  |  |
| chr6p22.2_HistoneClu: | chr6p22.2_HistoneCluster | chr6p22.2_HistoneCluster |  |  |  |
| CKB | Creatine kinase, brain | NM_001823 | BC040666 | Hs. 173724 | 14 q 32 |
| CKS1B | CDC28 protein kinase regulatory subunit 1B | NM_001826 | BQ278454 | Hs. 374378 | 1 q 21.2 |
| CKS2 | CDC28 protein kinase regulatory subunit 2 | NM_001827 | BQ898943 | Hs. 83758 | 9 q 22 |
| CLK1 | CDC-like kinase 1 | NM_004071 | NM_004071 | Hs. 433732 | 2 q 33 |
| CLK2 | CDC-like kinase 2 | NM_003993 | AK091036 | Hs. 73986 | 1921 |
| CLK3 | CDC-like kinase 3 | NM_003992 | CR933693 | Hs. 584748 | 15 q 24 |
| COL1A1 | Collagen, type I, alpha 1 | NM_000088 | Z74615 | Hs. 591172 | 17 q 21.33 |
| COL4A1 | Collagen, type IV, alpha 1 | NM_001845 | NM_001845 | Hs. 17441 | $13 q 34$ |
| COL4A2 | Collagen, type IV, alpha 2 | NM_001846 | NM_001846 | Hs. 508716 | 13 q 34 |
| CPN | Copine IV | NM 130808 | AK128117 | Hs. 199877 | 3 q 22.1 |


| CCPG1 | Cell cycle progression 1 | NM_004748 | NM_020739 | Hs. 126115 | 15q21.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CR1L | Complement component (3b/4b) receptor 1-like | AL137789 | XM_114735 | Hs. 632488 | 1 q 32.1 |
| CREBBP | CREB binding protein (Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome) | NM_004380 | NM_004380 | Hs. 459759 | 16p13.3 |
| CSE1L | CSE1 chromosome segregation 1-like (yeast) | NM_001316 | NM_001316 | Hs. 90073 | 20q13 |
| CSF1R | Colony stimulating factor 1 receptor, formerly McDonough feline sarcoma vil | NM_005211 | X03663 | Hs. 483829 | 5q33-q35 |
| CSH1 | Chorionic somatomammotropin hormone 1 (placental lactogen) | NM_022640 | CR610932 | Hs. 406754 | 17q24.2 |
| CTHRC1 | Collagen triple helix repeat containing 1 | BC021025 | BC021025 | Hs. 405614 | 8q22.3 |
| CTNNA1 | Catenin (cadherin-associated protein), alpha 1, 102kDa | NM_001903 | NM_001903 | Hs. 534797 | 5 q 31 |
| CTNNB1 | Catenin (cadherin-associated protein), beta 1, 88kDa | NM_001904 | BC058926 | Hs. 476018 | 3p21 |
| CTSB | Cathepsin B | NM_147780 | NM_147780 | Hs. 520898 | 8p22 |
| CTSD | Cathepsin D (lysosomal aspartyl peptidase) | NM_001909 | AK022293 | Hs. 121575 | 11p15.5 |
| CTSL | Cathepsin L | NM_001912 | AK055599 | Hs. 418123 | 9q21-q22 |
| CXCL12 | Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 12 (stromal cell-derived factor 1) | NM_000609 | BX647204 | Hs. 522891 | 10q11.1 |
| CXCR4 | Chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4 | NM_003467 | AF147204 | Hs. 421986 | 2q21 |
| BRCC3 | BRCA1/BRCA2-containing complex, subunit 3 | NM_024332 | NM_024332 | Hs. 558537 | Xq28 |
| CYP19A1 | Cytochrome P450, family 19, subfamily A, polypeptide 1 | NM_000103 | NM_031226 | Hs. 511367 | 15q21.1 |
| CYP2B6 | Cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily B, polypeptide 6 | NM_000767 | NM_000767 | Hs. 1360 | 19q13.2 |
| CYR61 | Cysteine-rich, angiogenic inducer, 61 | Y11307 | Y11307 | Hs. 8867 | 1p31-p22 |
| C160rf61 | Chromosome 16 open reading frame 61 | NM_020188 | BM463756 | Hs. 388255 | 16 q 23.2 |
| C1orf48 | Chromosome 1 open reading frame 48 | NM_015471 | AF255793 | Hs. 497692 | 1 q 41 |
| DCK | Deoxycytidine kinase | NM_000788 | CD014015 | Hs. 709 | 4q13.3-q21.1 |
| DCLRE1A | DNA cross-link repair 1A (PSO2 homolog, S. cerevisiae) | NM_014881 | D42045 | Hs. 1560 | 10q25.1 |
| DDB1 | Damage-specific DNA binding protein 1, 127kDa | NM_001923 | BC050530 | Hs. 290758 | 11q12-q13 |
| DDB2 | Damage-specific DNA binding protein $2,48 \mathrm{kDa}$ | NM_000107 | BC050455 | Hs. 446564 | 11p12-p11 |
| DDIT3 | DNA-damage-inducible transcript 3 | NM_004083 | BC107859 | Hs. 505777 | 12q13.1-q13.2 |
| DDR1 | Discoidin domain receptor family, member 1 | NM_013994 | AB067472 | Hs. 485070 | 6p21.3 |
| DDX5 | DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 5 | NM_004396 | BX571764 | Hs. 279806 | 17q21 |
| DDX6 | DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 6 | NM_004397 | NM_004397 | Hs. 408461 | 11q23.3 |
| DHX9 | DEAH (Asp-Glu-Ala-His) box polypeptide 9 | NM_001357 | L13848 | Hs. 191518 | 1q25 |
| DES | Desmin | NM_001927 | BC032116 | Hs. 471419 | 2 q 35 |
| DHRS7 | Dehydrogenase/reductase (SDR family) member 7 | NM_016029 | BU541074 | Hs. 59719 | 14q23.1 |
| DIAPH3 | Diaphanous homolog 3 (Drosophila) | NM_030932 | AY750055 | Hs. 283127 | $13 \mathrm{q21.2}$ |
| DIAPH3L | DIAPH3L | BC041395 | BC041395 |  |  |
| DICER1 | Dicer1, Dcr-1 homolog (Drosophila) | NM_177438 | NM_177438 | Hs. 87889 | 14q32.13 |
| MKLN1 | Muskelin 1, intracellular mediator containing kelch motifs | BX648653 | NM_013255 | Hs. 44693 | 7 q 32 |
| DKFZp686P03110 | DKFZp686P03110 | AC097632 | AC097632 |  |  |
| RPL27 | Ribosomal protein L27 | NM_173079 | BC039247 | Hs. 514196 | 17q21.1-q21.2 |
| RUNX2 | Runt-related transcription factor 2 | AL353944 | NM_004348 | Hs. 535845 | 6p21 |
| DLC1 | Deleted in liver cancer 1 | NM_006094 | NM_182643 | Hs. 134296 | 8p22 |
| DLX4 | Distal-less homeobox 4 | NM_138281 | BC005812 | Hs. 591167 | 17q21.33 |
| DNA2L | DNA2 DNA replication helicase 2-like (yeast) | XM_166103 | D42046 | Hs. 532446 | 10q21.3-q22.1 |
| DNMT1 | DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 1 | NM_001379 | NM_001379 | Hs. 202672 | 19p13.2 |
| DNMT3A | DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 3 alpha | NM_175629 | AB208833 | Hs. 515840 | 2 p 23 |
| DNMT3B | DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 3 beta | NM_006892 | DQ321787 | Hs. 570374 | $20 \mathrm{q11.2}$ |
| DUSP1 | Dual specificity phosphatase 1 | NM_004417 | AK127679 | Hs. 171695 | 5 q 34 |
| DUSP6 | Dual specificity phosphatase 6 | BC037236 | BC037236 | Hs. 298654 | 12q22-q23 |
| APBA2BP | Amyloid beta (A4) precursor protein-binding, family A, member 2 binding pr | NM_005225 | BC050369 | Hs. 516986 | 20q11.22 |
| E2F2 | E2F transcription factor 2 | NM_004091 | NM_004091 | Hs. 194333 | 1 p 36 |
| E2F3 | E2F transcription factor 3 | NM_001949 | NM_001949 | Hs. 269408 | 6p22 |
| E2F4 | E2F transcription factor 4, p107/p130-binding | NM_001950 | NM_001950 | Hs. 108371 | 16q21-q22 |
| E2F5 | E2F transcription factor 5, p130-binding | NM_001951 | AB209185 | Hs. 445758 | 8 q 21.2 |
| E2F6 | E2F transcription factor 6 | NM_001952 | NM_198258 | Hs. 135465 | 2p25.1 |
| ECT2 | Epithelial cell transforming sequence 2 oncogene | NM_018098 | AY376439 | Hs. 518299 | 3q26.1-q26.2 |
| EEF1A1 | Eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1 | NM_001402 | NM_001402 | Hs. 439552 | 6 q 14.1 |
| EGF | Epidermal growth factor (beta-urogastrone) | NM_001963 | NM_001963 | Hs. 419815 | 4q25 |
| EGFR | Epidermal growth factor receptor (erythroblastic leukemia viral (v-erb-b) onc | NM_005228 | NM_005228 | Hs. 488293 | 7p12 |
| EGLN1 | Egl nine homolog 1 (C. elegans) | NM_022051 | AF229245 | Hs. 444450 | 1942.1 |
| EGR1 | Early growth response 1 | NM_001964 | NM_001964 | Hs. 326035 | 5 q 31.1 |
| ELL | Elongation factor RNA polymerase II | NM_006532 | NM_006532 | Hs. 515260 | 19p13.1 |
| ELL2 | Elongation factor, RNA polymerase II, 2 | NM_012081 | BX538289 | Hs. 192221 | 5q15 |
| CTTN | Cortactin | NM_005231 | NM_003626 | Hs. 530749 | 11913 |
| C11orf30 | Chromosome 11 open reading frame 30 | NM_020193 | NM_020193 | Hs. 352588 | $11 q 13.5$ |
| ENPP2 | Ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase 2 (autotaxin) | NM_006209 | NM_006209 | Hs. 190977 | 8 q 24.1 |
| EP300 | E1A binding protein p300 | NM_001429 | U01877 | Hs. 517517 | 22q13.2 |
| ERBB2 | V-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2, neuro/glioblastc | NM_004448 | NM_001005862 | Hs. 446352 | 17q11.2-q12\|17q21.1 |
| PA2G4 | Proliferation-associated 2G4, 38kDa | NM_001982 | NM_001982 | Hs. 524498 | 12 q 13 |
| ERBB4 | V-erb-a erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 4 (avian) | NM_005235 | BX537810 | Hs. 390729 | 2q33.3-q34 |
| ERCC2 | Excision repair cross-complementing rodent repair deficiency, complementa | NM_000400 | AK092872 | Hs. 487294 | $19 \mathrm{q13.3}$ |
| ERCC3 | Excision repair cross-complementing rodent repair deficiency, complementa | NM_000122 | AK127469 | Hs. 469872 | 2q21 |
| PGBD3 | PiggyBac transposable element derived 3 | NM_000124 | NM_000124 | Hs. 133444 | 10q11 |
| ESM1 | Endothelial cell-specific molecule 1 | NM_007036 | X89426 | Hs. 129944 | 5 q 11.2 |
| ESR1 | Estrogen receptor 1 | NM_000125 | NM_000125 | Hs. 208124 | 6 q 25.1 |
| ESR2 | Estrogen receptor 2 (ER beta) | NM_001437 | AB209620 | Hs. 443150 | 14q23.2 |
| ESRRA | Estrogen-related receptor alpha | NM_004451 | BC092470 | Hs. 110849 | 11913 |
| ESRRB | Estrogen-related receptor beta | NM_004452 | NM_004452 | Hs. 435845 | 14q24.3 |
| ESRRG | Estrogen-related receptor gamma | NM_001438 | BC064700 | Hs. 444225 | 1q41 |
| IGSF4 | Immunoglobulin superfamily, member 4 | AV728294 | BX641042 | Hs. 370510 | 11q23.2 |
| KREMEN1 | Kringle containing transmembrane protein 1 | BG741722 | NM_001039570 | Hs. 229335 | 22q12.1 |
| ESTs_BM701446_ok | Transcribed locus | BM701446 | BG677838 | Hs. 446388 |  |
| CRYL1 | Crystallin, lambda 1 | BX092299 | BC071810 | Hs. 370703 | 13q12.11 |
| TACC2 | Transforming, acidic coiled-coil containing protein 2 | BX111019 | AF528099 | Hs. 501252 | 10q26 |
| ESTs_H73518 | ESTs_H73518 | AC093770 | AC093770 |  |  |
| ETS1 | V-ets erythroblastosis virus E26 oncogene homolog 1 (avian) | NM_005238 | NM_005238 | Hs. 369438 | $11 q 23.3$ |
| ETV5 | Ets variant gene 5 (ets-related molecule) | NM_004454 | NM_004454 | Hs. 43697 | 3q28 |
| EXO1 | Exonuclease 1 | NM_130398 | NM_130398 | Hs. 498248 | 1q42-q43 |
| EXT1 | Exostoses (multiple) 1 | NM_000127 | BX537744 | Hs. 492618 | 8q24.11-q24.13 |
| FANCA | Fanconi anemia, complementation group A | NM_000135 | X99226 | Hs. 567267 | 16 q 24.3 |
| FANCC | Fanconi anemia, complementation group C | NM_000136 | NM_000136 | Hs. 494529 | 9 q 22.3 |
| FANCD2 | Fanconi anemia, complementation group D2 | NM_033084 | BC038666 | Hs. 208388 | 3 p 26 |
| FANCF | Fanconi anemia, complementation group F | NM_022725 | NM_022725 | Hs. 523543 | $11 p 15$ |
| FAN | Fanconi anemia, complementa | NM 004629 | AJ007669 | Hs. 591084 | 9p13 |


| FGF1 | Fibroblast growth factor 1 (acidic) | NM_000800 | NM_000800 | Hs. 483635 | 5q31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FGF18 | Fibroblast growth factor 18 | NM_003862 | AF075292 | Hs. 87191 | 5 q 34 |
| FGF2 | Fibroblast growth factor 2 (basic) | NM_002006 | NM_002006 | Hs. 284244 | 4q26-q27 |
| FGF7 | Fibroblast growth factor 7 (keratinocyte growth factor) | NM_002009 | NM_002009 | Hs. 567268 | 15q15-q21.1 |
| FGFR2 | Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (bacteria-expressed kinase, keratinocyte | NM_023028 | NM_023028 | Hs. 533683 | $10 \mathrm{q26}$ |
| FHIT | Fragile histidine triad gene | NM_002012 | BX641016 | Hs. 196981 | 3 p 14.2 |
| AYTL2 | Acyltransferase like 2 | NM_024830 | AK090444 | Hs. 368853 | 5p15.33 |
| FLJ13611 | Hypothetical protein FLJ13611 | NM_024941 | BX641157 | Hs. 591760 | 5 q 12.3 |
| NPAL2 | NIPA-like domain containing 2 | NM_024759 | AK025015 | Hs. 309489 | 8 q 22.2 |
| FLJ22222 | Hypothetical protein FLJ22222 | NM_175902 | BM923625 | Hs. 567578 | 17 q 25.3 |
| ZNF533 | Zinc finger protein 533 | NM_152520 | BC092423 | Hs. 580076 | 2q31.2-q31.3 |
| RECQL5 | RecQ protein-like 5 | AL832643 | AL832643 | Hs. 528605 | 17q25.2-q25.3 |
| C1orf71 | Chromosome 1 open reading frame 71 | NM_152609 | AL834246 | Hs. 368353 | 1944 |
| PQLC2 | PQ loop repeat containing 2 | AK095564 | BC021040 | Hs. 523036 | 1p36.13 |
| FLJ38964 | Hypothetical protein FLJ38964 | NM_173527 | NM_173527 | Hs. 444911 | $14 q 11.2$ |
| SNRPD1 | Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein D1 polypeptide 16kDa | NM_173484 | NM_173484 | Hs. 567674 | $18 q 11.2$ |
| PTCH | Patched homolog (Drosophila) | AK124593 | AB209495 | Hs. 494538 | 9 q 22.3 |
| FLT1 | Fms-related tyrosine kinase 1 (vascular endothelial growth factor/vascular p | NM_002019 | NM_002019 | Hs. 507621 | 13 q 12 |
| FN1 | Fibronectin 1 | NM_002026 | NM_212482 | Hs. 203717 | 2 q 34 |
| FOS | V-fos FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog | NM_005252 | BX647104 | Hs. 25647 | 14 q 24.3 |
| FOSL1 | FOS-like antigen 1 | NM_005438 | AL833733 | Hs. 283565 | 11913 |
| FOXO3A | Forkhead box O3A | NM_001455 | BX649171 | Hs. 591328 | 6 q 21 |
| FUS | Fusion (involved in t (12;16) in malignant liposarcoma) | NM_004960 | AB208902 | Hs. 513522 | 16p11.2 |
| GADD45A | Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, alpha | NM_001924 | CR612719 | Hs. 80409 | 1p31.2-p31.1 |
| GADD45B | Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, beta | NM_015675 | AF087853 | Hs. 110571 | 19 p 13.3 |
| GADD45G | Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, gamma | NM_006705 | CR613579 | Hs. 9701 | 9q22.1-q22.2 |
| GAK | Cyclin G associated kinase | NM_005255 | NM_005255 | Hs. 369607 | 4p16 |
| GAS1 | Growth arrest-specific 1 | NM_002048 | NM_002048 | Hs. 65029 | 9q21.3-q22 |
| GAS1 | Growth arrest-specific 1 | NM_002048 | NM_002048 | Hs. 65029 | 9q21.3-q22 |
| GAS6 | Growth arrest-specific 6 | NM_000820 | AL833761 | Hs. 369201 | 13 q 34 |
| GAS8 | Growth arrest-specific 8 | NM_001481 | CR749499 | Hs. 431792 | 16 q 24.3 |
| GATA3 | GATA binding protein 3 | NM_002051 | NM_001002295 | Hs. 524134 | 10p15 |
| GEM | GTP binding protein overexpressed in skeletal muscle | NM_005261 | NM_005261 | Hs. 345139 | 8q13-q21 |
| genomic_chr9 | genomic_chr9_ok/AL513503.2 | AL513503.2 |  |  |  |
| GJA1 | Gap junction protein, alpha 1, 43kDa (connexin 43) | NM_000165 | NM_000165 | Hs. 74471 | 6q21-q23.2 |
| GLTSCR2 | Glioma tumor suppressor candidate region gene 2 | NM_015710 | NM_000554 | Hs. 421907 | $19 \mathrm{q13.3}$ |
| GM2A | GM2 ganglioside activator | NM_000405 | NM_000405 | Hs. 483873 | 5q31.3-q33.1 |
| GMPS | Guanine monphosphate synthetase | NM_003875 | NM_003875 | Hs. 591314 | 3q24 |
| GNAZ | Guanine nucleotide binding protein (G protein), alpha z polypeptide | NM_002073 | BC037333 | Hs. 584760 | 22 q 11.22 |
| GPR126 | G protein-coupled receptor 126 | NM_020455 | NM_020455 | Hs. 318894 | 6 q 24.1 |
| GPR30 | G protein-coupled receptor 30 | NM_001505 | NM_001505 | Hs. 592167 | 7 p 22.3 |
| GRB14 | Growth factor receptor-bound protein 14 | NM_004490 | NM_004490 | Hs. 411881 | 2q22-q24 |
| GRB2 | Growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 | NM_002086 | NM_002086 | Hs. 444356 | 17q24-q25 |
| GSK3A | Glycogen synthase kinase 3 alpha | NM_019884 | BC027984 | Hs. 466828 | $19 \mathrm{q13.2}$ |
| GSK3B | Glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta | NM_002093 | BC012760 | Hs. 445733 | 3q13.3 |
| GSTM3 | Glutathione S-transferase M3 (brain) | NM_000849 | NM_000849 | Hs. 2006 | 1 p 13.3 |
| GTF2B | General transcription factor IIB | NM_001514 | BC021000 | Hs. 481852 | 1p22-p21 |
| GTF2E1 | General transcription factor IIE, polypeptide 1, alpha 56kDa | NM_005513 | NM_005513 | Hs. 445272 | 3q21-q24 |
| GTF2E2 | General transcription factor IIE, polypeptide 2, beta 34kDa | NM_002095 | CR606311 | Hs. 77100 | 8p21-p12 |
| GTF2E2 | General transcription factor IIE, polypeptide 2, beta 34kDa | NM_002095 | CR606311 | Hs. 77100 | 8p21-p12 |
| GTF2F1 | General transcription factor IIF, polypeptide 1, 74kDa | NM_002096 | NM_002096 | Hs. 68257 | 19 p 13.3 |
| GTF2F2 | General transcription factor IIF, polypeptide 2, 30kDa | NM_004128 | CR609785 | Hs. 58593 | 13 P 14 |
| LDHA | Lactate dehydrogenase A | NM_005316 | NM_005316 | Hs. 2795 | 11p15.4 |
| GTF2H2 | General transcription factor IIH, polypeptide 2, 44kDa | NM_001515 | AF078847 | Hs. 398348 | 5q12.2-q13.3 |
| GTF2H3 | General transcription factor IIH, polypeptide 3, 34kDa | NM_001516 | BU956289 | Hs. 355348 | 12 q 24.31 |
| DDR1 | Discoidin domain receptor family, member 1 | NM_001517 | AB067472 | Hs. 485070 | 6 p 21.3 |
| H1F0 | H1 histone family, member 0 | NM_005318 | NM_005318 | Hs. 226117 | 22q13.1 |
| H1FX | H1 histone family, member $X$ | NM_006026 | BC000426 | Hs. 75307 | 3q21.3 |
| F8 | Coagulation factor VIII, procoagulant component (hemophilia A) | BM722299 | NM_000132 | Hs. 6917 | Xq28 |
| H2AFJ | H2A histone family, member J | NM_018267 | AL133626 | Hs. 524280 | 12 p 12 |
| H2AFX | H2A histone family, member $X$ | NM_002105 | BM917453 | Hs. 477879 | 11q23.2-q23.3 |
| H2AFY | H2A histone family, member $Y$ | NM_004893 | AB209490 | Hs. 586218 | 5q31.3-q32 |
| H2AFY2 | H2A histone family, member Y2 | NM_018649 | AL359572 | Hs. 499953 | 10q22 |
| H2AFZ | H2A histone family, member Z | NM_002106 | AK056803 | Hs. 119192 | 4q24 |
| H2AFV | H2A histone family, member V | NM_138635 | AL110212 | Hs. 488189 | 7p13 |
| H3F3B | H3 histone, family 3B (H3.3B) | NM_005324 | BX537379 | Hs. 180877 | 17925 |
| HAT1 | Histone acetyltransferase 1 | NM_003642 | AK127840 | Hs. 298250 | 2q31.2-q33.1 |
| HDAC1 | Histone deacetylase 1 | NM_004964 | BX648055 | Hs. 88556 | 1p34 |
| HDAC2 | Histone deacetylase 2 | NM_001527 | AB209190 | Hs. 3352 | 6 q 21 |
| HDAC3 | Histone deacetylase 3 | NM_003883 | AF005482 | Hs. 519632 | 5 q 31 |
| HDAC4 | Histone deacetylase 4 | NM_006037 | NM_006037 | Hs. 20516 | 2 q 37.2 |
| HDAC4 | Histone deacetylase 4 | NM_006037 | NM_006037 | Hs. 20516 | 2 q 37.2 |
| HDAC5 | Histone deacetylase 5 | NM_139205 | NM_001015053 | Hs. 438782 | 17921 |
| HDAC6 | Histone deacetylase 6 | NM_006044 | BC069243 | Hs. 6764 | Xp11.23 |
| HDAC7A | Histone deacetylase 7A | NM_015401 | AK122588 | Hs. 200063 | $12 \mathrm{q13.1}$ |
| HDAC8 | Histone deacetylase 8 | NM_018486 | AK074326 | Hs. 310536 | Xq13 |
| HDAC9 | Histone deacetylase 9 | NM_178423 | AJ459808 | Hs. 196054 | 7 p 21.1 |
| KNTC2 | Kinetochore associated 2 | NM_006101 | NM_006101 | Hs. 414407 | 18p11.32 |
| HGF | Hepatocyte growth factor (hepapoietin A; scatter factor) | NM_000601 | NM_000601 | Hs. 396530 | 7 q 21.1 |
| HIRA | HIR histone cell cycle regulation defective homolog A (S. cerevisiae) | NM_003325 | BC039835 | Hs. 474206 | 22q11.2\|22q11.21 |
| HIST1H1B | Histone 1, H1b | NM_005322 | BG292093 | Hs. 131956 | 6p22-p21.3 |
| HIST1H1C | Histone 1, H1c | NM_005319 | BQ940876 | Hs. 7644 | 6 p 21.3 |
| HIST1H1D | Histone 1, H1d | NM_005320 | NM_005320 | Hs. 136857 | 6 p 21.3 |
| HIST1H1E | Histone 1, H1e | NM_005321 | BU603483 | Hs. 248133 | 6p21.3 |
| HIST1H1E | Histone 1, H1e | NM_005321 | BU603483 | Hs. 248133 | 6p21.3 |
| HIST1H2AC | Histone 1, H2ac | NM_003512 | CR608156 | Hs. 484950 | 6p21.3 |
| HMG20A | High-mobility group 20A | NM_018200 | NM_018200 | Hs. 69594 | 15 q 24 |
| HMG2L1 | High-mobility group protein 2-like 1 | NM_005487 | NM_005487 | Hs. 588815 | $22 \mathrm{q13.1}$ |
| HMGA1 | High mobility group AT-hook 1 | NM_145904 | BC078664 | Hs. 518805 | 6p21 |
| HMGA2 | High mobility group AT-hook 2 | NM_003483 | AB209853 | Hs. 505924 | 12q15 |
| HMGB1 | High-mobility group box 1 | NM_002128 | AK122825 | Hs. 434102 | 13 q 12 |


| HMGB2 | High-mobility group box 2 | NM_002129 | CR600021 | Hs. 434953 | 4 q 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HMGB3 | High-mobility group box 3 | NM_005342 | NM_005342 | Hs. 19114 | Xq28 |
| HMGN1 | High-mobility group nucleosome binding domain 1 | NM_004965 | AB209245 | Hs. 356285 | 21q22.3\|21q22.2 |
| HMGN2 | High-mobility group nucleosomal binding domain 2 | NM_005517 | BG034748 | Hs. 181163 | 1 p36.1 |
| HMGN3 | High mobility group nucleosomal binding domain 3 | NM_004242 | BX648085 | Hs. 77558 | 6 q 14.1 |
| HMGN4 | High mobility group nucleosomal binding domain 4 | NM_006353 | NM_006353 | Hs. 236774 | 6p21.3 |
| HOXA10 | Homeobox A10 | NM_018951 | NM_018951 | Hs. 592166 | 7p15-p14 |
| HOXA11 | Homeobox A11 | NM_005523 | NM_005523 | Hs. 249171 | 7p15-p14 |
| HOXB7 | Homeobox B7 | NM_004502 | AK223249 | Hs. 436181 | 17921.3 |
| HRASLS | HRAS-like suppressor | NM_020386 | BC005856 | Hs. 36761 | 3 q 29 |
| PRMT2 | Protein arginine methyltransferase 2 | AL109794 | AK123352 | Hs. 154163 | 21q22.3 |
| STK32B | Serine/threonine kinase 32B | NM_018401 | AY358353 | Hs. 133062 | 4p16.2-p16.1 |
| HSD11B1 | Hydroxysteroid (11-beta) dehydrogenase 1 | NM_005525 | BF698867 | Hs. 195040 | 1q32-q41 |
| HSD11B2 | Hydroxysteroid (11-beta) dehydrogenase 2 | NM_000196 | AF370400 | Hs. 1376 | 16922 |
| HSD17B1 | Hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 1 | NM_000413 | AK127832 | Hs. 50727 | 17q11-q21 |
| HSD17B12 | Hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 12 | NM_016142 | BX537496 | Hs. 132513 | 11p11.2 |
| HSD17B2 | Hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 2 | NM_002153 | BG261436 | Hs. 162795 | 16q24.1-q24.2 |
| HSD17B3 | Hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 3 | NM_000197 | BC034281 | Hs. 477 | 9 q 22 |
| HSD17B4 | Hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 4 | NM_000414 | AB208932 | Hs. 406861 | 5 q 21 |
| HSD17B7 | Hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 7 | NM_016371 | AK022929 | Hs. 492925 | 1923 |
| RING1 | Ring finger protein 1 | NM_014234 | NM_006979 | Hs. 415058 | 6p21.3 |
| HSF1 | Heat shock transcription factor 1 | NM_005526 | AK125467 | Hs. 530227 | 8 q 24.3 |
| HSPA4 | Heat shock 70kDa protein 4 | XM_114482 | NM_002154 | Hs. 90093 | 5q31.1-q31.2 |
| HSPA5 | Heat shock 70kDa protein 5 (glucose-regulated protein, 78kDa) | NM_005347 | NM_005347 | Hs. 522392 | 9q33-q34.1 |
| HSPA9B | Heat shock 70kDa protein 9B (mortalin-2) | NM_004134 | NM_004134 | Hs. 184233 | 5q31.1 |
| HSPB1 | Heat shock 27kDa protein 1 | NM_001540 | BM907768 | Hs. 520973 | 7 q 11.23 |
| HSPB2 | Heat shock 27kDa protein 2 | NM_001541 | AB096250 | Hs. 78846 | 11q22-q23 |
| HSP90AA1 | Heat shock protein 90kDa alpha (cytosolic), class A member 1 | NM_005348 | AJ890082 | Hs. 525600 | 14 q 32.33 |
| HSP90AB1 | Heat shock protein 90kDa alpha (cytosolic), class B member 1 | NM_007355 | AY359878 | Hs. 509736 | 6p12 |
| HUS1 | HUS1 checkpoint homolog (S. pombe) | NM_004507 | CR619988 | Hs. 152983 | 7p13-p12 |
| ID4 | Inhibitor of DNA binding 4, dominant negative helix-loop-helix protein | NM_001546 | NM_001546 | Hs. 519601 | 6p22-p21 |
| IFIT2 | Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 2 | NM_001547 | BC032839 | Hs. 437609 | 10q23-q25 |
| IFITM1 | Interferon induced transmembrane protein 1 (9-27) | NM_003641 | BF210063 | Hs. 458414 | 11p15.5 |
| IGF1 | Insulin-like growth factor 1 (somatomedin C) | NM_000618 | NM_000618 | Hs. 160562 | 12q22-q23 |
| IGF1R | Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor | NM_000875 | BX640783 | Hs. 592020 | 15q26.3 |
| IGFBP1 | Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1 | NM_000596 | NM_000596 | Hs. 401316 | 7p13-p12 |
| IGFBP2 | Insulin-like growth factor binding protein $2,36 \mathrm{kDa}$ | NM_000597 | AB209509 | Hs. 438102 | 2q33-q34 |
| IGFBP2 | Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 2, 36kDa | NM_000597 | AB209509 | Hs. 438102 | 2q33-934 |
| IGFBP3 | Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 | NM_000598 | NM_001013398 | Hs. 450230 | 7p13-p12 |
| IGFBP4 | Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 4 | NM_001552 | NM_001552 | Hs. 462998 | 17q12-q21.1 |
| IGFBP5 | Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5 | NM_000599 | NM_000599 | Hs. 369982 | 2q33-q36 |
| LL18 | Interleukin 18 (interferon-gamma-inducing factor) | NM_001562 | NM_001562 | Hs. 83077 | 11q22.2-q22.3 |
| IL2RA | Interleukin 2 receptor, alpha | NM_000417 | X01057 | Hs. 231367 | 10p15-p14 |
| IL6 | Interleukin 6 (interferon, beta 2) | NM_000600 | BM906445 | Hs. 512234 | 7p21 |
| IL6R | Interleukin 6 receptor | NM_000565 | NM_000565 | Hs. 591492 | 1q21 |
| IL6ST | Interleukin 6 signal transducer (gp130, oncostatin M receptor) | NM_002184 | BC071555 | Hs. 532082 | 5q11 |
| ING1 | Inhibitor of growth family, member 1 | NM_005537 | NM_198219 | Hs. 46700 | 13 q 34 |
| IRF1 | Interferon regulatory factor 1 | NM_002198 | AB209624 | Hs. 436061 | 5q31.1 |
| IRF1 | Interferon regulatory factor 1 | NM_002198 | AB209624 | Hs. 436061 | 5q31.1 |
| IRF5 | Interferon regulatory factor 5 | NM_002200 | NM_002200 | Hs. 521181 | 7 q 32 |
| IRF7 | Interferon regulatory factor 7 | NM_004031 | AF076494 | Hs. 166120 | 11p15.5 |
| ITGA2 | Integrin, alpha 2 (CD49B, alpha 2 subunit of VLA-2 receptor) | NM_002203 | X17033 | Hs. 591770 | 5q23-q31 |
| ITGA3 | Integrin, alpha 3 (antigen CD49C, alpha 3 subunit of VLA-3 receptor) | NM_002204 | AB209658 | Hs. 265829 | 17 q 21.33 |
| ITGA5 | Integrin, alpha 5 (fibronectin receptor, alpha polypeptide) | NM_002205 | NM_002205 | Hs. 505654 | 12q11-q13 |
| ITGA6 | Integrin, alpha 6 | NM_000210 | X53586 | Hs. 133397 | 2 q 31.1 |
| ITGAV | Integrin, alpha V (vitronectin receptor, alpha polypeptide, antigen CD51) | NM_002210 | NM_002210 | Hs. 436873 | 2q31-q32 |
| ITGB1 | Integrin, beta 1 (fibronectin receptor, beta polypeptide, antigen CD29 include | NM_002211 | NM_002211 | Hs. 558072 | 10p11.2 |
| ITGB2 | Integrin, beta 2 (complement component 3 receptor 3 and 4 subunit) | NM_000211 | NM_000211 | Hs. 375957 | 21922.3 |
| ITGB3 | Integrin, beta 3 (platelet glycoprotein IIIa, antigen CD61) | NM_000212 | NM_000212 | Hs. 218040 | 17q21.32 |
| ITGB3BP | Integrin beta 3 binding protein (beta3-endonexin) | NM_014288 | CR596268 | Hs. 166539 | 1p31.3 |
| ITGB4 | Integrin, beta 4 | NM_000213 | X53587 | Hs. 592106 | 17q25 |
| ITGB5 | Integrin, beta 5 | NM_002213 | X53002 | Hs. 536663 | 3 q 21.2 |
| ITGB8 | Integrin, beta 8 | NM_002214 | AB209429 | Hs. 592171 | 7 p 15.3 |
| GPR180 | G protein-coupled receptor 180 | NM_180989 | NM_180989 | Hs. 439363 | $13 q 32.1$ |
| JUN | V-jun sarcoma virus 17 oncogene homolog (avian) | NM_002228 | NM_002228 | Hs. 525704 | 1p32-p31 |
| CD82 | CD82 molecule | NM_002231 | NM_002231 | Hs. 527778 | 11p11.2 |
| UBR2 | Ubiquitin protein ligase E3 component n-recognin 2 | NM_015255 | BX647467 | Hs. 529925 | 6p21.1 |
| PALLD | Palladin, cytoskeletal associated protein | NM_016081 | NM_016081 | Hs. 151220 | 4 q 32.3 |
| PALLD | Palladin, cytoskeletal associated protein | NM_016081 | NM_016081 | Hs. 151220 | 4 q 32.3 |
| KIAA1093 | KIAA1093 | XM_039385 | XM_039385 |  |  |
| KIAA1357 | KIAA1357 | XM_050421 | XM_050421 |  |  |
| RP5-860F19.3 | KIAA1442 protein | XM_044921 | XM_044921 | Hs. 471955 | 20 p 13 |
| TSPYL5 | TSPY-like 5 | NM_033512 | NM_033512 | Hs. 173094 | 8 q 22.1 |
| KISS1 | KiSS-1 metastasis-suppressor | NM_002256 | BM807845 | Hs. 95008 | 1932 |
| KISS1 | KiSS-1 metastasis-suppressor | NM_002256 | BM807845 | Hs. 95008 | 1932 |
| KIT | V-kit Hardy-Zuckerman 4 feline sarcoma viral oncogene homolog | NM_000222 | BC071593 | Hs. 479754 | 4q11-q12 |
| KLF5 | Kruppel-like factor 5 (intestinal) | NM_001730 | AF132818 | Hs. 508234 | 13q22.1 |
| KLK5 | Kallikrein 5 | NM_012427 | AY359010 | Hs. 50915 | 19q13.3-q13.4 |
| KPNA3 | Karyopherin alpha 3 (importin alpha 4) | NM_002267 | BC035090 | Hs. 527919 | 13q14.3 |
| KPNA4 | Karyopherin alpha 4 (importin alpha 3) | NM_002268 | NM_002268 | Hs. 288193 | 3q25.33 |
| KPNA5 | Karyopherin alpha 5 (importin alpha 6) | NM_002269 | NM_002269 | Hs. 182971 | 6 q 22.2 |
| KPNA6 | Karyopherin alpha 6 (importin alpha 7) | NM_012316 | NM_012316 | Hs. 591500 | 1p35.1-p34.3 |
| KPNB1 | Karyopherin (importin) beta 1 | NM_002265 | L38951 | Hs. 532793 | 17q21.32 |
| TNPO1 | Transportin 1 | NM_002270 | U70322 | Hs. 482497 | 5q13.2 |
| RANBP5 | RAN binding protein 5 | NM_002271 | NM_002271 | Hs. 588179 | 13 q 32.2 |
| KRT17 | Keratin 17 | NM_000526 | AK122864 | Hs. 2785 | 17q12-q21 |
| KRT17 | Keratin 17 | NM_000422 | AK122864 | Hs. 2785 | 17q12-q21 |
| KRT18 | Keratin 18 | NM_000224 | CR616919 | Hs. 406013 | 12 q 13 |
| KRT17 | Keratin 17 | NM_002276 | AK122864 | Hs. 2785 | 17q12-q21 |
| KRT5 | Keratin 5 (epidermolysis bullosa simplex, Dowling-Meara/Kobner/Weber-Co | NM_000424 | AJ508777 | Hs. 433845 | 12q12-q13 |
| KRT5 | Keratin 5 (epidermolysis bullosa simplex, Dowling-Meara/Kobner/Weber-Co | NM 005554 | AJ508777 | Hs. 433845 | 12q12-q13 |


| KRT5 | Keratin 5 (epidermolysis bullosa simplex, Dowling-Meara/Kobner/Weber-Co | NM_005555 | AJ508777 | Hs. 433845 | 12q12-q13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAMA3 | Laminin, alpha 3 | NM_000227 | NM_198129 | Hs. 436367 | $18 q 11.2$ |
| LCMT2 | Leucine carboxyl methyltransferase 2 | NM_014793 | NM_014793 | Hs. 200596 | $15 q 15.3$ |
| TSEN34 | TRNA splicing endonuclease 34 homolog (S. cerevisiae) | NM_024075 | AK054944 | Hs. 15580 | 19q13.4 |
| LGP2 | Likely ortholog of mouse D11lgp2 | NM_024119 | AK021416 | Hs. 55918 | 17 q 21.2 |
| LIF | Leukemia inhibitory factor (cholinergic differentiation factor) | NM_002309 | NM_002309 | Hs. 2250 | 22q12.2 |
| PLA2G4C | Phospholipase A2, group IVC (cytosolic, calcium-independent) | NM_000234 | AB208791 | Hs. 1770 | $19 \mathrm{q13.3}$ |
| LIG3 | Ligase III, DNA, ATP-dependent | NM_013975 | NM_013975 | Hs. 100299 | 17q11.2-q12 |
| LIG4 | Ligase IV, DNA, ATP-dependent | NM_002312 | NM_002312 | Hs. 166091 | 13q33-q34 |
| LMNA | Lamin A/C | NM_170707 | NM_170707 | Hs. 491359 | 1q21.2-q21.3 |
| LMNB1 | Lamin B1 | NM_005573 | BC052951 | Hs. 89497 | 5q23.3-q31.1 |
| LMNB2 | Lamin B2 | NM_032737 | NM_032737 | Hs. 538286 | 19 p 13.3 |
| LOC153770 | Transcribed locus | CA430603 | CA430603 | Hs. 623375 |  |
| LOC221143 | Hypothetical protein LOC221143 | NM_174928 | BG403594 | Hs. 26674 | 13 q 12.11 |
| LOC286052 | Hypothetical protein LOC286052 | AK095104 | AK095104 | Hs. 588365 | 8q24.13 |
| LOC286478 | Transcribed locus | BX089115 | BX089115 | Hs. 449499 |  |
| LOH11CR1J | CDNA: FLJ21561 fis, clone COL06415 | AB096249 | AK025214 | Hs. 96918 |  |
| LPXN | Leupaxin | NM_004811 | BC034230 | Hs. 125474 | $11 q 12.1$ |
| MTDH | Metadherin | NM_178812 | BC045642 | Hs. 377155 | 8q22.1 |
| MAD1L1 | MAD1 mitotic arrest deficient-like 1 (yeast) | NM_003550 | NM_003550 | Hs. 209128 | 7p22 |
| MAD2L1 | MAD2 mitotic arrest deficient-like 1 (yeast) | NM_002358 | U65410 | Hs. 591697 | 4q27 |
| MAD2L2 | MAD2 mitotic arrest deficient-like 2 (yeast) | NM_006341 | AK094316 | Hs. 19400 | 1 p 36 |
| MAP2K1 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1 | NM_002755 | NM_002755 | Hs. 145442 | 15q22.1-q22.33 |
| MAP2K2 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 2 | NM_030662 | BM809871 | Hs. 465627 | $19 p 13.3$ |
| MAP2K3 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 3 | NM_145110 | AK093838 | Hs. 514012 | $17 q 11.2$ |
| MAP2K4 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 4 | NM_003010 | AK131544 | Hs. 514681 | 17p11.2 |
| MAP2K5 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 5 | NM_145160 | AK025177 | Hs. 114198 | 15923 |
| MAP2K6 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 6 | NM_002758 | BX641121 | Hs. 463978 | 17924.3 |
| MAP2K7 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 7 | Hs. 531754 | 19p13.3-p13.2 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kin |  |
| MAP3K1 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 1 | AF042838 | AF042838 | Hs. 508461 | 5 q 11.2 |
| MAP3K10 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 10 | NM_002446 | X90846 | Hs. 466743 | $19 \mathrm{q13.2}$ |
| MAP3K2 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 2 | NM_006609 | NM_006609 | Hs. 145605 | 2q14.3 |
| MAP3K3 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 3 | NM_002401 | NM_203351 | Hs. 29282 | $17 q 23.3$ |
| MAP3K4 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 4 | NM_005922 | NM_005922 | Hs. 390428 | 6 q 26 |
| MAP3K5 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 5 | NM_005923 | U67156 | Hs. 186486 | 6 q 22.33 |
| MAP3K6 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 6 | NM_004672 | NM_004672 | Hs. 194694 | 1p36.11 |
| MAP3K7IP1 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 7 interacting protein 1 | NM_006116 | AB209372 | Hs. 507681 | $22 \mathrm{q13.1}$ |
| MAP4K1 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase kinase 1 | NM_007181 | NM_007181 | Hs. 95424 | 19q13.1-q13.4 |
| MAP4K2 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase kinase 2 | NM_004579 | NM_004579 | Hs. 534341 | 11 q 13 |
| MAP4K3 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase kinase 3 | NM 003618 | BC071579 | Hs. 468239 | 2p22.1 |
| MAP4K4 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase kinase 4 | NM_145686 | NM_145686 | Hs. 431550 | 2q11.2-q12 |
| MAP4K5 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase kinase 5 | NM_006575 | NM_198794 | Hs. 130491 | 14q11.2-q21 |
| MAPK1 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 | NM_002745 | AL157438 | Hs. 431850 | 22q11.2\|22q11.21 |
| MAPK1 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 | NM_002745 | AL157438 | Hs. 431850 | 22q11.2\|22q11.21 |
| MAPK10 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 10 | NM_002753 | AK124791 | Hs. 125503 | 4q22.1-q23 |
| MAPK11 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 11 | NM _002751 | BC027933 | Hs. 57732 | 22q13.33 |
| MAPK12 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 12 | NM_002969 | CR620424 | Hs. 432642 | 22q13.33 |
| MAPK13 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 13 | NM_002754 | AB209586 | Hs. 178695 | 6p21.31 |
| MAPK14 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 14 | NM_139012 | NM_001315 | Hs. 588289 | 6p21.3-p21.2 |
| MAPK3 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 3 | AK091009 | BX537897 | Hs. 861 | 16p12-p11.2 |
| MAPK4 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 4 | NM_002747 | BC050299 | Hs. 433728 | 18q12-q21 |
| MAPK6 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 6 | NM_002748 | NM_002748 | Hs. 411847 | 15q21 |
| MAPK7 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 7 | NM_139033 | AB209611 | Hs. 150136 | 17 p 11.2 |
| MAPK8 | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 8 | NM_139046 | CR614448 | Hs. 138211 | 10q11.22 |
| MBD1 | Methyl-CpG binding domain protein 1 | NM_015846 | NM_015846 | Hs. 405610 | 18q21 |
| MBD3 | Methyl-CpG binding domain protein 3 | NM_003926 | NM_003926 | Hs. 178728 | 19 p 13.3 |
| ENSA | Endosulfine alpha | NM_021960 | NM_021960 | Hs. 163936 | 1 q 21.2 |
| MCM5 | MCM5 minichromosome maintenance deficient 5, cell division cycle 46 (S. | NM_006739 | AB209612 | Hs. 517582 | $22 \mathrm{q13.1}$ |
| MCM6 | MCM6 minichromosome maintenance deficient 6 (MIS5 homolog, S. pombe | NM_005915 | NM_005915 | Hs. 444118 | 2q21 |
| MDH2 | Malate dehydrogenase 2, NAD (mitochondrial) | NM_005918 | AK095803 | Hs. 520967 | 7p12.3-q11.2 |
| MDM2 | Mdm2, transformed 3T3 cell double minute 2, p53 binding protein (mouse) | NM_002392 | M92424 | Hs. 567303 | 12q14.3-q15 |
| MED6 | Mediator of RNA polymerase II transcription, subunit 6 homolog (yeast) | NM_005466 | AK222587 | Hs. 497353 | 14 q 24.2 |
| MELK | Maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase | NM_014791 | NM_014791 | Hs. 184339 | 9 p 13.2 |
| MET | Met proto-oncogene (hepatocyte growth factor receptor) | NM_000245 | NM_000245 | Hs. 132966 | 7q31 |
| FBXO31 | F-box protein 31 | NM_024735 | AF318348 | Hs. 567582 | 16 q 24.2 |
| C9orf30 | Chromosome 9 open reading frame 30 | NM_080655 | AK092292 | Hs. 530272 | 9 q 31.1 |
| CYBASC3 | Cytochrome b, ascorbate dependent 3 | NM_153611 | BC004391 | Hs. 22546 | 11912.2 |
| MGC26744 | Hypothetical protein MGC26744 | NM_144645 | AK057662 | Hs. 339646 | 4 q 21.3 |
| MGMT | O-6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase | NM_002412 | CR618411 | Hs. 501522 | 10 q 26 |
| MGST1 | Microsomal glutathione S-transferase 1 | NM_020300 | AK058030 | Hs. 389700 | 12p12.3-p12.1 |
| MK167 | Antigen identified by monoclonal antibody Ki-67 | NM_002417 | NM_002417 | Hs. 80976 | 10q25-qter |
| MLH1 | MutL homolog 1, colon cancer, nonpolyposis type 2 (E. coli) | NM_000249 | BX648844 | Hs. 195364 | 3 p 21.3 |
| MMP11 | Matrix metallopeptidase 11 (stromelysin 3) | NM_005940 | NM_005940 | Hs. 143751 | 22q11.2\|22q11.23 |
| MMP3 | Matrix metallopeptidase 3 (stromelysin 1, progelatinase) | NM_002422 | AK223291 | Hs. 375129 | 11 q 22.3 |
| MMP9 | Matrix metallopeptidase 9 (gelatinase B, 92kDa gelatinase, 92kDa type IV cin | NM_004994 | NM_004994 | Hs. 297413 | 20q11.2-q13.1 |
| MRE11A | MRE11 meiotic recombination 11 homolog A (S. cerevisiae) | NM_005591 | NM_005590 | Hs. 192649 | 11 q 21 |
| MS4A7 | Membrane-spanning 4-domains, subfamily A, member 7 | NM_021201 | NM_021201 | Hs. 530735 | 11q12 |
| MSH2 | MutS homolog 2, colon cancer, nonpolyposis type 1 (E. coli) | NM_000251 | AK223284 | Hs. 156519 | 2p22-p21 |
| MSH6 | MutS homolog 6 (E. coli) | NM_000179 | BC071594 | Hs. 445052 | 2 p 16 |
| MSX1 | Msh homeobox homolog 1 (Drosophila) | NM_002448 | NM_002448 | Hs. 424414 | 4p16.3-p16.1 |
| MTA1 | Metastasis associated 1 | NM_004689 | NM_004689 | Hs. 525629 | 14 q 32.3 |
| MTA2 | Metastasis associated 1 family, member 2 | NM_004739 | NM_004739 | Hs. 173043 | 11q12-q13.1 |
| MTA3 | Metastasis associated 1 family, member 3 | AB033092 | AB033092 | Hs. 435413 | 2 p 21 |
| MUC1 | Mucin 1, cell surface associated | NM_002456 | X52228 | Hs. 89603 | 1q21 |
| GRHL2 | Grainyhead-like 2 (Drosophila) | BG675392 | AK023844 | Hs. 561796 | 8 q 22.3 |
| MX1 | Myxovirus (influenza virus) resistance 1, interferon-inducible protein p78 (mc | NM_002462 | AK096355 | Hs. 517307 | 21 q 22.3 |
| MXI1 | MAX interactor 1 | NM_005962 | NM_130439 | Hs. 501023 | 10q24-q25 |
| MYB | V-myb myeloblastosis viral oncogene homolog (avian) | NM_005375 | AJ606319 | Hs. 591337 | 6q22-q23 |
| MYC | V-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (avian) | NM_002467 | NM_002467 | Hs. 202453 | 8q24.12-q24.13 |
| NBN | Nibrin | NM_002485 | BX640816 | Hs. 492208 | 8q21 |
| NCOA1 | ctiv | NM 147223 | NM 147223 | Hs. 412293 | 2 p 23 |


| NCOA2 | Nuclear receptor coactivator 2 | NM_006540 | AL832112 | Hs. 446678 | 8q13.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCOA3 | Nuclear receptor coactivator 3 | NM_006534 | NM_181659 | Hs. 592142 | 20 q 12 |
| NCOA4 | Nuclear receptor coactivator 4 | NM_005437 | AL162047 | Hs. 591356 | $10 \mathrm{q11.2}$ |
| NCOA5 | Nuclear receptor coactivator 5 | NM_020967 | NM_020967 | Hs. 25669 | 20q12-q13.12 |
| NCOA6 | Nuclear receptor coactivator 6 | NM_014071 | AF208227 | Hs. 368971 | $20 q 11$ |
| NCOA6IP | Nuclear receptor coactivator 6 interacting protein | NM_024831 | NM_024831 | Hs. 335068 | 8q11 |
| NCOA7 | Nuclear receptor coactivator 7 | AL834442 | AL834442 | Hs. 171426 | 6q22.31-q22.32 |
| NCOR1 | Nuclear receptor co-repressor 1 | NM_006311 | AF087856 | Hs. 462323 | 17p11.2 |
| NCOR2 | Nuclear receptor co-repressor 2 | NM_006312 | NM_006312 | Hs. 137510 | 12 q 24 |
| NDUFS8 | NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) Fe-S protein 8, 23kDa (NADH-coenzym | NM_002496 | AK002110 | Hs. 90443 | 11q13 |
| NEO1 | Neogenin homolog 1 (chicken) | NM_002499 | AB209412 | Hs. 536488 | 15q22.3-q23 |
| NGRN | Neugrin, neurite outgrowth associated | NM_016645 | NM_016645 | Hs. 513145 | 15q26.1 |
| NFIB | Nuclear factor I/B | NM_005596 | BX537698 | Hs. 370359 | 9p24.1 |
| NF2 | Neurofibromin 2 (bilateral acoustic neuroma) | NM_000268 | NM_181826 | Hs. 187898 | $22 \mathrm{q12.2}$ |
| NFYB | Nuclear transcription factor $Y$, beta | NM_006166 | NM_006166 | Hs. 84928 | 12q22-q23 |
| RTN4RL1 | Reticulon 4 receptor-like 1 | NM_178568 | NM_178568 | Hs. 22917 | 17 p 13.3 |
| IL32 | Interleukin 32 | NM_004221 | BF569086 | Hs. 943 | 16 p 13.3 |
| NME1 | Non-metastatic cells 1, protein (NM23A) expressed in | NM_000269 | BG114681 | Hs. 463456 | 17 q 21.3 |
| NME1 | Non-metastatic cells 1, protein (NM23A) expressed in | NM_002512 | BG114681 | Hs. 463456 | 17921.3 |
| NMU | Neuromedin U | NM_006681 | BE735948 | Hs. 418367 | 4 q 12 |
| NOS3 | Nitric oxide synthase 3 (endothelial cell) | NM_000603 | NM_000603 | Hs. 585117 | 7 q 36 |
| NOTCH1 | Notch homolog 1, translocation-associated (Drosophila) | NM_017617 | NM_017617 | Hs. 495473 | 9 q 34.3 |
| NOTCH3 | Notch homolog 3 (Drosophila) | NM_000435 | NM_000435 | Hs. 8546 | 19p13.2-p13.1 |
| NOTCH4 | Notch homolog 4 (Drosophila) | NM_004557 | NM_004557 | Hs. 436100 | 6p21.3 |
| NR112 | Nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group I, member 2 | NM_003889 | AJ009936 | Hs. 7303 | 3q12-q13.3 |
| NRG1 | Neuregulin 1 | NM_013957 | NM_013957 | Hs. 453951 | 8p21-p12 |
| NRIP1 | Nuclear receptor interacting protein 1 | NM_003489 | NM_003489 | Hs. 155017 | 21911.2 |
| NSD1 | Nuclear receptor binding SET domain protein 1 | NM_022455 | NM_022455 | Hs. 106861 | 5q35.2-q35.3 |
| OAS1 | $2^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-oligoadenylate synthetase $1,40 / 46 \mathrm{kDa}$ | NM_016816 | NM_016816 | Hs. 524760 | 12 q 24.1 |
| OAS2 | 2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase $2,69 / 71 \mathrm{kDa}$ | NM_002535 | NM_002535 | Hs. 414332 | 12 q 24.2 |
| OGG1 | 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase | NM_016819 | NM_016819 | Hs. 380271 | 3 p 26.2 |
| ORC6L | Origin recognition complex, subunit 6 homolog-like (yeast) | NM_014321 | NM_014321 | Hs. 49760 | 16 q 12 |
| OSM | Oncostatin M | NM_020530 | NM_020530 | Hs. 248156 | $22 \mathrm{q12.2}$ |
| OXCT1 | 3-oxoacid CoA transferase 1 | NM_000436 | NM_000436 | Hs. 278277 | 5p13.1 |
| P53AIP1 | P53-regulated apoptosis-inducing protein 1 | NM_022112 | AB045832 | Hs. 160953 | 11q24 |
| PAK2 | P21 (CDKN1A)-activated kinase 2 | BC063539 | NM_002577 | Hs. 518530 | 3 q 29 |
| PAK2 | P21 (CDKN1A)-activated kinase 2 | NM_002577 | NM_002577 | Hs. 518530 | 3q29 |
| PAPPA | Pregnancy-associated plasma protein A, pappalysin 1 | NM_002581 | NM_002581 | Hs. 494928 | 9 q 33.2 |
| PARVA | Parvin, alpha | NM_018222 | AL832682 | Hs. 436319 | 11p15.3 |
| PAX2 | Paired box gene 2 | NM_003988 | NM_003988 | Hs. 155644 | 10q24 |
| SUB1 | SUB1 homolog (S. cerevisiae) | NM_006713 | BX537584 | Hs. 229641 | 5p13.3 |
| PCAF | P300/CBP-associated factor | NM 003884 | NM _003884 | Hs. 533055 | 3p24 |
| PCDH17 | Protocadherin 17 | NM_014459 | NM_014459 | Hs. 106511 | 13q21.1 |
| PCNA | Proliferating cell nuclear antigen | NM_002592 | BM462208 | Hs. 147433 | 20pter-p12 |
| PDGFRA | Platelet-derived growth factor receptor, alpha polypeptide | NM_006206 | NM_006206 | Hs. 74615 | 4q11-q13 |
| PECI | Peroxisomal D3,D2-enoyl-CoA isomerase | NM_006117 | AB209917 | Hs. 15250 | 6p24.3 |
| PELP1 | Proline, glutamic acid and leucine rich protein 1 | NM_014389 | BC069058 | Hs. 513883 | 17p13.2 |
| PPARGC1B | Peroxisome proliferative activated receptor, gamma, coactivator 1, beta | NM_133263 | AY188950 | Hs. 591261 | 5 q 33.1 |
| PGR | Progesterone receptor | NM_000926 | NM_000926 | Hs. 368072 | 11q22-q23 |
| PGR | Progesterone receptor | NM_000926 | NM_000926 | Hs. 368072 | 11q22-q23 |
| PGR | Progesterone receptor | NM_000926 | NM_000926 | Hs. 368072 | 11q22-q23 |
| PHB | Prohibitin | NM_002634 | BF676086 | Hs. 514303 | 17 q 21 |
| PIK3C2A | Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, class 2, alpha polypeptide | NM_002645 | NM_002645 | Hs. 175343 | 11p15.5-p14 |
| PIK3C2B | Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, class 2, beta polypeptide | NM_002646 | Y11312 | Hs. 497487 | 1932 |
| PIK3C2G | Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, class 2, gamma polypeptide | NM_004570 | AJ000008 | Hs. 22500 | 12 p 12 |
| PIK3CA | Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, catalytic, alpha polypeptide | NM_006218 | BX640788 | Hs. 478376 | 3 q 26.3 |
| PIK3CB | Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, catalytic, beta polypeptide | NM_006219 | CR749357 | Hs. 239818 | 3 q 22.3 |
| PIK3CD | Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, catalytic, delta polypeptide | NM_005026 | NM_005026 | Hs. 518451 | 1 p 36.2 |
| PIK3CG | Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, catalytic, gamma polypeptide | NM_002649 | X83368 | Hs. 32942 | 7 q 22.3 |
| PIK3R1 | Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, regulatory subunit 1 (p85 alpha) | NM_181504 | NM_181523 | Hs. 132225 | 5 q 13.1 |
| PIK3R2 | Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, regulatory subunit 2 (p85 beta) | NM_005027 | NM_005027 | Hs. 371344 | 19q13.2-q13.4 |
| PIN1 | Protein (peptidylprolyl cis/trans isomerase) NIMA-interacting 1 | NM_006221 | AK092970 | Hs. 465849 | 19 p 13 |
| PITRM1 | Pitrilysin metallopeptidase 1 | NM_014889 | CR749279 | Hs. 528300 | 10p15.2 |
| PLAU | Plasminogen activator, urokinase | NM_002658 | NM_002658 | Hs. 77274 | 10q24 |
| PLAUR | Plasminogen activator, urokinase receptor | NM_002659 | CR601067 | Hs. 466871 | 19q13 |
| PMS1 | PMS1 postmeiotic segregation increased 1 (S. cerevisiae) | NM_000534 | CR749432 | Hs. 111749 | 2q31-q33\|2q31.1 |
| PMS2 | PMS2 postmeiotic segregation increased 2 (S. cerevisiae) | NM_000535 | AB037790 | Hs. 520205 | 7 p 22.2 |
| POLD4 | Polymerase (DNA-directed), delta 4 | NM_021173 | AB209274 | Hs. 523829 | 11913 |
| POLE3 | Polymerase (DNA directed), epsilon 3 (p17 subunit) | NM_017443 | AK092840 | Hs. 108112 | 9 q 33 |
| POLR2A | Polymerase (RNA) II (DNA directed) polypeptide A, 220kDa | NM_000937 | NM_000937 | Hs. 270017 | 17p13.1 |
| REST | RE1-silencing transcription factor | NM_000938 | BC023503 | Hs. 307836 | 4 q 12 |
| POLR2C | Polymerase (RNA) II (DNA directed) polypeptide C, 33kDa | NM_032940 | NM_032940 | Hs. 79402 | 16q13-q21 |
| WDR33 | WD repeat domain 33 | NM_004805 | NM_018383 | Hs. 554831 | 2q14.3 |
| POLR2E | Polymerase (RNA) II (DNA directed) polypeptide E, 25kDa | NM_002695 | AK122813 | Hs. 24301 | 19 p 13.3 |
| POLR2F | Polymerase (RNA) II (DNA directed) polypeptide F | NM_021974 | AL832562 | Hs. 436578 | $22 \mathrm{q13.1}$ |
| POLR2H | Polymerase (RNA) II (DNA directed) polypeptide H | NM_006232 | CR590527 | Hs. 432574 | 3 q 28 |
| POLR21 | Polymerase (RNA) II (DNA directed) polypeptide I, 14.5kDa | NM_006233 | BU598062 | Hs. 47062 | 19 q 12 |
| POLR2J2 | DNA directed RNA polymerase II polypeptide J-related gene | NM_006234 | NM_006989 | Hs. 530089 | 7 q 11.22 |
| POLR2J2 | DNA directed RNA polymerase II polypeptide J-related gene | BC050405 | NM_006989 | Hs. 530089 | 7 q 11.22 |
| POLR2K | Polymerase (RNA) II (DNA directed) polypeptide K, 7.0kDa | NM_005034 | BI758413 | Hs. 351475 | 8 q 22.2 |
| POLR2L | Polymerase (RNA) II (DNA directed) polypeptide L, 7.6kDa | NM_021128 | BM919305 | Hs. 441072 | 11p15 |
| POU2F2 | POU domain, class 2, transcription factor 2 | NM_002698 | M36542 | Hs. 118990 | $19 \mathrm{q13.2}$ |
| PPARBP | PPAR binding protein | NM_004774 | Y13467 | Hs. 462956 | 17q12-q21.1 |
| PPARG | Peroxisome proliferative activated receptor, gamma | NM_015869 | NM_138711 | Hs. 162646 | 3 p 25 |
| PPIA | Peptidylprolyl isomerase A (cyclophilin A) | NM_021130 | AK130101 | Hs. 356331 | 7p13-p11.2 |
| PPM1D | Protein phosphatase 1D magnesium-dependent, delta isoform | NM_003620 | NM_003620 | Hs. 591184 | 17923.2 |
| PPP1R12A | Protein phosphatase 1, regulatory (inhibitor) subunit 12A | NM_002480 | AF458589 | Hs. 49582 | 12q15-q21 |
| BAX | BCL2-associated X protein | NM_014330 | AK001361 | Hs. 433670 | 19q13.3-q13.4 |
| BAX | BCL2-associated X protein | NM_014330 | AK001361 | Hs. 433670 | 19q13.3-q13.4 |
| PRC1 | Protein regulator of cytokinesis 1 | NM_003981 | NM_003981 | Hs. 567385 | 15q26.1 |
| PRL | Prolactin | NM_000948 | CD512992 | Hs. 1905 | 6p22.2-p21.3 |


| PSMC5 | Proteasome (prosome, macropain) 26S subunit, ATPase, 5 | NM_002805 | CR595677 | Hs. 79387 | 17q23-q25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTCH | Patched homolog (Drosophila) | NM_000264 | AB209495 | Hs. 494538 | 9q22.3 |
| PTCH2 | Patched homolog 2 (Drosophila) | NM_003738 | AY359016 | Hs. 591497 | 1p33-p34 |
| PTEN | Phosphatase and tensin homolog (mutated in multiple advanced cancers 1) | NM_000314 | NM_000314 | Hs. 500466 | 10q23.3 |
| PTGS2 | Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 (prostaglandin G/H synthase and c) N | NM_000963 | NM_000963 | Hs. 196384 | 1q25.2-q25.3 |
| PUM1 | Pumilio homolog 1 (Drosophila) | NM_014676 | NM_001020658 | Hs. 281707 | 1 p 35.2 |
| QSCN6 | Quiescin Q6 | NM_002826 | NM_002826 | Hs. 518374 | 1q24 |
| RAB6A | RAB6A, member RAS oncogene family | NM_016577 | NM_016577 | Hs. 12152 | $11 q 13.3$ |
| RAB9A | RAB9A, member RAS oncogene family | NM_004251 | BM926730 | Hs. 495704 | Xp22.2 |
| RAC2 | Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 2 (rho family, small GTP binding p | NM_002872 | BC001485 | Hs. 517601 | 22q13.1 |
| RAC3 | Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 3 (rho family, small GTP binding p | NM_005052 | BM561442 | Hs. 45002 | 17 q 25.3 |
| RAD1 | RAD1 homolog (S. pombe) | NM_133377 | NM_133377 | Hs. 531879 | 5p13.2 |
| RAD17 | RAD17 homolog (S. pombe) | NM_002873 | AF076838 | Hs. 16184 | 5q13 |
| RAD50 | RAD50 homolog (S. cerevisiae) | NM _005732 | U63139 | Hs. 128904 | 5 q 31 |
| RAD51 | RAD51 homolog (RecA homolog, E. coli) (S. cerevisiae) | NM_002875 | NM_002875 | Hs. 511067 | 15q15.1 |
| RAD51C | RAD51 homolog C (S. cerevisiae) | NM_058216 | BC073161 | Hs. 412587 | 17q22-q23 |
| RAD52 | RAD52 homolog (S. cerevisiae) | NM_134423 | NM_134423 | Hs. 410355 | 12p13-p12.2 |
| RAD54B | RAD54 homolog B (S. cerevisiae) | NM_012415 | NM_012415 | Hs. 30561 | 8q21.3-q22 |
| RAD54L | RAD54-like (S. cerevisiae) | NM_003579 | NM_003579 | Hs. 523220 | 1p32 |
| RAD9A | RAD9 homolog A (S. pombe) | NM_004584 | NM_004584 | Hs. 240457 | 11q13.1-q13.2 |
| RAF1 | V-raf-1 murine leukemia viral oncogene homolog 1 | NM_002880 | NM_002880 | Hs. 159130 | 3 p 25 |
| DTL | Denticleless homolog (Drosophila) | NM_016448 | NM_016448 | Hs. 126774 |  |
| RASA1 | RAS p21 protein activator (GTPase activating protein) 1 | NM_002890 | CR749722 | Hs. 553501 | 5 q 13.3 |
| RASD2 | RASD family, member 2 | NM_014310 | BC013419 | Hs. 474711 | 22 q 13.1 |
| RB1 | Retinoblastoma 1 (including osteosarcoma) | NM_000321 | L41870 | Hs. 408528 | $13 q 14.2$ |
| RBBP4 | Retinoblastoma binding protein 4 | NM_005610 | AK056550 | Hs. 16003 | 1p35.1 |
| RBBP4 | Retinoblastoma binding protein 4 | NM _005610 | AK056550 | Hs. 16003 | 1p35.1 |
| RBBP7 | Retinoblastoma binding protein 7 | NM_002893 | AK127332 | Hs. 495755 | Xp22.2 |
| RBBP8 | Retinoblastoma binding protein 8 | NM_002894 | NM_002894 | Hs. 546282 | 18 q 11.2 |
| RECQL | RecQ protein-like (DNA helicase Q1-like) | NM_002907 | L36140 | Hs. 235069 | 12 p 12 |
| RELA | V-rel reticuloendotheliosis viral oncogene homolog A, nuclear factor of kapp | NM_021975 | BC110830 | Hs. 502875 | 11q13 |
| RELA | V-rel reticuloendotheliosis viral oncogene homolog A, nuclear factor of kapp | NM_021975 | BC110830 | Hs. 502875 | 11913 |
| REM1 | RAS (RAD and GEM)-like GTP-binding 1 | BC039813 | BC039813 | Hs. 247729 | 20q11.21 |
| RPRM | Reprimo, TP53 dependent G2 arrest mediator candidate | NM_019845 | AB043585 | Hs. 100890 | 2q23.3 |
| RFC1 | Replication factor C (activator 1) 1, 145kDa | NM_002913 | NM_002913 | Hs. 507475 | 4p14-p13 |
| RFC2 | Replication factor C (activator 1) 2, 40kDa | NM_002914 | NM_181471 | Hs. 139226 | 7q11.23 |
| RFC3 | Replication factor C (activator 1) 3, 38kDa | NM_002915 | NM_002915 | Hs. 115474 | 13q12.3-q13 |
| RFC4 | Replication factor C (activator 1) 4,37kDa | NM_002916 | NM_002916 | Hs. 591322 | 3q27 |
| RFC5 | Replication factor C (activator 1) 5, 36.5kDa | NM_007370 | NM_181578 | Hs. 506989 | 12q24.2-q24.3 |
| RP11-1334A24/AC149 | RP11-1334A24/AC145098.2 | AC145098.2 |  |  |  |
| RP11-137L15/AC023 | RP11-137L15 /AC023991.9 | AC023991.9 |  |  |  |
| RP11-264113/AL35907 | RP11-264113/AL359076 | AL359076 |  |  |  |
| RP11-567N19/AC016才 | RP11-567N19/AC016772.9 | AC016772.9 |  |  |  |
| RP11-62E9/012533 | RP11-62E9/012533 | AC012533 |  |  |  |
| RP11-7209/AQ267068 | PRP11-7209/AQ267068 | AQ267068 |  |  |  |
| RP11-977G19/AC0738 | SRP11-977G19/AC073896 | AC073896 |  |  |  |
| RP11-9919/AC099818. | RP11-9919/AC099818.2 | AC099818.2 |  |  |  |
| RPL7 | Ribosomal protein L7 | NM_000971 | BQ057523 | Hs. 571841 | 8q21.11 |
| RPS6KA1 | Ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90kDa, polypeptide 1 | NM_002953 | BC014966 | Hs. 149957 | 1p |
| RPS6KA4 | Ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90kDa, polypeptide 4 | NM_003942 | AK223561 | Hs. 105584 | 11q11-q13 |
| RPS6KA5 | Ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90kDa, polypeptide 5 | NM_004755 | AB209667 | Hs. 510225 | 14q31-q32.1 |
| RRAD | Ras-related associated with diabetes | BC057815 | BC057815 | Hs. 1027 | 16q22 |
| RUNX2 | Runt-related transcription factor 2 | NM_004348 | NM_004348 | Hs. 535845 | 6p21 |
| SCGB1C1 | Secretoglobin, family 1C, member 1 | NM_145651 | BX098294 | Hs. 127059 | 11p15.5 |
| S100A2 | S100 calcium binding protein A2 | NM_005978 | BU589956 | Hs. 516484 | 1q21 |
| S100A4 | S100 calcium binding protein A4 (calcium protein, calvasculin, metastasin, n | NM_002961 | CF619147 | Hs. 557609 | 1q21 |
| S100A6 | S100 calcium binding protein A6 (calcyclin) | NM_014624 | BM904612 | Hs. 275243 | 1921 |
| SAP18 | Sin3A-associated protein, 18kDa | NM_005870 | AK126385 | Hs. 524899 | 13q12.11 |
| SAP30 | Sin3A-associated protein, 30kDa | NM_003864 | BC016757 | Hs. 591715 | 4q34.1 |
| SART3 | Squamous cell carcinoma antigen recognised by T cells 3 | NM_014706 | CR933631 | Hs. 584842 | 12q24.1 |
| SCD5 | Stearoyl-CoA desaturase 5 | NM_024906 | AF389338 | Hs. 379191 | 4q21.22 |
| SCGB1A1 | Secretoglobin, family 1A, member 1 (uteroglobin) | NM_003357 | B1819219 | Hs. 523732 | 11q12.3-q13.1 |
| SCGB1D2 | Secretoglobin, family 1D, member 2 | NM_006551 | BP314377 | Hs. 204096 | 11913 |
| SCGB2A1 | Secretoglobin, family 2A, member 1 | NM_002407 | CB957406 | Hs. 97644 | 11q13 |
| SCGB2A2 | Secretoglobin, family 2A, member 2 | NM _002411 | BC067220 | Hs. 46452 | 11913 |
| SCN9A | Sodium channel, voltage-gated, type IX, alpha | NM_002977 | NM_002977 | Hs. 2319 | 2q24 |
| SCUBE2 | Signal peptide, CUB domain, EGF-like 2 | NM_020974 | NM_020974 | Hs. 523468 | 11p15.3 |
| SEH1L | SEH1-like (S. cerevisiae) | NM_031216 | NM_031216 | Hs. 301048 | 18p11.21 |
| SERTAD1 | SERTA domain containing 1 | NM_013376 | AK074652 | Hs. 269898 | 19q13.1-q13.2 |
| SEP15 | 15 kDa selenoprotein | NM_004261 | NM_004261 | Hs. 362728 | 1 p 31 |
| SERF1A | Small EDRK-rich factor 1A (telomeric) | NM_021967 | AF073519 | Hs. 32567 | 5q12.2-q13.3 |
| SERPINA3 | Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade A (alpha-1 antiproteinase, antitrypsin), men | NM_001085 | NM_001085 | Hs. 534293 | 14q32.1 |
| SERPINB2 | Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade B (ovalbumin), member 2 | NM_002575 | BC012609 | Hs. 514913 | 18 q 21.3 |
| SERPINB5 | Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade B (ovalbumin), member 5 | NM_002639 | BX640597 | Hs. 55279 | 18q21.3 |
| SET | SET translocation (myeloid leukemia-associated) | NM_003011 | NM_003011 | Hs. 436687 | 9 q 34 |
| SETDB1 | SET domain, bifurcated 1 | NM_012432 | NM_012432 | Hs. 591479 | 1q21 |
| SPEN | Spen homolog, transcriptional regulator (Drosophila) | NM_015001 | NM_015001 | Hs. 558463 | 1p36.33-p36.11 |
| MPDU1 | Mannose-P-dolichol utilization defect 1 | NM_001040 | NM_001678 | Hs. 78854 | 17p13.1-p12 |
| SHMT2 | Serine hydroxymethyltransferase 2 (mitochondrial) | NM_005412 | AK055053 | Hs. 75069 | 12q12-q14 |
| SIN3B | SIN3 homolog B, transcription regulator (yeast) | AB014600 | NM_015260 | Hs. 13999 | 19p13.11 |
| SKP1A | S-phase kinase-associated protein 1A (p19A) | NM_006930 | NM_006930 | Hs. 171626 | 5 q 31 |
| SLC25A5 | Solute carrier family 25 (mitochondrial carrier; adenine nucleotide translocat | NM_001152 | AK092094 | Hs. 496658 | Xq24-q26 |
| SLC2A3 | Solute carrier family 2 (facilitated glucose transporter), member 3 | NM_006931 | AB209607 | Hs. 419240 | 12 p 13.3 |
| SMARCA1 | SWIISNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin | NM_003069 | NM_003069 | Hs. 152292 | Xq25 |
| SMARCA2 | SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin | NM_003070 | X72889 | Hs. 298990 | 9 p 22.3 |
| SMARCA3 | SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin | NM_003071 | BC044659 | Hs. 3068 | 3q25.1-q26.1 |
| SMARCA4 | SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin | NM_003072 | NM_003072 | Hs. 327527 | $19 p 13.2$ |
| SMARCA5 | SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin | NM_003601 | NM_003601 | Hs. 589489 | 4q31.1-q31.2 |
| SMARCB1 | SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin | NM_003073 | NM_003073 | Hs. 534350 | 22q11.23\|22q11 |
| SMARCC1 | SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin | NM_003074 | NM_003074 | Hs. 476179 | 3p23-p21 |
| SMARCC2 | SWIISNF related, matrix associated, actin depe | NM 003075 | AB209006 | Hs. 236030 | 12q13-q14 |


| SMARCD1 | SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin | NM_003076 | NM_003076 | Hs. 79335 | 12q13-q14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMARCD2 | SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin | NM_003077 | NM_003077 | Hs. 250581 | 17q23-q24 |
| SMARCD3 | SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin | NM_003078 | BX648385 | Hs. 444445 | 7q35-q36 |
| SMARCE1 | SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin dependent regulator of chromatin | NM_003079 | BC069196 | Hs. 547509 | 17 q 21.2 |
| ARID1A | AT rich interactive domain 1A (SWI- like) | NM_006015 | NM_006015 | Hs. 468972 | 1 p 35.3 |
| SNAI1 | Snail homolog 1 (Drosophila) | NM_005985 | NM_005985 | Hs. 48029 | 20q13.1-q13.2 |
| SNAI2 | Snail homolog 2 (Drosophila) | NM_003068 | NM_003068 | Hs. 360174 | 8 q 11 |
| SNAI3 | Snail homolog 3 (Drosophila) | AY203928 | BX640980 | Hs. 499548 | 16 q 24.3 |
| SNRPN | Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide N | NM _005678 | U81001 | Hs. 564847 | 15911.2 |
| SNW1 | SNW domain containing 1 | NM_012245 | AF045184 | Hs. 546550 | 14 q 24.3 |
| SOS1 | Son of sevenless homolog 1 (Drosophila) | NM_005633 | L13857 | Hs. 278733 | 2p22-p21 |
| C14orf138 | Chromosome 14 open reading frame 138 | NM_024558 | BX247997 | Hs. 558541 | 14q22.1 |
| QSCN6L1 | Quiescin Q6-like 1 | NM_181701 | NM_181701 | Hs. 144073 | 9 q 34.3 |
| SP1 | Sp1 transcription factor | XM_028606 | BQ774060 | Hs. 620754 | 12 q 13.1 |
| SPRR2C | Small proline-rich protein 2C | NM_006518 | M21539 | Hs. 592363 | 1q21-q22 |
| STAT3 | Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (acute-phase response fac | NM_139276 | NM_012448 | Hs. 463059 | 17 q 21.31 |
| STAT5A | Signal transducer and activator of transcription 5A | NM_003152 | NM_003152 | Hs. 437058 | $17 q 11.2$ |
| STAT3 | Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (acute-phase response fac | NM_012448 | NM_012448 | Hs. 463059 | 17q21.31 |
| STK11 | Serine/threonine kinase 11 | NM_000455 | AB209553 | Hs. 515005 | 19 p 13.3 |
| STK11 | Serine/threonine kinase 11 | NM_000455 | AB209553 | Hs. 515005 | $19 p 13.3$ |
| AURKA | Aurora kinase A | NM_198433 | NM_198433 | Hs. 250822 | 20q13.2-q13.3 |
| STRBP | Spermatid perinuclear RNA binding protein | NM_018387 | NM_018387 | Hs. 287659 | 9 q 33.3 |
| STXBP3 | Syntaxin binding protein 3 | NM_007269 | NM_007269 | Hs. 530436 | 1 p 13.3 |
| SULT1A1 | Sulfotransferase family, cytosolic, 1A, phenol-preferring, member 1 | NM_177534 | AB209149 | Hs. 567342 | 16p12.1 |
| SURB7 | SRB7 suppressor of RNA polymerase B homolog (yeast) | NM_004264 | NM_004264 | Hs. 286145 | 12p11.23 |
| TACC1 | Transforming, acidic coiled-coil containing protein 1 | NM_006283 | CR933618 | Hs. 279245 | 8p11 |
| TAF3 | TAF3 RNA polymerase II, TATA box binding protein (TBP)-associated facto | BC028077 | BC062352 | Hs. 227688 | 10p15.1 |
| TCL6 | T-cell leukemiallymphoma 6 | NM_012468 | AB035333 | Hs. 510368 | $14 q 32.1$ |
| TEK | TEK tyrosine kinase, endothelial (venous malformations, multiple cutaneous | NM_000459 | NM_000459 | Hs. 89640 | 9 p 21 |
| CD248 | CD248 molecule, endosialin | NM_020404 | BC051340 | Hs. 195727 | 11913 |
| TFDP1 | Transcription factor Dp-1 | NM_007111 | NM_007111 | Hs. 79353 | 13 q 34 |
| TFDP2 | Transcription factor Dp-2 (E2F dimerization partner 2) | NM_006286 | NM_006286 | Hs. 379018 | 3 q 23 |
| TFF1 | Trefoil factor 1 (breast cancer, estrogen-inducible sequence expressed in) | NM_003225 | BM923753 | Hs. 162807 | 21922.3 |
| TFF3 | Trefoil factor 3 (intestinal) | NM_003226 | BU536516 | Hs. 82961 | 21922.3 |
| TGFA | Transforming growth factor, alpha | NM_003236 | NM_003236 | Hs. 170009 | 2p13 |
| TGFB3 | Transforming growth factor, beta 3 | NM_003239 | AK122902 | Hs. 592317 | 14924 |
| TGFBR1 | Transforming growth factor, beta receptor I (activin A receptor type II-like kir | NM_004612 | NM_004612 | Hs. 494622 | 9 q 22 |
| TGFBR2 | Transforming growth factor, beta receptor II (70/80kDa) | NM_003242 | NM_001024847 | Hs. 82028 | 3p22 |
| LIN9 | Lin-9 homolog (C. elegans) | NM_173083 | BC045625 | Hs. 120817 | 1942.12 |
| THBS1 | Thrombospondin 1 | NM_003246 | NM_003246 | Hs. 164226 | $15 q 15$ |
| THOC1 | THO complex 1 | NM_005131 | AK055354 | Hs. 592342 | 18p11.32 |
| TIE1 | Tyrosine kinase with immunoglobulin-like and EGF-like domains 1 | NM_005424 | NM_005424 | Hs. 78824 | 1p34-p33 |
| TIMP3 | TIMP metallopeptidase inhibitor 3 (Sorsby fundus dystrophy, pseudoinflamm | NM_000362 | AB051444 | Hs. 297324 | 22q12.1-q13.2\|22q12 |
| TIMP3 | TIMP metallopeptidase inhibitor 3 (Sorsby fundus dystrophy, pseudoinflamm | NM_000362 | AB051444 | Hs. 297324 | 22q12.1-q13.2\|22q12 |
| TMEM2 | Transmembrane protein 2 | NM_013390 | AF137030 | Hs. 494146 | 9q13-q21 |
| TNF | Tumor necrosis factor (TNF superfamily, member 2) | NM_000594 | BC028148 | Hs. 241570 | 6p21.3 |
| TNFRSF10B | Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 10b | NM_003842 | NM_003842 | Hs. 521456 | 8p22-p21 |
| TNFRSF4 | Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 4 | NM_003327 | BC040257 | Hs. 129780 | 1p36 |
| FAS | Fas (TNF receptor superfamily, member 6) | NM_000043 | AB209361 | Hs. 244139 | 10q24.1 |
| TNFSF10 | Tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily, member 10 | NM_003810 | NM_003810 | Hs. 478275 | 3 q 26 |
| TNFSF11 | Tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily, member 11 | NM_003701 | AF053712 | Hs. 333791 | 13 q 14 |
| FASLG | Fas ligand (TNF superfamily, member 6) | NM_000639 | NM_000639 | Hs. 2007 | 1q23 |
| TNRC4 | Trinucleotide repeat containing 4 | NM_007185 | NM_007185 | Hs. 26047 | 1921 |
| TOP1 | Topoisomerase (DNA) I | NM_003286 | NM_003286 | Hs. 592136 | 20q12-q13.1 |
| TOP2A | Topoisomerase (DNA) II alpha 170kDa | NM_001067 | NM_001067 | Hs. 156346 | 17q21-q22 |
| TOP2B | Topoisomerase (DNA) II beta 180kDa | NM_001068 | NM_001068 | Hs. 475733 | 3p24 |
| TOP3B | Topoisomerase (DNA) III beta | NM_003935 | AL833505 | Hs. 436401 | 22q11.22 |
| TOPBP1 | Topoisomerase (DNA) II binding protein 1 | NM_007027 | D87448 | Hs. 53454 | 3q22.1 |
| TP53 | Tumor protein p53 (Li-Fraumeni syndrome) | NM_000546 | DQ186648 | Hs. 408312 | 17p13.1 |
| TP53BP1 | Tumor protein p53 binding protein, 1 | NM_005657 | AF078776 | Hs. 440968 | 15q15-q21 |
| TP53BP2 | Tumor protein p53 binding protein, 2 | NM_005426 | NM_005426 | Hs. 523968 | 1 q 42.1 |
| TP5313 | Tumor protein p53 inducible protein 3 | AF010309 | AK223382 | Hs. 50649 | 2 p 23.3 |
| TRAF1 | TNF receptor-associated factor 1 | NM_005658 | AL832989 | Hs. 531251 | 9q33-q34 |
| TREX1 | Three prime repair exonuclease 1 | NM_033627 | NM_033627 | Hs. 344812 | 3p21.3-p21.2 |
| TSC2 | Tuberous sclerosis 2 | NM_000548 | NM_000548 | Hs. 90303 | 16 p 13.3 |
| TUBB1 | Tubulin, beta 1 | NM_030773 | NM_030773 | Hs. 592143 | 20 q 13.32 |
| UBE3A | Ubiquitin protein ligase E3A (human papilloma virus E6-associated protein, | NM_130839 | AF400501 | Hs. 22543 | 15q11-q13 |
| UCHL5 | Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase L5 | NM_015984 | BC015381 | Hs. 591458 | 1932 |
| UNC5B | Unc-5 homolog B (C. elegans) | NM_170744 | AB096256 | Hs. 585457 | 10q22.1 |
| UNG2 | Uracil-DNA glycosylase 2 | NM_021147 | NM_001024592 | Hs. 3041 | 5p15.2-p13.1 |
| USP1 | Ubiquitin specific peptidase 1 | NM_003368 | NM_003368 | Hs. 35086 | 1p31.3 |
| VEGF | Vascular endothelial growth factor | NM_003376 | AB209485 | Hs. 73793 | 6p12 |
| RASL10B | RAS-like, family 10, member B | NM_033315 | AK122652 | Hs. 437035 | 17 q 12 |
| WEE1 | WEE1 homolog (S. pombe) | NM_003390 | BX641032 | Hs. 249441 | 11p15.3-p15.1 |
| WIG1 | P53 target zinc finger protein | NM_022470 | AK122768 | Hs. 386299 | 3q26.3-q27 |
| WISP1 | WNT1 inducible signaling pathway protein 1 | NM_003882 | AF100779 | Hs. 492974 | 8q24.1-q24.3 |
| WISP2 | WNT1 inducible signaling pathway protein 2 | NM_003881 | AK074695 | Hs. 592145 | 20q12-q13.1 |
| WNT1 | Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 1 | NM_005430 | NM_005430 | Hs. 248164 | 12q13 |
| WNT10B | Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 10B | NM_003394 | U81787 | Hs. 91985 | 12 q 13 |
| WNT2B | Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 2B | NM_004185 | AK127449 | Hs. 258575 | 1p13 |
| WNT3 | Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 3 | NM_030753 | NM_030753 | Hs. 591180 | 17 q 21 |
| WNT3A | Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 3A | NM_033131 | NM_033131 | Hs. 336930 | 1 q 42 |
| WNT4 | Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 4 | NM_030761 | AY358947 | Hs. 591521 | 1p36.23-p35.1 |
| WNT5A | Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 5A | NM_003392 | NM_003392 | Hs. 561260 | 3p21-p14 |
| WNT5B | Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 5B | NM_032642 | BC001749 | Hs. 306051 | 12p13.3 |
| WNT6 | Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 6 | NM_006522 | AY009401 | Hs. 29764 | 2 q 35 |
| WNT7A | Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 7A | NM_004625 | NM_004625 | Hs. 72290 | 3 p 25 |
| WNT8A | Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 8A | NM_058244 | AB057725 | Hs. 591274 | 5 q 31 |
| WT1 | Wilms tumor 1 | NM_024426 | BC046461 | Hs. 591980 | 11 p 13 |
| DNAH1 | Dynein, axonemal, heavy polypeptide 1 | AB037831 | NM_015512 | Hs. 209786 | 3 p 21.1 |
| XPC | Xeroderma pigmentosum, complementation group C | NM_004628 | NM_004628 | Hs. 475538 | 3 p 25 |


| XRCC1 | X-ray repair complementing defective repair in Chinese hamster cells 1 | NM_006297 | CR591751 | Hs. 98493 | 19 q 13.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XRCC2 | X-ray repair complementing defective repair in Chinese hamster cells 2 | NM_005431 | CR749256 | Hs. 591828 | $7 q 36.1$ |
| XRCC3 | $X$-ray repair complementing defective repair in Chinese hamster cells 3 | NM_005432 | AK126706 | Hs. 592325 | 14 q 32.3 |
| XRCC4 | $X$-ray repair complementing defective repair in Chinese hamster cells 4 | NM_022550 | NM_022550 | Hs. 567359 | 5q13-q14 |
| XRCC5 | X-ray repair complementing defective repair in Chinese hamster cells 5 (doy | NM_021141 | NM_021141 | Hs. 388739 | 2 q 35 |
| YWHAE | Tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation proteir | NM_006761 | NM_006761 | Hs. 513851 | 17p13.3 |
| TRIM25 | Tripartite motif-containing 25 | NM_005082 | NM_005082 | Hs. 528952 | 17q23.2 |
| ZNF350 | Zinc finger protein 350 | NM_021632 | NM_021632 | Hs. 407694 | 19q13.33 |
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| Symbol | GenBank AcNo | Symbol/Name | Symbol/GO terms | Fold- Change R5020 $(30$ $\mathrm{min})$ | FoldChange R5020 (1 h) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fold- } \\ \text { Change } \\ \text { R5020 }(2 \mathrm{~h}) \end{gathered}$ | Fold- <br> Change R5020 (6 h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKAP13 | NM_007200 | AKAP13/A kinase (PRKA) anchor protein 13 | AKAP13/CAMP-dependent protein kinase activitylintracellular signaling cascade\|kinase activity|receptor activity|signal transducer activity | 1.08 | 1.27 | -1.09 | 1.56 |
| AKAP2 | NM_007203 | AKAP2/PALM2-AKAP2 protein | AKAP2/A-kinase anchor protein 2 | 1.05 | -1.21 | -1.01 | -1.72 |
| ALPP | NM_001632 | ALPP/Alkaline phosphatase, placental | ALPP/alkaline phosphatase activity\|hydrolase activitylintegral to membrane|magnesium ion binding|metabolism | -1.13 | 1.13 | 1.20 | -1.56 |
| ANKT | NM_016359 | ANKT/Nucleolar and spindle associated protein 1 | ANKT/NUSAP1/Nucleolar and spindle associated protein 1 | -1.01 | -1.08 | -1.02 | 1.44 |
| AP2B1 | NM_001282 | AP2B1/Adaptor-related protein complex 2, beta 1 subunit | AP2B1/intracellular protein \|protein complex assembly | 1.53 | -1.04 | -1.02 | -3.43 |
| AR | NM_000044 | AR/Androgen receptor | AR/androgen receptor activity\|cell proliferation|cell-cell signaling|nucleus|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|signal transduction|steroid binding|transcription factor activity | 1.06 | 1.03 | 1.30 | -1.56 |
| AREG | NM_001657 | AREG/Amphiregulin | AREG/cell proliferation\|cell-cell signaling|cytokine activity|growth factor activitylintegral to membrane | -1.02 | -1.03 | -1.04 | 1.45 |
| ATF3 | NM_004024 | ATF3/Activating transcription factor 3 | ATF3/DNA binding\|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|transcription corepressor activity|transcription factor activity | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.32 | 1.56 |
| BCAR1 | NM_014567 | BCAR1/Breast cancer anti-estrogen resistance 1 | BCAR1/cell adhesion\|cell proliferation|cytoplasm|protein binding|signal transducer activity | 1.13 | 1.20 | 1.29 | 1.79 |
| BCL2L1 | NM_138578 | BCL2L1/BCL2-like 1 | BCL2L1/anti-apoptosis\|apoptotic mitochondrial changes|integral to membrane|mitochondrial outer membrane|mitochondrion|negative regulation of survival gene product activity|regulation of apoptosis | 1.09 | 1.11 | 1.39 | 1.69 |
| BCL2L2 | NM_004050 | BCL2L2/BCL2-like 2 | BCL2L2/anti-apoptosis\|cytoplasm|membrane|regulation of apoptosis|spermatogenesis | 1.11 | -1.00 | -1.10 | -1.40 |
| BTG1 | NM_001731 | BTG1/B-cell translocation gene 1, antiproliferative | BTG1/B-cell translocation protein 1\||B-cell translocation gene 1, anti-proliferative|| | 1.07 | 1.16 | 1.28 | 1.42 |
| CACMKIINal pha | NM_018584 | CACMKIINalpha/Calcium/calmodulindependent protein kinase II | CACMKIINalpha/kinase activity | -1.13 | -1.01 | 1.23 | -1.49 |
| CCND1 | NM_053056 | CCND1/Cyclin D1 | CCND1/G1/S transition of mitotic cell cycle\|cytokinesis|nucleus|regulation of cell cycle | 1.32 | 1.32 | 1.83 | 2.41 |
| CCND2 | NM_001759 | CCND2/Cyclin D2 | CCND2/cytokinesis\|nucleus|regulation of cell cycle | 1.03 | -1.10 | -1.27 | -2.11 |
| CCNE1 | NM_001238 | CCNE1/Cyclin E1 | CCNE1/G1/S transition of mitotic cell cycle\|cytokinesis|nucleus|nucleus|regulation of cell cycle | 1.42 | -1.06 | -1.07 | -1.38 |
| CCNE2 | NM_057749 | CCNE2/Cyclin E2 | CCNE2/cell cycle checkpoint\|cytokinesis|nucleus|regulation of cell cycle|regulation of cyclin dependent protein kinase activity | 1.23 | 1.06 | 1.27 | 2.19 |
| CCNF | NM_001761 | CCNF/Cyclin F | CCNF/Cytokinesis/mitosis\|nucleus|regulation of cell cycle | 1.02 | -1.03 | 1.01 | -1.49 |
| CCNG2 | NM_004354 | CCNG2/Cyclin G2 | CCNG2/cell cycle\|cell cycle checkpoint|cytokinesis|mitosis | -1.00 | 1.03 | -1.67 | -2.03 |
| CDC2 | NM_001786 | CDC2/Cell division cycle 2, G1 to S and G2 to M | CDC2/ATP binding\|cyclin-dependent protein kinase activity|cytokinesis|mitosis|nucleus|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|protein tyrosine kinase activity|transferase activity|traversing start control point of mitotic cell cycle | 1.01 | -1.01 | -1.19 | 1.59 |
| CDC42 | NM_001791 | CDC42/Cell division cycle 42 | CDC42/GTP binding\|GTPase activity|actin filament organization|cytoplasm|establishment and/or maintenance of cell polarity|filopodium|macrophage cell differentiation|protein binding|protein |small GTPase mediated signal transduction | -1.02 | -1.17 | 1.42 | -1.21 |
| CDC42BPA | NM_003607 | CDC42BPA/CDC42 binding protein kinase alpha | CDC42BPA/ATP bindinglintracellular signaling cascade\|membrane|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|protein-tyrosine kinase activity|small GTPase regulatory/interacting protein activity | 1.05 | -1.04 | 1.03 | -1.56 |
| CDCA7 | NM_031942 | CDCA7/Cell division cycle associated 7 | CDCA7/cytokinesis | -1.13 | -1.07 | 1.28 | -1.97 |
| CDK8 | NM_001260 | CDK8/Cyclin-dependent kinase 8 | CDK8/ATP binding\|cytokinesis|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|regulation of cell cycle|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|transferase activity | 1.18 | 1.13 | 1.09 | 1.46 |
| CDKN1B | NM_004064 | CDKN1B/Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B (p27, Kip1) | CDKN1B/cell cycle arrest\|cyclin-dependent protein kinase inhibitor activity|cytoplasm|negative regulation of cell proliferation|nucleus|protein binding|regulation of cyclin dependent protein kinase activity|transforming growth factor beta receptor, cytoplasmic mediator activity | -1.09 | -1.16 | -1.13 | -1.56 |
| CDKN2B | NM_078487 | CDKN2B/Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2B (p15, inhibits CDK4) | CDKN2B/cell cycle\|cell cycle arrest|cyclin-dependent protein kinase inhibitor activity|cyclin-dependent protein kinase inhibitor activity|cytoplasm|kinase activity|negative regulation of cell cycle|negative regulation of cell proliferation|nucleus|regulation of cyclin dependent protein kinase activity | -1.30 | -1.46 | -1.88 | -1.60 |
| CENPA | NM_001809 | CENPA/Centromere protein A, 17kDa | CENPA/DNA binding\|chromatin binding|chromosome organization and biogenesis |nucleosome assembly|nucleus | -1.01 | 1.02 | -1.29 | 1.46 |
| CHD1L | NM_024568 | CHD1L/Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 1-like | CHD1L/ATP binding\|DNA binding|helicase activity|nucleus | 1.05 | 1.11 | 1.41 | 1.92 |
| CHD3 | U91543 | CHD3/Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 3 | CHD1L/ATP binding\|DNA binding|helicase activity|nucleus | -1.08 | -1.02 | 1.18 | -1.55 |
| CHD4 | NM_001273 | CHD4/Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 4 | CHD1L/ATP binding\|DNA binding|helicase activity|nucleus | 1.04 | -1.04 | 1.03 | -1.55 |
| CHEK2 | NM_007194 | CHEK2/CHK2 checkpoint homolog | CHEK2/ATP binding\|DNA damage checkpoint|cell cycle|kinase activity|membrane|nucleus|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein serine/threonine kinase activity | 1.04 | -1.04 | -1.00 | -1.80 |
| CKS2 | NM_001827 | CKS2/CDC28 protein kinase regulatory subunit 2 | CKS2/cell cycle\|cyclin-dependent protein kinase activity|cytokinesis|regulation of cyclin dependent protein kinase activity | 1.41 | 1.16 | -1.22 | -1.12 |
| COL4A1 | NM_001845 | COL4A1/Collagen, type IV, alpha 1 | COL4A1/collagen\|collagen type IV|cytoplasm|extracellular matrix structural constituent|phosphate | 1.37 | 1.02 | -1.16 | -1.65 |
| CXCL12 | NM_000609 | CXCL12/Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 12 | CXCL12/G-protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway\|calcium ion homeostasis|cell adhesion|cell-cell signaling|chemokine activity|chemotaxis|growth factor activity|inflammatory response/signal transduction | 1.05 | 1.01 | 1.25 | 1.96 |
| CXCR4 | NM_003467 | CXCR4/Chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4 | CXCR4/C-C chemokine receptor activity\|C-X-C chemokine receptor activity|G-protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway|integral to membrane|rhodopsin-like receptor activity | 1.07 | 1.08 | -1.03 | -1.42 |
| DC13 | NM_020188 | DC13/DC13 protein | DC13 | 1.02 | 1.06 | -1.10 | 1.42 |


| DDIT3 | NM_004083 | DDIT3/DNA-damage-inducible transcript 3 | DDIT3/cell cycle arrest\|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent|response to DNA damage stimulus|transcription corepressor activity|transcription factor activity | -1.07 | -1.19 | -1.89 | -1.49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DDR1 | NM_013994 | DDR1/Discoidin domain receptor family, member 1 | DDR1/ATP binding\|cell adhesion|integral to plasma membrane|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein kinase activity|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|protein-tyrosine kinase activity|receptor activity|transferase activity|transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase activity|transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase signaling pathway | 1.02 | -1.09 | -1.07 | 1.73 |
| DDX5 | NM_004396 | DDX5/DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 5 | DDX5/ATP binding\|ATP-dependent helicase activity|RNA binding|RNA helicase activity|cell growth|nucleus | 1.06 | -1.21 | -1.13 | 1.56 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { DKFZp686F } \\ 2198 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | BX648653 | DKFZp686F2198/Muskelin 1 | DKFZp686F2198/MKLN1/Muskelin 1, intracellular mediator containing kelch motifs | -1.02 | 1.16 | 1.09 | 1.56 |
| DLC1 | NM_006094 | DLC1/Deleted in liver cancer 1 | DLC1/Rho GTPase activator activity\|cytoplasm|cytoskeleton organization and biogenesis|extracellular region|negative regulation of cell growth|protein binding|regulation of cell adhesion | -1.03 | -1.54 | -1.49 | -1.38 |
| DUSP1 | NM_004417 | DUSP1/Dual specificity phosphatase 1 | DUSP1/MAP kinase phosphatase activity\|cell cycle|hydrolase activity|non-membrane spanning protein tyrosine phosphatase activity|protein amino acid dephosphorylation|response to oxidative stress | 1.17 | 1.06 | 1.83 | 3.97 |
| E2F3 | NM_001949 | E2F3/E2F transcription factor 3 | E2F3/nucleus\|protein binding|regulation of cell cycle|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent|transcription factor activity|transcription factor complex|transcription initiation from Pol II promoter | 1.12 | -1.07 | -1.09 | 1.96 |
| EEF1A1 | NM_001402 | EEF1A1/Eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1 | Eef1A1 | -1.28 | 1.10 | 1.07 | -1.60 |
| EGF | NM_001963 | EGF/Epidermal growth factor (betaurogastrone) | EGF/DNA replication\|activation of MAPK|calcium ion binding|chromosome organization and biogenesis (sensu Eukaryota)|epidermal growth factor receptor activating ligand activitylepidermal growth factor receptor signaling pathwaylextracellular region|growth factor activitylintegral to membrane|nucleus|plasma membrane|positive regulation of cell proliferation | -1.03 | 1.51 | 1.93 | 2.99 |
| EGLN1 | NM_022051 | EGLN1/Egl nine homolog 1 (C. elegans) | EGLN1/cytosol\|oxidoreductase activity|oxidoreductase activity, acting on paired donors, with incorporation or reduction of molecular oxygen, 2-oxoglutarate as one donor, and incorporation of one atom each of oxygen into both donors|oxidoreductase activity, acting on single donors with incorporation of molecular oxygen, incorporation of two atoms of oxygen|protein metabolism | -1.03 | 1.09 | 1.01 | 1.41 |
| EGR1 | NM_001964 | EGR1/Early growth response 1 | EGR1/nucleus\|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|transcription factor activity|zinc ion binding | 1.16 | -1.16 | 1.04 | -2.64 |
| ELL2 | NM_012081 | ELL2/Elongation factor, RNA polymerase II, 2 | ELL2/RNA elongation from Pol II promoter\|RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent|transcription elongation factor complex | 1.21 | 1.49 | 1.58 | 3.25 |
| ERBB2 | NM_004448 | ERBB2/V-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2 | ERBB2/ATP binding\|ErbB-3 class receptor binding|cell proliferation|electron |electron er activitylepidermal growth factor receptor activity|extracellular region|integral to membrane|iron ion binding|membrane|non-membrane spanning protein tyrosine kinase activity|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|protein-tyrosine kinase activity|receptor activity|receptor signaling protein tyrosine kinase activity|transferase activity|transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase signaling pathway|transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase signaling pathway | -1.27 | -1.16 | -1.14 | -1.87 |
| ERBB3 | NM_001982 | ERBB3/V-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 3 | ERBB3/ATP bindinglepidermal growth factor receptor activity/integral to plasma membrane\|protein amino acid phosphorylation|receptor activity|transferase activity|transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase signaling pathway | -1.00 | 1.16 | -1.17 | -1.77 |
| ESR1 | NM_000125 | ESR1/Estrogen receptor 1 | ESR1/DNA binding\|cell growth|chromatin remodeling complex|estrogen receptor activity||estrogen receptor signaling pathway|membrane|negative regulation of mitosis|nucleus|receptor activity|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent|signal transduction|steroid binding|steroid hormone receptor activity|transcription factor activity | 1.03 | -1.00 | -1.01 | -1.44 |
| FGF7 | NM_002009 | FGF7/Galactokinase 2 | FGF7/cell proliferation\|cell-cell signaling|epidermis development|extracellular region|growth factor activity|positive regulation of cell proliferation|regulation of cell cycle|response to wounding|signal transduction | 1.05 | 1.01 | -1.20 | -1.80 |
| FGFR2 | NM_023028 | FGFR2/Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 | FGFR2/ATP binding\|fibroblast growth factor receptor activity/heparin binding|integral to membrane|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|protein tyrosine kinase activity|protein-tyrosine kinase activity|receptor activity|transferase activity | -1.01 | 1.05 | -1.24 | -1.72 |
| FLJ32001 | NM_152609 | FLJ32001/Hypothetical protein FLJ32001 | FLJ32001 | -1.01 | 1.04 | 1.21 | 1.60 |
| FN1 | NM_002026 | FN1/Fibronectin 1 | FN1/acute-phase response\|cell adhesion|cell migration|collagen binding|extracellular matrix structural constituent|extracellular region|extracellular region|heparin binding|metabolism|oxidoreductase activity|response to wounding | 1.09 | 1.19 | 1.02 | -2.71 |
| FOS | NM_005252 | FOS/V-fos FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog | FOS/DNA binding\|DNA methylation|inflammatory response|nucleus|regulation of transcription from Pol II promoter|specific RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity | 1.04 | -1.24 | -1.27 | -1.72 |
| GADD45A | NM_001924 | GADD45A/Growth arrest and DNA-damageinducible, alpha | GADD45A/DNA repair\|apoptosis|cell cycle arrest|nucleus|regulation of cyclin dependent protein kinase activity | 1.21 | 1.59 | 1.93 | 1.65 |
| GAS8 | NM_001481 | GAS8/Growth arrest-specific 8 | GAS8/cytoskeleton\|flagellum (sensu Eukaryota)|microtubule|molecular_function unknown|negative regulation of cell proliferation|sperm motility | -1.06 | -1.00 | 1.01 | -1.44 |
| GATA3 | NM_002051 | GATA3/GATA binding protein 3 | GATA3/defense response\|morphogenesis|nucleus|perception of sound|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|transcription factor activity|transcription from Pol II promoter | -1.23 | -1.48 | -1.82 | -2.16 |
| GNAZ | NM_002073 | GNAZ/Guanine nucleotide binding protein (G protein), alpha z | GNAZ/G-protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway\|GTP binding|GTPase activity|endoplasmic reticulum|nuclear membrane|plasma membrane|receptor signaling protein activity|signal transduction | -1.09 | -1.32 | -1.45 | -1.09 |


| GRB2 | NM_002086 | GRB2/Growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 | GRB2/Ras protein signal transduction\|SH3/SH2 adaptor protein activity|cell-cell signaling|epidermal growth factor receptor bindinglepidermal growth factor receptor signaling pathway lintracellular signaling cascade | 1.06 | 1.01 | 1.43 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GTF2F2 | NM_004128 | GTF2F2/General transcription factor IIF, polypeptide $2,30 \mathrm{kDa}$ | GTF2F2/ATP binding\|DNA binding|RNA elongation from Pol II promoter|general RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity|helicase activity|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent|transcription factor TFIIF complex|transcription initiation from Pol II promoter | 1.01 | -1.10 | -1.08 | 1.44 |
| GTF2H2 | NM_001515 | GTF2H2/General transcription factor IIH, polypeptide 2, 44kDa | GTF2H2/transcription factor activity/DNA repair/Regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent/Nucleus | -1.10 | 1.03 | 1.06 | 1.58 |
| H1FO | NM_005318 | H1FO/H1 histone family, member 0 | ```H1F0/DNA binding\|DNA binding|chromosome|chromosome organization and biogenesis (sensu Eukaryota)|nucleosome|nucleosome assembly|nucleus|nucleus``` | 1.37 | 1.22 | 1.04 | -1.53 |
| H2AFJ | NM_018267 | H2AFJ/H2A histone family, member J | H2AFJ/DNA binding\|chromosome organization and biogenesis (sensu Eukaryota)|nucleosome|nucleosome assembly|nucleus | 1.03 | -1.12 | -1.33 | -1.55 |
| H2AFY | NM_004893 | H2AFY/H2A histone family, member Y | H2AFY/DNA binding\|DNA binding|chromosome|chromosome organization and biogenesis (sensu Eukaryota)|nucleosome|nucleosome|nucleosome assembly|nucleosome assembly|nucleus | 1.13 | -1.12 | -1.02 | 1.49 |
| HDAC9 | NM_178423 | HDAC9/Histone deacetylase 9 | HDAC9/histone deacetylase activity\|histone deacetylase complex|histone deacetylation|hydrolase activity|inflammatory response|negative regulation of myogenesis|nucleus|nucleus|regulation of cell cycle|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent|specific transcriptional repressor activity|transcription factor binding | 1.03 | -1.00 | -1.03 | -1.43 |
| HIST1H1C | NM_005319 | HIST1H1C/Histone 1, H1c | HIST1H1C/DNA binding\|chromosome|chromosome organization and biogenesis (sensu Eukaryota)|nucleosome|nucleosome assembly|nucleus | 1.13 | 1.12 | 1.06 | -1.52 |
| HIST1H2AC | NM_003512 | HIST1H2AC/Histone 1, H2ac | HIST1H2AC/DNA binding\|chromosome|chromosome organization and biogenesis (sensu Eukaryota)|nucleosome|nucleosome assembly|nucleus | -1.15 | -1.21 | -1.05 | -2.27 |
| HMGB3 | NM_005342 | HMGB3/High-mobility group box 3 | HMGB3/DNA binding activity\|DNA binding|chromatin|development|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent | 1.09 | 1.31 | 1.42 | 3.23 |
| HSD11B2 | NM_000196 | HSD11B2/Hydroxysteroid (11-beta) dehydrogenase 2 | HSD11B2/cell-cell signaling\|glucocorticoid biosynthesis|metabolism|microsome|oxidoreductase activity | 1.06 | 1.23 | 1.29 | 1.66 |
| HSPB1 | NM_001540 | HSPB1/Heat shock 27kDa protein 1 | HSPB1/cytoplasm\|protein folding|regulation of translational initiation|response to unfolded protein|response to unfolded protein | -1.13 | -1.31 | 1.09 | -1.58 |
| IFIT2 | NM_001547 | IFIT2/Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 2 | IFIT2/binding\|cellular_component unknown|immune response | 1.04 | -1.20 | -1.09 | -1.64 |
| IFITM1 | NM_003641 | IFITM1/Interferon induced transmembrane protein 1 | IFITM1/cell surface receptor linked signal transduction\|immune response|integral to membrane|negative regulation of cell proliferation|plasma membrane|receptor signaling protein activity|regulation of cell cycle|response to biotic stimulus | 1.13 | 1.09 | 1.29 | -1.57 |
| IGFBP1 | NM_000596 | IGFBP1/Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1 | IGFBP1/regulation of cell growth/signal transduction | 1.21 | 1.05 | 1.03 | -1.85 |
| IGFBP3 | NM_000598 | IGFBP3/Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 | IGFBP3/negative regulation of signal transduction\|positive regulation of apoptosis|positive regulation of myoblast differentiation|protein tyrosine phosphatase activator activity|regulation of cell growth | 1.03 | -1.06 | 1.09 | -2.03 |
| IGFBP5 | NM_000599 | IGFBP5/Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5 | IGFBP5/Signal Transduction/Regulation of cell growth | -1.27 | -1.24 | -1.01 | -1.65 |
| IL6ST | NM_002184 | LL6ST/Interleukin 6 signal transducer | IL6ST/cell surface receptor linked signal transduction\|immune response|integral to plasma membrane|interleukin-6 receptor activity|oncostatin-M receptor activity|receptor activity | 1.04 | 1.89 | 2.46 | 2.38 |
| ITGA2 | NM_002203 | 1TGA2/Integrin, alpha 2 | ITGA2/cell-matrix adhesion\|collagen bindinglintegral to membrane|integrin complex|integrin-mediated signaling pathway| | 1.02 | 1.01 | -1.01 | -2.38 |
| JUN | NM_002228 | JUN/V-jun sarcoma virus 17 oncogene homolog | JUN/RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity\|nuclear chromosome|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|transcription factor activity | 1.33 | 1.13 | 1.64 | 2.68 |
| KIAA0349 | NM_015255 | KIAA0349/Chromosome 6 open reading frame 133 | KIAA0349/Protein ubiquitination | 1.10 | 1.06 | -1.09 | -1.91 |
| KIAA1357 | XM_050421_3 | KIAA1357/GUKH2/Guanylate-kinase holder | KIAA1357 | 1.21 | 1.09 | 1.14 | -1.52 |
| KPNA3 | NM_002267 | KPNA3/ | KPNA3/intracellular protein \|nuclear localization sequence binding|nuclear pore|nucleus|protein complex assembly|protein er activity | 1.11 | 1.11 | 1.12 | 1.95 |
| KPNA4 | NM_002268 | KPNA4/Karyopherin alpha 4 (importin alpha 3) | KPNA4/intracellular protein \|nucleus|protein er activity | $-1.11$ | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.66 |
| KPNB1 | NM_002265 | KPNB1/Karyopherin (importin) beta 1 | KPNB1/cytoplasm\|nuclear localization sequence binding|nuclear pore|nucleus|protein |protein er activity|protein- nucleus import, docking|protein-nucleus import, translocation|zinc ion binding | 1.09 | -1.05 | -1.09 | -1.52 |
| KRT14 | NM_000526 | KRT14/Keratin 14 | KRT14/intermediate filament\|structural constituent of epidermis | -1.19 | -1.24 | 1.17 | -1.62 |
| KRT17 | NM_000422 | KRT17/Keratin 17 | KRT17/epidermis development\|intermediate filament|intermediate filament|structural constituent of cytoskeleton|structural molecule activity | -1.24 | -1.34 | 1.11 | -1.53 |
| KRT5 | NM_000424 | KRT5/Keratin 5 | KRT5/epidermis development\|intermediate filament|structural constituent of cytoskeleton | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.54 | -1.37 |
| KRT6B | NM_005555 | KRT6B/Keratin 6B | ```KRT6B/cytoskeleton organization and biogenesis\|ectoderm development|intermediate filament|intermediate filament|structural constituent of cytoskeleton|structural molecule activity``` | -1.04 | -1.10 | -1.19 | -1.80 |
| LMNA | NM_170707 | LMNA/Lamin A/C | LMNA/lamin filament\|muscle development|nucleus|protein binding|structural molecule activity|structural molecule activity | -1.08 | -1.04 | -1.00 | -1.53 |
| LMNB1 | NM_005573 | LMNB1/Lamin B1 | LMNB1/lamin filament\|nucleus|structural molecule activity | 2.14 | 1.16 | -1.10 | 1.12 |
| LYRIC | NM_178812 | LYRIC/LYRIC/3D3 | LYRIC/integral to membrane\|nucleus | -1.09 | -1.01 | -1.01 | 1.48 |
| MAP3K1 | AF042838 | MAP3K1/Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 1 | MAP3K1/ATP binding\|MAP kinase kinase kinase activity|magnesium ion binding|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|protein ubiquitination|transferase activity|ubiquitin ligase complex|ubiquitin-protein ligase activity|zinc ion binding | 1.04 | 1.14 | 1.96 | -1.21 |
| MAP3K3 | NM_002401 | MAP3K3/Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 3 | MAP3K3/ATP binding\|MAP kinase kinase kinase activity|MAPKKK cascade|magnesium ion binding|positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/NF-kappaB cascade|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|signal transducer activity|transferase activity | -1.07 | 1.08 | 1.27 | 1.64 |


| MCM6 | NM_005915 | MCM6/MCM6 minichromosome maintenance deficient 6 | MCM6/ATP binding\|DNA binding|DNA replication|DNA replication initiation|DNA-dependent ATPase activity|cell cycle|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent | 1.18 | -1.00 | -1.04 | 1.43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MET | NM_000245 | MET/Met proto-oncogene (hepatocyte growth factor receptor) | MET/ATP binding\|cell proliferation|hepatocyte growth factor receptor activitylintegral to plasma membrane|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein binding|protein-tyrosine kinase activity|receptor activity|signal transduction|transferase activity | 1.46 | -1.01 | -1.07 | -1.79 |
| MGST1 | NM_020300 | MGST1/Microsomal glutathione S-transferase 1 | MGST1/glutathione transferase activity\|membrane|microsome|mitochondrion|transferase activity | 1.01 | 1.01 | -1.07 | 1.45 |
| MUC2L | BG675392 | MUC2L/Mucin 2 | MUC2L | 1.21 | 1.45 | 1.79 | 1.40 |
| MYB | NM_005375 | MYB/V-myb myeloblastosis viral oncogene homolog | MYB/DNA binding\|nuclear matrix|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent|transcriptional activator activity | 1.08 | 1.09 | 1.04 | -1.49 |
| MYC | NM_002467 | MYC/V-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog | MYC/cell cycle arrest\|cell proliferation|iron ion homeostasis|nucleus|protein binding|regulation of transcription from Pol II promoter|transcription factor activity | 1.30 | 1.48 | 1.97 | 1.04 |
| NCOA3 | NM_006534 | NCOA3/Nuclear receptor coactivator 3 | NCOA3/acyltransferase activity/histone acetyltransferase activity\|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|signal transducer activity|signal transduction|thyroic hormone receptor binding|transcription|transcription coactivator activity|transferase activity | 1.18 | 1.23 | -1.08 | -1.68 |
| NCOR2 | NM_006312 | NCOR2/Nuclear receptor co-repressor 2 | NCOR2/DNA binding\|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|transcription corepressor activity | 1.08 | 1.10 | 1.01 | -1.55 |
| NK4 | NM_004221 | NK4/Natural killer cell transcript 4 | NK4/cell adhesion\|immune response | 1.12 | 1.20 | -1.72 | 1.03 |
| NME2 | NM_002512 | NME2/Non-metastatic cells 2, protein (NM23B) | NME2/ATP binding\|CTP biosynthesis|GTP biosynthesis|UTP biosynthesis|kinase activity|magnesium ion binding|negative regulation of cell cycle|negative regulation of cell proliferation|nucleoside triphosphate biosynthesis|nucleosidediphosphate kinase activity|nucleotide binding|nucleotide metabolism|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|transcription factor activity|transferase activity | -1.13 | -1.04 | -1.02 | 1.42 |
| NMU | NM_006681 | NMU/Neuromedin U | NMU/digestion\|neuropeptide signaling pathway|receptor binding|signal transduction | 1.11 | -1.06 | 1.04 | 1.47 |
| OAS2 | NM_002535 | OAS2/2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 2 | OAS2/ATP binding\|RNA binding|immune response|membrane|microsome|nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide and nucleic acid metabolism|nucleotidyltransferase activity|transferase activity | -1.09 | 1.09 | 1.15 | -1.78 |
| PC4 | NM_006713 | PC4/Activated RNA polymerase II transcription cofactor 4 | PC4/nucleus\|regulation of transcription from Pol II promoter|single-stranded DNA binding|transcription|transcription coactivator activity|transcription factor complex | 1.22 | 1.13 | -1.07 | 1.60 |
| PCAF | NM_003884 | PCAF/P300/CBP-associated factor | PCAF/N-acetyltransferase activity\|cell cycle arrest|chromatin remodeling|histone acetyltransferase activity|histone deacetylase binding|negative regulation of cell proliferation|nucleus|protein amino acid acetylation|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent|transcription cofactor activity|transferase activity | 1.13 | 1.09 | 1.17 | 2.06 |
| PDGFRA | NM_006206 | PDGFRA/Platelet-derived growth factor receptor, alpha | PDGFRA/ATP binding\|cell proliferation|cell surface receptor linked signal transduction|transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase signaling pathway|vascular endothelial growth factor receptor activity | -1.21 | -1.05 | -1.25 | -1.49 |
| PECI | NM_006117 | PECI/Peroxisomal D3,D2-enoyl-CoA isomerase | PECl/acyl-CoA binding\|dodecenoyl-CoA delta-isomerase activity|fatty acid metabolism|isomerase activity|metabolism|peroxisome | -1.01 | -1.01 | 1.20 | 1.57 |
| PGRB | NM_000926 | PGRB/Progesterone receptor B | PGRB/Steroid hormone receptor activity/Transcription factor activity/Regulation of transcription/Cell-cell signaling | 1.29 | -1.01 | 1.41 | -1.34 |
| PIK3CB | NM_006219 | PIK3CB/Phosphoinositide-3-kinase, catalytic, beta polypeptide | PIK3CB/G-protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway\|activation of MAPK|regulation of cell cycle|signal transduction|transferase activity | -1.15 | 1.04 | 1.08 | 1.69 |
| PLAU | NM_002658 | PLAU/Plasminogen activator, urokinase | PLAU/blood coagulation\|chemotaxis|chymotrypsin activity|hydrolase activity|kinase activity|negative regulation of blood coagulation|plasminogen activator activity|proteolysis and peptidolysis|signal transduction|trypsin activity | -1.12 | -1.04 | 1.09 | -1.84 |
| PLAUR | NM_002659 | PLAUR/Plasminogen activator, urokinase receptor | PLAUR/U-plasminogen activator receptor activity\|blood coagulation|cell surface receptor linked signal transduction|chemotaxis|extrinsic to membrane|plasma membrane|protein binding | -1.00 | -1.13 | -1.40 | -1.18 |
| PMS2 | NM_000535 | PMS2/PMS2 postmeiotic segregation increased 2 | PMS2/ATP binding\|DNA binding|mismatch repair|negative regulation of cell cycle|nucleus | -1.51 | -1.01 | 1.22 | 1.32 |
| PRC1 | NM_003981 | PRC1/Protein regulator of cytokinesis 1 | PRC1/cytokinesis\|mitotic spindle elongation|nucleus|spindle microtubule | 1.18 | 1.14 | -1.11 | 1.46 |
| RAB9A | NM_004251 | RAB9A/RAB9A, member RAS oncogene family | RAB9A/GTP binding\|GTPase activity |small GTPase mediated signal transduction | -1.08 | -1.01 | 1.06 | 1.48 |
| RAMP | NM_016448 | RAMP/RA-regulated nuclear matrix-associated protein | RAMP/associates with the spliceosome late in the splicing pathway | 1.10 | -1.01 | -1.05 | 1.78 |
| RBBP4 | NM_005610 | RBBP4/Retinoblastoma binding protein 4 | RBBP4/DNA repair\|DNA replication|cell cycle|negative regulation of cell proliferation|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent | -1.01 | 1.04 | 1.58 | 1.11 |
| RBBP7 | NM_002893 | RBBP7/Retinoblastoma binding protein 7 | RBBP7/cell proliferation\|development|nucleus | 1.02 | 1.12 | 1.14 | 1.85 |
| RELA | NM_021975 | RELA/V-rel reticuloendotheliosis viral oncogene homolog A, nuclear factor (p65) | RELA/anti-apoptosis\|nucleus|nucleus|positive regulation of IkappaB kinase/NF-kappaB cascade|protein binding|regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent|response to toxin|signal transducer activity|transcription factor activity|transcription factor activity|transcription factor complex|transcription from Pol II promoter | 1.01 | 1.07 | 1.12 | -1.54 |
| RFC3 | NM_002915 | $\mathrm{RFC} 3 /$ Replication factor C (activator 1) 3, 38 kDa | RFC3/DNA binding\|DNA replication|DNA replication factor C complex|DNA replication factor C complex|DNA strand elongation|DNA-directed DNA polymerase activity|delta-DNA polymerase cofactor complex|enzyme activator activity|nucleoside-triphosphatase activity|nucleotide binding|nucleus | 1.08 | 1.03 | -1.16 | 1.60 |
| RPS6KA1 | NM_002953 | RPS6KA1/Ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90kDa, polypeptide 1 | RPS6KA1/ATP binding\|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|protein-tyrosine kinase activity|signal transduction|transferase activity | 1.01 | 1.14 | 1.16 | 1.56 |


| RPS6KA5 | NM_004755 | RPS6KA5/Ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90 kDa , polypeptide 5 | RPS6KA5/ATP bindinglepidermal growth factor receptor signaling pathway\|histone phosphorylation|metallopeptidase activity|nucleus|nucleus|protein amino acid phosphorylation|protein kinase cascade|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|protein serine/threonine kinase activity|protein-tyrosine kinase activity|proteolysis and peptidolysis|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|response to chemical substance|response to external stimulus|response to stress|transferase activity|zinc ion binding | -1.06 | -1.09 | 1.59 | 3.76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAP30 | NM_003864 | SAP30/Sin3-associated polypeptide, 30kDa | SAP30/histone deacetylase complex\|transcription corepressor activity | 1.12 | 1.22 | 1.58 | 2.20 |
| SCD4 | NM_024906 | SCD4/Stearoyl-CoA desaturase 4 | SCD4/endoplasmic reticulum\|fatty acid biosynthesis|iron ion binding|membrane|oxidoreductase activity| | 1.24 | -1.10 | -1.15 | -1.78 |
| SCGB2A1 | NM_002407 | SCGB2A1/Secretoglobin, family 2A, member 1 | SCGB2A1/androgen binding | -1.14 | -1.21 | -1.11 | -1.49 |
| SERPINA3 | NM_001085 | SERPINA3/Serine (or cysteine) proteinase inhibitor A | SERPINA3/DNA binding\|acute-phase response|chymotrypsin inhibitor activity|extracellular region|inflammatory response|intracellular|protein binding|regulation of lipid metabolism|serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity | -1.37 | 1.62 | -1.01 | -1.10 |
| SERPINB2 | NM_002575 | SERPINB2/Serine (or cysteine) proteinase inhibitor B | SERPINB2/anti-apoptosis\|serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity | 1.28 | 1.44 | 1.43 | -1.80 |
| SNAI1 | NM_005985 | SNAI1/Snail homolog 1 | SNAI1/DNA binding\|nucleus|zinc ion binding | 1.05 | -1.03 | 1.19 | 2.69 |
| SNAI2 | NM_003068 | SNAI2/Snail homolog 2 | SNAI2/DNA binding\|development|ectoderm and mesoderm interaction|negative regulation of transcription from Pol II promoter|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|zinc ion binding | -1.04 | -1.12 | -1.53 | -1.21 |
| SOS1 | NM_005633 | SOS1/Son of sevenless homolog 1 | SOS1/DNA binding\|Ras guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity|Ras protein signal transduction|Rho GTPase activator activity|Rho guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity | 1.08 | 1.03 | 1.21 | 1.75 |
| SP1 | XM_028606 | SP1/Sp1 transcription factor | SP1/DNA binding\|RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|transcriptional activator activity|zinc ion binding | -1.02 | -1.13 | -1.15 | -2.20 |
| STRBP | NM_018387 | STRBP/Spermatid perinuclear RNA binding protein | STRBP/DNA binding\|double-stranded RNA binding|intracellular|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent | -1.05 | -1.07 | 1.25 | 2.04 |
| TFDP1 | NM_007111 | TFDP1/Transcription factor Dp-1 | TFDP1/nucleus\|regulation of cell cycle|regulation of transcription from Pol II promoter|transcription coactivator activity|transcription factor activity|transcription factor complex | 1.09 | -1.00 | 1.01 | 1.42 |
| TFF1 | NM_003225 | TFF1/Trefoil factor 1 | TFF1/carbohydrate metabolism\|defense response|digestion|growth factor activity | 1.24 | 1.13 | -1.25 | -2.17 |
| TGFA | NM_003236 | TGFA/Transforming growth factor, alpha | TGFA/cell proliferation\|cell-cell signaling|epidermal growth factor receptor activating ligand activity|growth factor activity|integral to plasma membrane|protein binding|proteintyrosine kinase activity|regulation of cell cycle|signal transducer activity|soluble fraction | 1.09 | 1.29 | 1.58 | 5.78 |
| TGS | NM_173083 | TGS/Lin-9 homolog | TGS/LIN9/Receptor activity | 1.06 | -1.06 | 1.01 | 1.55 |
| THBS1 | NM_003246 | THBS1/Thrombospondin 1 | THBS1/blood coagulation\|calcium ion binding|cell adhesion|cell motility|development|endopeptidase inhibitor activity|extracellular region|heparin binding|neurogenesis|protein binding|signal transducer activity|structural molecule activity | 1.41 | 1.28 | 1.60 | -1.15 |
| TOP1 | NM_003286 | TOP1/Topoisomerase (DNA) I | TOP1/DNA topoisomerase type I activity\|DNA topological change|DNA unwinding | 1.04 | 1.04 | 1.11 | 1.56 |
| TP53BP2 | NM_005426 | TP53BP2/Tumor protein p53 binding protein, 2 | TP53BP2/SH3/SH2 adaptor protein activity\|apoptosis|cytoplasm|regulation of cell cycle|signal transduction | -1.04 | 1.13 | 1.75 | 2.39 |
| TP5313 | AF010309 | TP5313/Tumor protein p53 inducible protein 3 | TP5313/alcohol dehydrogenase activity, zincdependent\|induction of apoptosis by oxidative stress|zinc ion binding | 1.16 | 1.01 | -1.00 | 1.43 |
| WIG1 | NM_022470 | WIG1/P53 target zinc finger protein | WIG1/nucleic acid binding\|nucleus|zinc ion binding | 1.02 | 1.06 | -1.01 | -1.58 |
| WNT5A | NM_003392 | WNT5A/Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 5A | WNT5A/cell-cell signaling\|frizzled-2 signaling pathway|receptor binding|signal transduction| | -1.09 | -1.07 | -1.06 | -1.51 |
| XLHSRF1 | AB037831 | XLHSRF-1/Heat shock regulated 1 | XLHSRF-1/Heat shock regulated 1 | 1.16 | 1.03 | 1.31 | 2.17 |
| ZNF350 | NM_021632 | ZNF350/Zinc finger protein 350 | ZNF350/DNA binding\|nucleus|regulation of transcription, DNAdependent|zinc ion binding | -1.17 | -1.43 | -1.33 | -1.43 |


| GenBank Acc No | Symbol / Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM_005688 | ABCC5/ATP-binding cassette, sub-family C (CFTR/MRP), member 5 | 1.10 | 1.34 | 1.21 | 1.36 | 1.36 | -1.30 | -1.96 | None |
| NM_001621 | AHR/aryl hydrocarbon receptor | -1.08 | 1.16 | 1.08 | 1.13 | -1.06 | -1.61 | -1.59 | None |
| NM_005465 | SDCCAG8/serologically defined colon cancer antigen 8 | -1.01 | -1.09 | -1.11 | -1.11 | -1.19 | -1.64 | -1.52 | None |
| NM_016359 | ANKT/nucleolar protein ANKT | -1.01 | -1.08 | -1.02 | 1.44 | -1.25 | 1.02 | 2.45 | None |
| NM_001654 | TIMP1/tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1 (erythroid potentiating activity, collagenase inhibitor) | 1.04 | 1.12 | 1.19 | -1.05 | -1.15 | -1.22 | -1.92 | None |
| NM_004217 | STK12/serine/threonine kinase 12 | -1.03 | -1.00 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.04 | 1.53 | 1.88 | None |
| NM_014417 | BBC3/BCL2 binding component 3 | -1.10 | 1.07 | 1.01 | -1.28 | -2.63 | -1.69 | -1.25 | None |
| NM_014567 | BCAR1/breast cancer anti-estrogen resistance 1 | 1.13 | 1.19 | 1.29 | 1.79 | 2.20 | 2.19 | 1.33 | Overlap |
| NM_001168 | BIRC5/baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 5 (survivin) | -1.01 | 1.03 | -1.08 | 1.38 | 1.13 | 1.09 | 2.50 | None |
| NM_001731 | BTG1/B-cell translocation gene 1, anti-proliferative | 1.07 | 1.16 | 1.28 | 1.43 | -1.08 | 9.88 | 10.49 | None |
| NM_006763 | BTG2/BTG family, member 2 | 1.49 | 1.02 | -1.30 | 1.32 | 1.58 | -1.39 | 1.06 | None |
| NM_018584 | PRO1489/hypothetical protein PRO1489 | -1.12 | -1.01 | 1.23 | -1.50 | -1.43 | -1.67 | -1.82 | Overlap |
| NM_001237 | CCNA2/cyclin A2 | -1.03 | -1.00 | -1.15 | 1.24 | -1.20 | -1.25 | 2.12 | None |
| NM_004701 | CCNB2/cyclin B2 | -1.05 | -1.03 | 1.04 | 1.22 | -1.06 | $-1.23$ | 2.83 | None |
| NM_053056 | CCND1/cyclin D1 (PRAD1: parathyroid adenomatosis 1) | 1.32 | 1.32 | 1.83 | 2.41 | 3.12 | 2.49 | 1.91 | Overlap |
| NM_001240 | CCNT1/cyclin T1 | -1.06 | -1.05 | -1.03 | -1.20 | -1.04 | -1.00 | 1.57 | None |
| NM_001786 | CDC2/cell division cycle $2, \mathrm{G} 1$ to S and G2 to M | 1.01 | -1.01 | -1.19 | 1.59 | -1.10 | -1.47 | 2.01 | None |
| NM_001255 | CDC20/CDC20 cell division cycle 20 homolog (S. cerevisiae) | 1.15 | 1.15 | -1.07 | -1.03 | 1.04 | 1.29 | 2.97 | None |
| NM_021874 | CDC25B/cell division cycle 25B | -1.06 | -1.00 | -1.15 | -1.04 | -1.04 | -1.14 | 2.13 | None |
| NM_005197 | CHES1/checkpoint suppressor 1 | -1.05 | -1.20 | 1.08 | -1.07 | -1.06 | 2.04 | 1.26 | None |
| NM_001823 | CKB/creatine kinase, brain | -1.17 | 1.03 | 1.10 | -1.05 | 1.22 | 1.71 | 1.38 | None |
| NM_001827 | CKS2/CDC28 protein kinase 2 | 1.42 | 1.16 | -1.22 | -1.12 | -1.11 | -1.03 | 3.03 | None |
| NM_018098 | ECT2/epithelial cell transforming sequence 2 oncogene | -1.03 | -1.06 | -1.12 | 1.31 | -1.47 | -1.54 | 1.28 | None |
| NM_012081 | ELL2/ELL-related RNA polymerase II, elongation factor | 1.21 | 1.49 | 1.58 | 3.26 | 2.95 | 1.31 | 1.41 | Overlap |
| NM_004448 | ERBB2/v-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2, neuro/glioblastoma derived oncogene homolog (avian) | -1.27 | -1.17 | -1.14 | -1.86 | -1.18 | -2.44 | -2.17 | Overlap |
| NM_001982 | ERBB3/v-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 3 (avian) | 1.00 | 1.16 | -1.18 | -1.76 | -1.18 | -1.49 | -1.49 | Overlap |
| NM_000125 | ESR1/estrogen receptor 1 | 1.03 | 1.00 | -1.01 | -1.44 | -1.19 | -1.96 | -1.64 | Overlap |
| NM_002026 | FN1/fibronectin 1 | 1.09 | 1.19 | 1.02 | -2.72 | -1.15 | -1.43 | -2.22 | Overlap |
| NM_015675 | GADD45B/growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, beta | 1.06 | -1.08 | -1.07 | 1.11 | 1.44 | 1.78 | 1.78 | None |
| NM_002051 | GATA3/GATA binding protein 3 | -1.23 | -1.48 | -1.82 | -2.16 | -2.78 | -1.61 | -1.69 | Overlap |
| NM_000405 | GM2A/GM2 ganglioside activator protein | -1.01 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.55 | 1.65 | None |
| NM_002105 | H2AFX/H2A histone family, member X | 1.22 | 1.10 | 1.19 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.24 | 1.67 | None |


| NM_004964 | HDAC1/histone deacetylase 1 | -1.04 | 1.07 | -1.02 | -1.16 | -1.08 | 2.56 | 1.50 | None |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM_003512 | H2AFL/H2A histone family, member L | -1.14 | -1.21 | -1.05 | -2.27 | -1.02 | -1.43 | -1.30 | Overlap |
| NM_145904 | HMGA1/high mobility group AT-hook 1 | 1.10 | 1.05 | 1.08 | -1.01 | 1.09 | 1.17 | 1.61 | None |
| NM_005342 | HMGB3/high-mobility group box 3 | 1.09 | 1.31 | 1.42 | 3.23 | 1.48 | 2.11 | 1.76 | Overlap |
| NM_001547 | EST/ESTs, Highly similar to IFT2_HUMAN Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 2 (IFIT-2) (Interferoninduced 54 kDa protein) (IFI-54K) (ISG-54 K) [H.sapiens] | 1.03 | -1.20 | -1.09 | -1.64 | -3.45 | -12.50 | -3.45 | Overlap |
| NM_000875 | IGF1R/insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor | 1.03 | 1.04 | 1.09 | 1.25 | 1.23 | 1.52 | -1.03 | None |
| NM_000599 | ESTs/Homo sapiens, clone IMAGE:4183312, mRNA, partial cds | -1.27 | -1.24 | -1.01 | -1.64 | -1.12 | -2.04 | -2.44 | Overlap |
| NM_000210 | ITGA6/integrin, alpha 6 | 1.27 | 1.20 | 1.03 | -1.09 | 1.02 | 1.62 | 1.39 | None |
| NM_000224 | KRT18/keratin 18 | -1.08 | 1.13 | -1.14 | -1.59 | -1.54 | -1.18 | 1.20 | None |
| NM_145110 | MAP2K3/mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 3 | 1.16 | 1.04 | -1.04 | -1.04 | -1.56 | 1.20 | 1.08 | None |
| NM_002401 | MAP3K3/mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 3 | -1.07 | 1.08 | 1.26 | 1.63 | 1.10 | 2.39 | 1.41 | Overlap |
| NM_006739 | MCM5/MCM5 minichromosome maintenance deficient 5, cell division cycle 46 (S. cerevisiae) | 1.15 | -1.03 | -1.11 | -1.06 | 1.12 | 4.15 | 2.95 | None |
| NM_014791 | MELK/maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase | 1.01 | -1.03 | -1.14 | 1.11 | -1.23 | -1.08 | -1.43 | None |
| NM_004994 | MMP9/matrix metalloproteinase 9 (gelatinase B, 92kDa gelatinase, 92kDa type IV collagenase) | -1.05 | 1.10 | 1.01 | -1.19 | 1.28 | -1.00 | 1.58 | None |
| NM_002456 | MUC1/mucin 1, transmembrane | -1.14 | 1.00 | -1.06 | -1.01 | 1.04 | 1.51 | 1.61 | None |
| NM_002462 | MX1/myxovirus (influenza virus) resistance 1 , interferoninducible protein p78 (mouse) | -1.17 | -1.05 | 1.23 | 1.03 | -1.75 | -5.26 | -1.47 | None |
| NM_005375 | MYB/v-myb myeloblastosis viral oncogene homolog (avian) | 1.08 | 1.09 | 1.03 | -1.49 | -1.16 | -1.47 | -2.22 | Overlap |
| NM_002467 | MYC/v-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (avian) | 1.30 | 1.48 | 1.98 | 1.04 | 1.97 | 1.25 | 1.23 | Overlap |
| NM_006534 | NCOA3/nuclear receptor coactivator 3 | 1.18 | 1.23 | -1.08 | -1.68 | -1.18 | 2.24 | 1.95 | None |
| NM_003489 | NRIP1/nuclear receptor interacting protein 1 | 1.17 | 1.04 | 1.09 | -1.21 | -1.43 | -1.72 | -1.28 | None |
| NM_002634 | PHB/prohibitin | 1.00 | 1.11 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 1.09 | 1.43 | 1.41 | None |
| M61906 | PIK3R1/phosphoinositide-3-kinase, regulatory subunit, polypeptide 1 (p85 alpha) | 1.03 | -1.00 | 1.20 | 1.24 | 1.48 | 4.74 | 6.68 | None |
| NM_002658 | PLAU/plasminogen activator, urokinase | -1.11 | -1.05 | 1.09 | -1.85 | -1.00 | 1.65 | -1.09 | None |
| NM_002659 | PLAUR/plasminogen activator, urokinase receptor | 1.00 | -1.13 | -1.41 | -1.18 | -1.20 | 1.98 | 1.25 | None |
| NM_002826 | QSCN6/quiescin Q6 | -1.03 | -1.02 | 1.12 | -1.12 | 1.26 | 1.78 | 2.58 | None |
| NM_002894 | RBBP8/retinoblastoma binding protein 8 | -1.06 | -1.00 | 1.02 | 1.02 | -1.41 | 1.10 | 1.16 | None |
| NM_002915 | RFC3/replication factor C (activator 1) 3, 38kDa | 1.08 | 1.03 | -1.15 | 1.61 | 1.05 | 1.45 | 1.40 | Overlap |
| NM_002953 | RPS6KA1/ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90kDa, polypeptide 1 | 1.01 | 1.14 | 1.16 | 1.56 | 1.19 | 2.16 | 1.11 | Overlap |
| NM_004755 | RPS6KA5/ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 90kDa, polypeptide 5 | -1.06 | -1.10 | 1.59 | 3.77 | 1.19 | 1.55 | 1.26 | Overlap |
| NM_020974 | CEGP1/CEGP1 protein | -1.01 | 1.06 | 1.09 | 1.26 | 1.28 | 2.27 | 2.64 | None |
| NM_015001 | SHARP/SMART/HDAC1 associated repressor protein | -1.05 | -1.12 | -1.22 | -1.05 | -1.45 | -1.56 | -1.30 | None |
| NM_198433 | STK6/serine/threonine kinase 6 | 1.17 | -1.01 | 1.04 | -1.09 | -1.28 | -1.16 | 1.97 | None |
| NM_003226 | TFF3/trefoil factor 3 (intestinal) | -1.07 | 1.03 | 1.27 | 1.37 | 1.11 | 1.53 | -1.23 | None |
| NM_003246 | THBS1/thrombospondin 1 | 1.41 | 1.28 | 1.60 | -1.15 | 1.04 | 1.57 | 1.33 | Overlap |
| NM_001067 | TOP2A/topoisomerase (DNA) II alpha 170kDa | 1.07 | 1.09 | 1.03 | -1.08 | -1.43 | -1.64 | 2.56 | None |
| NM_007027 | TOPBP1/topoisomerase (DNA) II binding protein | -1.07 | 1.00 | -1.13 | -1.16 | -1.22 | 3.03 | 1.48 | None |
| NM_005426 | TP53BP2/tumor protein p53 binding protein, 2 | -1.03 | 1.13 | 1.76 | 2.40 | 1.93 | 2.23 | 1.92 | Overlap |
| NM_021147 | UNG2/uracil-DNA glycosylase 2 | -1.03 | -1.08 | 1.26 | -1.37 | -1.33 | -2.50 | -2.00 | None |
| NM_005082 | EST/Homo sapiens cDNA: FLJ20944 fis, clone ADSE01780 | 1.21 | 1.13 | 1.03 | -1.38 | -1.41 | -2.86 | -2.38 | None |


|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { T0 and } \\ \text { R5020 } 6 \mathrm{hr} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T0 and } \\ \text { R5020 } 6 \text { hr } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T0+PD and } \\ & \text { R5020+PD } \\ & 6 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TO+PD and } \\ \text { R5020+PD } \\ 6 \mathrm{~h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T0 and } \\ \text { R5020+ICl } \\ \mathrm{hr} \end{gathered}$ | T0 and 25020+ICI hr |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene name | GenBank Acc. No. | Gene symbol | Fold | $q$-value | Fold | $q$-value | Fold | $q$-value | Inhibited by |
| Epidermal growth factor (beta-urogastrone) | NM_001963 | EGF | 5.77 | 0.0000 | 1.70 | 2.6945 | 5.42 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Signal transducer and activator of transcription 5A | NM_003152 | STAT5A | 5.41 | 0.7161 | 5.69 | 0.0000 | 5.09 | 0.0000 |  |
| Transforming growth factor $\alpha$ | NM_003236 | TGFA | 4.81 | 0.0000 | 6.59 | 0.0000 | 7.93 | 0.0000 |  |
| Dual specificity phosphatase 1 | NM_004417 | DUSP1 | 4.73 | 0.7161 | 3.46 | 0.0000 | 3.63 | 0.0000 |  |
| Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible $\alpha$ | NM_001924 | GADD45A | 3.43 | 0.0000 | 2.47 | 1.5753 | 2.56 | 0.0000 |  |
| Cyclin D1 | NM_053056 | CCND1 | 3.29 | 0.0000 | 6.68 | 0.0000 | 4.00 | 0.0000 |  |
| High-mobility group box 3 | Y10043 | HMGB3 | 3.20 | 0.0000 | 3.10 | 0.0000 | 2.84 | 0.0000 |  |
| V-jun sarcoma virus 17 oncogene homolog (avian) | NM_002228 | JUN | 3.10 | 0.0000 | 2.53 | 0.0000 | 2.90 | 0.0000 |  |
| Interleukin 6 signal transducer (gp130. oncostatin M receptor) | NM_002184 | IL6ST | 2.89 | 0.7161 | 2.61 | 0.0000 | 3.14 | 0.0000 |  |
| Sin3-associated polypeptide 30kDa | NM_003864 | SAP30 | 2.71 | 0.0000 | 2.07 | 1.5753 | 2.58 | 0.0000 |  |
| Kruppel-like factor 5 (intestinal) | NM_001730 | KLF5 | 2.71 | 0.7161 | 3.08 | 0.0000 | 1.75 | 0.0732 |  |
| Dynein. axonemal. heavy polypeptide 1 | AB037831 | XLHSRF-1 | 2.70 | 0.0000 | 2.83 | 0.0000 | 3.09 | 0.0000 |  |
| RAS-like. family 10. member B | NM_033315 | RASL10B | 2.49 | 0.7161 | 1.33 | NA | 1.69 | 0.0000 | PD \& ICI |
| Elongation factor. RNA polymerase II. 2 | NM_012081 | ELL2 | 2.42 | 0.7161 | 1.81 | 1.1377 | 1.56 | 0.0732 | PD \& ICI |
| CDC14 cell division cycle 14 homolog B (S. cerevisiae) | NM_033332 | CDC14B | 2.35 | 0.0000 | 2.39 | 0.0000 | 1.87 | 0.0732 |  |
| Ribosomal protein S6 kinase 90kDa polypeptide 5 | NM_004755 | RPS6KA5 | 2.34 | 0.0000 | 2.66 | 0.0000 | 3.09 | 0.0000 |  |
| A kinase (PRKA) anchor protein 13 | NM_007200 | AKAP13 | 2.21 | 0.7161 | 1.88 | 1.1377 | 2.61 | 0.0000 |  |
| Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible $\beta$ | NM_015675 | GADD45B | 2.19 | 0.7161 | 2.05 | 2.6945 | 2.71 | 0.0000 |  |
| Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 3 | NM_002401 | MAP3K3 | 2.19 | 0.7161 | 2.86 | 0.0000 | 2.67 | 0.0000 |  |
| mucin 2 like | BG675392 | MUC2L | 2.19 | 0.7161 | 1.63 | 1.5753 | 1.99 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 12 | NM_000609 | CXCL12 | 2.18 | 0.7161 | 3.05 | 0.0000 | 2.43 | 0.0000 |  |
| Baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 3 | NM_001165 | BIRC3 | 2.16 | 0.7161 | 2.90 | 0.0000 | 1.49 | 0.0000 | ICI |
| Peroxisome proliferative activated receptor $\gamma$ coactivator $1 \beta$ | NM_133263 | PPARGC1B | 2.14 | 0.7161 | 1.33 | NA | 1.74 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Epidermal growth factor receptor | NM_005228 | EGFR | 2.13 | 0.7161 | -1.05 | NA | -1.12 | 2.9822 | PD \& ICI |
| Tumor protein p53 binding protein 2 | NM_005426 | TP53BP2 | 2.11 | 0.7161 | 1.67 | NA | 1.14 | 4.0539 | ICI |
| Growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 | NM_002086 | GRB2 | 1.88 | 1.7844 | 1.33 | NA | 1.82 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Snail homolog 1 (Drosophila) | NM_005985 | SNAI1 | 1.85 | 0.7161 | 1.96 | 1.1377 | 1.68 | 0.0000 |  |
| Cyclin E2 | NM_057749 | CCNE2 | 1.85 | 0.7161 | 1.41 | 3.1287 | 1.81 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Vascular endothelial growth factor | NM_003376 | VEGF | 1.83 | 0.7161 | 1.74 | 2.6945 | 1.93 | 0.0000 |  |
| Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1C (p57. Kip2) | NM_000076 | CDKN1C | 1.82 | 1.7844 | 1.08 | NA | -7.33 | 0.0000 | PD \& ICI |
| Activating transcription factor 3 | NM_004024 | ATF3 | 1.82 | 2.2677 | 1.24 | NA | 3.08 | 0.0000 |  |
| Serine (or cysteine) proteinase inhibitor clade A member 3 | NM_001085 | SERPINA3 | 1.80 | 0.7161 | 1.25 | NA | -1.59 | 0.0000 | ICI |
| Plasminogen activator. urokinase receptor | NM_002659 | PLAUR | 1.76 | 1.7844 | -1.10 | NA | 1.46 | 0.0000 | PD |
| E2F transcription factor 1 | NM_005225 | E2F1 | 1.73 | 1.7844 | 1.28 | NA | 1.35 | 0.0000 |  |
| Ribosomal protein $\mathrm{S6}$ kinase. 90kDa. polypeptide 1 | NM_002953 | RPS6KA1 | 1.71 | 0.7161 | 1.90 | 1.1377 | 2.53 | 0.0000 |  |
| Phosphoinositide-3-kinase. catalytic. beta polypeptide | NM_006219 | PIK3CB | 1.70 | 0.7161 | 1.66 | 2.6945 | 2.15 | 0.0000 |  |
| Quiescin Q6 | NM_002826 | QSCN6 | 1.66 | 0.7161 | 1.37 | 3.1287 | 1.55 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Cyclin-dependent kinase 8 | NM_001260 | CDK8 | 1.64 | 1.7844 | 1.60 | 1.5753 | 1.79 | 0.0000 |  |
| Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 | NM_139276 | STAT3 | 1.64 | 4.3086 | 1.15 | NA | 1.56 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 1 long isoform | NM_024568 | CHD1L | 1.63 | 1.2369 | 1.67 | 1.5753 | 1.57 | 0.0000 |  |
| Chromosome 1 open reading frame 71 | NM_152609 | FLJ32001 | 1.62 | 1.2369 | 1.89 | 1.1377 | 1.73 | 0.0000 |  |
| Parvin. alpha | NM_018222 | PARVA | 1.61 | 1.7844 | 1.48 | 1.5753 | 1.68 | 0.0000 |  |
| Heat shock 70kDa protein 9B (mortalin-2) | NM_004134 | HSPA9B | 1.60 | 3.2894 | 1.39 | NA | -1.23 | 0.0000 | ICI |
| General transcription factor IIH. polypeptide 2.44 kDa | NM_001515 | GTF2H2 | 1.59 | 0.7161 | 1.32 | NA | 1.38 | 0.0000 |  |
| Inhibitor of growth family. member 1 | NM_005537 | ING1 | 1.59 | 1.2369 | 1.67 | 1.5753 | 1.56 | 0.0000 |  |
| P300/CBP-associated factor | NM_003884 | PCAF | 1.59 | 2.2677 | 2.16 | 1.5753 | 2.44 | 0.0000 |  |
| Karyopherin alpha 3 (importin alpha 4) | NM_002267 | KPNA3 | 1.58 | 2.2677 | 2.01 | 1.1377 | 1.63 | 0.0000 |  |
| Denticleless homolog (Drosophila) | NM_016448 | RAMP | 1.56 | 1.7844 | -1.09 | NA | 1.37 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 | NM_000598 | IGFBP3 | 1.56 | 2.2677 | 1.35 | NA | -2.54 | 0.0000 | ICI |
| Thrombospondin 1 | NM_003246 | THBS 1 | 1.54 | 2.2677 | 1.70 | 1.1377 | 2.17 | 0.0000 |  |
| MAX interactor 1 | NM_005962 | MXI1 | 1.54 | 3.2894 | 1.16 | NA | 1.37 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Mitogen-activated protein kinase 7 | NM_139033 | MAPK7 | 1.53 | 2.2677 | 1.47 | NA | 1.74 | 0.0000 |  |
| Hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 3 | NM_000197 | HSD17B3 | 1.52 | 3.2894 | 1.61 | 3.1287 | 1.24 | 0.7326 | ICI |
| Myeloid cell leukemia sequence 1 (BCL2-related) | NM_021960 | MCL1 | 1.50 | 3.2894 | 1.64 | 2.6945 | 1.32 | 0.0000 |  |
| Egl nine homolog 1 (C. elegans) | NM_022051 | EGLN1 | 1.50 | 1.7844 | 1.08 | NA | 1.04 | 4.7046 | PD \& ICI |
| Growth arrest-specific 6 | NM_000820 | GAS6 | 1.48 | 2.2677 | 1.34 | NA | 1.64 | 0.0000 |  |
| H3 histone. family 3B (H3.3B) | NM_005324 | H3F3B | 1.48 | 2.2677 | 1.14 | NA | 1.15 | 2.8707 | PD \& ICI |
| Muskelin 1. intracellular mediator containing kelch motifs | BX648653 | MKLN1 | 1.47 | 2.2677 | 1.12 | NA | 1.40 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Phosphoinositide-3-kinase. class 2. beta polypeptide | NM_002646 | PIK3C2B | 1.46 | 3.2894 | 1.33 | NA | 1.98 | 0.0000 |  |
| Son of sevenless homolog 1 (Drosophila) | NM_005633 | SOS1 | 1.45 | 2.2677 | 1.83 | 1.1377 | 1.13 | 2.8707 | ICI |
| CDC6 cell division cycle 6 homolog (S. cerevisiae) | NM_001254 | CDC6 | 1.45 | 3.2894 | -1.05 | NA | 1.36 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Signal transducer and activator of transcription 5B | NM_012448 | STAT5B | 1.43 | 2.2677 | 1.69 | 1.5753 | 1.55 | 0.0000 |  |
| Neogenin homolog 1 (chicken) | NM_002499 | NEO1 | 1.43 | 2.2677 | 1.23 | NA | 1.51 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Patched homolog 2 (Drosophila) | NM_003738 | PTCH2 | 1.43 | 3.2894 | -1.07 | NA | -2.36 | 0.0000 | PD \& ICI |
| E2F transcription factor 3 | NM_001949 | E2F3 | 1.42 | 4.3086 | 1.62 | 2.6945 | 1.24 | 1.8657 | ICI |
| Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide N | NM_005678 | SNRPN | 1.42 | 3.2894 | 1.33 | NA | 1.52 | 0.0000 |  |
| Spermatid perinuclear RNA binding protein | NM_018387 | STRBP | 1.41 | 3.2894 | 1.51 | 3.1287 | 1.70 | 0.0000 |  |
| Origin recognition complex. subunit 6 homolog-like (yeast) | NM_014321 | ORC6L | 1.41 | 3.2894 | 1.22 | NA | 1.06 | NA | PD \& ICI |
| Fanconi anemia. complementation group A | NM_000135 | FANCA | 1.38 | 3.2894 | -1.03 | NA | 1.11 | 2.8707 | PD \& ICI |


|  |  |  | T0 and R5020 6 hr | $\begin{gathered} \text { T0 and } \\ \text { R5020 } 6 \mathrm{hr} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TO+PD and } \\ \text { R5020+PD } \\ 6 \mathrm{~h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T0+PD and } \\ \text { R5020+PD } \\ 6 \mathrm{~h} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T0 and } \\ \text { R5020+ICl } 6 \\ h r \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T0 and } \\ \text { R5020+ICI } 6 \\ \mathrm{hr} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene name | GenBank Acc. No. | Gene symbol | Fold | $q$-value | Fold | $q$-value | Fold | $q$-value | Inhibited by |
| P21 (CDKN1A)-activated kinase 2 | NM_002577 | PAK2 | -1.37 | 4.3086 | -1.32 | NA | -1.53 | 0.0000 |  |
| Protein phosphatase 1D magnesium-dependent. delta isoform | NM_003620 | PPM1D | -1.38 | 4.3086 | -1.22 | NA | -1.93 | 0.0000 |  |
| Metastasis associated 1 | NM_004689 | MTA1 | -1.43 | 4.3086 | -1.15 | NA | -1.39 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Phosphoinositide-3-kinase. catalytic. alpha polypeptide | NM_006218 | PIK3CA | -1.46 | 4.3086 | -1.25 | NA | -1.15 | 0.0000 |  |
| Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 4 | NM_001273 | CHD4 | -1.46 | 4.3086 | -1.42 | NA | -1.56 | 0.0000 |  |
| LIM homeobox 3 | NM_000107 | DDB2 | -1.46 | 3.4015 | -1.21 | NA | -1.27 | 0.0000 |  |
| SWIISNF related, matrix associated, subfamily a. member 2 | NM_003070 | SMARCA2 | -1.47 | 4.3086 | -1.38 | 3.3663 | -1.26 | 0.0000 |  |
| Ligase III. DNA. ATP-dependent | NM_013975 | LIG3 | -1.48 | 4.3086 | -1.23 | NA | -1.32 | 0.0000 |  |
| Nuclear receptor interacting protein 1 | NM_003489 | NRIP1 | -1.49 | 4.3086 | -1.38 | NA | -1.58 | 0.0000 |  |
| Nuclear receptor coactivator 3 | NM_006534 | NCOA3 | -1.49 | 3.4015 | -1.11 | NA | -1.30 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Inhibitor of DNA binding 4. dominant negative helix-loop-helix pr | NM_001546 | ID4 | -1.50 | 4.3086 | -1.32 | NA | -1.76 | 0.0000 |  |
| Polymerase (DNA-directed). delta 4 | NM_021173 | POLD4 | -1.50 | 4.3086 | -1.23 | NA | -1.24 | 0.0000 | PD \& ICI |
| Cell division cycle 25C | NM_001790 | CDC25C | -1.51 | 3.4015 | -1.62 | 3.3663 | -1.39 | 0.0000 |  |
| CHK2 checkpoint homolog (S. pombe) | NM_007194 | CHEK2 | -1.51 | 4.3086 | -1.29 | NA | -1.45 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Homeo box B7 | NM_004502 | HOXB7 | -1.52 | 3.4015 | -1.75 | 2.8979 | -2.41 | 0.0000 |  |
| Rho GTPase activating protein 5 | NM_001173 | ARHGAP5 | -1.53 | 4.3086 | -1.16 | NA | -1.30 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 | NM_023028 | FGFR2 | -1.53 | 4.3086 | -1.52 | 3.3663 | -1.41 | 0.0000 |  |
| X-ray repair complementing defective repair in Chinese hamster | NM_022550 | XRCC4 | -1.54 | 4.3086 | -1.45 | NA | -1.57 | 0.0000 |  |
| Chromosome 14 open reading frame 109 | BU739864 | C14orf109 | -1.60 | 3.4015 | -1.40 | 3.3663 | -1.78 | 0.0000 |  |
| Nuclear factor I | NM_005596 | NFIB | -1.61 | 1.7844 | -1.52 | 3.3663 | -1.14 | 1.8657 | ICI |
| Nibrin | NM_002485 | NBS1 | -1.62 | 3.4015 | -1.30 | NA | -1.72 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Cyclin G2 | NM_004354 | CCNG2 | -1.64 | 3.4015 | -1.39 | NA | -1.42 | 0.0000 |  |
| Transforming. acidic coiled-coil containing protein 1 | NM_006283 | TACC1 | -1.65 | 4.3086 | -1.40 | NA | -1.77 | 0.0000 |  |
| Cyclin F | NM_001761 | CCNF | -1.67 | 3.4015 | -1.85 | 2.8979 | -1.60 | 0.0000 |  |
| Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 4 | NM_001552 | IGFBP4 | -1.67 | 3.4015 | -1.13 | NA | -1.55 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Integrin. alpha 5 (fibronectin receptor. alpha polypeptide) | NM_002205 | ITGA5 | -1.71 | 3.4015 | 1.08 | NA | -1.70 | 0.0000 | PD |
| Transforming. acidic coiled-coil containing protein 2 | BX111019 | TACC2 | -1.72 | 1.7844 | -1.94 | 2.8979 | -1.80 | 0.0000 |  |
| Histone 1. H2ac | NM_003512 | HIST1H2AC | -1.73 | 4.3086 | -1.36 | NA | -2.03 | 0.0000 |  |
| Lamin A/C | NM_170707 | LMNA | -1.74 | 3.4015 | -1.39 | 3.3663 | -1.21 | 0.0000 | ICI |
| V-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 3 (avia | NM_001982 | ERBB3 | -1.75 | 1.7844 | -1.98 | 3.3663 | -1.63 | 0.0000 |  |
| Sp1 transcription factor | NM_138473 | SP1 | -1.77 | 1.6007 | -1.70 | 3.3663 | -2.24 | 0.0000 |  |
| Alkaline phosphatase. placental (Regan isozyme) | NM_001632 | ALPP | -1.77 | 3.4015 | -1.85 | 3.3663 | -1.38 | 0.0000 |  |
| Notch homolog 3 (Drosophila) | NM_000435 | NOTCH3 | -1.88 | 1.6007 | -1.54 | 3.3663 | -1.20 | 0.0000 | ICI |
| CDC14 cell division cycle 14 homolog A (S. cerevisiae) | NM_003672 | CDC14A | -1.90 | 1.6007 | -1.77 | 3.3663 | -2.60 | 0.0000 |  |
| Integrin. alpha 2 (CD49B. alpha 2 subunit of VLA-2 receptor) | NM_002203 | ITGA2 | -1.94 | 1.6007 | -1.73 | 2.8979 | -2.02 | 0.0000 |  |
| H2A histone family. member J | NM_018267 | H2AFJ | -1.94 | 1.6007 | -3.06 | 0.0000 | -2.17 | 0.0000 |  |
| DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 3 alpha | NM_175629 | DNMT3A | -1.95 | 4.3086 | -1.85 | NA | -6.36 | 0.0000 |  |
| Nuclear receptor co-repressor 2 | NM_006312 | NCOR2 | -1.97 | 1.6007 | -1.94 | 3.3663 | -2.74 | 0.0000 |  |
| Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5 | NM_000599 | IGFBP5 | -1.98 | 1.6007 | -1.05 | NA | -1.25 | 0.0000 | PD \& ICI |
| PP12104 | AF370408 | PP12104 | -2.01 | 1.7844 | -1.99 | 2.8979 | -2.51 | 0.0000 |  |
| Cell cycle progression 1 | NM_004748 | CCPG1 | -2.03 | 1.6007 | -1.40 | 3.3663 | -1.99 | 0.0000 |  |
| GATA binding protein 3 | NM_002051 | GATA3 | -2.22 | 1.6007 | -2.68 | 0.0000 | -1.44 | 0.0000 | ICI |
| Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 2 | NM_001547 | IFIT2 | -2.26 | 1.6007 | -5.74 | 2.8979 | -3.88 | 0.0000 |  |
| Androgen receptor | NM_000044 | AR | -2.29 | 1.7844 | -1.64 | NA | 1.30 | 1.8657 | ICI |
| Cadherin 13. H-cadherin (heart) | NM_001257 | CDH13 | -2.31 | 3.4015 | -2.15 | NA | -3.86 | 0.0000 |  |
| Histone deacetylase 9 | NM_178423 | HDAC9 | -2.32 | 1.6007 | -3.50 | 2.8979 | -6.28 | 0.0000 |  |
| PiggyBac transposable element derived 3 | NM_000124 | PGBD3 | -2.47 | 4.3086 | -1.82 | 3.3663 | -1.34 | 0.0732 |  |
| Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II inhibitor 1 | NM_018584 | CaMKIINalph | -2.63 | 1.6007 | -2.00 | 3.3663 | -2.34 | 0.0000 |  |
| Zinc finger protein 350 | NM_021632 | ZNF350 | -2.67 | 1.6007 | -1.84 | 1.6167 | -2.13 | 0.0000 |  |
| Uracil-DNA glycosylase 2 | NM_021147 | UNG2 | -2.78 | 1.6007 | -1.81 | 3.3663 | 1.07 | 4.9641 | ICI |
| Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2B (p15. inhibits CDK4) | NM_078487 | CDKN2B | -3.28 | 1.6007 | -5.37 | 0.0000 | -3.28 | 0.0000 |  |


|  |  |  | T0 and E2 1 hr | TO and E2 1 hr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T0+PD and } \\ & \text { E2+PD 1h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T0+PD and } \\ \text { E2+PD 1h } \end{gathered}$ | T0 and E2+ICl 1 hr | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{TO} \text { and } \\ \mathrm{E} 2+\mathrm{ICl} 1 \mathrm{hr} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene name | GenBank Acc. No. | Gene symbol | Fold | $q$-value | Fold | $q$-value | Fold | $q$-value | Inhibited by |
| V-fos FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog | NM_005252 | FOS | 9.05 | 0.0000 | 22.64 | NA | 3.19 | NA | ICI |
| V-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (avian) | NM_002467 | MYC | 4.15 | 0.0000 | 4.30 | 0.0000 | 1.68 | NA | ICI |
| Early growth response 1 | NM_001964 | EGR1 | 4.09 | 0.0000 | 3.45 | NA | 2.99 | NA |  |
| V-jun sarcoma virus 17 oncogene homolog (avian) | NM_002228 | JUN | 3.49 | NA | 3.12 | NA | 2.73 | NA |  |
| Activating transcription factor 3 | NM_004024 | ATF3 | 3.15 | NA | 1.32 | NA | 2.50 | NA | PD |
| Dual specificity phosphatase 1 | NM_004417 | DUSP1 | 2.91 | NA | 5.58 | NA | 2.52 | NA |  |
| Trefoil factor 1 | NM_003225 | TFF1 | 2.62 | NA | 1.99 | NA | -5.70 | 0.0000 | ICI |
| Topoisomerase (DNA) III $\beta$ | NM_003935 | TOP3B | 2.51 | NA | -1.16 | NA | 1.46 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| Snail homolog 1 (Drosophila) | NM_005985 | SNAI1 | 2.23 | 0.0000 | 1.46 | NA | 1.03 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 | NM_000598 | IGFBP3 | 2.06 | NA | 1.57 | NA | -1.43 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible $\alpha$ | NM_001924 | GADD45A | 2.03 | NA | -1.14 | NA | 1.28 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible $\beta$ | NM_015675 | GADD45B | 1.96 | NA | 3.76 | NA | -1.09 | NA | ICI |
| Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 3 | NM_000362 | TIMP3 | 1.92 | NA | 1.02 | NA | -1.07 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1 | NM_000596 | IGFBP1 | 1.86 | NA | 2.43 | NA | -1.10 | NA | ICI |
| Serine (or cysteine) proteinase inhibitor clade A member 3 | NM_001085 | SERPINA3 | 1.81 | NA | -1.09 | NA | -1.53 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1C (p57 Kip2) | NM_000076 | CDKN1C | 1.74 | NA | -2.05 | NA | -8.38 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| Protein phosphatase 1 regulatory (inhibitor) subunit 15A | NM_014330 | PPP1R15A | 1.72 | NA | 1.08 | NA | -1.12 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| DNA-damage-inducible transcript 3 | NM_004083 | DDIT3 | 1.68 | NA | -1.91 | NA | 1.69 | NA | PD |
| A kinase (PRKA) anchor protein 9 | NM_147171 | AKAP9 | 1.52 | NA | 1.02 | NA | -1.50 | 3.4057 | PD\&ICI |
| Signal transducer and activator of transcription 5A | NM_003152 | STAT5A | 1.51 | NA | 1.24 | NA | -1.15 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| Stearoyl-CoA desaturase 5 | NM_024906 | SCD4 | 1.48 | NA | 1.02 | NA | -1.04 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| V-myb myeloblastosis viral oncogene homolog (avian) | NM_005375 | MYB | 1.45 | NA | -1.37 | NA | -1.90 | 3.2435 | PD\&ICI |
| Integrin. $\alpha 5$ (fibronectin receptor. alpha polypeptide) | NM_002205 | ITGA5 | 1.44 | NA | 1.24 | NA | 1.47 | NA | PD |
| Keratin 5 | NM_000424 | KRT5 | 1.44 | NA | -1.11 | NA | -1.65 | 0.0000 | PD\&ICI |
| WNT1 inducible signaling pathway protein 2 | NM_003881 | WISP2 | 1.43 | NA | 1.24 | NA | 1.25 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| Inhibitor of DNA binding 4 | NM_001546 | ID4 | 1.35 | NA | 1.24 | NA | 1.16 | NA |  |
| Ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase phosphodiesterase 2 | NM_006209 | ENPP2 | 1.35 | NA | 2.07 | NA | -4.39 | NA | ICI |
| Cell division cycle 42 (GTP binding protein 25 kDa ) | NM_001791 | CDC42 | 1.33 | NA | 1.30 | NA | 1.17 | NA | ICI |
| Damage-specific DNA binding protein 1 127kDa | NM_001923 | DDB1 | 1.32 | NA | -1.10 | NA | 1.02 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| Hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 3 | NM_000197 | HSD17B3 | 1.32 | NA | 1.24 | NA | -1.27 | NA | ICI |
| DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase $3 \beta$ | NM_006892 | DNMT3B | 1.32 | NA | 1.06 | NA | -1.36 | 4.9293 | PD\&ICI |
| E2F transcription factor 1 | NM_005225 | E2F1 | 1.31 | NA | -1.07 | NA | 1.08 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| CDC28 protein kinase regulatory subunit 2 | NM_001827 | CKS2 | 1.30 | NA | 1.27 | NA | 1.23 | NA |  |



| NM_001173 | ARHGAP5 | -1.31 | NA | -1.14 | NA | -1.20 | NA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NM_012415 | RAD54B | -1.32 | NA | 1.10 | NA | -1.10 | NA |  |
| AB037831 | XLHSRF-1 | -1.33 | NA | 1.04 | NA | -1.05 | NA |  |
| NM_003672 | CDC14A | -1.34 | NA | 1.70 | NA | -1.60 | 4.1702 | PD |
| NM_002456 | MUC1 | -1.34 | NA | 1.10 | NA | -1.13 | NA |  |
| NM_058197 | CDKN2A | -1.34 | NA | -1.18 | NA | -1.37 | NA |  |
| NM_000227 | LAMA3 | -1.34 | NA | 1.19 | NA | -1.35 | 4.9293 |  |
| AF010309 | TP5313 | -1.35 | NA | -1.30 | NA | -1.06 | NA |  |
| NM_078467 | CDKN1A | -1.35 | NA | -1.52 | NA | 1.10 | NA |  |
| NM_001632 | ALPP | -1.35 | NA | -1.15 | NA | -1.35 | 4.1702 |  |
| AK124593 | PTCH | -1.35 | NA | 1.26 | NA | 1.33 | NA | ICI |
| NM_178042 | ACTL6A | -1.35 | NA | 1.08 | NA | -1.07 | NA |  |
| NM_001789 | CDC25A | -1.36 | NA | -1.29 | NA | -1.27 | NA |  |
| NM_000362 | TIMP3 | -1.37 | NA | -1.57 | NA | -1.98 | 4.5409 |  |
| NM_021632 | ZNF350 | -1.37 | NA | 1.37 | NA | 1.12 | NA | PD |
| NM_005316 | GTF2H1 | -1.38 | NA | -1.39 | NA | -1.12 | NA |  |
| NM_004354 | CCNG2 | -1.38 | NA | -1.07 | NA | -1.41 | 3.4057 |  |
| NM_014889 | PITRM1 | -1.38 | NA | -1.09 | NA | -1.04 | NA |  |
| NM_005923 | MAP3K5 | -1.38 | NA | 1.30 | NA | -1.47 | 4.5409 | PD |
| NM_002645 | PIK3C2A | -1.38 | NA | -1.15 | NA | -1.12 | NA |  |
| NM_004672 | MAP3K6 | -1.39 | NA | -1.37 | NA | -1.12 | NA |  |
| NM_006218 | PIK3CA | -1.39 | NA | -1.05 | NA | -1.03 | NA | PD |
| NM_000679 | ADRA1B | -1.39 | NA | 1.02 | NA | -1.25 | NA | PD |
| NM_001455 | FOXO3A | -1.40 | NA | -1.72 | NA | -1.38 | NA |  |
| NM_000059 | BRCA2 | -1.41 | NA | 1.23 | NA | -1.27 | NA | PD |
| NM_005870 | SAP18 | -1.41 | NA | -1.17 | NA | -1.57 | 3.4057 | PD |
| NM_173079 | RUNDC1 | -1.42 | NA | 1.35 | NA | -1.41 | 2.1285 | PD |
| NM_000436 | OXCT1 | -1.43 | NA | -1.35 | NA | -2.08 | 4.1702 |  |
| NM_021967 | SERF1A | -1.44 | NA | -1.17 | NA | -1.97 | 2.1285 |  |
| NM_002184 | IL6ST | -1.44 | NA | 1.22 | NA | -1.13 | NA | PD |
| NM_020455 | GPR126 | -1.45 | NA | 1.12 | NA | -1.51 | NA | PD |
| NM_001904 | CTNNB1 | -1.46 | NA | 1.15 | NA | -5.53 | 0.0000 | PD |
| NM_024426 | WT1 | -1.46 | NA | -1.63 | NA | -1.05 | NA | ICI |
| NM_006540 | NCOA2 | -1.47 | NA | 1.12 | NA | -1.73 | 3.2435 | PD |
| NM_003748 | ALDH4A1 | -1.47 | NA | -1.15 | NA | -1.42 | NA |  |
| NM_139046 | MAPK8 | -1.47 | NA | -1.11 | NA | -1.66 | 3.4057 |  |
| NM_001256 | CDC27 | -1.48 | NA | -1.17 | NA | -1.31 | 3.2435 |  |
| NM_002019 | FLT1 | -1.51 | NA | 1.23 | NA | -1.57 | NA | PD |
| NM_004452 | ESRRB | -1.54 | NA | -1.02 | NA | -2.28 | 4.5409 | PD |
| NM_018354 | C20orf46 | -1.54 | NA | 1.91 | NA | -2.52 | 4.5409 | PD |
| NM_018584 | CaMKIINalpha | -1.55 | NA | -1.03 | NA | -1.42 | NA | PD |
| NM_021147 | UNG2 | -1.55 | NA | 1.13 | NA | -1.53 | 4.1702 | PD |
| NM_032642 | WNT5B | -1.57 | NA | 1.34 | NA | -2.52 | 3.2435 | PD |
| NM_001982 | ERBB3 | -1.59 | NA | -1.04 | NA | -1.32 | NA | PD |
| NM_002754 | MAPK13 | -1.59 | NA | 1.14 | NA | -1.55 | 3.2435 | PD |
| NM_016371 | HSD17B7 | -1.60 | NA | -1.41 | NA | -1.12 | NA | ICI |
| NM_000051 | ATM | -1.64 | NA | 1.22 | NA | -1.45 | NA | PD |
| NM_002051 | GATA3 | -1.66 | NA | 1.14 | NA | -1.03 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| NM_020300 | MGST1 | -1.70 | NA | -1.16 | NA | 1.01 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| NM_000849 | GSTM3 | -1.72 | NA | -1.10 | NA | 1.23 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| NM_004755 | RPS6KA5 | -1.72 | NA | -1.00 | NA | -1.17 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| NM_000465 | BARD1 | -1.75 | NA | -1.37 | NA | -1.58 | 3.4057 |  |
| NM_005678 | SNRPN | -1.76 | NA | -1.11 | NA | -1.70 | 4.1702 | PD |
| NM_003815 | ADAM15 | -1.79 | NA | 1.04 | NA | -1.85 | 0.0000 | PD |
| NM_003325 | HIRA | -1.79 | NA | 1.02 | NA | -1.93 | NA | PD |
| NM_133263 | PPARGC1B | -1.79 | NA | -1.77 | NA | -5.36 | 3.2435 |  |
| NM_000044 | AR | -1.81 | NA | 1.40 | NA | -1.62 | 4.1702 | PD |
| NM_002392 | MDM2 | -1.82 | NA | -1.34 | NA | -1.68 | NA |  |
| NM_003239 | TGFB3 | -2.25 | NA | 8.25 | 0.0000 | 1.39 | NA | PD\&ICI |
| BX092299 | CRYL1 | -2.32 | NA | -1.55 | NA | -2.14 | 3.4057 |  |
| NM_175629 | DNMT3A | -2.34 | NA | -1.16 | NA | -3.19 | 3.2435 | PD |
| XM_114735 | CR1L | -2.69 | NA | 1.06 | NA | -1.01 | NA |  |
| NM_000618 | IGF1 | -2.92 | NA | 1.93 | NA | -1.12 | NA | PD\&ICI |

Appendix A10: Venn diagram generated with genes induced by R5020 or estradiol and inhibited by PD, ICI, or both. (http://www.pangloss.com/seidel/Protocols/venn.cgi)

## A Venn diagram of R5020 induced genes



## B Venn diagram of E2 induced genes
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## Publications

It is expected that after the conclusion of the follow-up time of the breast cancer patients, prognosis studies carried out with the help of a statistician, this work will be published.

Publications from collaborative studies with the group of Albert Jordán and Miguel Beato (CRG, Barcelona) are also expected to be published soon.

During these four years period I participated in several publications which I attach.

- Galy B, Ferring D, Minana B, Bell O, Janser HG, Muckenthaler M, Schümann K, Hentze MW. 2005 Altered body iron distribution and microcytosis in mice deficient in iron regulatory protein 2 (IRP2). 106(7):2580-9.
- Muckenthaler MU, Rodrigues P, Macedo MG, Minana B, Brennan K, Cardoso EM, Hentze MW, de Sousa M. 2004 Molecular analysis of iron overload in beta2-microglobulin-deficient mice. Blood Cells Mol Dis. 33(2):125-31.

My contribution on these two articles was in the design of the experiment, microarray platform establishment; carry out microarray experiments and in the analysis of the microarray data.

- Mengual L, Burset M, Ars E, Ribal MJ, Lozano JJ, Minana B, Sumoy L, Alcaraz A. 2006 Partially degraded RNA from bladder washing is a suitable sample for studying gene expression profiles in bladder cancer. Eur Urol. 50(6):1347-55

I contributed in the design of the experiment, collected all relevant publications, carried out RNA amplification, sample labeling, quality control, microarray hybridization and analysis.

Mengual L, Burset M, Ars E, Ribal MJ, Lozano JJ, Minana B, Sumoy L, Alcaraz A.
Partially degraded RNA from bladder washing is a suitable sample for studying gene expression profiles in bladder cancer.
Eur Urol. 2006 Dec;50(6):1347-55; discussion 1355-6. Epub 2006 Jun 15.
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[^0]:    HT = hormone therapy; QT = quimiotherapy: IHC = immunohistochemistry; FISH =fluorescence "in situ" hybridization

