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## ABSTRACT

The efficiency of R\&D models of the pharmaceutical companies (new medicines launched/monetary investment) has declined since decades. Consequently, the identification of new biomarkers and the development of new strategies to detect and treat pathological disorders is an emerging field of research. These approaches may provide a greater understanding of the mechanism of disease progression as well as the identification of novel targets for therapeutic intervention.

This thesis addresses three different subjects closely related. First, a phenotypic screening measuring cytotoxicity in the gastric cancer cell line HGC-27 is reported. Next, a targeted-based assay measuring the activity of the autophagy-related protein Atg4B is developed and employed to identify small-molecules inhibiting this protein. Finally, a basic research study is carried out in order to gain knowledge of the molecular mechanisms that control autophagy, with special emphasis on the role of C-terminal lipidation of LC3B. The three topics will be discussed in more detail.

Squaramates and squaramides present a unique structure owning two hydrogen bond acceptors that makes them interesting for the development of novel active and selective compounds. The first chapter of this thesis covers the study of a variety of squaramates and squaramides and their cytotoxic activity in different cancer cell lines. Among the studied compounds, the squaramide 34 showed a potent and selective cytotoxicity against the human gastric cancer cell line HGC-27. Studies directed to elucidate the mechanism of induced cell death were performed. Cell cycle distribution analysis and cell death studies showed that compound 34 induces cell cycle arrest at the $G_{0} / G_{1}$ phase and caspase-dependent apoptosis implicating the intrinsic pathway and mitochondrial membrane depolarization. In conclusion, squaramide 34 can be considered a potential anticancer agent for gastric carcinoma.

Cancer therapy is mainly based on selective cancer cell death induction. However, drug resistance is a major issue in oncology and a limiting factor for anticancer drug efficacy. Autophagy induction as a response for nutrient and oxygen deprivation is employed by cancer cells as a survival mechanism. Therefore, the employment of autophagy inhibitors as adjuvant treatment could increase the anticancer drug efficacy. Consequently, the identification of novel autophagy inhibitors is an emerging field of research. In this context, the second chapter of this thesis is focused on the development of a novel AlphaScreen-based HTS assay and a mass spectrometrybased counter screen to identify Atg4B inhibitors, which is a specific enzyme in charge of the synthesis of the autophagy marker LC3. A high-throughput virtual screening performed with the library of National Cancer Institute Open Database and
subsequent evaluation of 250 selected compounds allowed the identification of three potential inhibitors (NSC83713, NSC126353 and NSC611216). Derivatives of NSC126353 and NSC611216 were synthesised in the group and their characterization by both techniques allowed the discovery of most active compounds $54,55,56$ and 57. Compound 57 was chosen for additional characterization based on its high potency, a 10 -fold improved activity, and good cytotoxicity profile on cell lines. Moreover, inhibition of the autophagic flux was maintained, as measured by LC3-II and p62 protein levels. Finally, the synergistic effect of 57 combined with oxaliplatin resulted in an enhanced cell death in the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29. In conclusion, the aminobenzo[ $c d]$ indol-2-[1H]-one scaffold represents a novel chemotype for the development of small molecule inhibitors of $A \operatorname{tg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$. These results will contribute to expand the toolbox used to study autophagy in mammal cells and to unravel the role of Atg 4 B in cancer treatment.

Understanding the molecular mechanism regulating biological and pathological processes is key for the development of new therapeutic strategies. The conjugation of a phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) unit at the C-terminus of LC3 is essential for the autophagy regulation. Despite the high variability described in cellular lipids, and more particularly, in glycerophospholipids (polar head, fatty acids length and unsaturation degree), a potential role of heterogenous lipidation on protein activity has not been considered. Hence, the third chapter of this thesis is focussed on the development of a lipidomic approach for the study of the PE species conjugated to LC3/GABARAP. The method relies on the enzymatic release of the protein-bound lipids mediated by Atg4B incubation. The strategy is applied to the whole proteome and proteins isolated by immunoaffinity techniques. Preliminary results could not succeed in the analysis of the lipid bound proteins.
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| LC | Liquid chromatography |
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| MS | Mass spectrometry |
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| mTORC1 | mTOR complex 1 |
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| PAS | Phagophore assembly site |
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| PE | Phosphatidylethanolamine |
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| Pl | Protein inhibitors of autophagy |
| PI3K | Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase |
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| PINK1 | PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 |
| PKA | Protein kinase A |
| PKC | Protein kinase C |
| PL | Phospholipid |
| PMSF | Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride |
| PMT | Photo multiplier tube |
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| proLC3B-Biotin | N -(His) -proLC3B(L123C)-Biotin $^{\text {- }}$ |
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| RILP | Rab-interacting lysosomal protein |
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| RNA | Ribonucleic acid |
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| RNA-seq | Transcriptome sequencing |
| ROS | Reactive oxygen species |
| S/B | Signal/background |
| S1P | Sphingosine-1-phosphate |
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| Sav | Streptavidin |
| SCAB | Servicio Central de Análisis de Bizkaia |
| SD | Standard deviation |
| SDS-PAGE | Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel |

electrophoresis
SEA Similarity ensemble approach
shRNA Short hairpin RNA
SH-SY5Y Human neuroblastoma cell line
SIAH1 Seven in absentia homolog 1
SILAC
SILAM Stable isotopic labelling of mammals
Sirt1 Silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1
SL Sphingolipids
SM Sphingomyelin
SMURF1 Ubiquitin ligase Smad ubiquitination regulatory factor-1

SNARE Soluble NSF attachment proteins receptor
SQSTM1 Sequestosome 1 or p62
SRB
T98 Human glioblastoma cell line
TBK1 Tank-binding kinase 1
TCEP Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine
TGF- $\beta \quad$ Transforming growth factor beta
TMT Tandem mass tags
TNFR1 Tumor necrosis factor receptor 1
TNF- $\alpha \quad$ Tumor necrosis factor alpha
TOF
TRF Time-resolved fluorescence
TR-FRET Time-resolved fluorescence energy transfer
TX114 Triton X-114
U87 Human primary glioblastoma cell line
U87DND Human primary glioblastoma cell line defective in ceramide production de novo
UBC13 Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 13
Ubl
Ubiquitin-like
ULK1 kinase
Unc-51 like autophagy activating kinase
UPLC Ultra-performance liquid chromatography
UVRAG UV radiation resistance-associated gene
V-ATPase Vacuolar-type $\mathrm{H}^{+}$-ATPase
VEGF Vascular endothelial growth factor
Vero VErda Reno (green kidney in esperanto) African green monkey kidney cell line
Vps15 Vacuolar protein sorting 15
Vps34 Vacuolar protein sorting 34

| Vps38 | Vacuolar protein sorting 38 |
| :--- | :--- |
| WHO | World Health Organization |
| WIPI | WD-repeat protein interacting |
| WOMBAT | With phosphoInositides |
| YFP | Yellow fluorescent protein |
| Z-IETD | Z-IETD-FMK |
| Z-LEHD | Z-LEHD-FMK |
| Z-VAD | Z-VAD-FMK |
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## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Europe, the US and most of the developed world are facing a rapidly ageing population. Thus, according to the World Health Organization (WHO), the number of people over 60s is estimated to grow from $10 \%$ in 2010 to more than $20 \%$ in 2050. However, increased longevity and life expectancy does not come without significant costs. Cancer, dementia and coronary diseases are one of the leading medical and societal challenges faced by our society and our current healthcare system. As a result, to understand the causes and mechanisms underlying health, healthy ageing and disease and to develop strategies aimed to prevent, detect and treat such pathological disorders is one of the main goals of many current research strategies.

## 1. Treatment strategies in cancer

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death worldwide. Current cancer research strategies address major challenges including cancer initiation and progression, mechanisms of metastasis and the development of novel therapies. Traditional approaches to treat cancers include surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Although anticancer treatments have significantly improved their efficacy, relevant toxicity and side effects are still associated to all anticancer therapies, making necessary the development of alternative strategies. ${ }^{1}$

Traditional chemotherapy kills healthy cells as well as cancer cells. Therefore, the use of chemotherapy is often limited by the small range of effective dose and toxic side effects caused to healthy cells. Since these drugs target various steps of cell proliferation, such as DNA formation and function or the mitosis spindle, the main side effects of the treatment affects tissues with high proliferative capacity (bone marrow elements, gastrointestinal tract mucosa and hair follicles). ${ }^{2}$ Toxicity is acute and reversible (nausea, fatigue, pain) but there are also long-term effects which are delayed and irreversible. ${ }^{1}$

Drug resistance is also a serious concern in anticancer therapies. Despite most of cancers are initially susceptible to chemotherapy, some of them develop resistance over time by many mechanisms including drug inactivation, drug target alteration, drug efflux, DNA damage repair, cell death inhibition and epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Cell heterogeneity inherent in cancerous tumours and epigenetics can also be involved in drug resistance. ${ }^{3}$ Relevant examples of these mechanisms of acquired resistance will be mentioned as follows. Hence, example of drug target alteration include the mutation of the tumour suppressor protein p53. ${ }^{4}$ Changes of drug efflux can be observed in paclitaxel resistant ovarian cancer patients where there is an overexpression of the transport P-glycoprotein leading to a major paclitaxel efflux. ${ }^{5}$ Signalling mechanisms, such as DNA repair pathways, can also result altered as a response against DNA-damaging agents like cisplatin. ${ }^{6}$ In addition, both apoptosis and autophagy are modified in tumour cells in order to increase their survival. Examples
thereof are the overexpressed B-cell lymphoma 2 ( $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ ) family proteins showing an important antiapoptotic effect ${ }^{3}$ and the increased levels of autophagy detected in colon cancer cells treated with 5 -fluorouracil. ${ }^{7}$ The most relevant epigenetic changes include DNA methylation and histone acetylation or methylation. Hence, as resistance mechanism, oncogenes are usually overexpressed via hypomethylation whereas tumour suppressor genes are commonly hypermethylated causing a decrease in the expression of these genes. This the case of RGS10, whose expression is inactivated in ovarian cancer cells resulting in the silencing of tumour suppressor genes. ${ }^{8}$ The epithelial-mesenchymal transition is the mechanism by which tumours become metastatic and it is a very complex event where several pathways are involved, including angiogenesis and induction of cell motility. For instance, the increase of integrin $\alpha v \beta 6$ expression enable cells to activate TGF- $\beta$, which is required for epithelial-mesenchymal transition and it also produces drug resistance. ${ }^{9}$

Apart from the problems associated to drug resistance, a major issue is the lack of new targets. Remarkably, the drugs currently in use target no more than a few hundred proteins. Thus, the development of novel therapeutic targets and strategies is an attractive and emerging area of research. Major advances of the last decades have enabled the identification of new druggable targets and the rapid development of the concept of personalized cancer treatment. As a result, classical chemotherapy is switching to more directed treatments due to its milder side effects and increased effectivity. Some relevant examples of targeted therapies include hormone-based treatments, monoclonal antibodies or kinases inhibitors (Figure 1). ${ }^{10}$

Hormone therapy disrupts the endocrine system and it can be applied to tumours that test positively for androgen, estrogen and/or progesterone receptors such as some types of breast cancers ${ }^{1}$ or prostate cancers. ${ }^{11}$

Monoclonal antibodies have revolutionized the treatment of cancer. Some monoclonal antibodies act by boosting the immune response against cancer cells. As example, ipilimumab ${ }^{12}$ activates the immune system by blocking the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) and it is employed for the treatment of melanomas. The immune response against cancer cells can also be modulated via antibodies that attach to cancer cells and act as a marker for destruction. A relevant example of this class include rituximab, ${ }^{13}$ designed to bound the CD20 antigen primarily found on the surface of immune B lymphocytes and employed to treat B cell lymphomas. Monoclonal antibodies can also act though the blocking of antigens present on cancer cells and required for cell growth or spread. For example, trastuzamab ${ }^{14}$ binds the human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2) protein found in large amounts on the surface of breast and stomach cancer cells, and cetuximab ${ }^{15}$ target the epidermal growth factor (EGFR) found overexpressed in $75 \%$ of metastatic colorectal cancers. However, there are side effects that have to be considered in all
these strategies, such as central nervous system toxicities and cytokine-release syndrome (CRS) which is a systemic inflammatory response caused by released cytokines and cytotoxic damage that can cause a variety of symptoms that usually can be managed with standard drugs. ${ }^{10}$


Figure 1. More personalized diagnostics will enable tailored treatments (this image has been designed using resources from Freepik.com).

Other small-molecule drugs target drivers of common cancers such the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), ${ }^{16,17}$ Bcl-2 family proteins that controls cell survival and apoptosis ${ }^{18}$ or protein kinases (B-Raf, ${ }^{19}$ PIK3CA, ${ }^{20}$ BCR-ABL) among others. Indeed, Imatinib (Gleevec ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) is considered the perfect example of how it is possible to learn how to treat cancer though the understanding of cancer biology. Thus, the fusion of the BCR-ABL gene results in a protein with abnormal and deregulated tyrosine kinase activity. Structure-based designed compounds led to the discovery of a specific inhibitor of this kinase that cause complete remission in some chronic myelogenous leukaemia (CML) patients. ${ }^{21}$ Moreover, there is an increasing number of highly effective clinical trials combining targeted therapies with traditional chemo- or radiotherapy. ${ }^{22,23}$ Alternatively, the combination of different targeted therapies is also under investigation. Examples thereof include the combined use of the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor erlotinib and the humanized VEGF receptor monoclonal antibody bevacizumab in the treatment of advanced chemotherapy-refractory non-small cell
lung cancer. ${ }^{17}$
Efficient therapeutic strategies to eliminate cancer stem cells (CSCs) are also under investigation. Hence, recent studies have suggested the existence of CSCs subpopulations sharing similar characteristics as normal stem cells such as self-renewal and differentiation ability and with a key contribution in metastasis and tumour recurrence. ${ }^{24,25}$

All in all, personalized anticancer therapy alone or in combination with traditional therapies has significantly improved the disease-free survival and the overall survival for many cancer patients. This improvement has been only possible thanks to our gained knowledge about the biology of tumours. Thus, the identification of novel tumour markers and druggable targets, both enzymes or non-enzymatic proteins, has been greatly facilitated by the advances in our understanding of disease pathophysiology and the molecular mechanism regulating cellular processes. However, there are still important limitations in cancer drug discovery. Around 20\% of industrial cancer drug development programs focus on just eight proteins. In addition, drug discovery, which has been mostly leaded by pharmaceutical industry, has now recessed due to high failure rates and early patents expiration. This decrease may be caused by lack of mechanistic understanding and a poor rigor in the target selection and validation. ${ }^{26}$ Clearly, more research is required to dissect the specific cell actions that cause cancer cells to grow and new approaches are needed to identify and validate new biomarkers and targets leading to novel therapeutic strategies.

## 2. Novel approaches to Drug Discovery

### 2.1. Screening methodologies

The two main strategies used to identify potential drugs include target-based screenings (fragment-based ligand discovery, structure-based design, modification of natural products) and phenotypic screenings. ${ }^{27}$ The main difference between these two strategies is the knowledge or unknowledge of the target. Phenotypic screenings are based on finding compounds that modulate a disease-linked phenotype, whereas target-based screenings are biochemical assays that rely upon specific hypotheses of a disease pathway, so compounds are tested against unique targets like proteins, genes or RNA (Figure 2).


Figure 2. In targeted screenings, molecules are tested against a specific target. These type of screenings are usually based on biochemical assays (but can also be performed in cells). Phenotypic screenings measure a cellular outcome. Target identification approaches may be required to elucidate the target of the identified active molecule.

Phenotypic assays have been long restricted to just evaluate the effect of compounds on cell viability. However, these assays are now resurging thanks to improved readouts, more sophisticated cell models and its potential to be applied to not fully understood diseases. More importantly, phenotypic screenings enable the identification of active compounds in physiologically relevant model systems. However, their major limitation and challenge is the downstream identification of drug's target and mechanism of action. Thus, other techniques and assays are required to validate hits but also to unravel their mode of action. This initial limitation can also be seen as an opportunity since it could lead to the identification of other active compounds, therapeutic strategies or the improvement of the assays. ${ }^{28}$ Phenotypic assays combined with mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics are useful tools to detect active compounds and novel pathologically significant targets. These techniques enable the understanding of the mechanisms that control the cellular processes of cancer cells, which includes protein-protein interactions, but also lipid-protein and transcription factors-protein interactions modulating gene expression. ${ }^{29}$

Target-based assays have been the leading approach during the last 20 years, largely supported by the revolution in genomics, the development of protein expression techniques and the advances in combinatorial chemistry enabling the synthesis of vast compound libraries. These assays are especially useful when there is a substantial knowledge of the pathway and thus, the target is well known. The most important limitation encountered in targeted-based assays is usually derived from the use of chemical libraries (insufficient coverage of chemical space, lack of chemical diversity and drug-like properties) and the fact that in vitro activity is not always necessarily correlated with good cellular activity. ${ }^{28}$

Targeted-based assays to discover small bioactive molecules are usually simpler than phenotypic assays and require less components (effect of hits found by phenotypic assays sometimes are caused by a superposition of multiple effects). In target-based assays, less mechanisms of action are involved, and this feature facilitates the design of second-generation drugs with improved affinity. For these reasons, this type of assays is often more suitable to screen large compound libraries. Besides, phenotypic assays tend to require more economical resources due to their complexity and the subsequent research needed to identify and validate the targets. They also exhibit more safety risks since the target and mechanisms of action are usually unknown. ${ }^{30}$

Simplification and reliability have been the major improvements for targeted-based assays. Hence, current techniques require less steps and do not need radioactive readouts. Target-based assay can be biochemical but also cellular and employ a variety of techniques such as fluorescence, fluorescence polarization, fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET), time-resolved fluorescence, AlphaScreen ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, high-content screening, fluorescent-imaging plate reader, protein fragment complementation assays, microfluidics, flux cytometry and label free technologies. ${ }^{31}$ Despite their differences, both screening strategies are valuable, complementary and widely employed. Thus, whereas target-based assays can be considered a primary screen enabling the identification of specific inhibitors, phenotypic screenings will be next required as a secondary or follow-up assay to characterize their cellular effects. Moreover, phenotypic assays are good tools to reveal first-in-class drugs without considering a well-known mechanism of action, whereas targeted assays are useful to run screenings from big libraries when the target has been well characterized. Nevertheless, both assays required a good design that considers miniaturizing capacity, robustness, signal-to-noise, reproducibility, controls availability, screen locations, and screen follow-up, which has to avoid false positive and has to enable the selection of most promising hits depending on its properties and potency. ${ }^{32}$

### 2.2. Identification of new hits

Another major challenge to be met in the drug discovery process is the identification of new hits and leads compounds. Thus, hit identification can rely on traditional strategies such as random high-throughput screening (HTS) of chemical libraries, fragment-based assays (i.e. X-ray screening ${ }^{33}$ or NMR screening ${ }^{34}$ of molecular fragments), structure-based design, computer-aided drug design and virtual screening (the design and identification of hits based on a known target structure and the observed docking in silico), ${ }^{35}$ the modification of known active compounds and natural products (synthesis of derivatives and focused libraries) and more modern approaches including DNA-encoded library screenings ${ }^{36}$ and phage-display technologies. In addition, the past decades have seen an explosive advancement in the field of biological medicines or substances including antibodies, proteins, viral and
bacterial derived products or gene therapy. Nowadays, all these technologies coexist. Hence, a report has recently summarized the clinical candidates extracted from published reports between 2016 and $2017 .{ }^{36}$ The analysis of these data has revealed that the most widely used lead generation approaches were based on previously known active compounds while $29 \%$ were obtained by random high throughput screenings, followed by focused screenings (8\%), ${ }^{37}$ structure-based drug designs (14\%), fragment-based lead generation (5\%) and DNA-encoded library screening (1\%).

### 2.3. Identification of new targets

There are approximately 20000 human protein-coding genes, but the druggable proteome, i.e. the fraction of proteins linked to a disease that can be modulated, could be restricted to ~ 3.800 genes. The current Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved drugs are directed to only 667 human proteins and 189 proteins belonging to pathogens (Table 1 and Figure 3). On average, 18 new drugs are approved for marketing by the FDA every year, of which 4 act on novel target structures encoded by the human genome. ${ }^{38}$ Hence, the identification of new targets remains a critical challenge and an emerging field of research. ${ }^{39}$

|  | Targets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Small molecule | Biologics |
| Human proteins | 549 | 146 |
| Pathogens proteins | 184 | 7 |

Table 1. Molecular targets of FDA-approved drugs. Whereas 549 human proteins are targeted by small molecules, only 146 proteins are targets of biologic drugs. Similar ratios can be found when analysing pathogen proteins ( 184 vs 7 ). ${ }^{38}$


Figure 3. Classification of the currently employed drugs directed to human proteins. The vast majority ( 563 drugs) target receptors including G-protein-coupled receptors, receptor tyrosine kinase and nuclear receptors, 234 drugs target enzymes such as hydrolases, ligases or oxidoreductases and 181 drugs are directed to transporter proteins (voltage-gated on channels, active transporters, ${ }^{40}$ among others).

A key step in the drug discovery process is to understand the molecular mechanism of a disease and the potential targets for intervention. Thus, basic research aims to identify the biological origin of a disease, that together with a number of different approaches for target identification will become increasingly necessary. Three complementary methods are mostly used to discover novel pharmacological targets and drugs: direct biochemical approaches, genetic interaction and computational inference methods (Figure 4). ${ }^{41}$


Figure 4. Drug discovery relays on study of the origin of diseases and specific target identification. In basic research three types of methods are employed, biochemical affinity, genomic and computational methods.

Biochemical affinity methods are based in physical interactions and are useful both for target identification and drug discovery. Affinity chromatography is a biochemical method that relies on the high affinity of a protein present in a sample mixture to a molecule linked to some support. ${ }^{42}$ Usually it is used as a purification technique but coupled with MS-based proteomics has led to the development of new methods to identify both protein-protein and small molecule-protein interactions. More recently, affinity chromatography combined with label-based quantitative proteomics is emerging as a powerful tool enabling a more in-depth and, in some cases,
quantitative analysis of the identified proteins. Typically, these methods are based on the incorporation of mass differences enabling relative quantification. Examples thereof include SILAC (stable isotopic labelling with amino acids in cell culture), SILAM (stable isotopic labelling of mammals), ${ }^{43}$ ICAT (isotope-coded affinity tag), trypsincatalysed ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ labelling and iTRAQ (isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation). SILAC relies on the incorporation of isotope-labelled amino acids added directly to cultured cells and the subsequent expression of labelled proteins, ${ }^{44}$ what limits its use in primary cells and tissues studies. ICAT uses a trifunctional probe, containing a cysteine reacting group, an isotope-coded linker and a biotin, that it is added to the proteins prior to enrichment. ${ }^{45,46}$ Quantitative proteomics analyses have been also achieved performing tryptic digestion in the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ leading to the enzymatic incorporation of isotopes at the $C$-terminus of peptides. ${ }^{47}$ Finally, iTRAQ is also employed for quantitative proteomics in which peptides are labelled with different isobaric mass tags that during fragmentation produce abundant MS/MS signature ions with relative areas that can be employed for protein quantitation. ${ }^{48}$ Additional methods include mTRAQ (mass differential tags for relative and absolute quantification), TMT (tandem mass tags) and stable isotope dimethyl labelling. All of these strategies are useful since they can be applied to many substrates, although they are carried out at peptide level instead of protein level, so they might have less accuracy. ${ }^{41}$

Genomic methods are based on suppressing or enhancing a specific gene expression. Therefore, they can be used to both reveal new targets and to validate drug mechanisms of action. Gene expression profiling compare gene transcription in treated cells versus control cells. This strategy allows for the identification of genes that are overexpressed or underexpressed, thus suggesting potential key proteins for specific biological processes that are under investigation, that combined with appropriate bioinformatics tools, provide a powerful mechanism to study specific targets or pathways that are important for a biological process.

The expression of a certain protein can be also modified by knockout and RNAi, allowing to analyse the effect of a chemical compound in a protein or pathway by comparing phenotypes in treated cells with the ones observed in cells underexpressing the investigated protein. Knockout is the supression of a specific gene expression through different mechanisms such as the introduction of a mutated gene, altered sequences of DNA or mutagenic substances, whereas RNAi is the suppression of RNA translation employing siRNA (small interfering RNA), miRNA (microRNA) or piRNA (Piwi-interacting RNA). ${ }^{32}$ However, some issues have to be taken into account including the risk of genetic compensation, the appropriate selection of knocked-out organisms or cell lines and the unobserved side effects caused by the compound. As a positive remark, this strategy can be applied to human cell lines or mammalian organisms, so the assays are carried out in a more physiologically
environment. ${ }^{41}$ Transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) based on the massive sequencing of a biological sample to quantify RNA, is another genomic method that could be used, for instance, to identify resistant targets of a cytotoxic compound. This strategy has been applied to the mutated polo-like kinase (Plks) 1 after treatment with bortezomib in the HCT-116 cell line. ${ }^{49}$ Although the only observed phenotype is cell viability, the main advantage of this method is that there is no need of chemical modifications and it can be applied to different cell lines.

Computational methods include a wide range of techniques that provides analytical support to genetic and biochemical methods. There are several types of computational strategies. Profiling methods that are based on pattern recognition to compute the results from different experiments, ligand-based methods which combine phenotypic information with small molecules to predict new targets and structure-based methods that predict interactions between small molecules and/or proteins through three-dimensional protein structure. A relevant example is the NCI-60 data from the US National Cancer Institute that comprise the results of a huge chemical library on 60 different cell lines. The patterns of differential growth inhibition and protein expression produced by tested compounds among cultured cell lines was analysed and compared by the COMPARE algorism. These results can be then employed to elucidate targets and mechanism of actions of new tested compounds. ${ }^{50}$ Another example is The Connectivity Map created in 2006 by the Broad Institute. This is a public database of gene-expression profiles from cultured human cells treated with bioactive small molecules, together with a pattern-matching software to mine all these data. Signatures with high similarity might represent unrecognized connections among drugs and diseases or among different small molecules sharing common target or mechanism of action. ${ }^{51}$

Models to predict ligand-protein interactions are particularly useful to identify new targets. As an example, a Laplacian-modified naive Bayesian modelling trained with the WOMBAT database (World Of Molecular BioAcTivity) was able to predict the most likely protein targets of compounds included in the MDL Drug Database Report. ${ }^{52}$ A different strategy, termed similarity ensemble approach (SEA), relates proteins based on the chemical similarity of their ligands. This technique has been employed to reveal unexpected targets of known bioactive molecules such as methadone (antagonist of the muscarinic M3 receptor), emetine (antagonist of the adrenergic receptor) and loperamide (antagonist of neurokinin NK2 receptor). ${ }^{53}$ In addition, all these techniques can also provide information about mechanisms of action, toxicity, drug resistance and side effects. ${ }^{41}$ These tool has led to promising results and the access to this kind of information is increasing with useful public databases. However, since these approaches rely on already performed experiments, one should have in mind that the results could be biased by the unknown details of the original experiments and new or unknown interactions could not be computationally
detected. ${ }^{41}$
Taken all together it seems clear that a combination of all described technologies will support and facilitate the progress in this research field. The integration of big data is crucial to reveal new pathways, targets and to determine the mechanisms of action of small molecules as well as to suggest new chemical structures. Chemical biology also has a key role in this area by clarifying biological pathways, identifying new targets or find new strategies against drug resistance and toxicity.

This thesis addresses three different subjects closely related. First, a phenotypic screening measuring cytotoxicity in the gastric cancer cell line HGC-27 is reported. A library of squaramides is tested identifying a compound displaying a substantial specificity for this cell line over non-tumour cells. Next, a study is performed to characterize its mechanism of action.

Since autophagy is a big concern for chemotherapy drug resistance, a targeted-based screening assay in order to find autophagy inhibitors directed to the cysteine protease Atg4B has been next optimized. The assay employs AlphaScreen technology, a sensitive method based on energy transfer between two beads bounded to a modified substrate. The HTS screening together with a counter screen based on mass spectrometry were developed and employed to identify small molecule inhibitors of the enzyme. Next, the screening of a 250-compound library obtained after a virtual screening performed on $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ structure in presence or absence of the substrate protein MAP1-LC3 (microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B-light chain 3) enabled the identification of some hits. Potency of the initial hits was improved by a medicinal chemistry approach and cellular studies confirmed autophagy inhibition.

Finally, a basic research study was carried out in order to gain knowledge of the molecular mechanisms that control autophagy. LC3 is a key marker of autophagy that requires $C$-terminal lipidation with a phosphatidylethanolamine to be active. The main goal of this section is to profile the lipid modifications present in LC3 proteins and to elucidate if structural heterogeneity (fatty acids of different length and unsaturation degree) exists and if this diversity in lipid composition may have an impact on protein function. These results could lead to the identification of new biomarkers or targets with potential therapeutic interest for autophagy modulation.

## CHAPTER 1:

BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION AND INVESTIGATION OF THE MECHANISM OF ACTION OF SQUARAMIDES WITH CYTOTOXIC EFFECTS AGAINST HGC-27 CELLS

## INTRODUCTION

Phenotypic assays in tumoral cells and screening of compound libraries result an attractive and effective strategy for the identification of novel active compounds, often called hits. In addition, after target identification and validation approaches, phenotypic assays may also contribute to the identification of new therapeutic targets and mechanisms of action. Research detailed in this chapter one is focused on the characterization of the biological activity of a compound library formed by squaric acid derivatives.

Squaric acid esters and squaramides have shown interesting physicochemical properties that allow their use in several fields. These compounds are formed by a four-membered ring aromatic system with two adjacent carbonyls and two NH groups that present potential as both hydrogen bond donors and acceptors. Each hydrogen bond seems to confer more aromaticity to the molecule. ${ }^{54}$ The structure of squaramides, formed by a rigid and planar ring, is also important to explain their properties. This hydrogen bonding, aromatic switching and structural rigidity have been studied in many applications ranging from chemical synthesis to biomedicine. ${ }^{54}$

Squaramides were originally developed as non-covalent organocatalyst, since their rigid structure and the strength of their hydrogen binding transition states ${ }^{55}$ provide a chiral environment for asymmetric induction (Figure 5). ${ }^{56,57}$ The unique structural features of squaramides may also facilitate its interaction with biological targets via specific molecular recognition. ${ }^{54}$ These properties have promoted a recent interest of medicinal chemists on squaramide motifs as promising candidates for drug design, as bioisosteres of ureas, guanidines or phosphates, and interesting tools as transmembrane anion transporters among other applications.

In the last years pharmaceutical companies have shown increasing interest in compounds containing the squaramide framework and some of them progressed to clinical studies. Examples thereof include BMY-25368, an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ antagonist for the treatment of ulcers developed by Bristol Myers Squibb (Figure 5), or perzinfotel, an NMDA antagonist for the treatment of pain reported by Wyeth. ${ }^{54}$ In addition, squaramides have been proposed as isosteres of carboxylic acids ${ }^{58}$ and amino acids. ${ }^{59}$ An example of this application is the replacement of glutamic acid and asparagine in nephilatoxin-8 that resulted in molecules with more potent paralytic activities than the original one found in spider venom. ${ }^{60}$ Squaramides have also been employed as phosphate isosteres. In 2002, Sekine et al. reported an oligodeoxynucleotide where the phosphate group was replaced by a squaramide. In vitro studies revealed similar properties preserving base pair complementarity but less stability (Figure 5). ${ }^{61}$ More recently, squaramides were incorporated to 7-methyguanosine monophosphate to obtain cell permeable inhibitors of the initiator of the translation machinery eIF4E. Although the derivatives presented similar properties, none of the compounds showed cellular activity. ${ }^{62}$ Squaramides have also served as isosteres of ureas,
guanidines and cyanoguanidines. As example, the $N$-cyanoguanidine of Pinacidil, a $K_{\text {ATP }}$ channel opening drug, could be replaced by a squaramide maintaining its activity and resulting selective for bladder smooth muscle. ${ }^{63}$ This type of substitution was also applied to a peptidomimetic inhibitor of the HIV-1 Tat-TAR protein-RNA interaction. Thus, the replacement of a guanidine with a squaramide provided a compound with comparable activity and better pharmacokinetic properties. ${ }^{64}$
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Figure 5. Squaramide-containing compounds have shown a wide profile of applications.

Compounds containing the squaramide core have ben also described as antiparasitic agents. Although the mechanism of action is still unclear, squaramides seem to be good candidates to treat malaria ${ }^{65,66}$ and Chagas disease. ${ }^{67}$ Some of the novel compounds have shown effectivity against a chloroquine-resistant strain of $P$. falciparum with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.95 (Figure 5 ). ${ }^{65}$ Other series of squaramides
conjugated to known antimalarial compounds also showed lower $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values than the original compounds. ${ }^{66}$ Actual treatments for Chagas disease are focused on acute phase, whereas there is a lack of treatments acting on the chronic phase. However, a squaramide-based compound has been recently described to be effective on the chronic phase of the disease and it is considered a candidate for preclinical investigation (Figure 5). ${ }^{67}$ Their activity, stability, low cost and their easy preparation makes them appropriate to improve the current, inefficient and toxic treatment.

Moreover, squaramides have also showed activity as kinase inhibitor. Thus, cyclosquaramides inhibited important kinases such as ABL1, CDK4, CHK1, PKC, c-MET and FGFR among others, and also displayed cell specific antiproliferative effects when screened against the $\mathrm{NCl}-60$ human tumour cell line panel. ${ }^{68}$ In addition, squaramide containing an aromatic amine showed high potency inhibiting Plks, a big family of serine/threonine kinases involved in the regulation of cell cycle progression and mitosis. ${ }^{69}$

The hydrogen bonding properties of squaramides have also been exploited to develop transmembrane chloride transporters that act presumably via mobile-carrier and anion-exchange mechanisms. As an example, an environment-sensitive chloride ion receptor based on the squaramide scaffold was shown to avoid ion binding in apolar solvents and allow it in polar solvents. ${ }^{70}$ This type of compounds could be employed to restore anion permeability in diseases caused by malfunctioning of ion channels, as is the case for cystic fibrosis (Figure 5). ${ }^{71}$ Moreover, squaramide-based ion transports can also promote sodium chloride influx into the cytosol and have shown to alter apoptosis. In addition, some of these compounds could also disrupt autophagy through impairment of lysosomal activity. ${ }^{72}$
Apart from their application in medicinal chemistry, squaramides are also gaining interest for their use in chemical biology. ${ }^{73}$ Hence, squaramides have been widely employed for the conjugation of proteins, glycoprotein, glycopeptides and antibodies. This strategy was followed to prepare bifunctional ligands that could recruit antibodies to tumour cells expressing $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ integrin. ${ }^{74}$ In addition, the high reactivity of squaramides has been used to create haptens that can be employed to isolate monoclonal antibodies. For example, antibodies against the insecticide paraoxon were generated by using a reactive immunogen linked to BSA via a squaric acid ring. ${ }^{75}$

All in all, these previous results suggest that the unique structural features of squaramides make them an attractive scaffold that may serve as a good starting point to identify bioactive molecules. These features, together with our interest in identifying novel chemotherapeutic agents with new modes of action, prompted us to evaluate the antitumor activity of a variety of squaramides synthesised, provided and partially tested by Dr J.V. Alegre from the group of Dr R. Pérez-Herrera an Dr M. E. Marqués-López (Instituto de Síntesis Química y Catálisis Homogenea,

CSIC/Universidad de Zaragoza). Hence, we envisioned that the ability of squaramides to generate hydrogen bonds and electrostatic interactions could be an interesting property to design specific recognition systems with potential antitumor effects. Herein, a series of squaramides and squaramates has been screened against different cancer cell lines. The obtained results confirm the antitumor properties of this family of promising drug candidates.

OBJECTIVES

The general aim of this section of the thesis is to search for new applications of squaramates and squaramides based on their interesting physicochemical properties that makes them attractive compounds able to specifically interact with biomolecules. This general aim can be split into the following specific goals:

- To identify active cytotoxic compounds with potential selectivity on tumoral cells
- To explore the mechanisms of action involved in the effect displayed by the tested squaramides

With this aim, the potential antitumor activity of a library of differently substituted squaramates and squaramides will be evaluated in different cancer cell lines.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 1. Screening and compound selection

A battery of squaramates (1-14, Chart 1) and squaramides (15-39, Chart 2 and Chart 3) having different substituents, stereoelectronic properties and lipophilicities was synthesised by Dr Juan Vicente Alegre-Requena, from the Asymmetric Organocatalysis group belonging to the Institute of Chemical Synthesis and Homogenous Catalysis ISQCH (CSIC-Universidad de Zaragoza). The optimized reaction conditions have been recently published. ${ }^{76,77}$ The overall aim of this chapter was to study the cytotoxic effect of the squaramates and squaramides and explore if their biological activity correlates with the presence of different NH amide-type donor sites.
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Chart 3

An initial screening was carried out by Dr Alegre-Requena based on the effect of squaramates and squaramides on cell viability on different tumour cell lines. The epithelial human cell lines HeLa, cervical carcinoma, and HGC-27, derived from the metastatic lymph node of gastric carcinoma, were employed in this initial screening. The cytotoxicity of the compounds was evaluated using an MTT assay after 24 h treatment at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The MTT assay is a colorimetric assay that measures cellular viability. It is based on the reduction of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) to formazan catalysed by mitochondrial enzymes.

As shown in Figure 6, squaramates 1-14 did not show significant effect, thus suggesting that the second NH amide bond is required for the activity (Figure 6). On the contrary, some of the squaramides caused significant loss of cell viability. Some structural-activities relationship can be disclosed from this initial screening. Compounds 15 to 20 , which shared a cinchona-based substituent, caused a marked effect on cell viability. A significant decrease in the cell viability is also associated with the presence of a 3,5 -bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl moiety (15, 16, 21, 27, 34-38), irrespectively of the other substituent present at the other amide. Similar results were obtained with the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl substituted squaramides 22 and 26 . Generally, HGC-27 cells resulted more sensitive to the tested squaramides than HeLa cells.

Once squaramates and less potent compounds were discarded, the most potent compounds were further investigated at a $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration during 24 h . Again, except for compound 27, HGC-27 cells were in general more sensitive to all treatments than HeLa cells. This cell-type specific effect is interesting and may discard a general non-specific toxicity (Figure 7). The cinchona-based compounds $15-20$ resulted again in very high inhibition independently of the substituents present at the other point of diversity. Compounds 25 and 39 , bearing bulky and chiral substituents at both sides, were more potent than the corresponding $p$-trifluoromethylphenyl analogues 29 and 30. The squaramides 34-38 that are substituted with a 3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl showed different potencies depending on its substitution pattern, being the lipophilic 33 and 34 the most potent compounds. As a result of this second screening, the squaramides $21,22,28-30,32$ and $35-37$ were discarded due to the low potency displayed at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were measured for the remaining compounds.

HGC-27 and HeLa cells were treated separately with serially diluted concentrations. The corresponding $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ values were then calculated from the cell viability curves obtained with the MTT assays (Table 2). The increased sensitivity of the HGC-27 cells


Figure 6. Percentage of cell viability after 24 h treatment with a $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration as measured by an MTT assay. The cells were grown on a 96 -well plate and treated with the squaramides or DMSO (vehicle group). After 24 h of treatment, cell viability was evaluated using an MTT assay, as described in the Material and Methods section. Cell viability is represented in relation to DMSO. Data are the average $\pm$ SD of three experiments. Note: compounds 5 and 6 were not investigated in HGC-27 cells at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.


Figure 7. Percentage of cell viability after 24 h treatment with a $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration as measured by an MTT assay. The cells were grown on a 96 -well plate and treated with the squaramides or DMSO (vehicle group). After 24 h of treatment, cell viability was evaluated using an MTT assay, as described in the Material and Methods section. Cell viability is represented in relation to DMSO. Data are the average $\pm$ SD of three experiments. Note: compounds 29,30 and 33-35 were not investigated in HeLa cells at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
compared to HeLa cells was again proven showing $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ values at the low micromolar range. The most potent compound was 34, a 1,1,2-triphenylethanol and trifluoromethylphenyl disubstituted squaramide that exhibited an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $34.63 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $1.81 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in HeLa and HGC-27 cells, respectively. A similar activity pattern could be detected for compound 33 where the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl has been replaced by a 3,5-difluorophenyl group. Cisplatin and doxorubicin were added as positive controls. Since doxorubicin perturbs the mitochondrial structure and function by increasing the level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) ${ }^{78}$ and the MTT assay is based on mitochondrial activity, the effect of doxorubicin on cell viability was calculated employing the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay.

| Mean IC ${ }_{50}(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HeLa cells | HGC-27 cells |
| 15 | $11.26(10.33-12.20)$ | $8.12(7.14-9.24)$ |
| 16 | $15.17(13.20-17.40)$ | $8.21(7.68-8.78)$ |
| 17 | $10.79(9.49-12.26)$ | $4.53(3.83-5.36)$ |
| 18 | $>20$ | $12.76(11.84-13.70)$ |
| 20 | $12.08(11.37-3.93)$ | $3.01(2.26-5.02)$ |
| 25 | $>20$ | $11.11(10.51-11.74)$ |
| 33 | $>20$ | $3.39(2.85-4.04)$ |
| 34 | $34.63(27.97-42.87)$ | $1.81(1.47-2.23)$ |
| 39 | $>20$ | $10.79(10.30-11.20)$ |
| Cisplatin | - | $20.44(19.84-22.18)$ |
| Doxorubicin | - | $15.82(9.52-26.20)$ |

Table 2. Effect of selected squaramides on cell viability against HeLa and HGC-27 cell lines as measured by an MTT or the SRB assay (doxorubicin). Cisplatin and doxorubicin were added as a positive control. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are indicated as the average of three experiments with the confidence interval at $95 \%$.

The activity profile of the promising compound 34 was next explored employing different cell lines were. Cell viability was measured using an MTT assay, thus obtaining the corresponding $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ values. The following cell lines were investigated: the glioblastoma cell lines T98 and U87MG (the most aggressive type of primary brain tumour), and the non-cancer cells HEK293 (Human embryonic kidney cells), MDCK (Madin-Darby Canine kidney epithelial cells) and Vero cell line (African green monkey kidney cell line). Results are displayed in Table 3 and confirmed certain specific effect on HGC-27 cells. In addition, when the treatment with 34 was extended for 48 h , these cell displayed a nanomolar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(660 \mathrm{nM})$ value.


| Cell | Compound 34 |
| :--- | :---: |
| line | Mean $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| HeLa | $34.63(27.97-42.87)$ |
|  | $1.81(1.47-2.23)$ |
| HGC-27 | $0.66^{*}(0.57-0.76)$ |
|  | $7.15(6.12-8.34)$ |
| T98 | $60.25(41.52-87.42)$ |
| U87MG | $9.03(7.07-11.54)$ |
| HEK293 | $70.20(50.58-97.43)$ |
| MDCK | $33.40(27.96-39.90)$ |

Table 3. Effect of squaramide 34 on cell viability in different cell lines as measured by an MTT assay. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are indicated as the average of three experiments with the confidence interval at $95 \% .{ }^{*} \mathrm{I}_{50}$ measured after 48 h treatment.

## 2. Mechanism of action of squaramide 34

Gastric cancer accounts for $8 \%$ of the total cases of cancer and $10 \%$ of total deaths, and it is the second most common cause of cancer-related death in the world. ${ }^{79}$ The survival for patients with this type of cancer has improved only modesty over the last 50 years and the median overall survival for patients with advanced or metastatic gastric cancer remains less than a year. ${ }^{80}$ One of the reason of this poor prognosis is the late diagnosis. Moreover, gastric cancer presents high molecular heterogeneity, what prevents the uniform application of specific targeted agents. ${ }^{81}$ As a result, there is still a clear need for the development of more efficient treatments for gastric cancer. ${ }^{82}$ In addition, the identification of novel targets or compounds active on gastric cancer cells is an important field of research. ${ }^{83}$ With this aim, and due to the high potency displayed by 34 in the gastric cancer cells HGC-27, together with its interesting selectivity profile observed in other cell lines, we aimed to further examine the molecular mechanisms underlying 34-mediated cell death.

### 2.1. Cell cycle analysis

The MTT assay reflects viable cells but cannot be employed as a marker of cell proliferation. A decrease in the number of viable cells can be attributable to cell-cycle arrest and/or cell death. To determine whether 34 -induced decrease in cell viability in HGC-27 cells was accompanied by alterations in cell cycle distribution, the percentage of cells in the different stages of cell cycle was analysed by flow cytometry. With this aim, cells were permeabilised after treatment and stained with a propidium iodide solution, a fluorescent dye that stains DNA stoichiometrically. Thus, the fluorescence
intensity of the stained cells correlates with the amount of DNA allowing the differentiation of cells in $G_{0} / G_{1}, S$ phase and $G_{2} / M$. Because propidium iodide also stains double-stranded RNA, the latter is removed by the addition of RNase. The DNA content is then plotted as their frequency histograms to provide information about the percentage of cells in each phase of the cell cycle. Quantification is expressed as the percentage of cells in each phase from total counted events (10.000). ${ }^{84}$


Figure 8 Cell cycle distribution of HGC-27 cells with or without treatment of compound 34 at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO for 24 h . Doxorubicin ( 500 nM ) was included as a positive control. The cells were collected and processed for cell cycle analysis. A) Histograms of DNA content in each event after treatment with the vehicle or 34. B) Quantification of the relative number of HGC-27 cells in each stage of the cell cycle (Multicycle AV software). Data are the average $\pm$ SD of three experiments.

As shown in Figure 8, treatment with 34 for 24 h in HGC-27 cells induced a significant accumulation of $G_{0} / G_{1}$ cells associated with a decrease in the $S-G_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ cell population,
suggesting that a cell cycle arrest at $G_{0} / G_{1}$ phase contributes to the observed effect, whereas treatment of cells with Doxorubicin, included as a positive control at 500 nM , resulted in a $G_{2} / M$ arrest. Hence, loss of viability observed in the MTT assay may be partially caused for the cell cycle arrest at $\mathrm{G}_{0} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$ phase.

### 2.2. Apoptotic cell death study

Cell death can be controlled by several mechanisms or pathways (Figure 9). ${ }^{85}$ Therefore, the specific cell death modality caused by 34 , which is mainly apoptosis, necrosis or autophagy, was next investigated. With this aim, the apoptotic effect of 34 on HGC-27 cells was evaluated using an Annexin V-FITC/propidium iodide staining assay and analysing the stained cells by flow cytometry. ${ }^{86}$ Briefly, loss of plasma membrane integrity is indicative of cell death and this can be assessed using cell-


Figure 9. Extrinsic apoptosis: This pathway is activated after ligation with the death receptors such as TNFR1, which results in the recruitment of many proteins like FAS-associated death domain (FADD), TNFR1-associated death domain (TRADD) and caspase 8 . Caspase 8 can be inhibited by the commercially available compound $Z$-IETDFMK. When it is active, it activates the effector caspases or truncates BH3-only protein,
which activates the intrinsic pathway of apoptosis by translocating to mitochondria. Effector caspases are composed by Caspase 3, 6 and 7. Caspase 5 can be inhibited by the commercially available Z-VAD-FMK. Intrinsic apoptosis: Mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) defines this pathway, it results with the release of cytochrome C (Cyt C), apoptosis inducing factor (AIF) and endonuclease G (EndoG). Cytosolic Cyt C lead the assembly of a caspase-activating complex or apoptosome. Caspase 9 involved in the activation of apoptosome can be inhibited with the commercially available Z-LEHD-FMK. MOMP can be induced by the activation of BH3-only proteins or by transcriptional upregulation after p53 activation stimulated by DNA damage. BH 3 -only proteins produce MOMP through oligomerization of BCL-2-associated protein (Bax) and BCL-2-antagonist or killer (Bak) in the outer mitochondrial membrane, which originates channels to release proteins from mitochondria. AIF and EndoG promote caspase-independent cell death through different processes such as ROS production, DNA damage, proteolysis and chromatin condensation. Caspase independent cell death can also be triggered after stimuli that promotes lysosomal membrane permeabilization (LMP) that release cathepsin to the cytosol. Cathepsin can be inhibited by the commercially available Cathepsin inhibitor III. Necroptosis is a caspase-independent death pathway that involves the receptor-interacting protein 1 (RIP1), which can be inhibited by the commercially available Necrostatin-1. ${ }^{87}$
impermeable dyes that are able to enter the cell once the integrity of the plasma membrane is lost, such as propidium iodide. Moreover, apoptotic cells expose phosphatidylserine (PS) before membrane rupture, whereas in necrotic cells PS exposure and membrane disruption occurs simultaneously, thus discriminating early and late apoptotic cells as well as necrotic cells. Annexin $V$ is a member of the annexin family of intracellular proteins that binds PS in a calcium-dependent manner. Thus, the fluorescently labelled Annexin V-FITC can be used to specifically identify apoptotic and necrotic cells. To study the effect of 34 on HGC-27 cells, cells were treated with vehicle alone as a control or with 34 at four different concentrations (1, 2.5, 5 and 8 $\mu \mathrm{M})$. The concentrations were selected based on the results obtained in the previous MTT assays. After 24 hours, the samples were doubled stained with Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide and the percentage of cells was analysed.

Figure 10A displays the obtained results. Thus, in the case of HGC-27 cells treated with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of 34 , the total percentage of early and late apoptotic cells were $2.4 \%, 24.7 \%, 31.0 \%$ and $60.1 \%$, respectively. In contrast, only $6.7 \%$ of late apoptotic cells were detected in the control cells, which revealed that compound 34 efficiently induces apoptosis in HGC-27 cells in a dose-dependent manner.


Figure 10. Apoptotic effect of compound 34 on HGC-27 cells. Cells were treated with vehicle group (DMSO) or 34 alone. $N$-octanoylsphingosine (C8-Ceramide, $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was employed as positive control of apoptosis induction in all assays. A) Apoptotic dosedependent effect of 34 after 24 h treatment with Four different concentrations (1, 2.5, 5 and $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). B) Apoptotic dose-dependent effect of doxorubicin as positive control for
apoptosis induction. Five different concentrations were analysed ( $0.125,0.25,0.5,1$ and 2 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) after 24 h treatment. C) Apoptotic time-dependent effect of 34 at $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ analysed at 4 , 8 and 24 h . After the corresponding time of treatment, the cells were double-stained with Annexin V and propidium iodide and analysed. The percentage of apoptotic and necrotic cells upon incubation with 34 or doxorubicin were quantitated by flow cytometry as described in the experimental section (live cells (bottom left quadrant), early apoptotic cells (bottom right quadrant), late apoptotic cells (upper right quadrant) and necrotic cells (upper left quadrant). The graph shows the quantitative analysis of necrosis and early and late apoptosis of cells treated with 34 . The data were obtained from three independent experiments.

Doxorubicin and cisplatin have been used for the treatment of gastric cancer, usually in combination with other anticancer agents. Therefore, the effect of doxorubicin in HGC-27 cells was also investigated. To this end, HGC-27 cells were treated with $0.125,0.25,0.5,1$ or $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of doxorubicin ${ }^{88}$ causing $20.4 \%, 25.6 \%, 30.8 \%, 32.4 \%$ and $40.6 \%$ of late apoptosis compared to the $13.8 \%$ observed in control cells (Figure 10B). Moreover, when higher doses were tested early apoptosis resulted decreased in favour of necrosis. To explore whether the effect of squaramide 34 was time-dependent, cells were treated with $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of 34 for 4,8 and 24 h . Indeed, longer treatments were well correlated with increasing percentages of late apoptosis $(27.9 \%, 35.8 \%$ and $51.1 \%$ after 4,8 and 24 h treatment respectively (Figure 10C).

To explain the high doses required to detect cell death compared to the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained in the MTT assays, the effect of these compounds on cell viability was evaluated by an alternative assay based on the quantification of cellular ATP levels, as indicator of metabolically active cells (CellTiter-Glo ${ }^{\circledR}$ Luminescent Cell Viability Assay). Indeed, although $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values resulting from these studies were in a similar range, the dosedependent curve obtained in the ATP-based analysis was in agreement with concentrations required in cells to cause an apoptotic effect (IC $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{MTT} 1.81 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ATP $4.13 \mu \mathrm{M}$, Figure 11). Alternatively, a sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay was also employed to investigate the cytotoxicity of 34 . SRB is a dye that binds proteins under mild acidic conditions, and the amount of detected dye can be correlated to the cell mass. ${ }^{89}$ Hence, the SRB assay is independent of the mitochondrial function. In this case, an IC $\mathrm{S}_{50}$ of $9.74 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was obtained for squaramide 34 in HGC-27 cells (vs $149 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $73.85 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in MDCK and Vero cells respectively). In contrast to the MTT assay that requires cellular metabolic activity and therefore only stains viable cells, SRB stains viable and dead cells, thus giving generally slightly higher $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (Figure 11). ${ }^{89}$


Figure 11. Overlapping dose-dependent curves obtained with an ATP-based assay, an MTT assay and an SRB assay show the differences in cell viability at given concentrations. The SRB assay displayed a higher $I C_{50}$ than the one obtained with the MTT assay, thus suggesting that mitochondrial damage could be partially related with loss of viability.

### 2.3. Caspase-dependent cell death

Apoptosis can be triggered through caspase-dependent or independent mechanisms. To explore whether 34 induces cell death through a caspase-dependent mechanism, Z-VAD-FMK, a pan-caspase inhibitor was employed. Hence, pre-treatment of cells with Z-VAD-FMK ( $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for 1 h partially prevented 34 -induced cell death ( $55.3 \%$ and $27.6 \%$ of total apoptotic cells after treatment with 34 in absence or presence of Z-VAD-FMK respectively), thus suggesting that a caspase-dependent mechanisms may be involved (Figure 12).

Apoptosis may be initiated by the extrinsic or the intrinsic signalling pathway. The extrinsic signalling pathways involve death receptors located at the membrane, such as FasL/FasR and TNF- $\alpha /$ TNFR1, the transmission of the signal from the cell surface to intracellular signalling pathways and the implication of caspase 8 (Figure 9). The intrinsic pathway is the mitochondrial pathway and may be caused by different stimuli including free radicals, toxins, or hypoxia and involves the activation of caspase 9. The extrinsic pathway and the intrinsic pathway converge on the final execution phase, mediated by the effector caspase $3 .{ }^{90}$ Therefore, the implication of both pathways was next investigated by pre-treatment of the cells with a caspase 8 (Z-IETD-FMK) or a caspase 9 (Z-LEHD-FMK) inhibitor. Interestingly, the percentage of apoptotic cells decreased markedly upon treatment with the caspase 9 inhibitor $Z$ -LEHD-FMK, compared to the results obtained with the other caspase inhibitors $(46.1 \%$ and $23.7 \%$ of total apoptotic cells in samples treated with 34 in the presence of Z-IETD-FMK and Z-LEHD-FMK, respectively), thereby suggesting the implication of
the mitochondrial pathway (Figure 12). These results also correlate with the higher $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained using the SRB assay. A slighter decrease in apoptosis rate was also observed when cells were treated with caspase inhibitor Z-IETD-FMK, which could be due to the described cross reactivity for these inhibitors. ${ }^{91}$


Figure 12. Apoptotic effect of squaramide 34 on HGC-27 cells. Cells were treated with DMSO (vehicle group) or 34 alone or together with Z-VAD-FMK (Z-VAD, $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), Z-IETDFMK (Z-IETD, $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), Z-LEHD-FMK (Z-LEHD, $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), Nec-1 $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ or Cathepsin inhibitor III ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Cells were pre-treated for 1 h with Z-VAD-FMK, Z-IETD-FMK, Z-LEHD-FMK and Cathepsin inhibitor III (Cath. Inh.) and 3 h with $\mathrm{Nec}-1$ before adding 34. C8-Ceramide ( 20 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was added as a positive control (data not shown). After 24 h of treatment, the cells were double-stained with Annexin $V$ and propidium iodide and analysed. The percentage of apoptotic and necrotic cells upon incubation with 34 were quantitated by flow cytometry as described in the experimental section (live cells (bottom left quadrant), early apoptotic cells (bottom right quadrant), late apoptotic cells (upper right quadrant) and necrotic cells (upper left quadrant). The graph shows the quantitative analysis of necrosis and early and late apoptosis in cells treated with 34 . The data were obtained from three independent experiments.

### 2.4. Alternative cell death mechanism

### 2.4.1. Cathepsin-dependent cell death

Cell death can also be mediated by lysosomal cathepsin proteases in the so-called lysosomal cell death (LCD). In this process there is a lysosomal membrane permeabilization (LMP), which causes a subsequent release of lysosomal proteases to the cytosol. ${ }^{92}$ Extensive LMP leads to uncontrolled necrosis whereas limited LMP can activate caspase-dependent or independent pathways ${ }^{93}$ resulting in a cell death that can be prevented upon cathepsin inhibition.

In order to elucidate whether part of the cell death observed in 34 -treated cells was due to a cathepsin-mediated process, cells were incubated with 34 in the presence or absence of a cathepsin inhibitor cocktail (Cathepsin inhibitor III, EMD Millipore) which primarily targets cathepsin B. The extent of cell death was then measured by an Annexin V-FITC/propidium iodide staining assay. As shown in Figure 12, the presence of the cathepsin inhibitor did not have any effect on the percentage of live cells but on the contrary it dramatically induced necrosis on HGC-27 cells (18.9\% of necrotic cells after 34 treatment and $46.4 \%$ of necrotic cells after combined treatment with 34 and the cathepsin inhibitor). Treatment of HGC-27 cells with the Cathepsin inhibitor III alone did not have remarkable apoptotic effects (not shown). The increased toxicity observed after concomitant treatment with 34 and the Cathepsin Inhibitor cocktail suggest a yet unknown synergic effect. All in all, the obtained results discard the involvement of LCD in the molecular mechanism responsible for 34 effects.

### 2.4.2. Necrosis

Initial cell death analysis by flow cytometry studies revealed that 34 also causes a significant increase in the number of necrotic cells (14.8\%, Figure 12). Necrosis can be primary or secondary. Secondary necrotic cells follow apoptosis, whereas primary necrosis, also termed necroptosis, is a non-apoptotic programmed cell death that is mediated by kinases of the receptor interacting protein (RIP) family. ${ }^{94}$ Hence, we then investigated if necroptosis could contribute to the caspase-independent cell death. With this aim, HGC-27 cells were pre-treated with Necrostatin-1 (Nec-1) a RIP1 inhibitor that blocks this pathway. Thus, if necroptosis was involved, a decrease in the percentage of necrotic cells should be expected. Interestingly, the necrosis induced by 34 turned out to be not Nec-1 sensitive ( $32.0 \%$ of necrotic cells in the population treated with 34 in the presence of Nec-1, Figure 12). These results discard the involvement of necroptosis as a cell death method and suggest that the necrotic cells observed in the flow cytometry analysis were probably post-apoptotic cells.

### 2.4.3. Autophagy

Apart from apoptosis or necrosis, another mechanism of programmed cell death is associated with excessive levels of autophagy in a process known as autophagic cell death (ACD) or autosis. ${ }^{95}$ Considering that an autophagic pathway could play a role in 34-mediated effect, we determined whether this compound induced autophagy in HGC-27 cells and how this process might impact on cellular fate. Therefore, the effects of squaramide 34 on autophagy were evaluated by assessing a key marker of this process, LC3-II. During autophagosome formation, microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 (LC3-I) gets lipidated at the $C$-terminus with a phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) unit (LC3-II) and it is subsequently associated with the autophagosome membrane. Since the amount of accumulated LC3-II correlates well with the number of autophagosomes, levels of this protein have been widely
employed to monitor autophagy. However, LC3 accumulation can occur as a result of autophagy induction or due to impairment of the lysosomal function. Moreover, certain cells present a high basal autophagic flux, and as a consequence, autophagy induction does not cause a clear LC3-II accumulation. ${ }^{96}$ To discard these possibilities, LC3-II levels were also measured upon inhibition of lysosomal proteases (protease inhibitors (PI)) in order to arrest the physiological LC3-II degradation and thus, correctly quantify the so-called autophagic flux. ${ }^{97}$

To this end, HGC-27 cells were treated with 34 for 4,8 and 24 h , and the cell lysates were subjected to Western blotting to determine changes in expression of LC3B-II. As shown in Figure 13, these studies revealed a minor accumulation of LC3B-II in 34-treated cells and a pronounced accumulation cells treated also with protease inhibitors. The increase was more considerable after 8 and 24 h of treatment, but not after short treatments. These results indicate a correct autophagic flux and an unmasked LC3B-II accumulation probably due to its rapid turnover, thereby confirming an important autophagy induction.


Figure 13. HGC-27 cells were exposed to $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of 34 for 4,8 and 24 h. A) Bar chart shows the quantification of LC3B-II protein levels respect to the $\beta$-actin control. B) Protein levels of LC3B-II and $\beta$-actin were assessed by Western blotting of cell lysates as described in Materials and Methods. Representative blots of two independent experiments with similar results.

Although it has been proven that autophagy can contribute to cell death, ${ }^{98}$ there is still controversial whether it is a cell death caused by autophagy or a cell death accompanied by an induction of autophagy that may exert a survival or cytoprotective effect. ${ }^{99,100}$ To determine how this autophagy induction impacts on cell fate, it was next investigated whether autophagy plays a causative role in preventing 34 -induced cell death by including autophagy inhibitors in these studies. Thus, two autophagy inhibitors were used, chloroquine (CQ), a lysosomotropic agent that impairs
lysosomal function that it is involved in the last steps of autophagy, and 3methyladenine (3-MA), a pan-inhibitor of the lipid kinase phosphatidylinositol 3kinase (PI3K) involved in the first steps of autophagosome formation. The percentage of apoptotic/necrotic and live cells upon autophagy inhibition was then analysed.


Figure 14. HGC-27 cells were exposed to 34 for 24 h . Effect of autophagy inhibition on apoptosis/necrosis of treated HGC-27 cells. Cells were treated with DMSO (vehicle group), $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of 34 alone (A) or Chloroquine (CQ, $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in EtOH ) (B) or 3-methyladeninde (3-MA, 2 mM in DMSO) (C). The cells were pre-treated for 2 h with CQ or 3 h with 3-MA before adding 34. After 24 h of treatment, the cells were double-stained with Annexin V and propidium iodide and analysed. The percentage of apoptotic and necrotic cells upon incubation with 34 were quantitated by flow cytometry as described in the experimental section (live cells (bottom left quadrant), early apoptotic cells (bottom right quadrant), late apoptotic cells (upper right quadrant) and necrotic cells (upper left quadrant)). The bar chart (D) shows the quantitative analysis of necrosis and early and late apoptosis in cells treated with 34 . The data were obtained from three independent experiments.

As shown in Figure 14, co-treatment of 34 with autophagy inhibitors such as $C Q$ or

3-MA did not increase the number of live cells, but on the contrary significantly increased the number of necrotic cells (18.9\%, 39.8\%, 48.9\% of necrotic cells treated with 34 alone or together with CQ or 3-MA, respectively). Taken all together, the data described above suggest that autophagy is triggered by 34 exposure in HGC-27 cells as a protective response to alleviate its cytotoxicity. Moreover, since cathepsin inhibition also causes lysosomal dysfunction, this observation correlates well with the increased cell death previously noted in cells treated with cathepsin inhibitors (74.2\% and $94.1 \%$ of total apoptotic and necrotic cells in 34 in absence or presence of cathepsin inhibitors, respectively).

### 2.5. Mitochondria and ROS

MTT assays measure the activity of succinate dehydrogenase, a mitochondrial enzyme, hence reflecting the activity status of this organelle and suggesting that differences observed in both cell viability methods employed above (MTT vs ATPbased assay and SRB assay) may be caused by mitochondrial damage. This hypothesis would also be in agreement with the increased viability upon treatment with the caspase 9 inhibitor, marker of the intrinsic mitochondrial pathway.

If the intrinsic mitochondrial pathway is activated, it results in alterations in the inner mitochondrial membrane which causes changes in transmembrane potential, loss of membrane permeability and activation of caspases. Therefore, the mitochondrial membrane potential in HGC-27 cells treated with squaramide 34 was next investigated. JC-1 (5,5',6,6'-tetrachloro-1, $1^{\prime}, 3,3^{\prime}$ tetraethylbenzimidazolylcarbocyanine iodide) accumulates as aggregates in the mitochondria (red/orange colour) and its colour changes from orange to green fluorescence in case of depolarization of mitochondrial membrane potential. JC-1 diffuses to the cytosol and the low concentration results in the formation of monomers (Figure 15). ${ }^{101}$ Therefore, JC-1 has been widely used to detect mitochondrial depolarization during apoptosis.


Figure 15. JC-1 shows a concentration dependent colour shift. Thus, JC-1 concentrates into
mitochondria and forms aggregates yielding a red/orange coloured emission at $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ of 590 nm . In case of low mitochondrial membrane potential JC-1 diffuses to the cytosol forming monomeric species that yields green fluorescence ( $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ of 530 nm ).


Figure 16 Mitochondrial membrane potential measured in HGC-27 cells after treatments with the vehicle (A) and 34 (B) for 24 h , it was evaluated by JC-1 staining and flow cytometry analysis. An increase in yellow/orange fluorescence was observed in 34-treated cells. C) Quantification of the orange/green ratio of HGC-27 cells treated with increasing concentrations $(0-16 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 24 h . Data are the average $\pm$ SD of three experiments. D) Detection of intracellular ROS levels in HGC-27 cells exposed to increased concentrations of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and 34 for 5 h .

The results showed that 34 efficiently caused mitochondrial membrane depolarization (Figure 16A-C). When $\Delta \Psi_{m}$ levels were assessed quantitatively by the ratio of orange/green fluorescence intensity, there was a significant reduction of $\Delta \psi_{m}$ in HGC-27 cells compared to control cells after a $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 2 \mu \mathrm{M}, 8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or $16 \mu \mathrm{M}$ treatment for 24 h , which indicates that compound 34 induces $\Delta \psi_{m}$ changes in HGC-27 cells during apoptosis. These results confirmed the implication of the intrinsic pathway in the 34 -mediated cell death.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are known triggers of the intrinsic apoptotic cascade. ${ }^{102}$ Therefore, oxidative damage caused by the generation of ROS may be one of the underlying mechanisms of 34 -mediated cell death. Thus, to elucidate whether oxidative stress mediated by ROS generation plays a critical role in the onset of cell death caused by 34 , we determined the changes of the intracellular redox potential after treatment with 34 during 5 h by employing the fluorescent probe $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCFH-DA). This probe is converted to highly fluorescent dichlorofluorescein (DCF) in the presence of intracellular ROS. As depicted in (Figure 16D), the formation highly increased after $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ treatment, employed as a positive control, whereas no remarkable increase in ROS formation could be detected in cells treated with compound 34, thus discarding the implication of oxidative damage in the molecular mechanism responsible for 34-mediated cell death.

## 3. Summary and conclusions

The cellular screening of a library of squaramides and squaramates has enabled the identification of compound 34, effectively and specifically inhibiting the cell proliferation of the gastric cell line HGC-27 in a concentration-dependent manner. The mechanism of action of this probe was next investigated. Two simultaneous pathways were affected including a $G_{0} / G_{1}$ cell cycle arrest and an induction of apoptosis dependent of caspases that implicates the activation of the intrinsic pathway and the subsequent mitochondrial membrane depolarization. The implication of ROS as initiating stimuli for the activation of the intrinsic pathway has been discarded, suggesting that other stimuli or pro-apoptotic proteins may be involved. Apart from the observed caspase-dependent apoptosis, no sign of cathepsin mediated cell death and necroptosis could be detected. Although an induction of autophagy has been observed, it has been determined that it plays a protective role since there is no increase in the number of living cells when cells are simultaneously treated with autophagy inhibitors. Since the identification of compounds inducing a specific cell death subroutine are preferred to avoid side-effects and non-specific cytotoxic effects, the squaramide 34 can be considered a promising agent for the treatment of gastric carcinomas. An in-depth study to identify the molecular targets responsible for the observed effects would be of great interest and contribute to the identification of attractive targets for the treatment of gastric cancer.

## CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results obtained in this chapter, one active squaramide against gastric carcinoma was selected and studied in more detail. The following assessments could be concluded from the research done:

- The squaramide 34 was selected from a library of squaramides and squaramates due to the low $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ displayed after 24 and 48 h treatments in HGC-27 cells, cancer cells derived from the metastatic lymph node of gastric carcinoma
- Two mechanisms were observed to take place: a $G_{0} / G_{1}$ cell cycle arrest and the induction of apoptosis dependent of caspases, though the activation of the intrinsic pathway together with mitochondrial membrane depolarization.
- The implication of ROS as initiating stimuli for the activation of the intrinsic pathway has been discarded, therefore, other stimuli of pro-apoptotic proteins may be involved.
- The implication of cathepsin mediated cell death and necroptosis were discarded.
- An induction of autophagy has been detected, although it has been determined that it plays a protective role since there is no increment of living cells after treatment with autophagy inhibitors.
- The squaramide 34 can be considered a promising agent for the treatment of gastric carcinomas since the identification of compounds inducing a specific cell death subroutine are preferred to avoid side-effects and nonspecific cytotoxic effects.
- The identification of the cellular targets of 34 would be of great interest, enabling a more straightforward improvement of its properties and potency as well as the identification of attractive and novel targets for the treatment of gastric carcinoma


## CHAPTER 2:

DEVELOPMENT OF AN ALPHASCREEN-BASED HTS ASSAY, SCREENING AND BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF ATG4B INHIBITORS AS NOVEL ANTICANCER AGENTS

## INTRODUCTION

The term autophagy, referred as macroautophagy, comes from ancient Greek "phagein" meaning to eat, and "auto", meaning self. It was first coined by Christian de Duve during his seminal work on the discovery of lysosomes in the 1950s. For this work he was awarded the Novel Prize in Physiology or Medicine in 1974 along with George Palade and Albert Claude. Autophagy is an evolutionary conserved cellular pathway that controls protein and organelle degradation by delivering cytoplasmic components into mammalian lysosomes or plant and yeast vacuoles for their degradation. In eukaryotic cells, two pathways are mostly responsible for the degradation of cellular proteins: the ubiquitin-proteasome system, which generally degrades $80-90 \%$ of damaged proteins, ${ }^{103}$ and autophagy, that degrades intracellular misfolded, long-lived or aggregated proteins and superfluous or damaged cellular organelles. The resulting metabolites can be then reused into sources of energy or building blocks for the synthesis of new macromolecules. ${ }^{104}$ This recycling process allows the cell to degrade also invading microorganisms ${ }^{105}$ and has several essential functions for the cell physiology.

## 1. Types of autophagy

Autophagy has been classified into three main groups: the non-selective macroautophagy and microautophagy and the selective chaperone-mediated autophagy. In addition, other forms of selective autophagy have been described including mitophagy, lipophagy, pexophagy, erphagy, or ribophagy (removal of damaged mitochondria, lipid droplets, peroxisomes, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and ribosomes, respectively).

Microautophagy is referred to the transfer of cytosolic components into lysosomes by direct invagination of the lysosomal membrane. ${ }^{106}$ Its functions are the maintenance of organellar size, membrane homeostasis and cell survival under nitrogen deprivation. The lysosomal/vacuolar membrane is randomly invaginated and differentiated into the autophagic tube and encloses portions of cytosol. Vesicles formed at the top of the tube fuse and go to the lumen. ${ }^{107}$

Chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA) (Figure 17) is a selective form of autophagy that modulates the turnover of a specific pool of soluble cytosolic proteins. ${ }^{108}$ In this type of autophagy, the proteins are identified by a cytosolic chaperone that release them to the surface of lysosomes, then the proteins unfold and cross the lysosomal membrane. ${ }^{109}$ All the proteins to be degraded contain in their amino acid sequence a pentapeptide motif (KFERQ) that is necessary for their targeting to lysosomes. Proteins with this pentapeptide KFERQ-like sequence are recognized by the Heat shock cognate 70 (Hsc70) chaperone. This protein associates with the integral lysosome-associated membrane protein 2A (LAMP-2A) that catalyses its oligomerization with chaperones, what results in unfolding and translocation of the
bound protein into the lysosome. ${ }^{105}$


Figure 17. Chaperone-mediated autophagy is a selective form of autophagy in which single soluble proteins are directed one-by-one to lysosomes for degradation. The steps are: 1) Binding of Hsc 70 to the targeting motif in the substrate protein. 2) Delivery to LAMP-2A, the chaperone-mediated autophagy receptor at the lysosomal membrane. 3) Unfolding of substrate protein. 4) Translocation across the lysosomal membrane by the lysosomal resident form of Hsc70. 5) Degradation by the lysosomal proteases.

Mitophagy is a selective type of autophagy directed to remove dysfunctional or superfluous mitochondria. Mitochondrial turnover is necessary for cellular homeostasis and differentiation. As a result, these organelles are replaced every 2-4 weeks. This process occurs through mitophagy that can be mediated by the ubiquitin E3 ligase Parkin that translocates into damaged mitochondria in a process that requires PINK1 ((PTEN)-induced putative kinase 1) expression (ubiquitin E3 ligase are proteins that mediate the covalent linkage between the target protein and ubiquitin moieties). Once translocated to the mitochondrial surface, Parkin ubiquitinates numerous proteins and initiates the clearance of damaged mitochondria via autophagy (Figure 18). ${ }^{110}$ Gp78, SMURF1, SIAH1, MUL1 and ARIH1 are alternative ubiquitin E3 ligases that target mitochondrial proteins. When these proteins are located in the mitochondrial surface, ubiquitination promotes the recruitment of autophagic receptors such as optineurin (OPTN), nuclear dot protein 52 (NDP52) and p62 (also known as SQSTM1). PINK1 and Parkin are mutated in some types of Parkinson's disease, thus indicating that mitochondrial damage has a key role in this disorder. ${ }^{111}$ Mitophagy can also be Parkin-independent. In that case, receptors on the outer mitochondrial membrane surface such as BNIP3, NIX, FUNDC1 or the lipid cardiolipin interact with LC3/GABARAP resulting in the recruiting of damaged mitochondria to autophagosomes (Figure 18)..$^{110,112,113,114}$ More recently, it has been described that the interaction of ceramide with LC3B-II also results in selective
targeting of mitochondria to autophagosomes causing mitophagy and autophagic cell death. ${ }^{115}$


Figure 18. Parkin-dependent mitophagy. In case of depolarized mitochondria, Parkin is translocated to the outer membrane. This process is regulated by PINK1. Once Parkin translocates to mitochondria, it promotes selective mitophagy mediated by protein ubiquitination and recruitment of autophagy receptor proteins like p62 and optineurin, which further recruit LC3-positive autophagosomes. Parkin-independent mitophagy. In the absence of Parkin, different proteins such as BNIP3, NIX, FUNDC1 or the lipid cardiolipin directly interact with LC3 resulting in the recruitment of autophagosomes to the damaged mitochondria. ${ }^{114}$

Pexophagy is another type of selective autophagy. It was initially observed in the yeast Pichia pastoris in a process involving autophagy related (Atg) proteins such as Atg24, Atg25 and Atg26 (see below). ${ }^{112}$ In recent years, mammalian pexophagy has also been described. ${ }^{116}$ The relation between autophagy and peroxisome turnover was established with an ATG7-knockout mice, showing that this autophagy essential gene leads also to defects in pexophagy. ${ }^{117}$ In both yeast and mammal cells, pexophagy has shown to be the principal peroxisome degradation system.

Several autophagy related (ATG) genes also participate in the cytoplasm-to-vacuole targeting (Cvt) pathway, which is a non-degradative process for protein transportation observed only in yeast. Proteins are synthesized in the cytosol and packed into Cvt vesicles that fuse with vacuoles, where the proteins are processed to their active form. This pathway involves Atg proteins such as Atg11, $\operatorname{Atg} 19, \operatorname{Atg} 20$ and Atg21. ${ }^{112}$

Lipophagy is another selective degradation process of cellular organelles. During times of nutrient sufficiency, cells store lipids in the form of lipid droplets. A lipid droplet is an organelle composed of a neutral lipid core of triglycerides and cholesteryl esters enclosed in a phospholipid monolayer with a family of coat proteins named as perilipins. Lipophagy is an essential process observed in many different cell types, such as hepatocytes, glial cells, fibroblast and adipocytes, and based on the selective autophagy of lipid droplets. Its activation is cell-type dependent. In liver, lipophagy is activated during fasting, whereas in neurons it is used to get free fatty acids and activate pathways that ultimately stimulate feeding. ${ }^{118}$ The exact mechanisms regulating lipophagy remain unknown but an enrichment of autophagosomal LC3-II has been observed in lipid droplets from fasted mice, thus indicating a role of this autophagy marker in the consumption of lipid droplets. ${ }^{118}$

### 1.1. Macroautophagy

Macroautophagy, hereafter referred to as autophagy, is a crucial process to maintain the cellular homeostasis through degradation of cytoplasmic contents, protein aggregates and organelles. This recycling mechanism begins with the formation of a double-membrane called phagophore which is then elongated around a portion of cytosol. It eventually closes engulfing the cargo to be degraded to form the autophagosome, which ultimately fuses with a lysosome to form the autolysosome (Figure 19). Lysosomal hydrolases in the autophagolysosome digest its cargo. Autophagy can be induced both by extracellular and intracellular signals including oxidative stress, growth factor withdrawal, hypoxia, ceramide, ER stress, pathogen infection and glucose, amino acid and serum starvation.


Figure 19. Schematic simplified model of autophagosome formation and degradation of cargo including the key proteins involved. Autophagy starts with the formation of an omegasome probably formed at the ER which becomes the phagophore, an isolation double-membrane. The ULK1 complex is involved in this initial steps. The phagophore then elongates engulfing the cytoplasmic cargo to be degraded. Class III PI3K, Atg9 and
lipidated LC3-II participate in the autophagosome formation. Once it is closed, the autophagosome fuses with lysosomes forming the autophagolysosome. Macromolecules and obsolete organelles are then degraded by lysosomal hydrolases. Recycling of the resulting macromolecules is carried out by permeases. ${ }^{119}$

Non-selective macroautophagy is primarily a starvation response that randomly engulf cytoplasmic cargo for degradation. However, cells can also use selective macroautophagy for specific elimination of proteins and organelles. Selective methods for organelles clearance have been described above. The selective elimination of proteins relies on autophagic receptors such as p62, NBR1, NDP52, OPTN, histone deacetylase (HDAC6) or NIX. These receptors recognize ubiquitinated proteins and deliver them directly to the core autophagic machinery. Deregulation of autophagy is implicated in the onset of various diseases including tumorigenesis, neurodegenerative disorders, cardiomyopathy, Crohn's disease, fatty liver, type II diabetes and ageing. ${ }^{112}$ Therefore, new tools and modulators of autophagy are crucial for elucidating the molecular mechanisms deregulated in diseases and can potentially lead to novel therapeutic agents and strategies.

### 1.1.1. Autophagosome formation

## Core proteins

The formation of the autophagosome includes different steps, i.e. initiation, phagophore nucleation, elongation and closure of the double membrane vesicle (Figure 19). The membrane source of the nascent phagophore membrane remains controversial and may include the endoplasmic reticulum (ER exit sites, ERES), ${ }^{120}$ the Golgi complex, the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment, mitochondria, recycling endosomes, the nuclear membrane and the plasma membrane. ${ }^{121}$

In the last decade significant advances have been made in the identification of the molecular machinery regulating autophagy. Seminal key studies performed in yeast in 1993 by Yoshinori Oshumi led to the characterization of the so-called autophagy related genes/proteins (ATG/Atg ${ }^{122}$ ). ${ }^{123}$ This initial screen led to the identification of 15 genes involved in the regulation of autophagy, but today 41 yeast ATG genes have been described and most of them have orthologues in humans. This discovery opened the path to understanding the importance of autophagy in many physiological and pathological processes. As a result, Yoshinori Ohsumi was awarded in 2016 the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine for his overall work aimed at elucidating the underlying mechanisms of autophagy. However, these proteins do not seem to be exclusively involved in autophagy and may present unravelled functions in non-autophagic processes. ${ }^{124}$ In addition, other non-Atg proteins are also involved in this process. Thus, autophagy is orchestrated by a myriad of proteins, which usually form functional multiprotein complexes (Figure 20). ${ }^{125,112}$ The role of these proteins
and complexes will be briefly discussed below.


Figure 20. Core proteins involved in autophagy. 1) Initiation. ULK1 complex is responsible for the initiation of autophagy upon generation of the phagophore. It is negatively regulated by mTORC1. 2) Nucleation. A class III lipid kinase complex regulates the autophagosome formation and nucleation. 3) Elongation. Two ubiquitin-like conjugation systems mediate the elongation of the autophagosome. WIPI proteins and Atg9 are also involved in this elongation step. ${ }^{126}$

- ULK1-Atg13-FIP200-Atg101/ULK complex, a serine-threonine kinase complex

Initiation under nutrient deprivation is tightly controlled by the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), the protein kinase A (PKA) and the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which converge at the ULK1 kinase complex, the mammal homologs of the yeast Atg1. The mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) is the most studied regulator. This kinase negatively regulates autophagy by inhibiting the ULK1 complex through direct phosphorylation. In the presence of nutrients, amino acids and growth factors, mTORC1 is stimulated, whereas in case of starvation, levels of ATP decrease and mTORC1 becomes inhibited via AMPK phosphorylation (AMPK is a sensor of cellular energy levels and is activated by a high AMP/ATP ratio, (Figure 19 and Figure 21). ${ }^{105}$


Figure 21. Schematic representation of the proteins involved in the regulation of autophagy. Red arrows indicate inhibition, green arrows activation. Under normal conditions autophagy is downregulated: p300 acetyltransferase acetylates several proteins such as Atg5, LC3, Atg7 and Atg12 (1), whereas Sirt1 deacetylates and therefore, upregulates the same proteins in case of nutrient deprivation (2). PKA can also produce less recruitment of LC3 by the autophagosome through LC3 phosphorylation which inhibits its lipidation. Protein kinase C (PKC) can also phosphorylate LC3 but no effect in autophagy flux has been observed ${ }^{127}$ (3). In the presence of nutrients, mTORC1 negatively regulates autophagy through ULK1 phosphorylation (4). In case of starvation, mTORC1 becomes phosphorylated and inactivated by AMPK (6) or other proteins such as protein kinase B, also known as Akt (5), leading to the release of ULK1/Atg1 complex, its dephosphorylation and the activation of ULK1 kinase activity. AMPK is an energy sensor that gets activated when increases the AMP/ATP ratio due to nutrient deficiency or hypoxia. The mechanism by which Atg9 travels through different cellular localizations is still unclear but it is known that the ULK1 complex directly phosphorylates Atg9, which is crucial for autophagosome formation. The ULK1 complex also recruits the class III PI3K complex to form the phagophore. Under nutrient excess conditions, Bcl-2 binds beclin 1 and inhibits autophagy, whereas during starvation AMPK phosphorylates it, which promotes the incorporation on class I PI3K complex (7). After nutrient depletion, Bcl-2 is phosphorylated in three different sites causing the dissociation from beclin 1 and thus, it induces autophagy (8). Casein kinase phosphorylates p62 increasing its affinity for ubiquitinated substrates, which potencies autophagy (9).
mTOR blockage activates the ULK1 complex formed by ULK1, Atg13, Focal adhesion kinase family Interacting Protein of 200 kDa (FIP200, partially homologous to Atg17) and Atg101. ULK1 is phosphorylated and stabilised by FIP200 and Atg101 stabilises the phosphorylation of ULK1 and Atg13. The ULK1 complex induces vesicle nucleation
which will be then mediated by the recruited class III PI3K complex formed by Atg14, Vps34, Vps15 and beclin 1 (Figure 19 and Figure 21). Phosphorylation of beclin 1 by ULK1 facilitates the localization of the protein to the phagophore. ${ }^{128}$ The PI3K complex also modulates autophagy induction through beclin 1 and by the generation of phosphatidylinositol 3 phosphate (Ptdlns3P), which becomes the entrance signal for autophagosome formation. In case of nutrient deprivation, c -Jun N -terminal kinase (JNK1) causes the dissociation of beclin 1 and Bcl-2, allowing beclin 1 to associate with the PI3K complex. AMPK also stimulates autophagy in response to glucose starvation by phosphorylating Bcl-2 on an amino acid different to that of the inhibitory kinases, that modifications enhances beclin 1 incorporation into the PI3K complex (Figure 21). ${ }^{105}$

- WIPI proteins and Atg9

Atg9 it is the only transmembrane protein identified in the yeast autophagic protein machinery. Two orthologs can be found in mammals, mAtg9/Atg9L1 (expressed ubiquitously) and Atg9L2 (specific for the placenta and pituitary gland). If there are enough nutrients, mAtg9 is located in the trans-Golgi region and partially in the endosomes, but under starvation conditions gets located in autophagosomes by a process depending on ULK1. These dynamic cycling between multiples subcellular localizations suggest that these protein may provide donor membrane for expansion of the phagophore. The other member of the complex is the human WD-repeat protein interacting with phosphoinositides (WIPI) family proteins, which accumulate in the ER upon interaction with the generated Ptdlns3P and become part of the initially formed phagophore. Once in the membrane, WIPI proteins promote the recruitment of the $\operatorname{Atg} 16 \mathrm{~L}$ complex required for the LC3 lipidation (Figure 21).

- Class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase complexes

Different lipid kinases complexes are involved in autophagy:

- The Atg14-Vps34-Vps15-beclin 1 complex already mentioned above is essential for autophagosome formation and it also enhances autophagosome maturation and endocytic traffic.
- The UV irradiation resistance associated gene (UVRAG)-beclin 1-Vps34 complex facilitates autophagosome maturation and fusion with the lysosome. The interaction of Rubicon with the complex blocks the fusion between autophagosome and lysosome.
- Ubiquitin-like conjugation complexes

Two ubiquitin-like conjugation systems are required for membrane elongation and maturation. The first one involves $\operatorname{Atg} 7$ and $\operatorname{Atg} 10$ and is responsible for the formation of the $\operatorname{Atg} 5-A t g 12-A t g 16 \mathrm{~L}$ complex. The second one consists of $\operatorname{Atg} 4, \operatorname{Atg} 3$ and $\operatorname{Atg} 7$. These proteins drive the lipidation of the Atg8 family members (LC3A, B and C, GABARAP, GABARAPL1, and GABARAPL2/GATE-16) (Figure 19). The generated LC3-II, conjugated to a phosphatidylethanolamine unit at the $C$-terminus, gets associated to the autophagosome membrane and it is essential for autophagosome formation.

These protein complexes are an additional key regulatory points. ${ }^{129}$ LC3 lipidation can be blocked by phosphorylation by PKA and PKC ${ }^{127}$ and phosphorylation of p62 by the casein kinase 2 favours its interaction with ubiquitinated proteins. ${ }^{130}$ Some Atg proteins can be also regulated by acetylation. This is the case of Atg5, Atg7, LC3 and Atg12, which are acetylated by p300 acetyltransferase in nutrient rich conditions, and deacetylated by Sirt1 in nutrient deprivation (Figure 21). ${ }^{131}$

## Initiation and Nucleation

Once the involved proteins have been described, an overview will be given of the molecular mechanisms regulating autophagosome formation and maturation.

Autophagosomes originate from a crescent-shaped structure termed the isolation membrane or phagophore (Figure 19). As mentioned before the formation of the phagophore starts with the activation of ULK1-Atg13-FIP200-Atg101 kinase complex, negatively regulated by the upstream nutrient and energy sensing kinases mTOR and AMPK. This is done by translocating the complex to the ER. This step is possible due to the presence of Atg9, that marks the final location in ER. The transmembrane protein Atg9 is the main connection between phagophore formation and the Golgi complex. However, its exact function has not yet been resolved and different hypothesis have been suggested. Thus, Atg9 vesicles could nucleate the domain forming the omegasome, directly fuse with the growing phagophore membrane or reside dynamically in a larger intermediate compartment called the Atg9 compartment. ${ }^{125}$ Then, class III PI3K complex (consisting of Vps34, beclin 1, Atg14L and Vps15) is recruited thereby catalysing the formation of PdtIns3P and generating the omegasome, highly curve regions that are considered the origin of the phagophore. These structures stimulate the recruitment of PI3K and WIPI2B, the protein responsible of the recruitment of the complex $\operatorname{Atg} 12-\operatorname{Atg} 5-A \operatorname{tg} 16 \mathrm{~L} 1 .{ }^{125}$ The initial omegasome seem to arise from the ER, but as mentioned above, other membrane sources are considered and further elongation of the membrane is also found to rely on input from several membranes.

## Elongation of the phagophore and formation of autophagosome

The expansion and elongation of the phagophore is regulated by a ubiquitin-like (Ublike) conjugation machinery. Ubiquitination, the covalent addition of ubiquitin to a substrate protein, is regulated by ubiquitin-activating enzymes (E1), ubiquitinconjugating enzymes (E2) and ubiquitin-ligases (E3). Since the discovery of ubiquitin in 1975, ${ }^{132}$ many related proteins have been identified. All of them share a common $\beta$-grasp fold and their ability to covalently modify macromolecules. To date, nine Ublike proteins have been reported including SUMO (small ubiquitin-related modified) and the 2 complexes involved in LC3/GABARAP lipidation.

The first Ub-like-conjugation system involved in autophagy comprises Atg12-Atg5 and Atg16L. Atg12-Atg5 complex is formed by a ubiquitin-like process where $\operatorname{Atg} 7$ (E1) activates $\operatorname{Atg} 12$ and $\operatorname{Atg} 10$ (E2) transfers it. The enzyme acting as E3 in the conjugation step of $A \operatorname{tg} 12$ to $A \operatorname{tg} 5$ remains to be identified. Atg16 interacts with $A \operatorname{tg} 5$, forming a multimeric complex (Figure 19). ${ }^{112}$ The second Ub-like protein conjugation system is the modification of LC3/GABARAP (mammalian homologs of Atg8) with the phospholipid phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), ${ }^{133}$ a crucial step in the formation of the autophagosome mediated by $\operatorname{Atg} 7$ and $\operatorname{Atg} 3$. To date, two subfamilies have been identified in mammals: the microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 (MAP1-LC3 including LC3A, B and C) and the GABA receptor-associated protein (GABARAP, including GABARAP, GABARAPL1 and GABARAPL2, which is also known as GATE-16). LC3 is the best characterized protein. LC3 lipidation starts with the cleavage of a Cterminal peptide in proLC3 mediated by Atg4, thus generating a LC3-I protein with an exposed C-terminal Gly. ${ }^{112}$ LC3-I is then activated by $\operatorname{Atg} 7$ (E1, shared with Atg12), transferred to Atg3 (E2) and finally conjugated with the amino group of the lipid PE to form LC3-II (Figure 19 and Figure 20). The Atg12-Atg5-Atg16 complex acts as an E3 enzyme for the conjugation reaction of LC3-I, it enhances the E2 activity of Atg3 and specifies the membrane site for LC3-II production. ${ }^{134}$ LC3-I is localised in the cytosol whereas LC3-II is able to interact with the phagophore membrane and localize to both sides of the phagophore. After elongation, the membrane closes with the cargo inside to form the autophagosome. Both LC3 and GABARAP subfamilies are essential for autophagy. However, they seem to have different roles. LC3 subfamily is involved in the elongation of the phagophore membrane whereas GABARAP subfamily has been suggested to act in the maturation or closure of autophagosomes. ${ }^{135}$

## Autophagosome-Lysosome Fusion and Degradation

Mature autophagosomes need to move along microtubule tracks towards the perinuclear region where lysosomes localize. This transport is facilitated by the small GTPase Rab7 that interacts with the Rab-interacting lysosomal protein (RILP), the
cholesterol sensor ORPL1, with dynein and with microtubule motors through FYCO1 (FYVE and coiled-coil domain-containing 1). ${ }^{136}$ The outer membrane of the autophagosome fuses then with lysosomes to form an autolysosome (Figure 19). The fusion is mediated by SNARE proteins, a protein complex in charge of vesicle fusion. First, the outer autophagosomal membrane fuses with the single lysosomal membrane. This process is upregulated by the UVRAG-Vps34-beclin 1 PI3K complex and downregulated by the Rubicon-UVRAG-Vps34-beclin 1 PI3K complex. Then the inner membrane is degraded by lysosomal hydrolases and the content of the autophagosome results then exposed. The autophagolysosome cargo can be then also degraded by lysosomal hydrolases, including lipases and cathepsins, which also target LC3-II on the intra-autophagosomal surface. In yeast there are certain proteins in charge of autophagic bodies degradation, like Atg15 and Atg22, thought their counterparts in mammals remains to be identified. ${ }^{112}$

The degradation products, including amino acids and sugars, are transported out of the autolysosome through members of a family of lysosome efflux transporters. Following autolysosome formation, Atg4B delipidates LC3-II on the cytoplasmic surface to recycle LC3-1 ${ }^{112}$ and the Atg12-Atg5/Atg16 complex progressively dissociates from the membrane.

Autolysosomes disintegrate once autophagy is finished in a process called autophagic lysosome reformation (ALR) in which membrane proteins are recycled from autolysosomes through tubular structures where new lysosomes will be formed and matured. ${ }^{125}$ In yeast, the process is a bit different since vacuoles play the role of lysosomes, the autophagy machinery is concentrated in the phagophore assembly site (PAS) which is a perivacuolar site that results in phagophore elongation and autophagosome formation as well. ${ }^{104}$

## 2. Autophagy and diseases

Since autophagy is essential for cellular homeostasis maintenance, its dysregulation has been related with several pathological processes including cancer, neurodegenerative disorders (Parkinson's, Alzheimer's, Huntington's and CreutzfeldtJakob diseases), infection, autoimmune and inflammatory diseases and ageing processes. ${ }^{112,137,138,139,140}$

### 2.1. Cancer

Cancer was one of the first diseases found to be related with autophagy alterations. First insights came from studies examining mice with allelic loss of beclin 1. This protein inhibits autophagy upon formation of a complex with the anti-apoptotic $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$. These studies revealed that a monoallelic deletion of beclin 1 leads to loss of this protein and results in an increased cellular proliferation, reduced autophagy and
promoted tumorigenesis. ${ }^{141,142}$ In addition, overexpression of beclin 1 results in autophagy induction and inhibition of tumorigenesis. ${ }^{143}$

Autophagy can have two functions in cancer. It can act both positively and negatively in the regulation of cancer cell survival. Thus, autophagy can be tumour suppressive through elimination of oncogenic proteins such as p62 (often upregulated in cancer cells), as well as damaged proteins and organelles. As a result, autophagy-defective cells present an accumulation of p62 protein, damaged mitochondria, an increase of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and protein aggregates. All these effects result in DNA damage, activation of oncogenic signalling pathways and inflammation, thereby creating a tumour-initiating environment. Autophagy can also be tumour promoting in established cancers by facilitating the substrates required for tumour cell survival. This process is particularly activated by starvation, growth factor deprivation or metabolic stress, especially in hypoxic regions. ${ }^{144}$ The close relationship between autophagy and tumour survival has prompted the interest in combining cytotoxic treatments with autophagy inhibitors. We are at the early stages of using this information to benefit patients. Thus, current efforts in the clinic are focused on combined treatments of antitumoral agents with the known antimalaria drugs chloroquine (CQ) or hydroxychloroquine (HCQ). However, their overall lysosomal inhibition together with some toxic side-effects associated to long term treatments make preferable the identification of new therapeutic targets, preferentially acting on the initial stages of autophagosome formation. ${ }^{145}$ In addition, alternative strategies for combined therapies have lagged behind due to the lack of specific inhibitors of autophagy-related targets. All in all, the future identification of new targets and active compounds should have a deep impact in this research field.

### 2.2. Neurodegeneration

Autophagy is essential for protein homeostasis and organelle turnover. This role is particularly important in non-proliferating cells such as neurons, because there is no cell division-mediated dilution of intracellular debris. Consequently, neurons strongly rely on autophagy to prevent accumulation of protein aggregates and deficiencies in this clearance is clearly correlated with the onset of neurogenerative diseases. ${ }^{104}$ Autophagy can also remove protein aggregates via autophagic receptors such as p62, NBR1, NDP53, OPTN, HDAC6 or NIX. These proteins have an interacting region for LC3 binding and a ubiquitin-associated domain that allow to deliver polyubiquitylated, misfolded, aggregated and damaged proteins directly to autophagosomes. ${ }^{146}$ Some neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer's disease ${ }^{147}$, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis ${ }^{148}$ and Parkinson's disease ${ }^{149,150}$ have been related to defects in some of these receptors or at different stages of the autophagic pathway. On the contrary, an autophagy induction seems to be involved in the production of $A \beta 40$ and the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. ${ }^{151}$ More research will be required to elucidate
how autophagy modulation can contribute to the treatment of neurodegenerative disorders.

### 2.3. Immunity and Inflammation

Several studies support the claim of autophagy playing an important role in the defence against virus, bacteria and parasites ${ }^{137}$ in a process also known as xenophagy. Efficient engulfment and clearance of intracellular pathogens requires cargo receptors that link molecular tags (such as polyubiquitin) or adaptor proteins (such as p62) to Atg8 orthologs present on the phagophore membrane. A relevant example is NDP52, which detects Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium presents in the cytosol ${ }^{152}$ and recruits Tank-binding kinase 1 (TBK1), which induces antibacterial autophagy. ${ }^{153}$ The recruitment of NDP52 to invading bacteria can be mediated by its interaction with ubiquitin-coated bacteria ${ }^{153}$ or with Salmonella-containing vesicles decorated with galectin. ${ }^{154}$ NDP52 seems to be also involved in the clearance of Listeria, Shigella and Salmonella. ${ }^{155}$ In addition, Shigella relies on VirG, a protein required for intracellular actin-based motility that induces autophagy by binding to Atg5. ${ }^{156}$ Bacteria and virus show different strategies to avoid this degradation. For example, Shigella inhibits host autophagy by secreting the protein IcsB, that competitively binds and blocks VirG. ${ }^{156}$ The Legionella effector RavZ blocks host autophagy by irreversibly cleaving LC3/GABARAP yielding a protein that lacks the essential C-terminal glycine required for lipidation. ${ }^{157}$ More recently, Legionella has been shown also to block autophagy by disrupting host sphingolipid biosynthesis ${ }^{158}$ and the Herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) neurovirulence factor ICP34.5 inhibits autophagy by binding to beclin $1 .{ }^{159}$

Autophagy also plays a role in adaptive immune response including the development and homeostasis of the immune system (maintenance of normal numbers of T cells) and antigen handling and presentation. Hence, processed antigenic peptides can be loaded on Class I or II major histocompatibility complexes (MHC) and presented by Tcells or antigen-presenting cells. In general, peptides generated by the proteasome are presented on MHC I and products of lysosomal degradation are displayed on MHC II. A number of studies revealed that autophagy has a strong role in the presentation of antigens via MHC II. ${ }^{160}$ However, additional studies performed in HSV-1 infected cells have indicated that distinct forms of autophagy facilitated the presentation of its antigens also on MHC class I molecules. ${ }^{161}$

### 2.4. Ageing and longevity

One of the main characteristics of ageing cells is the accumulation of damaged proteins and organelles. Consequently, autophagy turns to be a key process with potential longevity effects. In addition, reduced autophagy (for example, downregulation of $\operatorname{Atg} 5, A \operatorname{tg} 7$ and beclin $1^{162}$ ) has been associated with premature
ageing, whereas increased autophagy is correlated with anti-ageing effects (caloric restriction extends lifespan and retards the appearance of age-associated diseases by stimulating autophagy ${ }^{163}$ and rapamycin treatment extend the life span in mice ${ }^{164}$ ). Therefore, many strategies have tried to improve healthy ageing by inducing autophagy. Examples thereof include intermittent fasting and diets based on proautophagic factors like rapamycin, resveratrol ${ }^{165}$ or spermidine. ${ }^{166}$

### 2.5. Cell death

Although autophagy has been well described as a survival mechanism, a role of this process in cell death induction has also been described. Thus, when apoptosis is compromised, alternative cell death pathways may take place. For example, clinical therapies involving caspase inhibition can block apoptosis causing the simultaneous and unexpected effect of promoting cell death through increased autophagy. ${ }^{167}$ This autophagic cell death, is also known as autosis and seems to be independent from other cellular pathways. ${ }^{168}$ Autosis has also been reported in HEK293, U87 and HeLa cells after reactive oxygen species (ROS) induction. ${ }^{169}$ In some cases the same stimuli can lead to different cell death pathways suggesting that the final outcome may depend on the state of the cells. ${ }^{170}$ Alternatively, autophagic cell death may be also involved in development. Thus, previous studies in Drosophila melanogaster have shown that autophagy is required in several processes from larval to adult state. ${ }^{171,172,173}$ In mammals there is no clear evidence of autophagy having a specific role in development, although autosis has been detected in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) resistant to apoptosis (bax ${ }^{-1}$ and $\left.b a k^{-1}\right)^{174}$ and in rat hippocampal neural stem cells. ${ }^{175}$ Autophagy can also induce cell death by sensitizing cells to apoptosis modulators ${ }^{176}$ or by promoting the switch from apoptosis to necroptosis. ${ }^{177}$ Further investigations are yet required to unveil the underlying mechanisms involved in pro-survival and pro-death autophagy. ${ }^{170}$

## 3. Autophagy modulators

The close relationship between autophagy alterations and physiological and pathological processes such as cancer, neurodegeneration and ageing has prompted an increasing interest to target autophagy with both activators and inhibitors. ${ }^{178}$ However, one of the current limitations is the low specificity of most of the existing modulators (Figure 22 and Figure 19).


Figure 22. Two classes of modulators have been described, one group interacting with enzymes upstream on the autophagy pathway and another group interacting downstream. Inducers: rapamycin and resveratrol are autophagy inducers acting via mTORC1 inhibition and BEZ235 and PI-103 are dual mTOR and PI3K inhibitors. Inhibitors: 3-methyladenine has a dual inhibitory effect due the inhibition of Vps34 and class I PI3K. Wortmannin, LY294002, PT210 and GSK-2126458 inhibit all classes of PI3K, Vps34 and mTOR, but wortmannin showed persistent effects on Vps34 and only transient on mTOR. On the other hand, PT210 has higher selectivity for Vps34 and GSK-2126458 is currently being studied as an inhibitor but also an activator of autophagy. Spautin-1 enhances the degradation of Vps34 complexes. MRT68921 and SBI-0206965 are two novel ULK1 complex inhibitors albeit with low specificity. The rest of known inhibitors are found downstream of the autophagic pathway and they mostly modify lysosomal function and its fusion with the autophagosome. Bafilomycin A1 blocks proton transport in the lysosome and inhibit hydrolases, chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine also inhibit them by increasing the lysosomal pH. Pepstatin A, E64d and leupeptin inhibit several cathepsins, and as a consequence inhibit autophagosome degradation. Lucanthone disrupts the lysosomal membrane.

### 3.1. Inductors

Autophagy inducing agents such as rapamycin have shown promising neuroprotective effects and can improve autoimmune disorders and extend lifespan. Rapamycin is a macrolide used to prevent organ transplant rejection. It forms a complex with the immunophilin FK506-binding protein 12 (FKB12) that stabilizes the complex of mTOR with the protein raptor and inhibits mTOR activity. ${ }^{179}$ However, mTORC1 blockage using rapamycin results in inhibition of cellular growth and proliferation. ${ }^{180}$ In addition, serious toxicity effects prevent long treatments with rapamycin.

Resveratrol is a polyphenolic substance found in the skin of grapes. It is considered a multi-target or promiscuous molecule and around 20 proteins have already been identified as potential targets of this natural substance. ${ }^{181}$ Among others, it inhibits the mTOR-ULK1 pathway by docking into the ATP-binding site of mTOR. ${ }^{182}$ It has been also studied as an anticancer drug for its effects on extracellular growth factors and receptor tyrosine kinases, and it also prevents drug resistance by increasing the chemosensitivity of some cancer cells. ${ }^{183}$

The imidazoquinoline derivative BEZ235 is a novel and oral dual inhibitor of PI3K and mTOR, which binds competitively the ATP binding cleft of both enzymes. It is currently being tested in phase I and II clinical trials for the treatment of solid tumours in breast cancer. BEZ235 induces autophagy and promotes cell death. It has been also tested in combination with autophagy inhibitors, such as chloroquine, with similar results. ${ }^{184,185} \mathrm{PI}-103$ also inhibits the PI3K/mTOR pathway and enhances the efficacy of chemotherapy in combined treatments. The effect has been studied in models of gliomas, ${ }^{186}$ acute myeloid leukaemia (AML), ${ }^{187}$ prostate and breast carcinomas. ${ }^{188}$ The dual targeting of both kinases complicate the understanding of the effects caused by BEZ235 and PI-103.

### 3.2. Inhibitors

Autophagy inhibitors can be mainly divided in two groups: compounds targeting the initial steps of the autophagosome formation (ULK1, Vps34, beclin 1) and compounds that inhibit the lysosomal activity and compromise the final stages of the autophagic pathway.

The class III phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase (PI3K) Vps34 is in charge of the synthesis of PtdIns3P, a lipid essential for the recruitment of WIPI2 proteins and the E3-like complex Atg12-Atg5-Atg16L1. ${ }^{125,189}$ The other members of the PI3K family have different roles. Class I PI3K triggers mTOR signalling pathway and inhibits autophagy, thus having an opposite effect, whereas the role of class II PI3K in autophagy remains unclear. Most of the described class III PI3K inhibitors, 3-methyladenine (3-MA), wortmannin, LY294002, PT210 and GSK-2126458, are pan-PI3K inhibitors that act in a non-selective manner. ${ }^{189} 3-\mathrm{MA}$ is the most widely used autophagy inhibitor. It was first isolated in 1982 from rat hepatocytes ${ }^{190}$ and it inhibits both class III and class I PI3K, thus having a dual effect that may cause complicated outputs. In addition, in vitro assays require $\sim 10 \mathrm{mM}$ concentrations, high enough to compromise other pathways like glycogen metabolism, lysosomal acidification, endocytosis and mitochondrial permeability transition. Another problem is its low solubility. Some synthetic efforts have led to derivatives with increased solubility but the potency of the resulting analogues remain limited. ${ }^{191}$ Wortmannin is a natural product that irreversible blocks all PI3K isoforms with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ranging from 10 to 50 nM . ${ }^{192}$

Another interesting compound is LY294002, a morpholino-derivative of quercetin developed by Lilly and the first synthetic inhibitor of PI3K that works on cellular assays. Although it displays low potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values at $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range), its improved chemical stability has promoted its wide use. Both compounds also target many other kinases such as mTOR, DNA-dependent protein kinase and ataxia telangiectasia mutated protein kinase, resulting in confusing outputs. ${ }^{189,193}$ In addition, neither wortmannin nor LY294002 have progressed to clinical trials due to their poor pharmacokinetic properties and high toxicity although they have been widely employed as tools in basic research. GSK-2126458 was initially developed as an inhibitor of mTOR and class I PI3K, but it also turned out to be a potent inhibitor of Vps34. This compound is thought to be able to both activate and inactivate autophagy, although more research needs to be done to confirm it. ${ }^{194}$

Vps34 (vesicle-mediated vacuolar protein sorting) is the only class III PI3K in mammals and, as a result, it has emerged over the time as a promising target for specific autophagy inhibition. The crucial role of this lipid kinase is proven by the fact that cells lacking Vps34 cannot undergo autophagic degradation and starvation-induced autophagosome formation. ${ }^{195}$ Some important advances have already been done in this direction. Spautin-1 is an indirect inhibitor that does not affect the catalytic activity of Vps34, but it promotes the degradation of Vps34 complexes by inhibiting two ubiquitin-specific peptidases, USP10 and USP13, which deubiquitinate beclin 1. ${ }^{196}$ This compound is especially interesting since it only affects cells that are carcinogenic and are under nutrient deprivation without affecting healthy cells. Preclinical studies have suggested a synergic effect in combination with imatinib for the treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia. ${ }^{197}$ In 2010, the X-ray crystal structure of a Vps34 complex with different inhibitors was solved. This study showed that PIK-93 binds a unique hydrophobic pocket not present in related kinases, providing insight into developing more potent and specific Vps34 inhibitors. Further medicinal chemistry development led to the specific inhibitor PT210. ${ }^{198}$ Later, a potent and selective inhibitor was described, SAR405. However, due to the role of Vps 34 in the regulation of endocytic trafficking, this compound affects both autophagy and the trafficking from late endosomes to lysosome. ${ }^{199}$ This growing interest in Vps34 ${ }^{200}$ has led to the identification of other inhibitors such as autophinib, ${ }^{201}$ a tetrahydropyrimidopyrimidinone derivative which is an optimized and more selective Vps34 inhibitor for the treatment of solid tumours ${ }^{202}$ and NCOA4 that has shown to modulate the selective autophagy of ferritin. ${ }^{203}$

ULK1 has also been defined as a promising target to inhibit autophagy since it functions as the most upstream protein kinase in the autophagy machinery. ${ }^{204}$ The group of K. M. Shokat reported recently the crystal structure of ULK1 and the identification of a potent inhibitor after the screening of around 700 compounds. ${ }^{205}$ Other recently reported inhibitors targeting this kinase are MRT68921 ${ }^{206}$ and SBI-
$0206965 .{ }^{207}$ However they all exhibit low specificity by inhibiting around $50 \%$ of all the tested kinases.

Another strategy to inhibit autophagy is through blocking lysosomal degradation. Examples thereof are pepstatin A and E64d that inhibit cathepsins D, E and B, H, L, respectively, two drugs commonly used in basic research to supress autophagy. ${ }^{189,208}$ Similarly, leupeptin is a membrane-permeable cysteine protease inhibitor that inhibits cathepsin $B, H$ and $L$, and also impairs autophagosome-lysosome fusion. ${ }^{209}$ Another significant target is the vacuolar-type $\mathrm{H}^{+}$-ATPase that maintain the acidic environment required for the activity of the hydrolases. Bafilomycin A1 is a known inhibitor of this proton pump. ${ }^{210}$ Other inhibitors of autophagic degradation include the approved drug for psychiatric disorders clomipramine, which blocks the autophagosomelysosome fusion ${ }^{211}$ and the anti-schistosome agent lucanthone which disrupts the lysosomal membrane permeabilitzation. ${ }^{212}$ Other well-established autophagy inhibitors are CQ and HCQ widely employed as antimalaria agents and for the treatment of some autoimmune diseases. These two compounds act as lysosomotropic agents. Thus, at neutral pH they remain uncharged and diffuse across membranes, but once in the acidic environment of the lysosome they get protonate and trapped inside the organelle. This accumulation cause an increase of the lysosomal pH which leads to the inactivation of the hydrolytic enzymes. ${ }^{213}$ Since these drugs are well tolerated, although present some toxicity associated to long treatments, other therapeutic indications have been also investigated. ${ }^{214}$ In the last decade, many clinical tries have explored the use of CQ or HCQ alone or in combination with chemotherapeutic agents. However it is yet unclear if the final anticancer effect is caused by autophagy inhibition or lysosomal activity inhibition. ${ }^{189,215}$ In addition CQ completely blocks the lysosomal function including degradation of endosomes and exerts additional effects independent of their role on autophagy. ${ }^{216}$

All in all, a myriad of autophagy inhibitors has been described. However, most of them do not target exclusively the autophagic pathway and thus, they inhibit other cell processes leading to off-target effects. Therefore, much efforts are still required to develop selective autophagy inhibitors that will strongly contribute to expand the available toolbox in autophagy research.

## 4. Estimation of Autophagic Activity

Autophagy is a dynamic process and its correct quantification is not a trivial issue. The autophagosome membrane associated LC3-II has become the main biomarker of autophagy since it increases as autophagy does. To date, the main methods employed to monitor autophagy is the detection of LC3-II by immunoblotting, electron microscopy or fluorescence microscopy. ${ }^{217}$ However, the accumulation of
autophagosomes and LC3-II could indicate an autophagic activation or a blockage of their lysosomal degradation. To solve this issue, scientists measure autophagic flux, which refers to the whole process including autophagosome formation, maturation, fusion with lysosome and subsequent hydrolysis. ${ }^{112}$ These measurements are performed in the presence of inhibitors of the lysosomal function. Typically, an inhibitor cocktail is used containing E64d and pepstatin A, although leupeptide and bafilomycin A1 have been also employed. If accumulated LC3-II does not increase further upon lysosomal inhibition, the measurements probably reflect a blockage of lysosomal degradation instead of a real induction of autophagy.

## 5. Atg4B

The conversion of the soluble proLC3 into LC3-I and the delipidation of the membrane associated LC3-II to regenerate LC3-I requires the activity of the cysteine protease Atg4 (Figure 23). There is only one Atg4 protein in yeast, ${ }^{218}$ but four homologs (Atg4A, $A \operatorname{tg} 4 B, A \operatorname{tg} 4 C, A \operatorname{tg} 4 D)$ in mammals ${ }^{219}$ with different substrate specificity. ${ }^{220}$ Atg4A is the one showing a broader selectivity for the GABARAP subfamily, while Atg4C and Atg4D are nearly inactive. ${ }^{221}$ Atg4B is 1500 -fold more catalytically efficient for LC3B than the rest of the homologues, ${ }^{219}$ although it can cleave all the members of the LC3 and the GABARAP subfamily. The functional redundancy of these proteins in cells is poorly understood.


Figure 23. Atg4 catalyses the cleavage of the last five amino acids of proLC3 but it also catalyses the hydrolysis of the lipid bound to LC3-II.

Atg4B has been considered an attractive target for autophagy regulation. Overexpression of an inactive mutant of $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ inhibits autophagic degradation, probably caused by the accumulation of proLC3, and leads to a high amount of nonclosed autophagic membranes. ${ }^{220}$ Contrarily, its genetic silencing and chemical inhibition results in an accumulation of LC3-II, also causing notable defects in autophagy ${ }^{222,223}$ and tumour growth inhibition. ${ }^{145,224}$ Alternatively, studies performed in ATG4B knock-out (KO) cells led to an accumulation of proLC3. Interestingly, lipidated GABARAPs could still be detected in these cells, suggesting that this enzyme is required for LC3B lipidation whereas GABARAPL1 and GABARAPL2 could be
lipidated independently of ATG4B. ${ }^{225}$ It has been also reported that a Atg4B KO mice showed a defective autophagy, although they were still viable and fertile, probably due to the presence of the other Atg4 homologues. Moreover, the same study suggested that homologues could have tissue-specific functions since some histopathological, neurological and balance alterations were detected. ${ }^{221,222}$

These controversial results may be due to the different models employed (genetic attenuation, chemical inhibition or KO cells causing reduction or elimination of gene function, respectively). In addition, the different outputs may be also caused by the different recognition of soluble proLC3 and membrane associated LC3-II by Atg4 isoforms. Hence, recent studies have showed that Atg4B mediates a very fast priming of LC3/GABARAP subfamilies, whereas delipidation is inherently slow and regulated by all Atg4 homologs. As a consequence, Atg4 inhibition may have a stronger effect on the delipidation step. ${ }^{226}$ The different activity on proLC3 and LC3-II is also visible in the ROS-mediated inhibition of Atg4. Thus, Atg4 activity can also be regulated by reactive oxygen species (ROS). Under starvation conditions, cells form ROS (more specifically $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) leading to Atg4 inhibition that affects primarily the delipidation step without blocking the priming activity. The mechanism of action seems to involve the oxidation of Cys81 located four amino acids from the catalytic residue. ${ }^{227}$

Some data further support the choice of Atg 4 B as a target. Hence, patients treated with imatinib mesylate against chronic myeloid leukaemia presented increased autophagy with the highest transcript levels detected for Atg4B. Knockdown of Atg4B suppressed autophagy and impaired the survival of cancer stem cells sensitizing them to imatinib mesylate treatment. ${ }^{228}$

Despite the controversial results seen regarding proLC3 and LC3-II accumulation and the dual activity of $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ on both the priming of proLC3 and the delipidation of LC3-II, Atg4B blockage seem to be beneficial for the treatment of cancer cells. In addition, potent and specific inhibitors should strongly contribute to explore in detail the role of this enzyme as well as the other isoforms. Up to now, several attempts have been carried out in order to find Atg4B inhibitors. Certain Atg4B inhibitors have been synthesized or screened out from compound libraries and showed effectivity in vitro or/and in vivo (Chart 4). In 2014, Z,L-Phe-chloromethylketone (PCK) was identified from a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) HTS assay using a doubly fluorescent ligand YFP-LC3B-EmGFP as a substrate. The authors described an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $0.63 \mu \mathrm{M}$, but this inhibitor also targets other cysteine proteases. ${ }^{224}$ Later, NSC185058 was identified as an allosteric Atg4B inhibitor that prevented tumour formation with no effect on mTOR and PI3K activities. However, the compound showed cytotoxic effects that would not make it suitable for clinical use. ${ }^{145}$ In 2016, another halomethylketone derivative ( FMK -9a, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 80) was identified from a TR-FRET and a cellular-based luciferase release assay. ${ }^{229}$ This covalent inhibitor shows poor specific
over other cysteine proteases and cellular studies showed that induces autophagy in a manner independent of $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ enzyme inhibition. ${ }^{230}$ Later, the benzotropolone UAMC-2526 was reported. The researchers observed a synergic effect with cisplatin in mice, but the low solubility prevented the administration of higher doses. ${ }^{223}$ More recently, independent research groups have identified $\mathbf{S 1 3 0}$, ${ }^{219}$ the antifungal drug tioconazole ${ }^{231}$ and the quinoline LV- $320^{232}$ as Atg4 inhibitors displaying low micromolar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. Hence, important advances have been made in this research field in the last decade. However, most of the identified compounds are known promiscuous inhibitors lacking the necessary drug-like properties for lead optimization or non-specific cysteine protease inhibitors. As a result, the identification of novel chemotypes for Atg4 inhibition still remains an urgent need.
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Chart 4. Identified Atg4B inhibitors.
Taken all together, the main aim of this chapter is the identification of novel Atg4B inhibitors through a combination of a variety of techniques. A novel HTS screening assay has been established for the identification of Atg4B inhibitors. A structurebased virtual screening has been carried up based on two known X-ray structures of the enzyme (alone or in complex with the LC3 substrate) and a virtual library from the National Cancer Institute ( NCl ) open database. The potential inhibitors have been selected and tested. The potential hits identified in the established assay have been validated using alternative methods, including an alternative activity assay based on mass spectrometry (MS). Finally, biochemical and cellular studies were done in vitro in order to characterize the potential identified hits.

## OBJECTIVES

In this chapter, the general aim is the identification of compounds with inhibitory activity against Atg4B. Various approaches and techniques will be employed to achieve this objective. This general aim can be split into the following specific goals:

- To optimize a novel HTS assay for the identification of Atg4B inhibitors
- To validate the HTS assay by an optimized alternative MS-based method.
- To identify molecules with inhibitory activity against Atg4B. A virtual screening of a compound library obtained from the National Cancer Institute $(\mathrm{NCl})$ Open Database will be performed and potential hits will be selected.
- To experimentally evaluate the activity of hits and synthesized derivatives activity in order to select the most potent compounds for further assays.
- To explore the biological activity of the selected compounds through biochemical and cellular studies.

The ultimate aim of this chapter is to find bioactive compounds that completely or partially inhibit autophagy acting on Atg4B. These compounds could serve as a starting point for the development of more selective and potent inhibitors and also serve as research tools to explore the role of $\operatorname{Atg} 4$ isoforms.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 6. TR-FRET-based assay and protein modification

### 6.1. TR-FRET

During the past years a limited number of protocols for assaying small-molecule Atg4B inhibitors have been described. One of the major limitations is that Atg 4 B requires full length protein substrates since short peptides spanning the natural proLC3B cleavage site cannot be efficiently processed by the enzyme ${ }^{233,224,234}$ or present moderate $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ values. ${ }^{235}$ The existing assays include the electrophoretic separation of a cleaved LC3B-GST protein, ${ }^{233,234}$ and an indirect assay based on a LC3-PLA fusion protein. ${ }^{236}$ However, the first approach is not suitable for high-throughput screening (HTS) and the second assay requires a counter screen to eliminate compounds directly inhibiting PLA 2 . A doubly fluorescence LC3B protein has been also employed in a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based assay ${ }^{224,237}$ but it presented significant donor-acceptor emission overlap and fluorescence photobleaching. More recently in 2016, a TR-FRET assay was reported employing a doubly-tagged GABARAPL2/GATE-16 as substrate because LC3B could not be efficiently processed under the same conditions. ${ }^{238}$ The main aim at the beginning of this project was to set up a high-throughput screenings (HTS) assay based on TR-FRET and using LC3B as a substrate.

Fluorescence-based techniques are highly used in HTS due to its simplicity and high sensitivity. Relevant examples of fluorescence-based techniques include fluorescence polarisation (FP) fluorescence or Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET), timeresolved fluorescence (TRF) among others. FRET was first described by Förster in 1959. ${ }^{239}$ It is based on overlapping fluorophore pairs, in which the acceptor has an excitation spectrum matching the emission spectrum of the donor molecule. In FRET measurements, the excited state energy from a fluorophore donor molecule is nonradiatively transferred to a close acceptor molecule, that in turn emits fluorescence energy at a specific wavelength. The distance between the two fluorophores is a key point to get a good FRET signal. The working distances is characterized by the Förster's radius ( $R_{0}$ ), the distance between the fluorophores at which FRET efficiency is $50 \%$ (typically $3-6 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Thus, the working distance usually ranges from 1 to 10 nm (10-100 $\AA)^{240}$ and it allows the study of biomolecules and intra- and intermolecular interactions. In addition, as the efficiency of the energy transferred is inversely proportional to the sixth power of the distance between both fluorophores, small changes in distance can be clearly determined. ${ }^{239}$ Apart from the distance, the rate of energy transfer also depends on the quantum yield of the donor and the relative orientation of the donor and acceptor transition dipoles. ${ }^{241}$

Time-resolved fluorescence energy transfer (TR-FRET) is a variation of FRET that commonly results in superior sensitivity and assay robustness. Initially reported by Morrison et al., ${ }^{242}$ TR-FRET measurements combine the FRET principle with
fluorescence dyes based on rare earth lanthanides such as Terbium ( $\mathrm{Tb}^{2+}$ ) or Europium $\left(E u^{3+}\right)$. These complexes or cryptates, have a macrocycle within which a $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ or a $\mathrm{Tb}^{2+}$ ion is tightly embedded. These ions are only fluorescent while inserted in this cage and the complexes are extremely stable and not subject to photobleaching. ${ }^{243}$ In addition, the large Stokes shifts (separation of excitation and emission wavelengths) and long lifetime of these fluorophores ( 1 to 2 milliseconds (ms)) results in a delay of 50-150 microseconds ( $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) between the excitation and measurement of the emission signal, which allows the background fluorescence (assay components, tested compounds) to decay. ${ }^{244}$ Moreover, lanthanides have a narrow fluorescent emission peak, which is advantageous because the signal to background ratio (S/B) can be markedly increased if the right excitation and emission filters are selected. ${ }^{241}$ This technique has been widely used during the last two decades in a diverse number of assays such as detection of protein and small-molecule interactions, ${ }^{245}$ proteinprotein interactions, ${ }^{246}$ assembly of cell-surface receptors, ${ }^{247}$ enzymatic assays ${ }^{248}$ and biomarker detection. ${ }^{249}$

### 6.2. A TR-FRET based assay to find Atg4B inhibitors

As mentioned in the introduction, substantial progress has been recently made with the identification of more than 36 autophagy-related proteins (Atgs). These proteins are responsible for the core machinery of autophagosome formation, thereby serving as a starting point for the development of specific inhibitors. One of the best-characterized Atg proteins is the autophagy yeast marker Atg8 divided in mammals in two subfamilies MAP1LC3 (microtubule-associated proteins 1 LC3 (light chain 3) and commonly referred as LC3) A, B and C, that together with the other mammal homolog GABARAP ( $\gamma$-aminobutyric acid receptor-associated protein, including GABARAP, GABARAPL1 and GABRAPL2), are recruited to autophagosomal membranes after conjugation with phosphatidylethanolamine. The conversion of the precursor proLC3 in the soluble LC3-I, which is then converted to the membrane associated LC3-II, and the subsequent delipidation of LC3-II to regenerate LC3-I requires the activity of the cysteine protease Atg4 (Figure 24A). Although there are four Atg4 homologs (Atg4A, Atg4B, Atg4C, Atg4D) in mammals, ${ }^{250}$ Atg4B seems to be the principal homolog as it can cleave all members of the LC3 and the GABARAP subfamily. ${ }^{251}$ Moreover, genetic deletion of Atg4B results in notable defects in autophagy ${ }^{252,253}$ and inhibits tumour growth in cancer cells. ${ }^{254,255}$ Thus, Atg4B can be considered as a promising target for the identification of selective autophagy modulators.

Our initial aim was to design a TR-FRET-based assay that allowed the identification of Atg4B inhibitors and could be applied to HTS assays. The most important advantage of TR-FRET assays is the reduced interference of low molecular weight compounds due to their short fluorescence life-times compared to the extended life-time of the
lanthanides. To this end, a TR-FRET assay aimed to find Atg4B inhibitors was designed employing proLC3B as a substrate and $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ as the enzyme. As mentioned above, Atg4B is involved in the C-terminal cleavage of proLC3B and in the delipidation of LC3II to recycle LC3-I. The synthesis of lipid posttranslationally modified proteins is challenge, whereas proLC3B and Atg4B have already been successfully expressed in Escherichia coli (E. coli) simplifying the protein expression and facilitating the purification of higher protein quantities. ${ }^{256}$ As a result, proLC3B was chosen as a substrate of the HTS screening.


Figure 24. A) Atg4B is involved in the cleavage of proLC3 to generate LC3-I and also in the delipidation step to remove the phosphatidylethanolamine attached to the $C$-terminal glycine in LC3-II, thus regenerating LC3-I. B) Diagram of the designed TR-FRET based assay. A biotin moiety should be introduced on a mutated residue of N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B located after the cleavage site. The presence of the biotin moiety will enable the binding of ULight ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ streptavidin, whereas the anti-6xHis antibody conjugated to Eu ${ }^{3+}$ should bind to the (His) ${ }_{6}$-tag present at the $N$-terminus of the protein. TR-FRET signal should decrease in case of cleavage by Atg4B on Glycine 120 due to the release of the biotinylated pentapeptide MKC(Biotin)SV.

TR-FRET assays require appropriate fluorophore pairs available from a variety of commercial sources (Trace ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, CisBio; LANCE ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, Perkin Elmer). The fluorophores can recognize specific tags/sequences present in the protein or can be employed to label antibodies that will recognize a specific protein. As a first approach it was decided to employ a doubly-tagged LC3 containing a $(\mathrm{His})_{6}$-tag at the $N$-terminus and a biotin at the $C$-terminus. Hence, the (His) $)_{6}$-tag could be recognized by a Europium (Eu ${ }^{3+}$ ) labelled anti-6xHis antibody and the biotin moiety will be recognized by a streptavidin (Sav) labeled with an acceptor fluorophore (ULight ${ }^{\top M}$ ). Europium has an $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ of 320-340 nm and an $\lambda_{\mathrm{em}}$ of 615 nm whereas the ULight ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ fluorophore has an $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ of 615 nm and
an $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ of 665 nm . Thus, upon excitation at 320-340 nm, energy can be transferred from the donor $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ chelate to the acceptor fluorophore resulting in the emission of light at 665 nm . If protein substrate is cleaved by Atg 4 B , the $C$-terminal biotinylated peptide will be released thereby increasing the distance and causing a decrease in the FRET signal (Figure 24B).

## 7. Atg4B expression and purification

Atg4B was expressed in bacteria fused to a (His) ${ }_{6}$-tag at the $N$-terminus, enabling purification with agarose derivatized with nickel ${ }^{2+}$-nitriloacetic ( $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}-\mathrm{NTA}$ ) groups. The plasmid encoding the Atg4B protein prepared in a pOPIN vector was a kind gift of Yaowen Wu, MPI Dortmund.


Figure 25. Schematic representation of protein expression mediated by IPTG induction (A) or employing the autoinduction method (B). $\beta$-Gal: $\beta$-galactosidase, cAMP: cyclic adenosine monophosphate, CRP: C-reactive protein.
(From https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1046592819300257) ${ }^{257}$

Traditionally, bacterial expression in E. coli has relied on the use of promoters that drive overexpression of proteins through induction using relatively inexpensive chemicals. E. coli, among other enteric bacteria, requires the lac operon for the metabolization and transport of lactose. ${ }^{258}$ Regulation of these genes expression rely on lactose availability, which makes the lac operon a useful tool for the controlled expression of proteins in transformed E. coli. In absence of lactose, the lac repressor binds the operator sequence blocking the access of the T7 RNA polymerase to the promoter site and thus, preventing transcription of the gene of interest. ${ }^{259,260}$ In the
presence of lactose, the lac repressor protein binds to lactose preventing its binding to the operator in an allosteric manner. Then, T7 RNA polymerase can bind to the T7 promoter, trigger transcription of the lac operon and induce protein expression. Isopropyl $\beta$-D-1-tiogalactopiranoside (IPTG) is a molecular mimic of allolactose that acts in a similar way but it cannot be metabolized by the cells. Thus, IPTG concentration remains constant and the expression of lac-controlled genes would not be inhibited during the experiment (Figure 25A).

More recently, Studier described a method for protein expression based on autoinduction. ${ }^{261}$ This method relies on the ability of certain media to induce protein expression when cells reach saturation. It occurs under control of natural cellular networks that sense the energy levels and nutritional status of the cells. ${ }^{262}$ Autoinduction can be regulated by adjusting the glucose/lactose/glycerol levels in media. Glucose is preferentially metabolized during growth. When glucose is depleted, lactose is then uptaken inducing protein expression, usually in mid to late log phase. Higher yields are usually obtained containing less protein aggregates and without the need of monitoring the cell growth (Figure 25B). ${ }^{261}$

In a fist attempt, the N -(His) $)_{6}$-Atg4B expression was explored using the traditional IPTG-mediated induction. However, since this approach failed due to protein aggregation, the desired protein was finally obtained applying the autoinduction method, obtaining 8.4 mg of protein per litre of medium. Identity and purity of the enzyme was assessed by sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) followed by Coomassie staining of the gel and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF-MS) (Figure 26A and B, right). As observed, in absence of reducing agents a dimer is formed due to the presence interchain disulphide bonds. Dimer formation can be prevented by the addition of reducing reagents such as tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) or dithiothreitol (DTT).

The $N$-terminal $\left(\mathrm{His}_{6}{ }_{6}\right.$-tag of Atg 4 B was then cleaved to prevent the binding of the $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$-labelled anti-6xHis antibody. The Atg4B protein is cloned into a pOPIN vector containing a PreScission protease cleavage sequence. ${ }^{263}$ The cleavable tag has the following sequence MAHHHHHHSSGLEVLFQ-cleavage site-GP and it is recognized by the human rhinovirus 3C protease (HRV 3C). Thus, Atg4B was incubated with a GST-tagged 3 C protease overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The 3 C protease was then removed from the reaction mixture employing a GST-Trap4B Column and the released (His) $)_{6}$-tag was eliminated by size exclusion chromatography. Identity and purity of the cleaved enzyme was assessed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie staining of the gel and MALDI-TOF mass analysis (Figure 26A and B, left). The difference in molecular weight of the protein was analysed by MALDI-TOF and SDS-PAGE (Figure 26B and C). The activity of the expressed N -(His) $)_{6}$-Atg4B was also confirmed by SDS-PAGE upon
incubation with the substrate proLC3B and the subsequent detection of the cleaved LC3B-I (Figure 26D).


Figure 26. Characterization of the purified N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ and Atg 4 B . A) Collected aliquots after purification through $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}-\mathrm{NTA}$ agarose and size exclusion chromatography of N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-3C-Atg4B (left) and $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ after (His) $_{6}$-tag cleavage (right), respectively. In absence of reducing agents in the buffer, part of the protein formed a dimer, that runs at the doubled molecular weight. B and C) Removal of (His) $)_{6}$-tag was checked by MALDI-TOF analysis (B) and SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie staining (C) Calculated molecular mass for the cleaved sequence (calcd. mass for $\mathrm{C}_{88} \mathrm{H}_{126} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{23} \mathrm{~S}_{1}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 2004.22) closely
matches the observed mass difference (m/z 1882.). D) Enzyme activity was confirmed by incubation with its substrate, N -(His) $)_{6}$ - $\operatorname{proLC3B}(\mathrm{L} 123 \mathrm{C})$, for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As shown, all proLC3B was transformed to LC3B-I, thereby indicating the activity of the purified enzyme.

## 8. Biotinylated N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) expression and purification

The plasmid encoding proLC3B, fused to a $(\mathrm{His})_{6}$-tag at the $N$-terminus and cloned into a pOPIN-vector, was a kind gift of Yaowen Wu, MPI Dortmund. The strategy for large-scale production and purification of the resulting N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C)-Biotin (referred thereafter to as proLC3B-Biotin) is illustrated in Figure 27A and involves the expression and site-specific modification of the $\mathrm{His}_{6}$-tagged protein.


Figure 27. A) L123C mutation was introduced in proLC3B by site-directed mutagenesis. The resulting protein was treated with a maleimide-containing Biotin to generate a doubly labelled proLC3B with a (His) $_{6}$-tag at the $N$-terminus and a biotin at the $C$-terminus ( N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C)-Biotin). B) Purity and identity of the modified protein was confirmed by MALDI-TOF analysis. C) SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie Blue reveals the migration of biotinylated N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C), designated proLC3B-Biotin. Immunoblot of SDS-PAGE gel transferred to PDFV and detected using Sav-horseradish
peroxidase antibody to stain the biotinylated protein. D) SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie Blue reveals the capacity of Atg4B to cleave the modified proLC3B. A dimer of proLC3B can be detected due to the presence of the introduced cysteine and the lack of the reducing agent in the buffer solution.


Figure 28. Cleavage studies of Biotinylated LC3 by Atg4B. (His) ${ }_{6}$-Atg4B was shown to cleave the N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B-L123C-Biotin (EZ-Link ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ BMCC-Biotin) and release the expected C-terminal peptide with an observed molecular mass of 1100.5289 Da that matches its calculated monoisotopic mass (C), with the simultaneous conversion of proLC3 (calcd. mass for $\mathrm{C}_{728} \mathrm{H}_{1149} \mathrm{~N}_{205} \mathrm{O}_{206} \mathrm{~S}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 16294) to LC3-I (calcd. mass for $\mathrm{C}_{680} \mathrm{H}_{1070} \mathrm{~N}_{194} \mathrm{O}_{195} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 15209, \mathrm{~B}\right)$. Spectrum of N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B-L123C (A) and LC3-I (B). The insert shows the original mass spectra before deconvolution. Extracted-ion chromatogram of monocharged peptide $m / z: 1100.5310$ (D) and MS spectra of the peak (C).

The proLC3B sequence lacks cysteine residues. Thus, a cysteine could be introduced after the cleavage site by site-directed mutagenesis. Thus, using the appropriate nucleotides leucine 123 was successfully converted to cysteine as confirmed by sequence analysis. The resulting mutated protein was then expressed in E. coli by IPTG induction. The resulting recombinant protein was purified by nickel ( $\left.\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}-\mathrm{NTA}\right)$
affinity chromatography followed by size exclusion chromatography, yielding an average yield of 12.8 mg of protein per litre of bacteria culture. The absence of other cysteine residues enabled the subsequent site-specific biotinylation of the mutated protein by treatment with a maleimide-containing biotin (EZ-Link ${ }^{T M}$ BMCC-Biotin) bound to each other via an aliphatic linker. Identity and purity of the modified protein were assessed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie staining and Western-Blot of the gel and MALDI-TOF-MS (Figure 27B and C). The role as an Atg4B substrate was also confirmed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie staining (Figure 27D) and MS-based analysis (Figure 28).

## 9. TR-FRET assay development

Typically, HTS assays have been developed to measure product formation or substrate depletion. Depending on the reaction type and the substrate employed this can turn into increasing or decreasing signal assays. An example of an TR-FRET assay based on increasing signal are the ones established with kinases and employing biotinylated substrates and a Eu ${ }^{3+}$-labelled antibodies recognizing the phosphate group. ${ }^{264}$ In the other hand, an example of a signal decreasing assay is the screening of inhibitors against the ubiquitin conjugating enzyme E2 UBC13. In that case ubiquitin and the E1 enzyme were labelled and their complex generated a TR-FRET signal whereas in case of E2 inhibition the signal decayed. ${ }^{245}$ Assays relying on increasing or decreasing signals have different requirements. ${ }^{265}$

The designed assay was a substrate depletion assay. A decreasing signal was expected due to the increased distance between the two tags upon cleavage mediated by Atg4B. In this type of assays initial conditions are critical for the development of a sensitive assay. If the amount of labelled substrate is too large compared to the Eu ${ }^{3+}$ labelled antibody concentration, small changes may remain undetected. Recommended concentrations of the reagents are 2 nM of antibody and 5-50 nM of streptavidin. Thus, the assay was carried out employing two concentrations of the antibody ( 2 and 5 nM ) and the labelled streptavidin in a 1:4 ratio (Sav:protein). Streptavidin has four binding sites for biotin and the Sav:protein ratio should be kept constant not only to capture all biotin-peptide molecules but also to generate a constant background signal. Different ratios of non-biotinylated and biotinylated protein were employed with an overall concentration of 100 nM with the aim of determining which protein concentrations would yield a high TR-FRET signal and a significant net signal reduction. Reagents were added and followed by 1 h incubation at room temperature in the dark. TR-FRET measurements were made using the following settings: $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ of $340 \mathrm{~nm}, \lambda_{\text {em }}$ of $620 \mathrm{~m}, \lambda_{\text {em }}$ of 665 nm and a delay time of 100 $\mu \mathrm{sec}$. As it can be seen in Table 4, although a consistent increase in light emission at 665 nm can be observed with increasing concentrations of biotinylated protein, the transfer of energy was low in all the cases and the S/B ratio (light emission observed
at 665 nm in absence of biotinylated protein compared to the one obtained in the presence of the highest concentration of biotinylated proLC3B) was just 2.0.

| Biotin:non-biotin proLC3B <br> (total conc. 100 nM ) | 620 | 665 | $665 / 620$ ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0: 1$ | 4563.86 | 163.33 | 0.035 |
| $0.25: 1$ | 4575.64 | 255.77 | 0.047 |
| $0.66: 1$ | 4813.44 | 300.08 | 0.068 |
| $1: 0$ | 4363.22 | 395.35 | 0.094 |

Table 4. Average of the signals obtained with 100 nM proLC3B containing increasing ratios of biotinylated protein. This table shows the results from samples treated with 2 nM of $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$-labelled antibody, although similar results were obtained using a 5 nM concentration.

The absence of TR-FRET signal can be caused by different reasons. The solubility of the protein is a key point since an aggregated protein can significantly alter the binding with the reagents and the distance between the two protein terminuses. For this reason, a detergent was included ( $0.1 \%$ Triton $X$-100) and the reducing agent TCEP was also added to the buffer, but not significant changes could be observed. Moreover, low binding plates were employed to avoid non-specific binding of the protein to the plate. Concentration of the protein is also a point of interest, a titration from 0 to 100 nM of the protein is recommended for the optimization, however, similar results were obtained in all the cases. An appropriate plate reader is also an important issue. TR-FRET measurements were performed with a Spectramax M5 device. According to the supplier, this plate reader employs monochromators and it can be used for TR-FRET measurements. However, according the supplier of the LANCE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$-based reagents, filter-based fluorometers are preferred to obtain a good sensitivity. One of the main reasons for this choice is that filter-based devices ensure a transmission efficiency of light more than $90 \%$, whereas monochromators need a complicated series of narrow light apertures, gratings and mirrors to select a wavelength, what can cause problems at the low fluorophore concentration employed in TR-FRET. In addition, TR-FRET assays may have low energy transfer. In order to enable full optimisation of the assay, it may be preferable to use a short and intense excitation pulse with a very sharp tailing edge, such the one provided by a laser, which results in an enhanced signal to background ratio for optimum $Z^{\prime}$, whereas the Spectramax employs a Xenon flash lamp as a light source, in which the interfering tail generally lasts 50 microsec. Finally, the distance between the two tags should range between 1 and 10 nm . Recently a TR-FRET assay employing as a substrate a GABARAPL2/GATE16 protein bearing a (His) ${ }_{6}$-tag at the $N$-terminus and a GST tag at the C-terminus has been established and employed to identify Atg4B inhibitors. ${ }^{238}$ Differences here can be attributed to the use of GABARAPL2/GATE-16 as a substrate and to the larger size of the GST tag that may facilitate the transfer of the
energy. However, other factors cannot be discarded such as low solubility and stability of the protein in the buffer. Due to the limitations observed in the TR-FRET assay, an AlphaScreen-based assay was next investigated.

## 10. AlphaScreen technology

The lack of detectable FRET signal prompted us to investigate alternative assay conditions. AlphaScreen (Amplified Luminescent Proximity Homogeneous Assay Screen) is a versatile assay technology based on bead proximity and developed from a methodology known as LOCI (Luminescent Oxygen Channelling Immunoassay). ${ }^{266}$ Briefly, donor beads contain a phthalocyanine photosensitizer that excites ambient oxygen into a single state after high energy irradiation (at $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ of 680 nm ). The generated singlet oxygen species can travel over a constrained distance (approximately 200 nm ). If acceptor beads are within this distance then get excited leading to a cascade of chemical reactions in the acceptor beads that result in the generation of a chemiluminescent signal at $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ of $520-620 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Figure 29). ${ }^{267}$

There are some common features shared by TR-FRET and AlphaScreen methods. For example, both are based on proximity between donor and acceptor molecules or beads, do not require wash steps and can be miniaturized to very small volumes, making them suitable for the development of HTS assays. However, they also present some significant differences. The maximum allowed distance for the energy to transfer from the donor to the acceptor molecules in TR-FRET is 10 nm , whereas in AlphaScreen the distance gets extended to $200 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{268}$ Thus, AlphaScreen technology can be applied to a wider range of substrates. Moreover, AlphaScreen results more sensitive than TR-FRET. Thus, each donor bead can release up to 60.000 singlet oxygen molecules per second resulting in a very high signal amplification that enables to detect molecular interactions at a femtomolar scale and assay miniaturization up to $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ final volume. In addition, apart from the high signal it also presents a very low background due to the time-resolved detection ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ) and the fact that the $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ of the acceptor bead is higher than $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ of the donor bead. ${ }^{267}$ These rare properties prevent the interference of assay components and low molecular weight compounds. Moreover, the required beads concentrations are very low, which avoids non-specific proximity interactions between donor and acceptor beads. The principal disadvantages of AlphaScreen are the costly reagents and the need of a specific microplate reader having an intense light source, preferably a laser exciting samples exactly at 680 nm (Xenon Flash based systems and luminometers will not work) together with an emission filter discriminating between different wavelengths and a Photo Multiplier Tube (PMT) detector. Based on all these features, it was decided to explore AlphaScreen as a suitable technology to set up an HTS assay aimed to identify Atg4B inhibitors. Furthermore, the commercially available AlphaScreen reagents (modified beads) allowed the use of the same doubly tagged protein already
developed for the TR-FRET-based assay. ${ }^{267,268}$

The conceptual design of this new assay is very similar to the previously developed TR-FRET-based assay (Figure 29). Appropriate donor and acceptor beads were next chosen. Donor beads coated with streptavidin should bind biotin with high affinity, whereas acceptor beads coated with nickel strongly bind to the (His) ${ }_{6}$-tag located at the $N$-terminus of the protein. Thus, the intact substrate would yield a high signal and the cleavage of the protein by $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ would result in an increase of the distance between donor and acceptor bead and a subsequent loss of signal that could be prevented in the presence of compounds inhibiting Atg4B.


Figure 29. Mechanism of AlphaScreen-based assay. Cys123 of proLC3B, located after the cleavage site at the $C$-terminus, is biotinylated, and thus recognized by donor beads coated with streptavidin. Donor beads contain phthalocyanine, which transforms the ambient oxygen $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ to oxygen singlet $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ when it is excited at 680 nm . Oxygen singlet species travel to the acceptor beads if the distance is less than 200 nm . Acceptor beads are coated with nickel ${ }^{2+}-$ NTA, which chelates the histidines of the (His) ${ }_{6}$-tag of proLC3B-Biotin, located at the $N$-terminus of the protein. Donor beads contains thioxene, anthracene and rubrene, oxygen singlet species excites thioxene and, after a reaction cascade, rubrene emits chemiluminescence at $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ of $520-620 \mathrm{~nm}$.

### 10.1. AlphaScreen optimization

## Buffer selection and substrate concentration

As it occurs in other systems based on saturable detection fluorescence-based assays, in AlphaScreen the signal obtained is concentration-dependent up to a point where the signal starts to drop, known as the Hook point. Above this point, donor and acceptor beads are saturated and their association is inhibited causing a progressive signal decrease. Hence, to set up a screening assay directed to find inhibitors, it is
necessary to choose a protein concentration below this Hook point. In addition, assay conditions (buffer components, pH , ionic strength, etc) should ensure integrity, stability and activity of the proteins. Thus, to start with, different assay components and optimal substrate concentrations were investigated. With this aim, as shown in Table 5 different buffers were essayed. The use of 25 mM Hepes buffer resulted in protein aggregation and high signal due to non-specific interactions (entry 1). The combined addition of $0.5 \%$ Triton ${ }^{\text {TM }} \mathrm{X}$ - 100 and $0.1 \%$ Bovine serum albumin (BSA) to 25 mM Hepes ( pH 7.4) resulted necessary to avoid protein aggregation and protein binding to the

## Buffer

1

2

3
25 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 0.1 \% BSA
25 mM Hepes pH 8, 0.5 \% Triton $^{\text {™ }} \mathrm{X}$ 100, 0.1 \% BSA, 1 mM TCEP

50 mM Tris pH 8, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \%$ Triton $^{\text {TM }} \mathrm{X}-100,0.1 \%$ BSA, 1 mM TCEP

25 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 8,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \%$ Triton ${ }^{\text {TM }} \mathrm{X}-100,0.1 \%$ BSA, 1 mM TCEP 25 mM Hepes pH 8, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \%$ Triton $^{\text {TM }} \mathrm{X}$-100, $0.1 \%$ BSA, 1 mM TCEP
25 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 8,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5$ \% Hook Effect was observed with low SD and
8 Triton $^{\text {TM }} \mathrm{X}-100,0.1 \%$ BSA, 1 mM TCEP, $1 \%$ glycerol
25 mM Hepes pH 8, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5$ \%
9 Triton $^{\text {TM }} \mathrm{X}-100,0.1 \%$ BSA, 1 mM TCEP, $2 \%$ glycerol

## Result

Saturated AlphaScreen signal due to aggregates.
Low AlphaScreen signal due to protein binding to the plate.
Confusing AlphaScreen signal and high SD, probably due to aggregates.
Although Hook effect was observed with low SD, the protein was not stable in the buffer.
Low AlphaScreen signal due to the interaction of Tris with the beads. Although Hook effect was observed with low SD, the protein was not stable over time.

High SD due to high viscosity. the AlphaScreen signal remained stable for 6 h .
AlphaScreen signal was stable for 4 h but it showed high SD due to the high viscosity.

Table 5. Summary of all the tested buffers and the results observed. SD: standard deviation.
plate. With these components in the solution, it was possible to obtain a curve displaying a Hook Effect, as shown in Figure 30A for buffer 4. Similar conditions employing a Tris buffered solution (entry 5) resulted in a complete loss of signal. In addition, to ensure proper activity of Atg4B, the pH was adjusted to 8 and tris-2-carboxyethyl-phosphine (TCEP) was included as reducing agent to maintain the catalytic cysteine in a reduced form. However, these assay components did not provide good conditions for signal stability over time (Figure 30B). For this reason, the
ionic strength was increased ( 150 mM NaCl , entries $6-9$ ) and glycerol was also added (entries 8-9), ensuring a stable signal during at least 6 h (a $\sim 40 \%$ reduction was observed after 24 h ) (Figure 30B). Larger amounts of detergent (entry 7) or glycerol (entry 9) were discarded due to high signal variability. Therefore, the strongest and more stable signal was obtained with the buffer solution 8 containing 25 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 8,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5$ \% Triton X-100, 0.1 \% BSA, 1 mM TCEP and $1 \%$ glycerol, a final bead concentration of $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and a protein concentration of 8.75 nM (Figure 30 ).


Figure 30. A) Hook Effect and linearity could be observed increasing concentrations of proLC3B-Biotin when the protein was solubilized in Buffer 3, 4 and 8. B) ProLC3B-Biotin incubated in Buffer 4 and 6 at room temperature from 0 to 60 min did not showed stability of the signal over time. Buffer 7 and 9 , containing $1 \%$ of Triton X-100 and 2\% glycerol, respectively, showed high signal variability due to viscosity. Buffer 8 containing $0.5 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ and $1 \%$ glycerol displayed stable signal for at least 6 h .

Next, signal linearity was investigated employing a competitive assay with increasing concentrations of non-biotinylated proLC3B. As predicted, the loss of signal was observed as non-biotinylated protein concentration increased in a linear manner (Figure 31). Hence, the presence of $50 \%$ ( $\sim 8 \mathrm{nM}$ ) of non-biotinylated protein resulted
in a $\sim 40 \%$ decrease of signal and the presence of $90 \%$ ( $\sim 90 \mathrm{nM}$ ) reduced the signal in a ${ }^{\sim} 60 \%$. Small changes can be due to small variability in protein concentration. Therefore, it was concluded that 8.75 nM was the appropriated concentration of proLC3B-Biotin for the assay given that it was possible to detect the signal decrease caused by the protein cleavage catalysed by Atg4B.


Figure 31. Competitive assay with increasing concentrations of non-biotinylated proLC3B mixed with 8.75 nM of proLC3B-Biotin. As observed, the signal decreased in a dose-dependent manner, which confirms that changes in the concentration of noncleaved substrate would be detected in further assays.

## Enzyme concentration

The determination of an optimal enzyme (Atg4B) concentration is also a key point. Enzyme concentration should allow the detection of changes in AlphaScreen signal at a rate which is linear with time. To find an appropriate enzyme concentration, proLC3B-Biotin was titrated against increasing concentrations of $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ ( 30 min incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Despite getting a linear dose-dependent reduction of the signal, high enzyme concentrations were required to achieve complete cleavage of the substrate as shown in Figure 32A, (almost equimolar amount in relationship to substrate concentration), which makes the assay unreliable.

Reaction rates strongly depends on substrate concentration. Hence, if substrate concentrations far below the Km are employed, small changes in substrate concentration can affect the enzymatic rate. As the measured Km value for a fusion LC3B-GST protein ranges from 1 to $12 \mu \mathrm{M},{ }^{233,224}$ we next explored Atg4B activity employing substrate concentrations close to the Km (Figure 32B) Indeed, a substantial increase in Atg4B activity could be detected using proLC3B-Biotin concentrations of 1 and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ that resulted more appropriate for the detection of inhibitors. However, despite achieving a linear dose-dependent reduction of the signal, the signal to
background $S / B$ ratio obtained at the highest concentration did not rise over 2.9, suggesting the existence of non-specific interactions.


Figure 32. A) Atg4B titration in buffer 8 employing a concentration of 8.75 nM proLC3B-Biotin. B) Atg4B titration in buffer 8 employing a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ proLC3B-Biotin.

## Reduction of the high background

High background signal is usually caused by non-specific interactions between assay components. Blocking agents, BSA or detergents are commonly employed to minimize such interactions. However, the inclusion of 0.1-0.5\% of BSA, $0.1 \%$ Casein, and detergents such as $0.5 \%-1 \%$ Triton ${ }^{\text {™ }} \mathrm{X}-100,0.1 \%, 0.5 \%$ and $1 \%$ Tween-20, $0.05 \%$ and $0.1 \%$ CHAPS to the assay buffer could not preclude the existence of non-specific interactions. Other agents were investigated such as $2 \%$ glycerol or 1 mM EDTA but any of these agents decreased the high background signal.

Next, it was explored whether the high background was caused by non-specific interactions of the cleaved biotinylated peptide with the generated LC3-I. To explore this possibility, an additional biotinylated protein was prepared using a maleimide-containing biotin linked to each other via a polyethylene glycol (PEG) linker instead of an aliphatic linker (Figure 33A). The presence of the PEG should increase the aqueous solubility of the released peptide, thereby preventing non-specific interactions with the protein surface. Identity of the modified protein was again confirmed by MS analysis (Figure 33B-E). The role of this new modified protein as substrate of the enzyme Atg4B was also confirmed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie staining (Figure 34A).


N -(His)6-proLC3B(L123C) BMCC-Biotin


Figure 33. A) Structures of the two biotinylated proteins prepared. B) Cleavage studies of Biotinylated LC3 by Atg4B. (His) $)_{6}$-Atg4B was shown to cleave the N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B-L123CBiotin (EZ-Link ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Maleimide- PEG $_{2}$-Biotin) and release the expected $C$-terminal peptide with an observed molecular mass of 1092.4856 Da that matches its calculated monoisotopic mass (D), with the simultaneous conversion of proLC3 (calcd. mass for $\mathrm{C}_{725} \mathrm{H}_{1145} \mathrm{~N}_{205} \mathrm{O}_{208} \mathrm{~S}_{7}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 16286$ ) to $\mathrm{LC} 3-\mathrm{I}$ (calcd. mass for $\mathrm{C}_{680} \mathrm{H}_{1070} \mathrm{~N}_{194} \mathrm{O}_{195} \mathrm{~S}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 15209$, B). Spectrum of N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B-L123C (B) and LC3-I (C). The insert shows the original mass spectra before deconvolution. Extracted-ion chromatogram of mono-charged peptide $m / z: 1092.4891$ (E) and MS spectra of the peak (D).

A preliminary assay was done in order to compare the signal displayed by proLC3B
biotinylated with biotin or PEG-Biotin employing $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the protein and increasing concentrations of Atg4B. Gratifyingly, after titration with increasing concentrations of Atg4B, the S/B ratio at the highest tested concentrations was higher, ranging from 23 at 25 nM to 40 at 50 nM of Atg4B (Figure 34B). However, determining of new conditions was required and, after establishing the concentration causing a Hook point ( 31.25 nM , Figure 34C), Atg4B activity was again investigated using a substrate concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and including a dilution step before analysis.


Figure 34. A) ProLC3B-Biotin with a PEG linker was treated with Atg 4 B at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , then the cleavage was analysed by SDS-PAGE stained with Coomassie. As depicted, the substrate was partially cleaved when the relation between proLC3B-Biotin and Atg4B was $4: 0.1 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}(+)$ whereas the cleavage was complete when the ratio was $4: 1 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}(++)$ and all the substrate became N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B-I. B) Normalised AlphaScreen signal by highest AlphaScreen values displayed by proLC3B-Biotin ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) biotinylated with EZ-Link ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ BMCCBiotin and EZ-Link ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Maleimide-PEG ${ }_{2}$-Biotin after treatment with increasing concentrations of Atg4B ( $0-50 \mathrm{nM}$ ) for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. C) ProLC3B-Biotin biotinylated with EZLink $^{\text {™ }}$ Maleimide-PEG ${ }_{2}$-Biotin was titrated in buffer 8 in order to select the optimal proLC3B-Biotin concentration for the readout, 31.25 nM was the maximum concentration before the Hook point. D) $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of proLC3B-Biotin were treated with Atg4B ( $0-386 \mathrm{nM}$ ) for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Aliquots of the mixtures were diluted to a final concentration of
proLC3B-Biotin of 2.5 nM and measured. 25 nM was the selected working concentration for $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$.

In assays measuring product formation, $\sim 10 \%$ conversion is generally preferred. However, substrate depletion measurements are based on signal changes from a high baseline value. In this case, to obtain a sufficient assay window, the substrate turnover may need to be close to $50 \%$ or higher. ${ }^{269,265}$ When working at substrate concentration close to the Km , this high conversion has only a slight effect on the obtained $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. As a result, a $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the substrate and a 25 nM concentration of Atg 4 B was chosen providing a $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}$ ratio close to $\sim 3$ (Figure 34D).

## DMSO tolerance

Compound libraries are generally stored in in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solutions. Thus, it was next necessary to evaluate the DMSO tolerance of the assay. To this end, the effect of DMSO on enzyme activity and assay performance was determined by incubating the assay mixture with increasing concentrations of DMSO. No significant differences were observed in any case, indicating that the assay can tolerate up to $10 \%$ of DMSO (Figure 35).


Figure 35. Determination of DMSO tolerance. DMSO content up to $10 \%$ was added to the mixture of proLC3B-Biotin and Atg4B and, after incubation of 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, AlphaScreen signal was determined. An untreated control without Atg4B was added.

## Positive controls of inhibition

To investigate the ability of the assay to detect inhibitors, three known Atg4B inhibitors were purchased and analysed: Z,L-Phe-chloromethylketone (PCK), Nethylmaleimide (NEM) and iodoacetamide (IAM). ${ }^{224,270,271}$ All of them are known cysteine alkylating agents which irreversible inactivate reduced Atg4B. Concentrationresponse curves in triplicate were generated from fresh drug stocks resulting in positive inhibition curves (Figure 36A and C), which confirms the suitability of the
assay for the detection of Atg4B inhibitors. Since PCK was the most potent inhibitor, this compound was selected as a positive control to be used in further assays. To discard that PCK was non-specifically interfering with the AlphaScreen signal, this compound was evaluated in a counter screen assay using a (His) $)_{6}$-biotinylated peptide as a substrate (Figure 36B). Differences in $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values can be caused by different assay conditions. Hence, whereas the initial $I C_{50}$ value reported for PCK was $0.63 \mu \mathrm{M}$, ${ }^{224}$ a recent work could not detect inhibition with PCK using an SDS-PAGE assay. ${ }^{234}$



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Compound | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| NEM | 192.0 |
| PCK | 12.95 |
| IAM | 213.1 |

Figure 36. Concentration-response curve and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of NEM, PCK and IAM were calculated from the results obtained in AlphaScreen based assay through preincubation with Atg4B during $1 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{A}$ ) PCK, concentrations ranging from 0 to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ were employed to determine the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value. B) AlphaScreen signal displayed employing the biotinylated control peptide (His) ${ }_{6}$-Biotin as a substrate in presence and absence of PCK, to confirm that the compound did not interfere with the AlphaScreen signal. C) Table showing $1 C_{50}$ values obtained for NEM (concentrations ranging from 0 to 5 mM ), PCK (concentrations ranging from 0 to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and IAM (concentrations ranging from 0 to $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).

## Z-Factor

The Z-factor, commonly written as $Z^{\prime}$, is a simple statistical parameter that is commonly used to assess the overall quality of an HTS assay. It is unitless and considers the factors affecting either the dynamic range or the variation in measured signals of both a group of positive controls and a group of negative controls. It was proposed by Zhang and Oldenburg in 1999 and it can be estimated with the following
formulae, where SD is the standard deviation, pos refers to positive and neg to negative controls. ${ }^{272}$

$$
Z^{\prime}-\text { factor }=1-\frac{3 \text { SD of pos controls }+ \text { 3SD of neg controls }}{\mid \text { mean of pos controls }- \text { mean of neg controls } \mid}
$$

Generally, a Z-factor value close to 1 is an ideal assay for HTS, values between 0.5 and 1 are considered good quality, values $<0.5$ are considered to have a moderate to good quality and < 0 indicated that the assay cannot be used in HTS. Thus, to determine the Z-factor of the established assay, thirty positive controls and thirty negative controls were measured and the corresponding Z-factor was calculated and established in 0.52 , indicating that the assay can be employed for HTS (Figure 37). One should have in mind that although a higher Z-factor value would be preferred, signal decreasing assays present always some limitations since the assay window is restricted by the amount of enzyme that can be employed without losing sensitivity. In addition, manual pipetting of small quantities may suffer from higher variability affecting the final Z-factor. These variations should be minimized in future studies using automatic liquid handling devices.


Figure 37. Z-Factor of the assay. Thirty aliquots of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of proLC3B-Biotin were prepared and incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence or absence of 25 nM of Atg 4 B . Then the AlphaScreen signal was measured and the corresponding Z-factor was calculated.

## 11. A Mass Spectrometry-based assay as an alternative method to determine Atg4B activity

### 11.1. Assay optimization

The hit validation process involves the confirmation of activity of the identified using orthogonal assays, i.e. an assay that test the activity against the target protein using a different output than the primary assay. With this aim, an assay based on mass spectrometry detection of the released peptide was next developed. Thus, N -(His) $\mathbf{6}^{-}$
$3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ should cleave the N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) (molecular mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$of 15761.1445) and convert it to N -(His) $\mathbf{6}_{6}$-LC3-I(L123C) (molecular mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$of 15211.8975), together with the release of the corresponding $C$-terminal peptide MKCSV (molecular mass $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$of 567.2635 ) and the analysis of the samples by ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC)-TOF should enable to monitor the extend of the formation of the cleaved peptide. The non-biotinylated protein was employed in this case as a substrate to discard any effect of the biotin on the final readout and to avoid the use of the biotinylated protein, that requires additional steps for its preparation and purification.

A buffer similar to the one used in the AlphaScreen assay was employed in the MS-based assay but displaying some differences. Thus, BSA was discarded since the assay was carried out in glass vials therefore preventing the non-specific interaction of protein to the plastic surface. Glycerol was removed to reduce the viscosity of the sample and the non-ionic detergent Triton X-100 was eliminated due to incompatibility with MS-based analysis. The resulting assay buffer was 25 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 8,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ and 1 mM TCEP, and the proteins turn out to be stable in this buffer during the assay time frame ( 30 min incubation).

First, the limit of detection of the uncleaved protein was investigated by injecting serially diluted samples of the target protein (Figure 38A and Figure 39A). Next, a calibration curve for the cleaved peptide was established by injecting serially diluted samples of N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B $(\mathrm{L} 123 \mathrm{C})(18 \mu \mathrm{M})$ fully cleaved by incubation with 100 nM of Atg 4 B at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Complete reaction was confirmed by disappearance of N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) and formation of N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B-I (Figure 38 and Figure 39B). Linearity of the signal-concentration relationship was confirmed in all the range of concentrations investigated.

Then, varying concentrations of N -(His) $)_{6}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(0-100 \mathrm{nM})$ were titrated to a fixed protein substrate concentration ( $18 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and the mixture was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 30 min . The amount of formed peptide was quantified and changes in peak areas were used to calculate the rate of the cleavage by comparison with the calibration curve (Figure 39C and D). Based on these results, a 10 nM concentration of N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-Atg4B was chosen to ensure that the enzyme was working at the initial rate, thereby showing a linear response.


Figure 38. N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-$ Atg4B was shown to cleave the N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) and release the expected $C$-terminal peptide with an observed molecular mass of 567.2643 Da that matches its calculated monoisotopic mass, with the simultaneous conversion of the N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) (calcd. mass for $\mathrm{C}_{702} \mathrm{H}_{1110} \mathrm{O}_{201} \mathrm{~N}_{200} \mathrm{~S}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 15760$ ) to N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B-I (calcd. mass for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{680} \mathrm{H}_{1070} \mathrm{~N}_{194} \mathrm{O}_{195} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \quad[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 15209\right)$. Mass of N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) (A) and N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B-I (B). The insert shows the original mass spectra before deconvolution. C) Extracted-ion chromatogram of mono-charged peptide $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 567.2643$. The insert shows the MS spectra of the peak.


Figure 39. A) A titration of the untreated protein N -(His) $)_{6}-\mathrm{LC} 3 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~L} 123 \mathrm{C})$ was analyzed by UPLC-TOF. The protein could be well detected until $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (retention time: 2.4 min ). B) Released peptide. Linearity and robustness of the signal (peak areas) was assessed employing aliquots of serially diluted samples from fully cleaved protein and using extracted ion chromatograms with a mass tolerance window of 5 ppm . The limit of quantification (LOQ) was on the order of 1.4 nmol and the response was linear from 2.81 up to 180 pmol, the coefficient of correlation resulted 0.9999 . C) Varying concentrations of N -(His) ${ }_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(0-100 \mathrm{nM})$ were titrated to a fixed protein substrate concentration $(18 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and the mixture was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 30 min . Then, aliquots of $130 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were analysed at UPLC/TOF-MS. D) Biotinylated peptide. Linearity and robustness of the signal (peak areas) was assessed employing aliquots of serially diluted samples and using extracted ion chromatograms with a mass tolerance window of 5 ppm . The limit of quantification (LOQ) was on the order of 1.4 nmol and the response was linear from 2.81 up to $90 \mathrm{pmol}(\mathrm{r}: 0.9780)$.

## 12. Screening

### 12.1. Virtual Screening

There are a few crystal structures reported for human Atg4B in the literature ${ }^{273,274,275}$ which show the existence of two structurally different forms of the enzyme: an apo form in absence of substrate, where a regulatory loop (residues 258-263) together with tryptophan 142 (W142) masks the entrance to the active site, and another one with the LC3 substrate bound to the enzyme, where the regulatory loop is displaced
from its original location. PDB structure $2 \mathrm{CY}^{275}$ is representative of the closed Atg 4 B (Figure 40 A and C ), and structure $2 Z Z \mathrm{P},{ }^{274}$ corresponding to the complex of the inactive Atg4B C74S mutant with a bound LC3 substrate, is representative of the open form (Figure 40B and D).


Figure 40. Crystal structure of (A) free Atg4B (PDB 2CY7) and (B) the LC3-Atg4B C74S complex (PDB 2ZZP), showing the regulatory loop (258-263) in cyan, the LC3 substrate in orange including the key residues P119 and G120, the W142 and the catalytic cysteine (C74, A) in yellow. Detailed view of the active centre of (C) free Atg4B, showing the distance between the $\mathrm{C} \alpha$-atoms of residues W142 and P260, and (D) the same for the LC3Atg4B C74S complex. Surface representation of Atg4B in 2CY7 (E) and 2ZZP (F) showing the region close to residues D278 and H280, where a potential ligand binding site present only in the closed form is highlighted with white spheres. Surface colouring is the same as in panels $A-B$.

Briefly, interaction of regulatory loop with W142 keeps closed the entrance of the binding site. Upon LC3 binding to Atg4B, the interaction of phenylalanine (P119) with W142 moves the regulatory loop creating a small cavity at the entrance of the active
site, in which only a small glycine (G) can be adjusted. The interaction of the $C$ terminal region is not enough to open the cavity, requiring the interaction with the full LC3 protein. These results explain the low affinity observed for peptide substrates resembling the $C$-terminal tail of LC3.

A virtual screening was performed by Dr. Jordi Bujons (Institue of Advanced Chemistry of Catalonia) employing the National Cancer Institute ( NCI ) Open Database as source of potential ligands ( 265242 compounds) and both Atg4B structures. After an initial filtering to remove compounds with undesired properties and a structure expansion step to generate different tautomers, protomers, and ring conformers of each compound, a total of 395712 structures resulted which were submitted to high-throughput Virtual Screening (HTVS). Two potential binding sites were explored, the active site and an alternative potential binding site close to residues D278 and H 280 , at the interface between the detachable $N$-terminal tail and the core of the closed form of the protein. This alternative binding site has also been proposed as target to identify compounds which could lock Atg4B in its closed-inactive form. ${ }^{224}$ This site is depicted in Figure 40E and the results of MD studies show that, although with small changes in shape, it perdures during the whole simulation. On the contrary, binding of LC3 induces a change in the conformation of the N -terminal tail that removes this site (Figure 40F) and it is not restored during the simulation. Thus, the Atg4B structure from 2ZZP_full was chosen to identify ligands that could bind into the active site while that from 2CY7_full would allow to identify ligands that could target the second alternative site. A virtual screening workflow ${ }^{276}$ that uses the docking software Glide ${ }^{277,278,279,280}$ and the software Vina ${ }^{281,282}$ to perform the flexible docking of the ligand structures at different levels of accuracy was employed. At the end, four potential list of ligands were obtained: Glide VS2CY7, Glide VS 2ZZP, Vina 2CY7, Vina 2 ZZP.

### 12.2. Biological Screening (AlphaScreen)

The top scored compounds from virtual screening at the two Atg4B sites were visually inspected to discard potential pan assay interference compounds (PAINS), intrinsically promiscuous compounds sharing common structural motifs that tend to interact non-specifically with proteins giving false positive results. ${ }^{283}$ Mechanism of these nonspecific interactions are various and include reactivity with protein nucleophiles such as thiols and amines, metal chelation that can interfere with proteins or assay reagents, redox activity, physicochemical interferences (micelle formation, protein aggregates) or having photochromic properties that might interfere with common assays readouts such as absorption and fluorescence. In addition, frequent hitters in AlphaScreen assays due to their interference with the measured signal or because can prevent the binding of the (His) $)_{6}$-tag to the nickel chelate ( $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$-NTA) beads, were also identified and discarded. ${ }^{284}$

Once promiscuous and non-specific compounds were discarded and availability was checked, 250 compounds were selected as potential binders against the Atg4B active site or against the second alternative site (Table 6 and Annexes). These compounds were obtained from the NCl for biological testing ( 40 compounds/month). All compounds were initially checked at a single concentration of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO. Atg4B was first pre-treated with the buffer containing TCEP for 1 h on ice and the compounds were next added and incubated for 1 additional hour at room temperature, then the substrate proLC3B-Biotin was added to the mixture and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 30 min .

|  | Atg4B activity (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method | Selected | $<85-65$ | $<65-40$ | $<40$ |
| Glide VS 2CY7 | 81 | 3 |  | 1 |
| Glide VS 2ZZP | 75 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| Vina VS 2CY7 | 41 | 5 |  |  |
| Vina VS 2ZZP | 53 | 7 | 1 | 1 |

Table 6. Number of compounds selected from the HTVS employing the Glide and Vina software and identified active compounds depending on the displayed activity in the AlphaScreen assay (<85-60\% enzyme activity, <60-40 or <40\%).


Figure 41. Compound library was screened using the established AlphaScreen-based assay. 250 compounds were preincubated on ice for 1 h with Atg 4 B and then the mixture was added to proLC3B and was incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A sample without Atg4B was employed as positive control and a sample with Atg4B but without compounds was employed as negative. Six compounds showed <65\% of activity (NSC43949, NSC126353 and NSC116384, NSC83713, NSC322341 and NSC631817) and were selected for further analysis.
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Chart 5. Compounds showing a decrease in Atg4B activity around $40 \%$ or more, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ were calculated for these compounds

Five active-site directed compounds (NSC83713, NSC126353, NSC116384, NSC322341 and NSC631817) showed around $65 \%$ or better inhibition in a preliminary single concentration assay ( $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), while only one (NSC43949) of the hits against the second binding site showed $>40 \%$ inhibition (Figure 41 and Chart 5). In general, the Glide software show a slight better success rate that the Vina software.

Half-maximal inhibitory concentrations ( $I_{50}$ ) were then determined for these initial hits in concentration response studies. The compounds showed promising dosedependent inhibition of $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ranging from 86 to $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The inhibitory profiles of these five compounds with an unambiguous, dose-dependent effect are shown in Figure 42. As initial screenings were performed at a concentration of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ employing compound stock solutions of 10 mM ( $2.86 \%$ of DMSO), no solvent effects were considered. Similarly, dose-dependent studies were later performed with 10 mM or 100 mM stock solution and as a result the total amount of DMSO never exceeded 5\%.


Figure 42. Atg4B concentration-response curves of hit molecules NSC439493 (A), NSC126353 (B), NSC611216 (C), NSC322341 (D) NSC83713 (E) and NSC631817 (F). Values shown are means of 3 experiments performed in duplicate.

Purity and identity of the active library samples were checked by MS and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (RMN). These validation assays temporarily discarded NSC43949, NSC322341 and NSC631817 that did not fulfil the analysis requirements (purity was acceptable, but the determined mass was not the expected according to their molecular formula) and corrected the structure of NSC83713 and NSC116384 provided by the NCI . NSC83713 corresponded to an unsaturated analogue whereas NSC116384 turn out to correspond to the halohydrin NSC611216 also present in the list of potential inhibitors, even with a better docking score, but that could not be initially obtained from the NCl due to lack of availability. In addition, all active hits, except for NSC322341, NSC631817 and the commercially available NSC126353, were resynthesized by Ana Bilbao and Dr. Julia Comas to confirm the identity of the library samples and their inhibitory activity.

The results of this virtual screening showed that many of the potential and identified best hits at the AtgB4 active site were mainly stabilized by hydrophobic contacts and $\pi$-stacking interactions with residue W142 (Figure 43). This residue has been shown to be crucial for the processing activity of Atg 4 B since it acts as a clamp to keep residues P119 and G120 of the natural LC3 substrate in place, previous to the cleavage of the contiguous peptide scissile-bond. ${ }^{274}$ On the other hand, common features of the best hits from screening at the second site were the presence of at least one cationic group (i.e. protonated amine), that could establish electrostatic interactions with residue D278 of Atg4B, and an extended structure that allowed them to occupy the relatively narrow groove at the interface between the $N$-terminal tail and the $\operatorname{Atg} 4 B$ core, making hydrophobic contacts with residues like L11, A14 and F16.


Figure 43. Docked poses for the best hits from HTVS against (A) the active site of $\operatorname{Atg} 4 B$ (NSC349155) and (B) the second alternative site (NSC86286). These compounds could not be tested biologically because they were not available from the NCI. Docked poses for the two best Atg4B inhibitors determined by biological testing, (C) NSC126353 and (D) NSC611216. Ligands are shown with yellow C-atoms and protein residues with green Catoms. The regulatory loop is highlighted in cyan and the $N$-terminal tail in red. Interactions are depicted with dashed lines: Hydrogen bonds in blue, salt bridges in magenta, hydrophobic in green and $\pi$-stacking in orange.

To identify compounds that interfere with the AlphaScreen signal (oxygen quenchers, colour quenchers, biotin mimetics, and nickel chelate competitors), the most active compounds were also evaluated in counter screen employing a (His) $)_{6}$-tagged
biotinylated peptide as substrate to discard false positives or target-independent hits. As shown in Figure 44 none of the hits selected interfered with the assay.


Figure 44. AlphaScreen signal displayed using a biotinylated control peptide His ${ }_{6}$-Biotin as a substrate in presence and absence of compounds to confirm that compounds did not interfere with the measured signal.

## 13. Synthesis of focused libraries based on NSC126353 and NSC611216 structures

Focused libraries based on the more potent scaffolds were next designed and synthesized. Quinoxaline derivatives as NSC126353 are privileged scaffolds with a wide array of biological activities. ${ }^{285,286}$ In addition, the naphtostyril scaffold of NSC611216 has attracted increasing attention in the last years. Thus, the 5,6disubstituted benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one core has been shown to be effective thymidylate synthase inhibitors ${ }^{287}$ and 5 -sulfonamide derivatives of benzo[cd]indol$2(1 \mathrm{H})$-ones have been described as BET bromodomain inhibitors ${ }^{288}$ and phosphodiesterase 2A inhibitors. ${ }^{289}$ However, amino- benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-ones derivatives represents a novel class of inhibitors with no biological activity associated. Hence, a series of NSC126353 were designed and synthesized by Dr Júlia Comas and NSC611216 derivatives were prepared by Ana Bilbao during her PhD thesis with the final aim to explore the chemical space for affinity improvement (Table 7, Table 8 and Table 9).

Activities of the NSC126353 analogues were then investigated using the established assays. Unfortunately, none of the synthesized compounds lead to inhibitors showing higher potency. Only Compound 14 (Table 7) bearing a dichlorohydroxyquinoxaline scaffold and a hydroxybenzene moiety showed moderate response. However, with a 3-fold decrease in activity compared to NSC126353, it was less potent that the parent
compound thereby suggesting that the presence of the indane ring is important for compound activity.
Compound

Table 7. Residual activities (Act. \%) of Atg4B compared to control with no inhibitor present and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of the most active compounds. Residual activities are mean values of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. Assay conditions as in Figure 42. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated from AlphaScreen assay and validated with the MS-based assay ( $95 \%$ confidence intervals). N.A.: not active.

We then focused on NSC611216 structure. Initially, NSC61116 was resynthesized, obtaining at the same time its regioisomer 51. Separately, the other regioisomer 52 with the scaffold of 6 -amino-benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one was also prepared (Table 8).
(99 to 163)

Table 8. Activities of compounds NSC611216, 51, 52 and $53 . \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated employing the established AlphaScreen assay and validated with the MS-based assay; $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (95\% confidence intervals). Data from at least three independent experiments performed in duplicate. N. A.: not active.

The activity of the synthesized analogues was then evaluated. The resynthesized NSC611216 displayed a similar potency than the parent compound ( $126 \mu \mathrm{M}$ vs 132 $\mu \mathrm{M})$, whereas the 7 -substituted analog (51) showed a potency enhancement of about two-fold ( $69 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). However, the 6 -substituted analog (52) exhibited a significant decrease in potency. Observed activities are compatible with the binding modes of both compounds. Thus, despite having the acyclic substituent at a different position of the main core, the results from Induced Fit Docking suggest that 51 could adopt a
disposition at the Atg 4 B active site inverted 180 degrees relative to that of NSC611216, that allows it to keep the main interactions of the benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)one core with residue W 142 , as well as the hydrogen bonds established by the endocyclic amide group. The decreased potency of compound 52 seems to correlate with a binding mode where the exocyclic chain is placed at the other end of the active site cavity, more exposed to the bulk solvent (Figure 45).


Figure 45. Best poses from Induced Fit Docking of (A) (S)-51, (B) (R)-52. Ligands are shown with yellow C -atoms and protein residues with green C -atoms. The regulatory loop is highlighted in cyan. Interactions are depicted with dashed lines: Hydrogen bonds in blue, hydrophobic in green and $\pi$-stacking in orange. Similar binding modes were observed for the alternative enantiomers.

The benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one core was then replaced by a 1,8-naphthalimide scaffold. This class of compounds has gained attention as anticancer and antiviral agents. Amonafide, with activity as DNA intercalating agent and topoisomerase II inhibitor, ${ }^{290}$ has entered into phase II clinical trials for prostate cancer, and sulfonated derivatives of 1,8 -naphthalimides can block viral expression in HIV-infected human peripheral blood mononuclear cells by inhibiting reverse transcriptase. ${ }^{291}$ However, the resulting analogue 53 did not show activity as Atg4B inhibitor. Thus, NSC611216 and compound 51 were selected for further structural modification.

The 4-amino and 7-aminobenzo[cd]indol-2-[1H]-one core was kept and modifications on the alkyl group were investigated. Replacement of the chlorine by a methyl group improved the inhibition and the effect was more pronounced in 55 than in 54 which exhibited a more than two-fold increase in potency relative to 51 (Table 9). To explore the structure-activity relationship (SAR), the disubstituted 4,7-diaminobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one lactam (61) was also prepared but it displayed an intermediated potency, with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $68 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Extension of the alkyl chain gave different results depending on the regioisomers. Thus, whereas the 7 -aminobenzo[cd]indol-2-[1H]-one derivative (57) showed an improved $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $12 \mu \mathrm{M}$, the activity of the 4 -aminobenzo[cd]indol-2-[1H]-one derivative (56) dropped significantly (Table 9). The activity of compound 57 could be rationalized on the basis of its binding to $\operatorname{Atg} 4 B$
which is similar to that of analogue 51 (Figure 46). However, the longer hydrophobic chain of 57 allows it to establish new hydrophobic interactions with residues M75, P145 and A263, which could explain the improved activity observed.
(9640

Table 9. Activities of compounds 54-61. $I C_{50}$ values were calculated employing the established AlphaScreen assay and validated with the MS-based assay; IC $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ ( $\mu \mathrm{M}, 95 \%$ confidence intervals). Data from at least three independent experiments performed in duplicate. N. A.: not active.


Figure 46. Best poses from Induced Fit Docking of (R)-57. Ligands are shown with yellow C -atoms and protein residues with green C -atoms. The regulatory loop is highlighted in cyan. Interactions are depicted with dashed lines: Hydrogen bonds in blue, hydrophobic in green and $\pi$-stacking in orange. Similar binding modes were observed for the alternative enantiomer.

## 14. Evaluation of hits in the MS-based assay

Inhibitory activity for hits found using the AlphaScreen-based assay was next determined by the developed MS-based assay. All compounds were found to inhibit the activity of $\operatorname{Atg} 4 B$ with lower but similar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values when employing the MS-based assay (Table 10 and Table 11). Moreover, since the AlphaScreen assay is performed in the presence of $0.5 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ and the LC-MS assay is done in the absence of detergents, the comparable $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained suggest that the inhibition is likely to proceed via specific binding rather than mediated by the formation of aggregates, ${ }^{292}$ although this could explain the lower $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ found in some cases. Alternatively, The MSbased assay employs higher substrate and lower enzyme concentrations, what can also contribute to explain the better $I C_{50}$ values obtained.

| Compound | IC $_{50}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| NSC83713 | $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| NSC126353 | $79 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| NSC611216 | $97 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |

Table 10. Activities of compounds NSC83713, NSC126353 and NSC611216. IC 50 $_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ values were calculated using the MS-based assay. Data from at least three independent experiments performed in duplicate. Next, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for the synthesised analogues from NSC611216 were also determined (Table 11). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were similar or lower than the ones obtained with the AlphaScreen assay. Unfortunately, in some cases activities could not be determined due to solubility problems. The lack of detergents in the employed buffer may difficult the solubilization of some compounds. It is planned to explore a MS-
based assay using detergents, but this will require an optimization protocol that was beyond this PhD thesis.
(

Compound | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ |
| :---: |
| $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Compound

Table 11. Activities of NSC611216 derivatives. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ values were calculated with the MS-based assay. Data from at least three independent experiments performed in duplicate. N.D. not determined due to solubility issues.

## 15. Biological characterisation of 57

Atg4B shows the highest structural similarity to papain and its homologous proteases. ${ }^{275}$ Thus to evaluate the selectivity over other cysteine proteases, in collaboration with Joan Barceló, it was investigated whether NSC611216 and compound 57 could also inhibit papain activity. Neither NSC611216 nor 57 inhibited the activity of this cysteine protease thus suggesting certain selectivity for Atg4B, whereas chymostatin completely block the activity and substantial inhibition was observed with PCK (Figure 47).


Figure 47. Atg4B was treated by the vehicle (control, DMSO), $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of Chymostatin as positive control, $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of PCK as negative control, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of NSC611216 or $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of 57.

Based on the structure-activity relationship (SAR) investigation, compounds $54,55,56$ and 57 were chosen for further in-depth characterization. Thus, we next studied if the treatment of cells with these compounds resulted in changes in cell viability. For that
purpose, two cell lines were selected: HT-29, human epithelial cells from colorectal adenocarcinoma, and HEK293, human epithelial cells from embryonic kidney, overexpressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) conjugated to LC3B. Cells were treated with compounds for 24 h and cell viability was evaluated employing the MTT and SRB assays. As shown in Table 12, although HEK293 cells overexpressing GFP-LC3B were not cancerous, they resulted more sensitive to the treatments. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values determined in MTT and SRB assays resulted similar for derivatives 56 and 57, however 54 and 55 displayed lower toxicity. Compound 57 was selected for further investigation in HT-29 cells due to its higher potency unveiled in SRB assay.

|  | HEK293 (GFP-LC3B) |  | HT-29 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MTT | SRB | MTT | SRB |
| 54 | 82.27 | 116.11 | No active | No active |
|  | $(53.34-126.90)$ | $(102.40-131.50)$ |  |  |
| 55 | No active | 102.90 | No active | No active |
|  |  | $(95.83-110.60)$ |  |  |
| 56 | 46.87 | 49.06 | 96.13 | 164.70 |
|  | $(37.99-57.82)$ | $(44.21-54.44)$ | $(82.21-112.40)$ | $(144.90-187.30)$ |
| 57 | 72.65 | 57.00 | 123.00 | 116.60 |
|  | $(65.17-81.00)$ | $(53.38-60.87)$ | $(73.90-204.70)$ | $(108.00-126.10)$ |

Table 12 Cell viability in HEK293 cells overexpressing GFP-LC3B and HT-29 cells after treatment with compounds $54,55,56$ and 57 during 24 h . $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ were determined by the MTT and the SRB assays.

Changes in autophagic flux due to 57 treatment were next evaluated in the colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29. To do this, autophagy was induced by amino acid deprivation (Earle's Balanced Salt Solution, EBSS) and the levels of the autophagy markers LC3-II and p62 were examined by immunoblotting. Typically, the chemical and genetic inhibition of Atg4B leads to accumulation of LC3-II and increased levels of the cargo adaptor of proteins p62 due to its decreased clearance. ${ }^{232}$ Moreover, as differences in the protein levels can be caused by both modulation in autophagy or changes in lysosomal degradation of the proteins, these studies were performed in the presence lysosomal inhibitors to estimate the overall autophagic flux. ${ }^{217}$ As depicted in Figure 48, 57 resulted in an increase of LC3-II in starved HT-29 cells comparable to Chloroquine (CQ). Autophagy flux analysis indicated that 57 enhanced the LC3-II accumulation induced by protease inhibitors (Figure 48). In addition, treatment with 57 decreased the autophagyinduced degradation of p62. The observed changes support an impairment of autophagy and suggest an inhibition of autophagic flux.


Figure 48 A) Analysis of autophagy inhibition in nutrient deprived HT-29 cells, treated with 57 (or vehicle) and subjected to western blotting to assess LC3-II and p62 expression in presence (+) or absence of protease inhibitors (PI). $\beta$-Actin served as a loading control. Representative blots from three independent experiments are shown. B) Bar graph shows LC3B-II and p62 expression normalized to $\beta$-actin loading control (fold change); mean $\pm$ SEM; $n=3$.

Oxaliplatin is a drug commonly used for the treatment of colorectal cancer. Its mechanism of action is mediated by the formation of inter-strand and intra-strand crosslinks with DNA, thereby inhibiting DNA replication and resulting in apoptosis. It has been reported that compounds which inhibit autophagy can sensitize cancer cells to oxaliplatin, and beclin1 knockdown enhances oxaliplatin sensitivity in colon cancer cells under normoxic and hypoxic conditions. ${ }^{293}$ Hence, we next investigated the antitumor activity of 57 against HT-29 cells in the presence and absence of oxaliplatin. Cell viability inhibition by oxaliplatin was first investigated by an MTT assay at 24, 48 and 72 h with resulting $I C_{50}$ of $240.30,90.00$ and $44.81 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. A concentration of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of oxaliplatin was then chosen to evaluate if conjugated treatment with 21 at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{35}\right)$ enhanced the toxic effect on $\mathrm{HT}-29$ cells. As shown in Figure 49, cell viability inhibition by oxaliplatin was markedly enhanced in the presence of a non-toxic concentration of 57, thus indicating that autophagy inhibition may result in an additive or synergic anticancer effect.


Figure 49. HT29 cells were treated during 24,48 or 72 h with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $57,30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of oxaliplatin or a mixture of 57 and oxaliplatin at the same concentrations. Data are the average $\pm$ SD of three experiments. Statistics were carried out employing GraphPad were ns: no significant, ${ }^{* *}: \mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *}$ : $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.001$.

In summary, in the course of this thesis a novel AlphaScreen-based assay for measuring the catalytic activity of the cysteine protease Atg4B has been established. This assay combined with a structure-based high-throughput virtual screening of a library of about 265242 compounds yielded a series of novel autophagy inhibitors. Medicinal chemistry optimization of the initial hit NSC611216 provided insight into the structural requirements for the inhibition of Atg4B. The most potent compound 57 shows a 10-fold improvement relative to the parent hit and in vitro activities in the range or better than inhibitors previously identified.

The identified inhibitor also demonstrated a good cytotoxicity profile while maintaining autophagy inhibition, as measured by LC3-II and p62 protein levels. Moreover, the synergistic effect of 57 combined with oxaliplatin resulted in an enhanced cell death in the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29. All in all, the developed AlphaScreen and MS-based assays can be key tools enabling the highthroughput identification of novel Atg4B inhibitors. Moreover, the aminobenzo[cd]indol-2-[1H]-one scaffold represents a novel chemotype for the further development of small molecule inhibitors of Atg4B. These results will contribute to expand the toolbox used to study autophagy in mammal cells and to unravel the role of $A \operatorname{tg} 4 B$ in cancer treatment.

Some limitations of the current work should be also mentioned. The identification of potent and selective Atg4B inhibitors is an emerging field of research, as proven by the publication of six articles focused on this topic just in the last two years. ${ }^{294,231,219,230,232,238}$ Although different chemotypes and structures have been reported, all of them display similar potencies (low micromolar) and the identification
of potent (nanomolar) compounds still remain an unsolved problem. Unfortunately, compounds displaying nanomolar potencies could not be detected during the course of this work. However, it is expected that additional medicinal chemistry approaches could further improve the potency of the inhibitors identified. Moreover, the use of the established assay with alternative libraries can also be a source of novel inhibitors. An additional unsolved problem that has not been covered in this work is the identification of Atg 4 B inhibitors displaying selectivity in front of the other $\operatorname{Atg} 4$ isoforms (A, C and D). Indeed, selective inhibitors would be invaluable tools to explore the different selectivity and substrate specificity of these proteins, and as a result the activity of the identified structure in front of the other Atg4 isoforms should be addressed in the near future.

## CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results obtained in this chapter, a novel HTS assay to find Atg4B inhibitors has been developed allowing the identification and characterization of a novel scaffold for further biological studies. In summary, the following assessments can be concluded from the research done:

- A novel HTS assay based on AlphaScreen technology has been established to measure the activity of the cysteine protease Atg4B. The novel HTS assay required the previous expression and modification of LC3B and Atg4B, that could be obtained in good yields. Assay conditions were optimized such as the buffer composition, the type of biotin employed, the substrate and enzyme concentrations, the stability of the signal and the proteins in the buffer and DMSO tolerance. This described optimization provided a sensitive HTS assay with a resulting $Z^{\prime}$-factor of 0.5 .
- An alternative MS-based assay was optimized in order to validate hits found in AlphaScreen and discard false positives. Assay conditions were also optimized (buffer composition, substrate and enzyme concentrations, limit of detection of the method), providing a robust alternative assay for measuring Atg4B activity.
- The developed HTS assay could be employed to evaluate 250 compounds selected from the NCl Open Database were selected after a HTVS approach. Four compounds (NSC83713, NSC126353, NSC611216 and NSC43949) showed $\geq 50 \%$ inhibition in a preliminary single concentration assay.
- After resynthesizing or purchasing the four compounds, NSC43949 was discarded since it did not fulfil the analysis requirements. $I C_{50}$ values of the rest of compounds ranged from 104 to $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
- NSC83713, NSC126353 and NSC611216 were confirmed as hits with similar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ using the established MS-based assay.
- The characterization of the activity of the synthesized series of NSC126353 and NSC611216 derivatives allowed the discovering of the compounds 54, 55,56 and 57 for further in-depth investigation. The aminobenzo[cd]indol-2-[1H]-one scaffold represents a novel chemotype for the development of small molecule inhibitors of Atg4B
- Compound 57 was selected for additional characterization based on its high potency, a 10 -fold improved activity and a good cytotoxicity profile on cell lines. Moreover, inhibition of the autophagic flux was maintained, as measured by LC3-II and p62 protein levels. Finally, the synergistic effect of 57
combined with oxaliplatin resulted in an enhanced cell death in the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29.
- The established HTS assays are useful tools to evaluate potential Atg4B inhibitors. The assay is sensitive and can be miniaturized with the appropriate equipment. The reported HTVS combined with the HTS assay has shown effective to identify novel chemotypes inhibiting Atg4B activity.


## CHAPTER 3:

## STUDY OF THE LIPID DIVERSITY OF THE AUTOPHAGY BIOMARKER LC3

## INTRODUCTION

Biological membranes are composed of a variety of lipid species, being glycerophospholipids (GPLs), sphingolipids and cholesterol the major lipid components (Figure 50). Glycerophospholipids are composed by a polar head group, a glycerol moiety and two fatty acids esterified to the $s n-1$ and $s n-2$ positions. Generally, most of these species contain a saturated acyl chain at the sn-1 position and an unsaturated chain at the sn-2 position. Some lipid species, as plasminogens, present ether-bonded fatty acids instead. The phosphate group at the sn-3 position can be in turn coupled with different head groups to produce various species such as phosphatidylcholine ( PC ), phosphatidylethanolamine ( PE ), phosphatidylserine (PS), phosphatidylinositol (PtdIns), phosphatidylglycerol (PG), and cardiolipin (CL). ${ }^{295}$

Sphingolipids (SL) are derived from the long chain aminodiol sphingosine. Relevant examples of this lipid class include ceramide (Cer), sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) and sphingomyelin (SM). Whereas Cer has apoptotic properties, S1P is involved in cell growth and proliferation. SM is one of the major components of plasma membrane and it is essential for the formation of membrane microdomains o rafts, which function as hubs to get more efficient signal transduction pathways. ${ }^{296,297}$ Finally, cholesterol represents $10-20 \%$ of total lipids present in the plasma membrane, one of the highest concentration in mammalian cells, ${ }^{298}$ though it is also found in organelles membranes. It displays a sterol-based structure of four fused hydrocarbon rings and modulates the physical properties of the membrane. ${ }^{299}$

Membrane lipids cover a wide variety of cellular functions: serve as energy storage, establish the barrier between different compartments and organelles, modulate the membrane curvature, fluidity and polarity, facilitate the transport of molecules or mediate the microdomains formation. In addition, lipids can also act as signalling molecules (ceramide, eicosanoids), ${ }^{296}$ mediate temporary association of proteins to membranes or they covalently modify proteins to modulate their localization and function. Examples of lipid posttranslational modifications include the attachment of glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchors, the S-prenylation and S-acylation of cysteines, the $N$-myristoylation of glycines, the cholesterylation of members of Hedgehog family and the C-terminal phosphatidyletanolamination (PE) in Atg8, GABARAP and LC3 (Figure 51).

## 1. Lipid Posttranslational modifications

Prenylation is a post-translational covalent modification in which cysteine residues close to the C-terminal regions of some eukaryotic proteins are modified with a 15-carbon (farnesyl) or a 20-carbon (geranylgeranyl) isoprenoid lipid via thioether linkage. This attachment provides the proteins with a hydrophobic domain that is able

B) Sphingolipids

D) Fatty acids diversity


Figure 50. Chemical diversity of membrane lipids in mammals. A) GPLs present a glycerol backbone with two fatty acids at the $s n-1$ and $s n-2$ positions, the head group is a phosphate group and an ester bond between the backbone and acyl chain on sn-1/2
confers them diversity. The name of GPL is determined by the head groups as shown in the inserted table. B) Sphingolipids consist of a sphingoid base, an $N$-acyl chain and a head group. The sphingolipid name is determined by the combination of head group and $N$-acyl chain, as shown in the inserted table and also depends on the hydroxylation and unsaturation degree of the sphingoid backbone. C) Cholesterol is the major mammalian sterol. D) Fatty acid diversity is based on the differences in chain length, unsaturation degree and the position of double bonds.


Figure 51. Posttranslational modifications of proteins. The glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor is a posttranslational modification which is positioned at the $C$-terminus of many eukaryotic proteins and localize them in the outer leaflet of the cell membrane. Myristoylation corresponds to the irreversible covalent linkage of the 14-carbon saturated fatty acid, myristic acid, to the $N$-terminal glycine of many eukaryotic and viral proteins. Cholesterylation is the attachment of sterols to proteins. This modification has been a characteristic of the family of hedgehog proteins (Hh). Farnesylation is a type of prenylation, by which an isoprenyl group is added to a cysteine residue. Protein $S$ acylation involves the posttranslational addition of a lipid (typically, but not exclusively, palmitate) via a labile thioester bond to intracellular cysteine residues. The reversible conjugation to autophagy proteins of a phosphatidylethanolamine represents a unique
posttranslational modification.
to interact with membranes. ${ }^{300}$ This modification controls signalling activities of several proteins, such as prenylated small GTPases like Ras, Rac1 and Rab, and the phosphatase of regenerating liver family of PTPs. ${ }^{301}$

Protein $N$-myristoylation is a specific irreversible lipidation of the $\alpha$-amino group on an $N$-terminal glycine residue catalysed by $N$-myristoyl transferase. Typically, myristoylation is cotranslational on new synthesized polypeptides after the cleavage of an initiator methionine, but it can also occur posttranslational after the cleavage of an N -terminal glycine. Myristoylated proteins are involved in several cellular signalling pathways, protein-protein interactions and are essential for cell survival and regulation of both innate and adaptive immune responses. ${ }^{302} \mathrm{~N}$-myristoylation can be found in Src family kinases, non-receptor tyrosine kinases which are mediators of cellular signal transduction and cellular proliferation. All these proteins need to be myristoylated at the $N$-terminus in order to anchor the protein to a membrane, although in most of the cases one lipid modification is not sufficient to ensure stable membrane association, and as a result, it is usually combined with palmitoylation. ${ }^{303}$
$S$-acylation is the enzymatic reversible addition of long-chain lipids, typically palmitate, onto intracellular cysteine residues of soluble and transmembrane proteins through a labile thioester linkage. This modification increases protein hydrophobicity and can modulate its structure, assembly, maturation, trafficking and function. A wide range of proteins, most of them with key roles in cellular processes, are $S$-acylated, including membrane receptors, ion channels and transporters, enzyme and kinases, signalling adapters, chaperones and structural proteins. ${ }^{304}$ Thus, palmitoylation of Wnt proteins is necessary for their secretion and function in controlling embryogenesis and adult tissue homeostasis. ${ }^{305}$ Ras proteins are monomeric GTPases that are involved in early steps in signal cascades that regulate many processes such as survival, growth and differentiation. All Ras proteins are farnesylated on their Cterminal extreme cysteine residue, which enables weak membrane binding. ${ }^{306}$ Stable membrane binding in plasma membrane, ER or Golgi complex is facilitated by additional single or double palmitoylation.

Cholesterylation is the attachment of sterol to proteins. As far as it is known, this posttranslational modification is only characteristic of Hedgehog (Hh) family of proteins, with a crucial role in embryonic development, tissue repair and regeneration. Both cholesterylation and palmitoylation are widely conserved in all the Hh homologues. The cholesterol moiety in Hh is involved in membrane association, binding to specific receptors, secretion, transport and association to some transport molecules. ${ }^{307}$

Another unique lipid modification is the reversible conjugation of the yeast autophagy marker Atg8 to PE. This lipidation occurs at the C-terminus on the Gly120 residue and it is crucial to facilitate association with the phagophore membrane and the subsequent membrane elongation to form the autophagosome. On the other hand, membrane associated Atg8 recruits other motor proteins enabling hemifusion and fusion processes. ${ }^{308}$ In higher eukaryotes, Atg8 has evolved into two subfamily of proteins LC3 and GABARAP consisting of LC3A, LC3B, LC3C and GABARAP, GABARAPL1 and GABARAPL2/GATE-16.

## 2. Membrane heterogeneity and function

Biological cell membranes are formed by mixtures of proteins and different lipids, with GPLs, cholesterol, sphingolipids being the major components. A typical cell can contain more than 1000 different lipids, generated by multiple combinations of acyl chain and head groups, what results in a complex, diverse, dynamic and heterogeneous membrane composition. The requirements of the membrane as a barrier do not justify this enormous degree of structural diversity. Indeed, lipids have several additional functions: membrane structure maintenance, energy and heat sources, signalling molecules, protein recruitment platforms and substrates for posttranslational protein-lipid modification. Therefore, lipid diversity results essential in order not only to provide membranes with different properties but also to modulate protein function and cellular processes.

Two types of diversity have been defined: chemical diversity that refers to the variety of different chemical structures detected in cellular lipids, and compositional diversity, which is the ratio between different species that can be found in tissues or cells, organelles, membrane leaflets or membrane subdomains. Whereas chemical diversity affects the properties of lipids, compositional diversity influences membrane behaviour through lipid-lipid and lipid-protein interactions.

Fatty acids from GPLs and sphingolipids may vary in chain length, unsaturation degree, double bond position and hydroxylation degree. Thus, chemical diversity of GPLs relies on the nature of the attached fatty acids, their localisation at $s n-1$ or $s n-2$ position (saturated or monounsaturated can be usually found at $s n-1$ whereas the fatty acid at the $s n-2$ position tends to be monounsaturated or polyunsaturated) and the head group present at the sn-3 position. Sphingolipid chemical diversity arises from the type of the sphingoid base, the head group and the $N$-acyl chain. Head groups are basic for protein-lipid interaction and their diversity is especially important in order to interact with specific proteins. Sterols and oxysterols and their derivative bile acids also have different structures and functions (Figure 50). ${ }^{309}$

Many different enzymes are involved in the biosynthesis and homeostasis of cellular
lipids. Hence, the diversity of fatty acid is given by different processes such as elongation, desaturation, partial $\beta$-oxidation and hydroxylation (Figure 50). Ceramides, which can result in complex sphingolipids, are synthesized by ceramide synthases that transfer acyl chains to sphingoid bases with different substrate preferences. In addition, mammals cannot synthesize some polyunsaturated fatty acids and they need to be acquired by diet. Therefore, nutrition can also modify lipid composition.

Chemical diversity not only relies on biosynthesis. PC and PE are synthesized from diacylglycerol through the CDP-choline and CDP-ethanolamine pathways. After biosynthesis the acyl chains are remodeled by acyltransferases and phospholipases, thereby generating a large variety of lipid species including short, long and/or unsaturated fatty acids (i.e. 16:0/18:2-PE, 18:0/20:4 PE, 18:1/18:1 PE). ${ }^{310}$ GPLs containing saturated and unsaturated fatty acid are required to strike a balance between impermeable and flexible membranes. Unsaturated fatty acids make more flexible membranes and are required for shaping, fission and division activities, whereas saturated fatty acids diminish membrane permeability, forming a cellular barrier and preventing ions and molecules to freely cross the membrane.

Compositional diversity can be found at cell types, organelles, and inner/outer plasma membrane leaflets. PC is the major cellular phospholipid comprising 40-50\%, PE can be found in a $15-25 \%$ whereas PS is less abundant ( $5-10 \%$ ). Moreover, the different subcellular organelles have distinct phospholipid composition. As example, ER contains more unsaturated GPLs while plasma membrane include large amounts of cholesterol and sphingolipids. ${ }^{311}$

Apart from the unequal distribution among organelles, there is also an uneven distribution among different leaflets (charge and type of lipids). This latter is remarkably visible at the plasma membrane with a different composition at the outer leaflet (phosphatidylcholines (PC) and sphingolipids). ${ }^{298} \mathrm{PE}$ is particularly enriched in inner membranes of the mitochondria (35-40\%) where CL (cardiolipin) is also exclusively found. Similarly, PS is only found in the cytoplasmic leaflet of plasma membrane, ${ }^{309}$ and lysobisphophatidic acid is only detected in late endosomes. ${ }^{312}$ This distribution suggest the implication of specialized proteins to generate, sense and maintain this specific composition. ${ }^{313}$ Moreover, proteins such as flippases, floppases and scramblases are also required to establish and maintain the transbilayer asymmetry of lipids. ${ }^{295}$ Another key point is the compartmentalization of lipid synthesis not only at organelle level but also at suborganelle scale. For example, some lipids are enriched in the membrane contact sites between ER and mitochondria, such as the discovered novel subdomain in ER in which phosphatidylinositol (Ptdlns) is synthesized. ${ }^{314}$ Cells invest substantial energy on keeping this diverse and asymmetric distribution of lipids, mainly by the action of actively demanding ATPases, ${ }^{295}$ thus
indicating the importance of lipid composition on cellular function.
As the membrane composition is tightly regulated, alterations in membrane homeostasis have been associated with many diseases. In recent years, advances in lipid analysis techniques have allowed to gain knowledge about this field and its related diseases, although many processes are not clear yet. Genetic diseases related to lipids are the result of mutations in enzyme belonging to specialized pathways. Examples thereof are the Sjögren-Larsson syndrome related to sphingolipid degradation ${ }^{315}$ or the hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathy where serine palmitoyltransferase 1 and 2 , the first step in ceramide biosynthesis, are mutated. ${ }^{316}$ Lipid alterations have also been observed in non-heritable diseases. Thus, type 2 diabetes, cancer, Alzheimer and cystic fibrosis present increased ceramide levels. ${ }^{317}$ Changes in PC acyl chains are also observed in many cancers, although the mechanisms underlying these changes remain yet unclear. ${ }^{309}$ It is easier to explain altered phenotypes when the function of the lipid is directly related with its physical properties. Hence, reduced levels of dipalmitoyl-PC (DPPC) results in a partial neonatal lethality and lung injury due to the role of this lipid in facilitating breathing through reduction of the surface tension of the lung epithelium. ${ }^{318}$ However, other phenotypes are not so easy to unravel. Hence, the mechanism responsible of brain malfunctions in humans and mice with abnormal acyl chains in Ptdlns remains elusive. ${ }^{319}$ An additional complication in the lipidomic field is the fact that a disrupted metabolic pathway can lead to the disappearance or accumulation of a substrate but also of unexpected products, thereby complicating its analysis. ${ }^{309}$

Lipid composition has also a key role in the regulation of membrane curvature (Figure 53). Hence, cylindrical lipids (PC, PS) tend to form flat monolayers, lipids with small polar headgroups (PE, diacylglycerol (DAG) or CL) have a conical shape and present a negative curvature, whereas lipids with a larger headgroup (lysophosphatidylcholine (lysoPC), Ptdlns) present an inverted conical shape that favours the bending of the membrane into a positive curvature. ${ }^{320}$ Thus, the size of the head group and the hydrophobic tail affects the curvature of the membrane. For example, the negative curvature caused by PE disrupts the bilayer and promotes membrane fusion. ${ }^{321}$ Chain length and unsaturation degree also play a role. Long and saturated fatty acids make membranes thicker and less fluid since their tails are tight and have stronger lipidlipid interactions, whereas unsaturated lipids makes the membrane more fluid. Polyunsaturated fatty acids are another example of curvature alteration since they decrease the rigidity of the membrane. One example is the docosahexaenoic acid (22:6, $\omega-3$, DHA) present in GPLs, which promote highly curved membranes and endocytosis. ${ }^{322}$ In addition, the propensity of the membrane to undergo fission and shaping activities increase with the level of phospholipids polyunsaturation (18:1-18:0 < 20:4:18:0, 22:6-18:0) being $\omega$-3 fatty acids better for vesiculation than then $\omega-6$ counterparts. ${ }^{323}$


Figure 52. Lipids like PE, DAG and CL have a smaller head group than the acyl chain and, thus, present a conical shape that confers negative curvature to the membrane, whereas inverted conical lipids like PtdIns have a larger head group that the acyl chain, which confers positive curvature of the membrane. Finally, PC and PS are cylindrical lipids, which form a flat layer. ${ }^{320}$

Lipid can also modulate cellular function through interaction with other lipids. Thus, lipid-lipid interactions are important to stabilize nanodomains that form lipid rafts (cholesterol and sphingolipids interact through hydrogen-bonds). ${ }^{324}$ Lipids can also interact across bilayers. Hence, PS aggregated in the cytosolic leaflet of plasma membrane promotes clustering of GPI anchors in the other leaflet through interaction of cholesterol and PS with one or more long saturated acyl chain, thereby contributing to nanodomain formation. ${ }^{325}$

Lipid can also function through their interaction with proteins. There are several types of protein-lipid interactions. ${ }^{309}$ Examples thereof are the specific protein localization through interaction of protein domains with lipids, proteins that extract lipids from membrane via lipid-binding pockets, lipid transfer proteins that regulate lipid localization and downstream functions, among others. One example is the Pleckstrin homology (PH) domain, a ${ }^{\sim} 100$ amino acid sequence that binds to phosphatidylinositol phosphate lipids (PIP) and by doing so directs proteins to membranes enriched with this type of lipids (Figure 54). Other lipids, such as DAG and PS (involved in intracellular trafficking) ${ }^{326}$ can also recruit proteins to cellular membranes. ${ }^{309}$ BAR-domain-containing proteins binds membranes with a certain curvature (Figure 53), which is in turn modulated by lipid composition. ${ }^{327}$ Another important membrane property are the packing defects, which is the exposure degree of membrane hydrophobic regions to the aqueous environment. Small head groups and unsaturated fatty acids promote packing defects, whereas polyunsaturated fatty acids not. ${ }^{328}$ Related to this behaviour, some proteins can sense lipid composition and curved membranes or vesicles (i.e. select ER-vesicles and allow them to enter to Golgi). ${ }^{329}$ Lipid composition of membranes also affects localization and conformation of transmembrane proteins and ion channels.

## Pleckstrin homology domain



Figure 53. Examples of protein-lipid interactions. Pleckstrin homology domain is a sequence of approximately 100 amino acids included in a range of proteins involved in cell signalling or with structural roles which binds regions enriched with PIP. PH domain can recruit proteins to different membranes, such as phospholipase $\mathrm{C}-\gamma$ (PLC $-\gamma$ ) that is recruited via this domain to specific membrane where it will be activated by phosphorylation. ${ }^{330}$ BAR-domains are highly conserved dimerization domains present in many proteins involved in membrane dynamics that can sense, induce and stabilize lipid membrane curvature. ${ }^{331}$

## 3. Posttranslational modifications and autophagy

As explained in more detail in the previous chapters, Atg proteins are crucial to control autophagy through regulation of phagophores formation, autophagosome elongation and closure and fusion with autolysosomes. Their function is tightly modulated by posttranslational modifications like phosphorylation, glycosylation, ubiquitination, methylation, acetylation, lipidation, and proteolysis. These modifications can be reversible or irreversible depending on their nature. ${ }^{332}$ Some relevant examples will be mentioned as follows. Phosphorylation is a common posttranslational modification that regulates the activity of many proteins involved in autophagy such as the ULK1 complex, AMPK, mTORC1 and AKT. For example, autophosphorylation of ULK1 complex at different amino acid sites is essential for the regulation of the initial stages of the phagophore formation. ${ }^{333}$ Atg5, a protein of the Atg12-Atg5 conjugation system, which acts as E3-like ubiquitin ligase to convert LC3-। into LC3-II, can be phosphorylated at the Thr75 by MAPK14 to inhibit starvationinduced autophagy. ${ }^{334}$ Atg9A is a transmembrane protein required for the early
stages of autophagy. Its glycosylation facilitates the coordination of membrane transport from donor sources to the autophagosome formation site. ${ }^{335}$ Ubiquitination also plays multiple roles in the regulation of autophagy. Hence, ubiquitination of beclin $1^{336}$ and ULK1 ${ }^{337}$ has a key role in autophagy induction. Another posttranslational modification is the acetylation of $\operatorname{Atg} 5, A \operatorname{tg} 7, A \operatorname{tg} 8$ and $A \operatorname{tg} 12$ by EP300 which decreases autophagy, ${ }^{131}$ in contrast to the deacetylation of the same proteins catalysed by SIRT1 (sirtuin-1) which induces autophagy. ${ }^{338}$

Lipidation of LC3/GABARAP family members is essential for their association with phagophore membranes and regulates its role in membrane elongation, fusion and cargo recognition. The C-terminal conjugation of a phosphatidylethanolamine moiety drives the growth of autophagosomal membranes by facilitating membrane-tethering and hemifusion. ${ }^{339}$ However, how this process is performed is yet not clear. In addition, a peptide corresponding to $N$-terminal helix of LC3 and GABARAPL2/GATE16 can also interact with membranes and promote membrane fusion, thereby complicating the overall analysis. ${ }^{340}$ Apart from the covalent lipidation with PE, other membrane lipids, such as of C18-ceramide and cardiolipin (CL), have been proposed to transiently interact with LC3/GABARAP proteins. Thus, generation of C18-ceramide mediates autophagic cell death by mitophagy through selective targeting of ceramide localized in mitochondria by LC3-II containing autophagolysosomes. ${ }^{115}$ Cardiolipin is a unique lipid formed by two phosphatidic acid moieties that are bridged by a glycerol enriched with linoleoyl (18:2) acyl chains. ${ }^{341}$ This lipid is located exclusively at the inner membrane of mitochondria facing the mitochondrial matrix and it is only released in case of apoptosis or mitophagy. The interaction between CL and LC3 has been involved in mitophagy induction. ${ }^{342}$ The binding of LC3 with CL was also proven by direct liposome-binding studies, computational modelling and site-directed mutagenesis. Moreover, treatment with a mitophagy inhibitor causes an altered distribution of CL in primary rat cortical neurons. ${ }^{343,344}$ In addition, knockdown of Tafazzin, a phospholipid transacetylase that catalyses the remodelling of cardiolipin, caused only defective mitophagosome biogenesis, suggesting that CL interaction with LC3 is only essential in mitophagy. ${ }^{345}$

## 4. Lipids and autophagy

Autophagosomes seem to be generated from multiple membrane sources. Hence, PtdIns3P-dependent formation of the omegasome starts at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) ${ }^{346,347}$ and LC3 lipidation seems to take place in ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC)-enriched membranes, but membrane lipids can also come from the outer membrane of the mitochondria, ${ }^{348}$ Golgi ${ }^{349}$ o early endosomes. ${ }^{350}$ In addition, phagophore expansion can be mediated by clathrin-coated vesicles derived from the plasma membrane ${ }^{351}$ or Atg9-postive vesicles that cycle between distinct cytoplasmic compartments. ${ }^{352}$ Even though the process of the autophagosome
biogenesis remains unclear, increasing evidences indicate that strongly curved membranes may be the precursors of autophagosome. Thus, the components of the lipidation machinery have been shown to work optimally in small vesicles resembling highly curved membranes, probably resembling the strongly deformed rim of the growing autophagosome. ${ }^{353}$ Moreover, intact membrane curvatures can promote protein lipidation, ${ }^{354}$ that does not occur in flat surfaces, presumably avoiding the accumulation of LC3-II in other membranes. ${ }^{355}$ In addition, the conjugation and deconjugation reactions also show a significant curvature preference. As example, the E2-like enzyme Atg3, in charge of LC3-I lipidation, possess a sequence of 20 amino acids at the $N$-terminus forming a membrane curvature-sensing amphipathic helix which interacts more strongly with negatively-charges vesicles and cone-shaped anionic phospholipids and facilitates LC3/GABARAP lipidation with PE (Figure 54). ${ }^{332,356}$ In agreement with these results, it has been observed that length reduction of this $\alpha$ helix reduces LC3-I lipidation whereas its enlargement causes a loss of specificity for highly curved membranes and then Atg3-mediated lipidation of LC3-I occurs in all cellular membranes. ${ }^{356}$ The legionella effector RavZ, which cleaves Atg8 family proteins just one amino acid over from the Atg4 cleavage site, also show strong preference for highly curved membranes. RavZ membrane binding relies on a PtdIns3P-binding (PH) domain and a catalytic domain helix with preference for highcurvature membranes. ${ }^{357}$ Moreover, LC3 insertion into the membrane has also curvature-inducing properties and can change the membrane spontaneous curvature as shown by recent studies performed in liposomes. ${ }^{308}$ Not only membrane curvature but also membrane composition has a role in this process. Thus, LC3/GABARAP lipidation also relies on PE concentration. At physiological membrane concentrations of DOPE ( $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), lipidation becomes membrane curvature dependent, being more efficient in liposomes of smaller diameter, and therefore highly curved. Moreover, in liposomes prepared by replacing the cone-shaped unsaturated DOPE with cylindrical and fully saturated DPPE and DSPE, lipidation is only possible after sonication which produced small liposomes near their curvature limit. ${ }^{356}$ Other conic-shaped lipids with intrinsic negative curvature, such as DAG and CL have also shown to induce their lipidation. ${ }^{353,358}$ After elongation, closure of the autophagosome would minimize membrane curvature and prevent LC3-II accumulation. All these data may explain the regulation of autophagosome size by lipidated proteins observed in yeast. ${ }^{359}$ However, how membrane curvature or the proportion of conical lipids in the membrane might influence the lipidation of Atg8 family proteins is not fully understood.


Figure 54. The $N$-terminal 20 amino acids of human Atg3 form a membrane curvaturesensing amphipathic helix which promotes the lipidation of the LC3 protein family. The phagophore exhibits an extreme local curvature that distinguishes it from most other intracellular membranes and temporally from the later stages of autophagosome maturation.

Lipidation of LC3/GABARAP is crucial for their attachment to a growing phagophore membrane and it is a critical event in autophagosome elongation and maturation. It has been reported that specific members of LC3/GABARAP family act at different stages of autophagosome formation. GABARAP promote higher liposome aggregation in vitro than LC3, which sustains its role in membrane tethering and fusion processes and the implication of LC3-II in autophagosome elongation mediated by hemifusion. This different function could be related with an $\alpha$-helix present at the $N$-terminus. ${ }^{135}$ Different possible explanations have arisen in the last years for this different function. All Atg8 orthologs share a C-terminal ubiquitin-like structure and differ at the N terminal helix, basic in LC3, neutral in GABARAPL2 and acidic in GABARAP. Therefore, it has been hypothesized that the divergent $N$-terminal $\alpha$-helices might be important for specific functions via protein-protein, protein-lipid interactions or though posttranslational modifications. ${ }^{360}$ Differences have been also observed in the processing by the cysteine protease Atg4 homologs. Hence, whereas Atg4A is specific for GABARAP and GABARAPL2, Atg4B process all the members of LC3 subfamily albeit with different affinities.

The identification and detailed characterization of the lipid bound to Atg8 was described in seminal studies done by the group of Yoshinori Ohsumi in 2000, who identified that Atg8 is covalently bound to phosphatidylethanolamine through an amide bond with the C-terminal glycine (Figure 55). ${ }^{361}$ Indeed, he was awarded the 2016 Medicine Nobel prize for this work together with all his studies directed to characterize the molecular mechanism controlling autophagy. There is some controversy regarding the nature of the lipid attached to Atg8 family members. Hence, although in cells LC3 proteins have been only shown to lipidate on PE head groups, in vitro studies have proven that PS could be also used as a substrate. ${ }^{362}$ The
discrepancy between in vitro and in vivo reactions suggest that there may be unknown selective factors regulating LC3 lipidation. Regarding the delipidation step, whereas an in vitro prepared GABARAPL1-PE could be cleaved by Atg4B, the equivalent GABARAPL1-PS was not substrate of Atg4B but could be completely cleaved by RavZ. ${ }^{226}$ Contrarily, Atg4 proteins could cleave LC3 proteins bearing various C-terminal modifications (ethanolamine, ethanolamine phosphate, glycerophosphoethanolamine, DPPE, diacetyl-PE or esterified with hexadecanol), whereas RavZ required a PE moiety esterified to long fatty acids. ${ }^{363}$

Regarding the fatty acid composition, the preliminary studies performed by Ohsumi based on isolation of a N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-tagged LC3 and the release of attached fatty acids after alkaline hydrolysis, suggested the presence of palmitic, stearic, palmitoleic and oleic acids. However, a detailed analysis of fatty acid composition has not been performed. Thus, if the enzymes in charge of lipidation and delipidation show in some cases broad substrate preference, which is the rationale behind the choice of PE (vs PS or PC) as a substrate? And have phospholipids with different fatty acid composition a role in the regulation of LC3/GABARAP activity?


Figure 55. Members of the LC3/GABARAP subfamilies are C-terminally modified by attachment with a phosphatidylethanolamine unit. Conjugation to PS has been suggested and studied in vitro but it could not be detected in cellular experiments. Fatty acid composition of the bound glycerophospholipids (POPE (palmitoyl, oleoyl), DPPE, (dipalmitoyl), DOPE (dioleoyl), etc.) remains elusive.

## 5. Mass spectrometry-based lipid analysis

Compared to the great advances seen in proteomic field in the last decades, lipid research has clearly lagged behind. Their amphipathic nature, structural diversity and the fact that lipids often work in heterogeneous ensembles with proteins and other cellular components has complicated this field of research. In recent years, the study of lipids has gained importance due to their multiple roles in cell biology, physiology and pathology. Moreover, thanks to the progress in mass spectrometry (MS) and
computational methods, great advances have been achieved in the lipidomic field that have pushed forwards this area of research. ${ }^{364}$ Considerable efforts are being spent to develop methods aimed to detect, identify, quantify and discover lipid species and its related genes and proteins. ${ }^{365}$ Demands from proteomics, lipidomics and metabolomics have also strongly contributed to these advancements resulting in equipment with more efficient fragmentation, increased sensitivity and higher mass accuracy. Available techniques include matrix-assisted desorption/ionization (MALDI), atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) and electrospray ionization (ESI) methods in conjunction with automated liquid chromatography (LC). In addition, high-resolution MS coupled to liquid chromatography (LC) have shown the ability to resolve, profile and accurately identify multiple lipid species. The advances of the last decade now allow the detection of small quantities of lipids in complex mixtures like cell extracts. ${ }^{364}$ However, all these methods share a common limitation since they cannot determine the exact structure of the molecule, i.e. distinguish chiral centres, position of functional groups and double bond location. More exhaustive structural investigation can be done employing tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS), which provides important information including carbon-chain length and degree of unsaturation of fatty-acid components. Moreover, there are MS/MS databases which can be used for fragment identification by comparison between the experimental and theoretical results. ${ }^{366}$

Lipidomic analysis have also been facilitated by consortiums such as Lipid Maps (lipidmaps.org) that has greatly simplified the analysis by providing protocols and improving the availability of internal standards and by providing a website containing resources such experimental data or MS prediction tools for glycerolipids and glycerophospholipids. ${ }^{367}$ Other helpful online resources are The European Lipidomics Initiative (lipidomics.net) who has developed the Lipidomics Expertise Platform (a wikipedia-based data and knowledge resources on lipids), the Lipid Library (lipidlibrary.co.uk; the), the Lipid Bank (lipidbank.jp) and Cyberlipids (cyberlipid.org). ${ }^{364}$ Despite the advances in lipid analyses by MS, there are still some issues that needs further research. Usually analyses are carried out with the total cell lipidome instead of the specific origins of interest, therefore there is a need to improve the biochemical isolation of certain regions/organelles for subsequent MS techniques. ${ }^{364}$ Having in mind all the reported information, the idea behind the project developed in this chapter arises from two simple concepts:

- The structural diversity of the cellular lipidome, containing thousands of individual lipid species, can be translated to lipid-modified proteins.
- Heterogenous protein acylation may play an additional role in the regulation of cellular processes.

With this aim, a detailed MS-based lipidomic analysis of these class of lipid-modified
proteins is planned to clarify the potential role of PE as modulators of protein function and localization, which could eventually result in the identification of new targets and the development of novel therapeutic strategies.

## OBJECTIVES

The general purpose of this section of the thesis is to advance in the knowledge of the molecular mechanisms involved in the regulation of autophagy, with a focus on the lipid modifications present in the autophagic biomarkers LC3/GABARAP. This characterization could further contribute to the identification of disease biomarkers and novels targets. Thus, the general aim of this chapter is to study lipid diversity present in LC3/GABARAP and can be split into the following specific goals:

- To optimize and validate a novel method for the extraction of lipids conjugated to LC3/GABARAP from cell lysates through Atg4B treatment of the proteome. Lipids obtained will be analysed and quantified using UPLC/TOF-MS analysis.
- To explore the diversity of PEs conjugated to LC3/GABARAP from a variety of cell lines and to compare this composition with the lipid profile obtained from the cellular lipidome.
- To optimize and validate a method for the extraction of lipids conjugated to an immunoprecipitated LC3-II.


## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 6. A MS-based method to analyse PEs derived from LC3/GABARAP

A key step in the drug discovery process is to understand the molecular mechanism of a disease. Thus, basic research aimed to identify the physiological and pathological role of LC3-II lipidation in autophagy regulation and the enzymes or proteins involved in their synthesis and degradation would be of great value. In addition, these studies could lead to the identification of new targets for therapeutic intervention, which remains a critical challenge and an emerging field of research.

The principal aim of this chapter was to perform a detailed molecular characterization of the GPLs bound to LC3-II in order to unveil a potential role of lipid modification in autophagy regulation. For that purpose, an MS-based method employing ultraperformance liquid chromatography/tandem time of flight-mass spectrometry (UPLC/TOF-MS) was applied, since it allows the simultaneous and accurate identification and quantification of multiple lipid species. The designed approach relies on the recent advances observed in the lipidomic field and the great experience gathered by the IQAC Lipidomics Core facility in this area of research.

Seminal work by Sakakibara et al. described the previous identification of a phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) moiety bound to LC3. In addition, saturated C16:0 and $\mathrm{C} 18: 0$ and unsaturated $\mathrm{C} 16: 1$ and $\mathrm{C} 18: 1$ fatty acids were detected upon mild alkaline treatment of an isolated His-tagged LC3 protein and subsequent analysis of the released fatty acids by GC-MS. ${ }^{368}$ However, this strategy presents some important limitations. First, fatty acid analysis of GC-MS requires previous conversion to esters. As a result, GC-MS analysis of lipids has been mainly overtaken by MS systems employing electrospray ionization (ESI) usually combined with a previous separation by liquid chromatography (LC). Indeed, the combination of LC with high resolution MS (HRMS), providing mass accuracy at a level of $0.001-0.002 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$, enhances the ability to resolve, profile and accurately identify multiple lipids. Secondly and more important, the method previously employed relies on alkaline treatment of GPLs and subsequent fatty acid release. As a result, it cannot be applied to analyse the nature of the GPLs bound to the protein. To overcome this limitation, an enzymatic release of the attached lipid was considered. As mentioned above, Atg4B is involved in two steps of this process (Figure 56). It first cleaves the last five amino acids from proLC3 to generate the cytosolic LC3-I and it also recycles LC3-II cleaving the C-terminally attached lipid. ${ }^{369}$


Figure 56. Atg4 has a dual role in autophagy, it cleaves the last five amino acids of proLC3 and it also hydrolyses the PE conjugated to LC3-II.

## 7. Calibration curves of PEs detected by UPLC/TOF-MS

As a first step, linearity and robustness of the MS-based detection of PEs was explored. Hence, serial dilutions of five commercially available PEs were analysed: 1,2-Dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DMPE), 1,2-Dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DPPE), 1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3phosphoethanolamine (DOPE), 1,2-Distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DSPE) and 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidylethanolamine (POPE) (Figure 57 and Figure 58). The


Figure 57. Extracted ion chromatograms (EICS) from negative mode analysis of commercially available PE species. From the top down: DSPE (EIC m/z 746.570), DOPE (EIC $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 742.539$ ), POPE (EIC m/z 716.523), DPPE (EIC m/z 690.507) and DMPE ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 634.445$ ).
detection of glycerophospholipids was performed with a methodology already optimized at the IQAC Lipidomics Core facility. Basically, negative-ion ESI enables the analysis of PE, whereas positive ion mode permits the analysis of PS and PC that usually become ionized as $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$adducts. As shown in Figure 58, unambigous identification was performed by accurate mass and elemental composition analysis with a mass window of 5 mDa . All compounds showed a good linear response up to 100 nM and a limit of detection 0.01 nM , which proves UPLC/TOF-MS to be enough sensitive for further cell endogenous PEs quantification. The established retention times were employed for future comparison with cellular samples (Figure 58). This method should allow the characterization of the lipid diversity of LC3/GABARAP.


Figure 58. Calibration curves of DOPE, DPPE, POPE, DSPE and DMPE. All compounds were diluted from a stock solution of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Samples were 10 -fold serially diluted up to 0.001 nM and compounds were analysed using a UPLC/TOF/MS ( 6 points were measured). DPPE and DSPE showed slightly smaller areas, which correlates with their decreased solubility. Correlation coefficients were determined as $0.952,0.953,0.967$, 0.953 and 0.983 for DOPE, DPPE, POPE, DSPE and DMPE respectively.

## 8. Identification and quantification of PEs from treated cell lysates

The lipid composition of autophagy related proteins was analysed in lysates derived from three cell lines: HEK293 (human epithelial cells from embryonic kidney), HeLa (human epithelial cells from cervix adenocarcinoma) and SH-SY5Y (human epithelial cells from bone marrow neuroblastoma). As initial approach, the required amount of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ was determined through treatment of the obtained suspended proteome with different quantities of enzyme ( $25-125 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) during 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protein levels were detected by western blotting using antibodies anti-LC3B (Figure 59). The resulting LC3B-I and II were quantified by densitometric analysis of protein signal normalized to actin levels. A dose dependent decrease on LC3B-II/LC3B-I ratio could be observed with increasing concentrations of proteins, ranging from $1 / 1.5$ to $1 / 3$.

However, a complete delipidation of LC3B-II could not be detected. Different reasons are considered for these results. On one side, the slows kinetics of Atg4B-mediated delipidation has been recently reported. ${ }^{226}$ On the other side, one cannot discard that the acetone-mediated protein precipitation, although carried out at below zero temperatures, causes partial denaturation thereby preventing Atg4 recognition of their substrates. A $25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ amount of Atg4B was chosen for further optimisation.


Figure 59. Proteome was treated with different amounts of $N$-(His) $)_{6}-3 C-A t g 4 B$. An untreated sample was employed as a control. (A) The amount of LC3B-I and LC3B-II was determined by western blotting and normalized to $\beta$-actin control. (B) Quantitative analysis of western blots from $A$ as bar graphs. Data from $A$ were quantified by densitometry and are depicted as percentage of total LC3B.

Next, the PEs bound to the proteome were investigated. Atg4B presents a broad specificity for all mammalian Atg8 homologues (LC3 and GABARAP subfamily members). ${ }^{370,275}$ As a result, the previously expressed $N$-(His) ${ }_{6}-3 C-A t g 4 B$ was employed to release PEs from LC3/GABARAP present in cell lysates. Briefly, the general method designed to identify and quantify PEs included the precipitation of the proteome by adding cold acetone and the subsequent removal of non-covalently bound lipids (membrane lipids) by the Bligh and Dyer lipid extraction protocol. ${ }^{371}$ As mentioned above, protein precipitation and lipid removal with organic solvents can
cause protein denaturation. However, membrane lipid extraction is strictly required otherwise the released PEs would be diluted and thus non-detectable. Moreover, many protein spontaneous refold into their native functional structure if resuspend in the appropriate buffer. Thus, this first approach was initially explored assuming that if GPLs could be detected at the end of the protocol, proteins should have been correctly refolded (Figure 61). Hence, the resulting pellets were then resuspended in the corresponding buffer containing TCEP to enhance Atg4B activity and finally, PEs were released from LC3-II by treatment with N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$. Negative control was treated only with buffer. Subsequently, an internal standard was spiked into each sample. Structurally related isotope-labelled standards were employed such as 1-palmitoyl-d31-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (16:0-d31-18:1 PE) and other deuterated GPLs (16:0-d31-18:1 PS, 16:0-d31-18:1 PC and lysophospholipids such as C17:1 LysoPE) and enabled appropriate quantification of GPLs. Lipids were extracted, dried, resuspended in methanol and analysed by high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) using the UPLC/TOF-MS.


Figure 60 . Procedure for the extraction of lipids bound to Atg8 homologues. 3 million cells were lysed though ice-sonication cycles and the suspended proteins were precipitated by cold acetone for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the mixture was centrifuged, and the supernatant discarded. The pellet containing the precipitated proteome was washed with mixtures of organic solvents following Blight and Dyer lipid extraction protocol. Next, the proteome was resuspended in the reaction buffer and the enzyme N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ was added
followed by an incubation of 2 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to facilitate the hydrolysation of the lipids from the Atg8 homologues. The hydrolysed lipids were extracted by incubation of the mixture with organic solvents for 16 h at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-mediated desiccation. Lipids were resuspended in methanol and analysed by UPLC/TOF-MS.

Interestingly, MS-based analysis provided a vast profile of PEs species (Figure 61). Appropriate quantification was done by comparison with the spiked internal standard. Detection and quantification of PEs species was performed employing the extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) from negative mode analysis ([M-H] $]^{-}$). PEs species annotation reflect the structural information derived from the analysis (number of C-atoms: number of double bonds followed by lipid class abbreviation, not counting carbons of the glycerol backbone or head groups).


Figure 61. Extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) from negative mode analysis of a cellular lysate treated with N -(His)6-3C-Atg4B. Some detected PEs species are shown. From top down EIC m/z 747.718 corresponding to the spiked internal standard (16:0 d31-18:1 PE), EIC m/z 634.445 corresponding to 28:0 PE, EIC m/z 662.476 corresponding to 30:0 PE, EIC $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 674.476$ corresponding to 31.1 PE and EIC $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 716.527$ corresponding to $34: 1 \mathrm{PE}$.

The identity of 28:0 PE as DMPE, 32:0 PE as DPPE, 34:1 PE as POPE and 36:2-PE as

DOPE could be confirmed by comparison of their retention times with the ones obtained with commercially available samples (6.03, 8.83, 9.24, 9.65, respectively). More exhaustive structural investigations were done employing tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS), which provides important information including carbon-chain length, and degree of unsaturation of fatty-acid components. The MS/MS analyses were performed by Dr. Beatriz Abad in collaboration with Dr. Felix Goñi and Dra. Alicia Alonso (Biofisika, CSIC-UPV/EHU) in SCAB (Servicio Central de Análisis de Bizkaia, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad del País Vasco) and enabled the identification of the fatty acids bound to the glycerol backbone. As example, MS/MS analysis of species 10 ( $34: 1 \mathrm{PE}$ ), 11 and 12 (34:2 PE) and 15 (35:2 PE) are depicted in Figure 62 and Figure 63. Thus, 10 ( $34: 1 \mathrm{PE}$ ) was formed by a palmitic (16:0) and oleic acid (18:1). The two species 11 and 12, with the same global compositions $34: 2 \mathrm{PE}$ presented different acyl chains. Hence, whereas 11 contained a hexadecenoic (16:1) and an octadecenoic acid (18:1), 12 was formed by two residues of $17: 1$. The specie 15 ( $35: 2 \mathrm{PE}$ ) also presented the odd-chain fatty acid $17: 1$ combined with octadecenoic acid 18:1. Similar analysis were performed in all the cases enabling the identification of all PE species detected.


Figure 62. Extracted ion chromatograms (EICS) from negative mode analysis of the following deprotonated molecular ions $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}: 34: 1 \mathrm{PE}(10), 34: 2 \mathrm{PE}$ (11) and (12) and 35:2 PE (15).


Figure 63. MS/MS spectra of compounds 10, 11, 12 and 15 revealed the corresponding fatty acid composition.


Figure 64. Relative quantification of PEs from HeLa cells. The lipids were obtained through precipitation of the proteome, treatment with N -(His)6-3C-Atg4B and extraction by organic solvents. Identity (in parenthesis) of PEs was confirmed by MS/MS from samples analysed at SCAB, UPV/EHU.

As depicted in Figure 64, the most abundant PLs identified were asymmetric PLs containing a saturated fatty acid and an unsaturated one. These species include: 32:2
(16:0/16:1), $33: 1$ (16:0/17:1), $34: 1$ (16:0/18:1) and 35:1 (16:0/19:1) all of them bearing a saturated palmitic and a monounsaturated fatty acid such as hexadecenoic (16:1), heptadecenoic acid (17:1), octadecenoic (18:1) and nonadecanoic acid (19:1), respectively. Similarly, 36:1 and 34:4 species contain a saturated stearic acid and a monounsaturated octadecenoic acid or a polyunsaturated acid, probably arachidonic acid. Other interesting observations could be also summarized. Hence, some PEs species contain two saturated fatty acids such as the symmetrical 28:0 (14:0/14:0) and 32:0 (16:0/16:0) esterified with two moieties of myristic or palmitic acid respectively, or the asymmetrical $28: 0$ (16:0/12:0), and 30:0 (16:0/14:0) containing a palmitic acid combined with either a residue or lauric or myristic acid. In addition, other species contain two monounsaturated fatty acids such as $34: 2$ (18:1/16:1 and 17:1/17:1), $35: 2$ ( $18: 1 / 17: 1$ ) and $36: 2$ ( $18: 1 / 18: 1$ and $19: 1 / 17: 1$ ). Double bond positions are difficult to distinguish by MS. As a result, unsaturated species cannot be unambiguously identified and whereas 16:1 species likely correspond to $\omega$-7 palmitoleic acid, 18:1 could correspond to $\omega-7$ vaccenic acid, cis $\omega-9$ oleic acid or trans $\omega$-9 elaidic acid. In addition, the existence of methyl-branched fatty acids can also not be discarded. Interestingly, some traces of PS (16:0/18:0, 18:0/18:1 and 18:1/18:1) could also be identified in this case (data not shown).

Unexpectedly, some abundant PEs are formed by rare odd-chain fatty acids (OCFA), usually less abundant in biological samples. Initial literature reports have attributed the origin of $\mathrm{C} 15: 0$ and $\mathrm{C} 17: 0$ and $\mathrm{C} 19: 0$ fatty acids to the diet, specifically from ruminant foods such as meats or dairy products and they seem to be synthesized by the rumen bacterial flora. ${ }^{372,373}$ However, there are also some evidences that ruminant can synthesize de novo OCFA using propionyl-CoA instead of acetyl-CoA. ${ }^{374}$ More recently, it has been hypothesized that this de novo biosynthesis can also occurs in humans, ${ }^{375}$ as C15:0 and C17:0 fatty acids can be detected in healthy volunteers, and their levels increases after propionate supplementation. Moreover, vegans, vegetarians and omnivores contain comparable concentrations of OCFA, suggesting that there must be other sources than ruminant foods. ${ }^{376}$ An endogenous biosynthesis of OCFA is also supported by the fact that patients with propionic acidaemia, a rare genetic disorders characterized by a deficiency of propionyl-CoA carboxylase, present an increased intracellular concentration of propionyl-CoA and abnormally high levels of 15:0 and 17:0 fatty acids. ${ }^{377,378}$ In agreement with these results, an exhaustive lipidomic analysis revealed a remarkable diversity of lipids in human plasma, including the presence of C15:0, C17:0 (margaric acid) and C17:1 free fatty acids in $0.3,0.5$ and $0.46 \%$ respectively compared to the $29 \%$ of palmitic acid and the $36 \%$ of oleic acid detected. ${ }^{379}$ Low levels of $\mathrm{C} 19: 0$ and C23.0 could also be identified by the authors ${ }^{380}$ whereas references to unsaturated OCFA apart from 17:1 could not be found in the literature.

Thus, OCFA have been detected in humans but in general in low amounts. However,
in the studies performed during this thesis, all cell lines (HEK293, HEK293 and SHSY5Y) exhibit similar proportion of PEs. Slight changes can be observed in some species from one measurement to another, but the overall composition remains quite constant (Figure 65).


Figure 65. Relative quantification of PEs from HeLa, HEK293 and SH-SY5Y cells. Analysis were performed at IQAC. Numbers shown represent the mean of at least three experiments (include SEM).

## 9. Identification and quantification of PEs from total lipidome

We next investigated the cellular lipidome of each cell line in order to compare the PEs content with the ones previously found bound to LC3/GABARAP-II. Hence, lipid analysis of cellular membranes was done by incubating cell lysates derived from 1 million of HeLa cells with a mixture of organic solvents consisting in chloroform, methanol and water ( $5: 5: 1$ ) overnight at $48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A mixture of lipids (such as 16:0-d3118:1 PE and other deuterated GPLs) was added to each sample as internal standards. Lipids were then dried, resuspended in methanol and analysed by HRMS.

Results showed significant differences among the two lipid profiles (Figure 66). Thus, the cellular lipidome and the lipids obtained after treatment of the proteome with N $(\text { His })_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ shared common species such as $34: 1,34: 2$ and $36: 2$. However, whereas the cellular lipidome was enriched with very long chain and polyunsaturated fatty acids such as $36: 3,36: 4,36: 5,38: 4.38: 5,40: 5$ or $40: 6$ among others, the PEs released by N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ presented a higher proportion of shorter chain fatty acids (28:0, 30:0, 31:1, 32:0 and more importantly $33: 1$ and 35:1).


Figure 66. Quantification of PEs species detected in the cellular lipidome (HeLa cells, light green) and after treatment of precipitated proteome with N(His) ${ }_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ during 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dark green). Internal standards were added for proper quantification. Results are expressed as percentages of total
PEs. Numbers shown represent the mean of at least three experiments $\pm 0$ SEM.

Hence, monounsaturated OCFA, mainly 17:1 and 19:1, can be found in major proportion in N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ treated samples than in the total lipidome. Interestingly, the cellular lipidome also presented some PEs formed by OCFA but in a remarkable lower abundance. Examples thereof in HeLa cells are 33:1 (16:0/17:1) $0.79 \%$ vs $36.84 \%, 35: 1$ (16:0/19:1) 1.12\% vs $12.05 \%, 35: 2$ (18:1/19:1) 0.70\% vs $4.46 \%$, $38: 2$ (19:1/19:1) $0.98 \%$ vs $5.12 \%$ of relative percentages in lipidome and N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-3CAtg4B treated proteome, respectively. It is expected that the previously identified species (MS/MS) would also correspond to the same PEs here. However additional MS/MS analysis would be required to characterize the additional PEs detected here. For clarity, PEs species annotation is restricted to the number of C-atoms: number of double bonds). Similar studies were also performed in HEK293 and SH-SY5Y obtaining similar results, as shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 from the Annexes.

## 10. Lipid profiling of HeLa cells treated with heptadecanoic acid

As mentioned above, 15:0, 17.0 and 19:0 fatty acids have been long considered biomarkers for dairy fat intake and more recently their endogenous biosynthesis from propionate has also been investigated. The levels of unsaturated OCFA are less studied and apart from 17:1, no references from the literature can be found for other species and the general role of OCFA remains also under study. It has been described that the risk of type 2 diabetes is inversely correlated with plasma concentrations of OCFA ${ }^{381}$ and tissue levels of OCFA are lower in Alzheimer's disease patients, ${ }^{382}$ thereby implicating OCFA in the regulation of physiological and pathological processes. However, very much efforts are yet needed to clarify the biological relevance of these fatty acids.

The substantial enrichment observed in OCFA in the extracted lipidome samples resulted unexpected. Hence, this modification was next studied in more detail. As a first approach, HeLa cells were treated with exogenous heptadecanoic acid (17:0) for 24 h in order to find out if the lipidome composition could be modified with dietary intake of fatty acids. To this end, HeLa cells were treated with heptadecanoic acid or vehicle (in the control samples), and then the total lipidome was extracted and analysed by HRMS. To investigate a potential enrichment of OCFA in the treated samples, PEs species formed by all potential combinations of heptadecanoic acid were searched, including fatty acids derived from the elongation and desaturation of C17:0. As shown in Figure 67, PEs potentially containing 17:0 resulted significantly enriched, $33: 2(16: 1: 17: 1), 35: 0(18: 0 / 17: 0$ or 16:0/19:0), $35: 2$ (18:1/17:1 or $16: 1 / 19: 1), 35: 3$ (18:1/17:2 or $16: 1 / 19: 2)$. The presence of species containing two double bonds (33:2, 35:2) suggest that heptadecanoic acid may be desaturated by the cells. Further MS/MS analysis would be required to determine the exact identity of the fatty acids.


Figure 67. Quantification of PEs species found in the cellular lipidome (HeLa cells) after treatment with heptadecanoic acid (C17). Internal standard was added for proper quantification. Results are expressed as percentages of total PEs quantified in each sample. Numbers shown represent the mean of at least three experiments. Statistical significance was determined by two tailed unpaired t-test ( $* \mathrm{p} \leq 00.05, * *$ : $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01, * * *: \mathrm{P} \leq 0.001$ ).


Figure 68. Profile of DAGs species determined by HRMS of the lipidome extracted from HeLa cells after treatment with heptadecanoic acid (C17). Internal standard was added for the quantification. Results are expressed as percentages of total DAGs quantified in each sample. Numbers shown represent the mean of at least three experiments. Statistical significance was determined by two tailed unpaired t-test ( ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p} \leq 00.05, * *$ : $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01, * * *$ : $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.001$ ).

De novo formation of PE in eukaryotes may occurs via several pathways: the CDP-ethanolamine branch of the Kennedy pathway, ${ }^{383}$ decarboxylation of phosphatidylserine (PS) ${ }^{384}$ and base-exchange of PS. ${ }^{385}$ In addition, PE can be generated by acylation of lyso-phospholipids taken up by cells from the environment (Figure 69). ${ }^{386}$

The Kennedy pathway plays a key role in the generation of PE and is indispensable for mammalian development (Figure 69). First, the phosphorylation of ethanolamine is catalysed by two specific kinases and then the reaction with cytidine triphosphate (CTP) forms cytidine diphosphoethanolamine. In a final step, the membrane bound CDP-ethanolamine:diacylglycerol ethanolaminephosphotransferase catalyse the reaction with diacylglycerol (DAG) to form PE.


Figure 69. Typical phosphatidylethanolamine biosynthesis pathways in eukaryotes. Synthesis starts with the formation of ethanolaminephosphate that reacts with cytidine triphosphate (CTP) to form CDP ethanolamine. Next, the attachment of a DAG yields PE
(DAG is obtained by acylation of glycerol-3-phosphate to lysophosphatidic acid and phosphatidic acid). Alternatively, PEs can also be obtained by acylation of lysoPE.

Since diacylglycerols (DAG) are the main precursor of PEs, DAGs species were also characterized and quantified. Thus, lipidomic analysis of DAGs in HeLa cells treated with heptadecanoic were compared to the ones detected in untreated samples. As expected, a significant enrichment in DAGs derived from C17:0 fatty acids could be observed such as 33:0 DAG (16:0, 17:0), 35:0 (17:0, 18:0) 35:1 (17:0,18:1) and most importantly 34:0 (17:0, 17:0). Potential lipid molecular species are described in brackets, although further structural elucidation would require MS/MS techniques (Figure 68).

All in all, 17:0 fatty acids are clearly incorporated into DAG species as detected by HRMS-based profiling. The incorporation of C17 is significant in DAGs species containing saturated fatty acids. PEs species also show a significant enrichment in C17 containing species although in this case the enrichment is more important in unsaturated PEs without being able to confirm if they are derived from C17:1 fatty acid or upon attachment of C17:0 to species already containing unsaturated fatty acids. Interestingly, the important increase observed in 34:0 DAG (14-fold) is reduced when translated to PEs species (8-fold). A possible synthesis upon remodelling of already existing lysophosphatidylethanolamine (lysoPE) formed from 17:0 could also be in charge of the enrichment observed in PEs species. Indeed, a significant increase in the levels of 17:0 lysoPE could be observed in treated cells. Unfortunately, changes in the levels of C17:1-lysoPE could not be explored because this specie is exactly the one added as internal standard for quantification of the other lysoPEs. No traces of 15:0 lysoPE or changes in 19:0 lysoPE levels were observed (Figure 70). Lipidomic profiling of PEs species derived from LC3/GABARAP isolated from cells treated with C17:0 should be next investigated to explore If the enrichment of PEs containing 17:0 fatty acid can be also translated to the lipid modifications present in these proteins.


Figure 70. Specific LysoPE species determined by HRMS analysis (in areas) of the lipidome extracted from HeLa cells after treatment with heptadecanoic acid (C17). Results are expressed as integrated areas of the chromatogram of each sample. Numbers shown represent the mean of at least three experiments $\pm$ SEM.

## 11. Immunoprecipitation of LC3B

Atg4B is involved in the cleavage of the $C$-terminal amino acid of $\operatorname{Atg} 8$ family proteins to reveal a $C$-terminal glycine and it is also involved in its delipidation. ${ }^{251}$ Atg8 homologs in mammals include two subfamily of proteins LC3 and GABARAP, consisting of LC3A, LC3B, LC3C and GABARAP, GABARAPL1 and GABARAPL2/GATE-16. It has been suggested that these proteins may have different roles in the autophagic machinery. ${ }^{135}$ In addition, they may present different affinities to the enzymes involved in their synthesis and delipidation. ${ }^{226}$ Thus, as a next experiment it was planned to profile the PEs derived exclusively from LC3B-II.

### 11.1. Optimization of the immunoprecipitation method

Detection of endogenous proteins remains challenging. Thus, since high amounts of PEs are required to be detected by HRMS, HEK293 cells overexpressing GFP-LC3B were initially chosen to carry out the optimization. The selected method was the immunoprecipitation (IP) of the GFP-fusion protein employing an anti-GFP antibody immobilized to a sepharose resin (no cross-reactivity for GABARAP proteins has been described for LC3B antibodies).

To avoid proteome precipitation, cell lysates were directly loaded onto the resin. A preclearing step was added to the general protocol due to the high affinity of protein A to other cellular proteins. Preclearing consisted in incubating the cell lysates with unmodified resin to remove proteins that non-specifically bind to the resin. Atg4B requires full length protein substrates since short peptides spanning the natural proLC3B cleavage site cannot be efficiently processed by the enzyme. ${ }^{233,224}$ Therefore non-denaturating conditions were required to facilitate Atg4B-based recognition of the substrates. As a result, three lysis buffers with increasing denaturating capacity were investigated:

- Non-denaturating lysis buffer 1: 50 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, 1\% NP-40 and 1 mM PMSF.
- Non denaturating lysis buffer 2: 50 mM Tris, pH 8, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, $0.1 \%$ Triton X-100 and 1 mM PMSF.
- Mild-denaturating lysis buffer 3: 50 mM Tris, pH 8, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \% \mathrm{NP}-40$, $0.25 \%$ sodium deoxycholate and 1 mM PMSF.

Cells were collected and lysed in each buffer, then protein was quantified by the BCA method, and $750 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein were added to the non-modified resin (pre-clearing


Figure 71. Procedure for the extraction of lipids bound to GFP-LC3B. 8 million cells were lysed and the protein was quantified. Then, $750 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein were applied to nonmodified resin for 3 h at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the pre-clearing step. After centrifugation, the supernatant was transferred to resin conjugated to anti-GFP antibody and the mixture was incubated for 16 h at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After centrifugation, GFP-LC3B was eluted from the resin employing an acid buffer ( 0.2 M glycine pH 2.6 ). The pH of the solution was neutralized by the addition of the same volume of a basic buffer ( 2 M Tris pH 8 ). Next, the enzyme N (His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ and the internal standards were added followed by an incubation of 2 h at
$37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to facilitate the hydrolysis of the lipids from the GFP-LC3B-II. The hydrolysed lipids were extracted by incubation of the mixture with cold acetone, which lead to protein precipitation. After centrifugation, the supernatant was desiccated under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream. Lipids were resuspended in methanol and analysed by UPLC/TOF-MS.
step) (Figure 71). After 3 h incubation at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the resin was centrifuged and the supernatant ( SN ) was collected (a sample of SN and resin were collected for immunoblotting control of the process, most of GFP-LC3B should be on the SN). The supernatant was then loaded to the resin conjugated to anti-GFP antibody and it was incubated overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, a sample of the SN and the resin were collected for immunoblotting control, GFP-LC3B should be now on the resin conjugated to the antibody. Western blot analysis of LC3 proteins present in cell lysates confirmed lysis using buffer 2 and 3 . However, no proteins could be observed using buffer 1, thus suggesting that cell lysis was not taking place under these conditions. Hence, buffer 1 was discarded. Remarkably, although immunoblot analysis was performed using previously reported conditions ( $12 \%$ and $10 \%$ SDS PAGE), no separation between both GFP-LC3-I and GFP-LC3-II forms could be observed (Figure 72).

Protein affinity isolation relies on the specific immobilized of the protein on a solid support. After washing away non-bound component, the captured protein can be released and recovered (i.e. eluted) using buffer conditions that disrupt de affinity interaction. The ideal elution system should release the antigen without irreversibly denaturating or inactivating it. However, all elution buffers cause some loss of protein function. The most widely used elution buffer is 0.2 M glycine $\mathrm{pH} 2.5-3.0$, as it is able to dissociate the antigen-antibody interaction without permanently affecting protein structure. To avoid permanent damages, eluted fractions should be immediately neutralized by addition of alkaline buffer. Hence, 2 M Tris pH 8 was chosen as a neutralizing buffer. A sample of the eluted fraction was collected for immunoblotting. Unfortunately, GFP-LC3B could not be detected initially in the Western Blot (Figure 72A), probably due to sample dilution (total volume $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). Therefore, the eluted samples were concentrated using Amicon® centrifugal filters. Additional stronger denaturating elution was carried out using $0.2 \%$ SDS and $0.1 \%$ Tween 20 in order to confirm the absence of GFP-LC3B in the resin after the first elution with 0.2 M glycine. As observed in Figure 72B, GFP-LC3B was detected in the eluted concentrated fraction and almost no protein remained in the resin afterwards fraction.

The equilibrated eluted fractions were then treated with $25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 2 h in a total volume of $305 \mu \mathrm{l}$. PEs were extracted after protein precipitation with cold acetone and the organic fraction was directly analysed. PEs species detected are depicted in Figure 73.


Figure 72. Optimization of the GFP-LC3B immunoprecipitation procedure. Samples from each step were retained for analysed. A) Cells were lysed employing buffers 2 and 3 followed by centrifugation. The SN (SN 1) fraction ( $750 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein) was precleared with resin, the resulting supernatant was incubated overnight with beads conjugated to antiGFP antibody. After this time, proteins were eluted by treatment with 0.2 M glycine pH 2.5. The eluted fraction was immediately equilibrated with 2 M Tris pH8. Protein could not be detected by Western Blot probably due to the sample dilution. B) Eluted fraction was concentrated with Amicon ${ }^{\otimes}$ filters. A stronger elution was done to confirm that all protein was eluted in the first elution, samples of each step were collected for immunoblotting. An additional unidentified band was observed in this case.


Figure 73. PEs obtained after IP using an anti-GFP antibody and lysis buffer 2 or 3, followed by N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ treatment ( $25 \mathrm{\mu g}$ of enzyme) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . PEs were analysed using a UPLC/TOF-MS. Results are expressed as percentages of total PEs quantified in each sample.

Similar results (Annexes) were obtained when IP was carried out in HEK293 cells stably transfected through lipofection (pOPIN-GFP-LC3B) and viral production (pBABEpuro-GFP-LC3B) by Dr Oscar Meca and with MCF7 cells overexpressing GFPLC3B (a kind gift of Dr. Yaowen Wu, MPI Dortmund). Remarkably, the profiling of PEs
species detected in the negative control (non-modified resin treated with enzyme) was quite similar to the one obtained with beads conjugated to anti-GFP antibody (Figure 74). These results suggested some non-specific binding of proteins to the agarose.


Figure 74. PEs from MCF7 cells overexpressing GFP-LC3B. PEs were obtained after IP using anti-GFP antibody followed by N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ treatment and lipid extraction with cold acetone (light green). Negative controls are samples obtained from non-modified beads treated by N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-3C-Atg4B (dark green). Numbers shown represent the mean of at least three experiments $\pm$ SEM.

Non-specific binding of proteins to beads may be an issue in IP experiments. Since too much antibody can lead to non-specific binding, lower concentration of anti-GFP antibody were explored, however with similar results (see Materials and Methods). Next, as overexpressed proteins show a tendency to non-specifically bind to beads, IP of native LC3 was explored. To this end, HeLa cells were lysed in buffer 3 ( 50 mM Tris, pH 8, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,0.25 \%$ sodium deoxycholate and 1 mM PMSF) and 750 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of protein were precleared with non-modified resin. Again, samples from each step were retained for immunoblot analysis. The resulting SN was then added to the beads treated with $6 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of LC3B antibody and incubated overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The elution and equilibration steps were again done with 0.2 M glycine pH 2.5 and 2 M Tris pH 8 respectively. Finally, purified LC3B was treated by N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}$-Atg 4 B for 2 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and PEs were extracted by protein precipitation with cold acetone. As observed in Figure 75 A , although the elution employing 0.2 M glycine pH 2.5 could not totally detach the immobilized LC3B, the protein was also detected in the equilibrated eluted fractions, which confirmed the isolation of endogenous LC3B from HeLa (a dilution effect is responsible for the decreased amount of proteins observed in the eluted fraction of Figure 75A). Disappointingly, lipidomic analysis of PEs species observed in samples derived from modified and non-modified resin were again quite similar (Figure 75B).


Figure 75. Cells were lysed employing buffer 3, $750 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein were precleared with non-modified beads, the supernatant was added to resin treated with $6 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of anti-LC3B antibody and, after incubation, it was eluted with a solution of 0.2 M glycine pH 2.5 . The eluted fraction was immediately equilibrated with 2 M Tris pH 8 and treated with $25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. PEs were finally extracted by precipitation of the proteins with cold acetone, dried and resuspended in methanol for UPLC/TOF-MS analysis. A) Immunoblot analysis of IP protocol using anti-LC3B antibody. B) Released PEs were analysed by UPLC/TOF-MS. Non-modified resin treated with Atg4B served as negative control. Numbers shown represent the mean of at least three experiments $\pm$ SEM.

### 11.2. Alternative validation of immunoprecipitation method through KO cells

The results obtained in the affinity purification studies suggested a non-specific binding of proteins to the resin or some unresolved problem that deserved an indepth characterization. With this aim, we decided to apply the general protocol developed to cells lacking LC3-II. Hence, the appearance of PEs species in such cells would be a hint of an unresolved problem in the designed experimental protocol.

Atg5 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase essential for autophagy and necessary for LC3-II generation. ${ }^{387,388}$ Thus, as a first approach, human primary glioblastoma cell line U87DND shAtg5, kindly provided by Research Unit on Bioactive Molecules and
originally generated by Prof. G. Velasco (Universidad Complutense, Madrid), were chosen. These cells present a decreased ability to undergo autophagy and were generated upon stably silencing of Atg5 gene using transduction-ready viral particles containing shRNA target specific constructs. ${ }^{389}$ U87DND-shC cells (infected with viral particles containing non-targeted control shRNA constructs) were employed as a control. However, lipid analysis of the PEs bound to the proteome of these cells provided results similar to the ones previously obtained with no substantial differences detectable between the amounts of PEs in shAtg5 cells and control cells (Figure 76A).


Figure 76. A) Quantified PEs detected in U87DND shC and U87DND shAtg5 cells after PEs extraction though N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ treatment of the precipitated proteome. Lipid extracts were analysed by UPLC/TOF-MS. Untreated samples were employed as controls. Results are expressed as percentages of total PEs quantified in each sample, but similar amounts (pmols) were detected in both cases. B) Western Blot of U87DND shC and shAtg5 cell lysates employing anti LC3B antibody ( $\beta$-actin was used as loading control).

Although initial studies reported in the literature indicated an inhibition of autophagy, as determined by decreased LC3B-II lipidation and a reduction in Atg5 mRNA levels determined by real-time quantitative PCR, in our hands both cell types presented similar levels of LC3B-II (Figure 76B). Loss of silencing in stable transfected cells can occur after some passages due to the higher viability of non-transfected cells, thus they could eventually become non-transfected over time. Therefore, the same studies were repeated employing oncogene-transformed embryonic fibroblasts
derived from $\mathrm{Atg} 5^{+/+}$and $\mathrm{Atg}^{-/-}$(autophagy deficient) mice kindly provided by J.M. Lizcano (Facultat de Medicina, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona) and originally generated by Dr. Mizushima (Tokyo Medical University, Tokyo, Japan).

Cell were lysed and LC3 lipidation was visualized by immunoblotting. As previously observed for these cells, the amount of LC3-II was greatly reduced or absent in Atg5 ${ }^{-1-}$ MEFs (Figure 77A). Nevertheless, the quantification of PEs extracted after precipitation of the proteome and treatment with N -( His$)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ resulted in similar levels of PEs both in wild type and $\mathrm{Atg} 5^{-1}$ MEFs (Figure 77B), whereas PEs could not be detected in cells not treated with the enzyme.


Figure 77. A) Western Blot analysis of lysates derived from $\operatorname{Atg} 5^{+/+}$and $A \operatorname{tg} 5^{-1-}$ MEF cells employing anti-LC3B antibody. $\beta$-actin was used as loading control. B) Quantified PEs detected in $\mathrm{Atg}^{+/+}$and $\mathrm{Atg5}^{-1 /} \mathrm{MEF}$ cells after PEs extraction though N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ treatment of the precipitated proteome. Lipid extracts were analysed by UPLC/TOF-MS. Untreated samples were employed as controls. Internal standard was added for the quantification. Results are expressed as percentages of total PEs quantified in each sample.

## 12. N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ analysis

As explained in Chapter 3 of this thesis and detailed in Materials and Methods, N (His) $\sigma^{-}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ production involved several purification steps. Thus, after E. coli lysis, $1 \%$ Triton X-100 was added to the lysate in order to solubilize lipids and membranes adhered to the protein of interest and facilitate the solubilization of the protein and thus its column-based purification. Next, N -(His) $6_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ was purified using metal
affinity chromatography ( $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$-nitriloacetic acid). In addition, protein was concentrated using Amicon centrifugal filter units with a molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) 10 KDa . Briefly, solutes above the MWCO are retained in the cell, while water and solutes below this molecular weight pass into the filtrate, thereby facilitating concentration and removal of low molecular weight contaminants. Hence, a potential lipid contamination of the protein was not initially considered as an option. However, the previous results obtained with immunoprecipitated proteins as well as with knockout cells suggested that the primary source of the PEs species detected may not be the lipids specifically bound to LC3/GABARAP proteins. As a first hint, the purified N(His) ${ }_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg4B}$ was investigated to elucidate whether the enzyme could be the source of the PEs detected in all the performed analyses. ${ }^{390}$

Therefore, lipid extractions of the protein alone were next performed by treatment with organic solvents at $48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and the resulting released lipids were analysed by UPLC/TOF-MS. Unfortunately, as shown in Figure 78, the same PEs previously detected were also visualized here. Moreover, the quantity of PEs detected turned out to be dependent on N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ amount, which strongly suggest a lipid contamination of the enzyme. Interestingly, no traces of phosphatidylserine or phosphatidylcholine species could be detected in any sample (not shown).

In general, phospholipids and cholesterol are the major hydrophobic constituents of biological mixtures. Thus, to explore whether the observed lipid contamination was caused by the intrinsic activity of the enzyme or was just non-specific, cellular abundant lipids, such as diacylglycerols (DAGs) or cholesterol esters (CEs), were also quantified.


Figure 78. PEs obtained from lipid extraction of different quantities of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ ( $0,12.5,25$ and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) with chloroform, methanol and water ( $5: 5: 1$ ) after overnight shaking at $48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The extract was dried and resuspended in methanol for MS analysis. Internal standard was added for the quantification. Numbers shown represent the mean of at least three experiments $\pm$ SEM (data from $0 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ samples is not shown since any lipid was detected in any of the triplicates).


Figure 79. DAGs obtained from lipid extraction of different quantities of N -(His)6-3C-Atg4B $(0,12.5,25$ and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ with chloroform, methanol and water ( $5: 5: 1$ ) incubated overnight at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The extract was dried and resuspended in methanol for MS analysis. Results are expressed as percentages of total DAGs quantified in each sample. Numbers shown represent the mean of at least three experiments $\pm$ SEM.


Figure 80. CEs (choleresteryl esters) obtained from lipid extraction of different quantities of N -(His)6-3C-Atg4B ( $0,12.5,25$ and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) with chloroform, methanol and water (5:5:1) incubated overnight at $48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in agitation. The extract was dried and resuspended in methanol for MS analysis. Results are expressed as percentages of total CEs quantified in each sample. Numbers shown represent the mean of at least three experiments $\pm$ SEM.

In agreement with the lack of PC detected, one of the most abundant GPLs, low levels of DAGs and CEs were identified, and similar results were obtained in control samples and samples containing different amounts of proteins (except some DAG species that are strongly enriched at the highest protein concentration) (Figure 79 and Figure 80).

Altogether, these results suggest that the low level of DAGs and CEs observed could be an artefact or derived from residual contamination of the column, whereas the dose-dependent amount of PEs seem to be strongly related to the enzymatic activity of $A \operatorname{tg} 4 B$.

## 13. N -(His) ${ }_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ delipidation

A source of lipid contamination can be endogenous lipids generated during E. coli growth that bound tightly to expressed proteins. Previous examples have employed different delipidation methods to remove these hydrophobic materials. Thus, common method of delipidation rely on protein precipitation using different organic solvents (cold acetone, acetone/methanol, chloroform/methanol). However, native conformation cannot be ensured and at least partial denaturation should be considered. Adipocyte fatty acid-binding protein was obtained in pure form after removal of bound lipids by mixing with hydrophobically functionalised hydroxypropyldextran beads (Lipidex 5000). ${ }^{391}$ Different concentrations of the nonionic detergent Triton X -114 have been also employed to delipidate protein samples through a protocol termed cloud-point extraction (CPE). ${ }^{392,393}$ Triton X-114 (TX114) is a non-ionic detergent that above the cloud point $\left(22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, a temperature near or above the critical micellar concentration at which a detergent separates into detergent and aqueous phases, sequesters hydrophobic and hydrophilic solutes into each corresponding phase, thereby facilitating the separation of proteins from lipid contaminants. Moreover, TX114 tolerates a variety of conditions such as different $\mathrm{pH}^{393}$ Hence, the TX114-based extraction was explored to delipidate N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-3CAtg4B.

To this end, samples were incubated with $12 \%$ and $2 \%$ of TX114 in 50 mM Tris pH 8, 35 mM NaCl and 5 mM TCEP buffer for 10 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After centrifugation and detergent phase removal, protein samples followed usual lipid extraction with organic solvents at $48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and HRMS-based analysis. As shown in Figure 81A, PEs were found in control sample and in $2 \%$ TX114 treated sample at lower abundancies and were not detected in the samples extracted with $12 \%$ TX114, which suggests that this approach can successfully eliminate de observed lipid contamination. Activity of the delipidated enzyme was additionally checked by incubating proLC3B with N -(His) $6^{-}$ proLC3B for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining of the gel. The enzyme showed activity under the usual assay conditions (Figure 81B). Thus, delipidated N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-Atg4B still retains activity and can be therefore employed to cleave the lipid residues bound to proteins.


Figure 81. A) PEs obtained from N -(His) $\sigma_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ after delipidation with increasing quantities of TX114 ( 0,2 and 12\%). Lipid extractions were done with chloroform, methanol and water (5:5:1) incubated overnight at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The extract was dried and resuspended in methanol for MS analysis. Numbers shown represent the mean of at least three experiments $\pm$ SEM. B) Equal amounts of N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-proLC3B were treated with or without N -(His) $6_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}$-Atg4B for 2 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after lipid extraction with 0,2 or $12 \%$ TX114 (controls included non-extracted samples and non-treated samples with N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}$ Atg4B). The conversion from proLC3B to LC3B-I could be observed by SDS PAGE in all samples containing the enzyme, which confirms the maintaining of its catalytic activity.

## 14. Summary and outlook

Lipid contamination of Atg4B seems to be responsible of the detected PEs species. However, it could be proven that appropriate enzyme delipidation can be performed by washing the protein with Triton X-114, as the amount of the identified lipids is greatly reduced while the protein retains enzymatic activity. Nevertheless, other limitations should be also considered. The similar amounts of PEs species detected in samples treated in presence and absence of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}$ - Atg 4 B suggest that no hydrolysis took place in the cell lysates. Several reasons can be given for this situation. If the amount of released PEs is low, experiments could be performed using a higher number of cells. In addition, induction of autophagy combined with lysosomal inhibitors could be considered to increase the overall levels of LC3-II in cells. Alternatively, a recent study has shown that $A \operatorname{tg} 4 B$ is order of magnitude faster at processing a soluble unprimed protein than a lipidated one. As a result, delipidation of membrane bound is intrinsically slow and may require longer reaction times.

Another aspect to have in mind is that substrate proteins denaturated during lipid extraction may not be properly refolded. Hence, as activity of the expressed N -(His) $6^{-}$ 3C-Atg4B could be confirmed using cell lysates as a source, the lack of activity observed here may be caused by denaturation of LC3/GABARAP.

Similar results were obtained in IP studies, where no significant differences in the amount of PEs could be observed in samples and negative controls. In this case, there was no protein precipitation or lipid extraction potentially causing protein denaturation. One possible explanation here is that the amount of released PEs was low and got unmasked by the contamination. Another possibility would be the degradation of LC3-II during overnight incubation with the beads. However, cells were treated with a protease inhibitor cocktail and lysosomal inhibitors to prevent general protein degradation and degradation by lysosomal enzymes of LC3-II.

The bacterial contamination also helps to understand the high content in OCFA observed in some cases. Hence, even chain fatty acids (carbon chain length of 2-26) represent the majority of the fatty acid plasma concentration in humans. However, low concentrations of OCFA (usually $<1 \%$ ) are also detected in plasma, mainly C15:0, C17:0, C17:1. ${ }^{394,395,396}$ The origin of these fatty acids is not clear. It could be endogenously generated and they have also been considered a biomarker of dairy fat intake since they are produced in relatively high levels by rumen microbial fermentation and de-novo lipogenesis. These lipids are mainly present in bacterial membrane (may correspond up to $40 \%$ of fatty acids depending on the bacterial species) and are then transferred into the host. ${ }^{397}$ Thus, the bacterial origin of the detected PEs would explain the high levels of species containing OCFA. However, as it seems that the detected PEs are not just a non-specific lipid contamination but probably caused by the intrinsic activity of the enzyme, these results would suggest a diverse composition of PEs in bacterial LC3/GABARAP. Additional studies will be required to confirm these data.

As an alternative approach to overcome all the mentioned limitations, one may consider establishing an approach based on enzymatic release of lipids using the RavZ effector protein from Legionella pneumophila (Figure 82) Ravz is a protease employed by Legionella to hijack and block host autophagy. It cleaves the amide bond between the PE-conjugated terminal glycine and the phenylalanine preceding it, thereby rendering LC3/GABARAP resistant to PE reconjugation. ${ }^{357}$


Figure 82. RavZ is an enzyme from Legionella pneumophila that cleaves the terminal glycine from phenylalanine, which produces a LC3/GABARAP that cannot be reconjugated
to PE.

The resulting Gly-PE could be clearly differentiated from membrane phospholipids, allowing the use of cell lysates as a source without the need of lipid extraction and thus avoiding the risk of protein denaturation. However, since current used standards (isotopically labelled GPLs) are structurally different from the products that would be obtained with RavZ, new internal deuterated standards would be required. As isotopically labelled lipids are expensive, one could consider to synthesize a labelled Gly-PE starting from commercially available Gly-d5. A second argument in favour of this approach is that RavZ exhibits a nearly specific preference for lipidated substrates and can cleave them rapid and efficiently. ${ }^{226,398}$ However, one could argue against this approach that RavZ activity is likely limited to membrane bound proteins and the protein exhibits certain preference for long fatty acid chains. Thus, the catalytic efficiency of RavZ for LC3-PE (16:0) is 12 times higher than that for LC3-PE (6:0), what could have an impact on the planned study. ${ }^{363}$

All in all, the preliminary results included in this chapter suggest that LC3 may be modified with structurally different PEs. All the pitfalls encountered during this project will serve as a strong basis for the development of alternative approaches aimed to characterize the lipid diversity in autophagy related proteins.

## CONCLUSIONS

Several approaches have been explored with the aim of analysing the lipids bound to the autophagy proteins LC3/GABARAP. The following assessments could be concluded from the work included in this chapter:

- Several studies were performed with cell lysates and immunoprecipitated proteins leading in some cases to confusing results. Although LC3 could be successfully isolated, similar lipids content could be observed in samples and negative controls
- A detailed optimization has been carried out leading to the identification of Atg4B as a source of lipid contamination.
- Mainly PE species were detected bound to the expressed Atg4B, whereas no traces of PE and PS were observed. Low levels of DAG and cholesterol esters were identified in both control samples without the enzyme and Atg4B. These results suggest that the observed PE profile may be originated by the intrinsic activity of $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ and indicate a heterogenous lipid content of bacterial LC3/GABARAP.
- The enzyme could be delipidated N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ by treatment with $12 \%$ TX114. The purified protein has shown to be active, thus it can be used in further assays.
- Future studies will explore the use of the delipidated enzyme or the legionella effector RavZ for the release of lipids bound to LC3/GABARAP.


## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 1. Reagents

Minimum Essential Medium Eagle (MEM), Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) High Glucose with L-glutamine and sodium bicarbonate, Earl's balanced salt solution (EBSS), Eagle's minimum essential medium (EMEM), F12 medium, fetal bovine serum (FBS), non-essential amino acids, antibiotics (penicillin/streptomycin), the cell culture flasks of $175 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, 75 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and $25 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ (Corning ${ }^{\circledR}$ cell culture flasks, angled neck, cap (plug seal), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), trypsin in EDTA (1:250) 10x, trichloroacetic acid (TCA), sulforhodamine B (SRB), acetic acid, doxorubicin, bovine serum albumin (BSA), $N$-octanoylsphingoine (C8-Cer), Necrostatin-1, 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), Tween-20®, chloroquine diphosphate, 3-Methyladenine, Pepstatin A, Triton X-100, $2^{\prime}, 7{ }^{\prime}$-dichlorofluorescin diacetate, $\mathrm{KCl}, \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{KHPO}_{4}$, glucose, $\alpha$-lactosemonohydrate, ampicillin for bacteria culture, Triton X-100, dithiothreitol (DTT), Hepes, glycerol, NSC126353, heptadecanoic acid, sodium deoxycholate, NP-40 Tergitol ${ }^{\circledR}$ solution, Triton X-114 (TX114) and Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250, papain and chymostatin were purchased from Sigma.

Annexin V-FITC Early Apoptosis Detection Kit and CellSimple ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ propidium iodide/RNase Staining Kit were obtained from Cell Signalling. Sterile 96-well microplates, PS, F-bottom (167008) were from Nunc and non-sterile non-binding 96well plates (141804) from Daslab. CellTiter-Glo® Reagent was from Promega.

LANCE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$-labelled Eu-W1024 anti-6xHis antibody and ULight-labeled streptavidin (Sav), $1 / 2$ AreaPlate-96 and AlphaScreen ${ }^{\circledR}$ Histidine Detection Kit (Nickel Chelate) were purchased from Perkin Elmer. Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) was from Merck, Guava® Mitopotential Kit, Amicon® Ultra-0.5 mL Centrigufal Filters Ultracel MWCO 3K were purchased from Merck Millipore.

Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) 10X pH 7.2 was from Gibco. Laemmli buffer and $30 \%$ acrylamide/Bis Solution 37.5:1 were from BioRad. Tris-Cl, Polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes, protease inhibitors cocktail and DNAase were purchased from Roche.

Tryptone and European Bacteriological Agar were from Pronadisa (Madrid, Spain) and yeast extract from Oxoid. Sodium chloride was from Carlo Erba.

The sterile 96 -well microplates, the sterile 96 -well opaque microplates and the 6 -well plates, SDS were from Fluka. The microBCA protein assay kit, isopropyl- $\beta$-D-1thiogalactoside (IPTG) and Pierce ${ }^{\circledR}$ High Sensitivity streptavidin-HRP were obtained from Thermo Scientific.

Enhanced Chemiluminescence (ECL) Prime Western blotting detection reagent, PD-10
desalting columns, EZ-Link ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ BMCC-Biotin, EZ-Link ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Maleimide-PEG ${ }_{2}$-Biotin, PlusOne Glycine were purchased from GE Healthcare.

Protease inhibitor cocktail contained $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ aprotinin, $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ leupeptin, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride purchased separately from Enso Life Sciences. Z-VADFMK and E-64-D were also from Enzo Life Science. Z-IEDT-FMK and Z-LEHD-FMK were ordered from Alfa Aesar. Cathepsin Inhibitor III was from CalBioChem.

Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was from Fluka and Recombinant Protein A Sepharose FF Resin from Generon. Oxaliplatin was ordered from Adipogen. Organic solvents and imidazole were from Panreac.

Glass vials were from Waters and inserts with polymer feet for mass spectrometry analysis were purchased from Agilent.

The Antibodies employed include microtubule-associated protein 1-light chain 3 (LC3) II (rabbit) (Abcam (ab48394)); p62 (rabbit) (Bionova (PM045)); $\beta$-actin (mouse) (Sigma (A2228)) and GFP (rabbit) (CliniSciences (TP401)). Anti-rabbit and anti-mouse HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were from GE Healthcare (anti-mouse, reference RPN4201V; anti-rabbit, reference NA934V). HRP-streptavidin was purchased from Thermo Fischer (21130).

Absorbance measurements and TR-FRET measurements were performed in a Spectramax M5, Molecular Devices. AlphaScreen assays were analysed on an Enspire ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Alpha 2390 Multilabel Reader (Perkin Elmer). The flow cytometer employed for cell cycle and death studies was the Guava EasyCyte ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (Merk Millipore, Billerica, MA). LI-COR-C-Digit ${ }^{\circledR}$ blot scanner was employed for Western Blot analysis and quantification. The sonifier probe employed in the preparation and solubilization of cell lysates was the Branson Ultrasonics Sonifier ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ SFX150 Cell Disruptor.

Mutations were introduced using the QuikChange II Site-directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent) and PCR was conducted in a MJ Mini Personal Thermal Cycler from Bio-Rad. DNA production was carried out by a QIAGEN Plasmid Mini Kit. The expected mutations in the gene sequence were confirmed by DNA sequencing, performed at the Centre de Recerca Agrigenòmica (CRAG) Sequencing Service (Cerdanyola, Spain).

All the columns used for protein purification were purchased from GE Healthcare. N (His) $6_{6}$-LC3B(L123C) was purified with a HisTrap ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ Excel 5 mL column and N -(His) $6^{-}$ Atg4B with a HisTrap ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ HP 5 mL column. The cleaved His6-tag was removed from Atg4B by GSTrap 4B 1 mL column. Size exclusion chromatography purifications were performed using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 PG. Protein purification was performed with an ÄKTA Purifier (GE Healthcare). If necessary, proteins were
concentrated with Amicon ${ }^{\circledR}$ Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filters MWCO 3 kDa or 10 kDa (Merck Millipore). Protein quantification was performed using a NanoDrop ND-8000 spectrophotometer assuming extinction coefficients of 5960 and 65890, and molecular weights of 15891.31 and 44294.39 Da for N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B(L123C) and N (His) ${ }_{6}$-Atg4B, respectively, and employing the Micro BCA ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific). Bacteria was lysed by passing them through a CF Cell Disruptor from Constant Systems LTD. The molecular mass of proteins and peptides were calculated using the Expasy Bioinformatic Portal (https://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/).

## 2. Biological material

### 2.1. Eukaryotic cells

Cells employed in this work, their provenance and their most relevant characteristics are shown in Table 14 and Table 14.

| Cell line | Description | Provenance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HGC-27 | Human cells from gastric carcinoma, epithelial, adherent. | Kind gift from the Research Unit of Bioactive Molecules (RUBAM) - IQAC/CSIC |
| Vero | African green monkey cells from kidney, fibroblast-like, epithelial, adherent. | Eucellbank (University of Barcelona, UB) |
| T98 | Human cells from glioblastoma multiforme, fibroblast, adherent. | Kind gift from the Research Unit of Bioactive Molecules (RUBAM) - IQAC/CSIC |
| U87MG | Human cells from glioblastoma, epithelial, adherent. | Kind gift from the Research Unit of Bioactive Molecules (RUBAM) - IQAC/CSIC |
| MDCK | Madin-Darby Canine Kidney Epithelial cells, adherent. | Eucellbank (University of Barcelona, UB) |
| HeLa | Human cells from cervix adenocarcinoma, epithelial, adherent. | Kind gift from Nucleic Acid Chemistry group - IQAC/CSIC |
| HEK293 | Human cells from embryonic kidney, epithelial, adherent. | Kind gift from Nucleic Acid Chemistry group - IQAC/CSIC |
| HEK293 overexpressing GFP-LC3B | Human cells from embryonic kidney, epithelial, adherent. Stable cell lines expressing GFPLC3. | Stably transfected by Dr. Oscar <br> Meca |

Table 13. Cell lines employed in this thesis.

| Cell line | Description | Provenance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCF7 <br> overexpressing GFP-LC3B | Human cells from breast adenocarcinoma, epithelial, adherent. Stable cell line expressing GFP-LC3. | Kind gift of Dr. Yaowen Wu, MPI Dortmund |
| SH-SY5Y | Human cells from bone marrow neuroblastoma, epithelial, mixed adherent and suspension. | Eucellbank (University of Barcelona, UB) |
| MEF wild type <br> (WT)/Atg5- <br> Knockout (KO) | Fibroblasts from mouse embryo, adherent, Atg5 Knockout. | Kind gift from Dr. JM Lizcano <br> Protein Kinases in Cancer Research group - Institute of Neurosciences/UAB |
| U87 DND shAtg5 | Human cells from glioblastoma, epithelial, adherent, Atg5 | Kind gift from Dr. Guillermo Velasco (Universidad |
| U87 DND shC | silenced and control cells. | Complutense de Madrid) |
| HT-29 | Human colorectal adenocarcinoma | Eucellbank (University of Barcelona, UB) |

Table 14. Cell lines employed in this thesis.

### 2.2. Bacterial cells

E. coli strains employed in this work, their provenance and their most relevant characteristics are shown in Table 15. E. coli NovaBlue was used for mutagenesis and DNA purification, while E. coli BL21 was employed for protein production.

| E. coli strain | Genotype | Provenance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NovaBlue | $\begin{aligned} & \text { endA1 hsdR17 }\left(r k_{12}^{-}{m k_{12}^{+}}^{+}\right) \text {supE44 thi-1 recA1 } \\ & \text { gyrA96 relA1 lac } \mathrm{F}^{\prime}\left[p r o A^{+} B^{+} \operatorname{lac} 1^{G} Z \Delta M 15::\right. \text { Tn10] } \\ & \left(\text { Tet }^{R}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Merck Millipore |
| BL21(DE3) | $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ompT $\mathrm{hsdS} S_{B}\left(\mathrm{r}_{B}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{m}_{B}{ }^{-}\right) \mathrm{gal} \mathrm{dcm} \mathrm{(DE3)}$ | New England Biolabs |

Table 15. E. coli strands employed in this thesis.

### 2.3. Plasmids

Plasmids employed in this thesis, their provenance and their most relevant characteristics are shown in Table 16.


Table 16. Plasmids employed in this thesis.

### 2.4. Oligonucleotides

Oligonucleotides employed for point mutation of pOPIN-N(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B(L123C) are described in the Table 17. All oligonucleotides were purchased from Sigma (purified using standard desalting).

## Primer

## Sequence

Forward 5'- GACGTTCGGGATGAAATGCTCAGTGTAAGAATTC-3'
Reverse 5'- CTGAGAATTCTTACACTGAGCATTTCATCCCGAACG-3'
Table 17. Primers employed in this thesis for point mutation.

### 2.5. Culture media

## Eukaryotic cell culture media

- Complete MEM. Minimum essential medium (MEM) supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum and $1 \%$ non-essential amino acids. Cells lines cultured with this medium were: HGC-27, MCF7, HT-29 and Vero.
- Complete DMEM. Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum. Cell lines cultured with this medium were: T98, U87DND, HEK293 and the stable transfected lines, HeLa, MDCK and MEF WT/Atg5-KO.
- Complete EMEM. Eagle's minimum essential medium (EMEM) and F12 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum. The cell line cultured with this medium was SH-SY5Y.
- EBSS medium. Earl's balanced salt solution (EBSS). This medium was employed in starvation treatments to induce autophagy.

All mediums, except EBSS, were supplemented with $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ each of penicillin and streptomycin.

## Bacterial cell media

- LB Medium (Lysogeny Bertani). Tryptone ( $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ), yeast extract ( $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ), NaCl ( $10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ ). In case of employing this medium in solid form, bacterial agar (15 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) was added to the mixture.
- TB Medium (Terrific Broth). $\mathrm{KHPO}_{4}(1 \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(2 \mathrm{mM})$, glucose (1 \%), $\alpha$ -lactose-monohydrate (0.01\%).
$100 \mu \mathrm{gl}-1$ of ampicillin was added to all media.


## 3. General procedures for cell culture

The human gastric cancer cell line HGC-27, MCF7 cells overexpressing GFP-LC3, HT-29 and also Vero cells were maintained in a humified chamber at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in minimum essential medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum, $1 \%$ nonessential amino acids and $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ each of penicillin and streptomycin. Glioblastoma cells T98 and U87 MG as well as HEK293, HeLa, MDCK and MEF WT/Atg5-KO cells were maintained at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum and $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ each of penicillin and streptomycin. Human neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y, was cultured at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in 1:1 Eagle's minimum essential medium and F12 medium
supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum and $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ each of penicillin and streptomycin. Cells were routinely grown at $80 \%$ maximum confluence.

### 3.1. Transfection of plasmid pOPIN-GFP-LC3 and generation of stable HEK293 cell line

HEK293 cells (ATCC) were seeded in culture dishes (Corning) at a density of $1.5 \times 10^{4}$ cells $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ and allowed to attach o/n. Transfection of pOPIN-GFP-LC3B was performed using lipotransfectin as vehicle (Niborlab) according to manufacturer's instructions. Stability of GFP expression was monitored weekly ( 5 weeks) by flow cytometry (Gallios instrument, Beckman Coulter) and once the GFP expression was stable ( $<1 \%$ positive cells), positive cells were sorted using a FACSAria Fusion cells sorter (B\&D). Analysis of data was done using BD FACSDIVA 8.0.1 software.

### 3.2. Production of retroviral particles and transduction in HEK293 cells

pBABE-puro was a gift from Hartmut Land \& Jay Morgenstern \& Bob Weinberg (Addgene plasmid \#1764). ${ }^{399}$ pBABEpuro-mCherry-eGFP-LC3B and pBABEpuro-GFPLC3 were a gift from Jayanta Debnath (Addgene plasmid \#22418 \& 22405, respectively). ${ }^{400,401}$ Retroviruses were generated adapting the protocol described by Weinberg's lab (Addgene). Briefly, the retrovirus packaging cell line Phoenix (ATCC) was co-transfected for 12 h with these DNAs and PVSV-G (Clontech) using lipotransfectin as vehicle. Supernatants were collected during the following 48 h and filtered through $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ cellulose acetate filters (Millipore). HEK293 cells were infected using supernatants ( $\mathrm{MOI}=10$ ) supplemented with $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ hexadimethrine bromide (polybrene, Sigma-Aldrich) at 37 으 o/n. Transduced cells were allowed to recover in fresh medium for $24-48 \mathrm{~h}$ and then selected for 7 days in medium supplemented with $1 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mL}$ puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) or 4 days and then GFP positive cells were sorted using a FACSAria Fusion cells sorter (B\&D). Analysis of data was done using BD FACSDIVA 8.0.1 software.

## 4. MALDI-TOF MS analysis

MALDI-TOF mass spectra were acquired using a MALDI-TOF/TOF 4700 Proteomics Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

Matrixes employed for the sample preparation were:

- DHB matrix: 2,5-Dihydroxybenzoic acid $(20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ in $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA (30:70 [v/v])
- SA matrix: Sinapinic acid ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in ACN/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA $(50: 50[\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}])$


## 5. UPLC-TOF MS analysis

The liquid chromatography-mass spectrometer consisted of a Waters Aquity UPLC system connected to a Waters LCT Premier orthogonal accelerated time of flight mass spectrometer (Waters, Millford, MA, USA), operated in negative or positive electrospray ionisation mode and controlled with Waters Micromass MassLynx v.4.1 software. Full scan spectra from 50 to 1500 Da were acquired and individual spectra were summed to produce data points each 0.2 s . Mass accuracy and reproducibility were maintained by using an independent reference spray by the Lock Spray interference.

The analytical columns employed were an Acquity UPLC BEH300 C18 column (particle size $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; 2.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$; Waters, Ireland) for peptide detection and an Acquity UPLC BEH C8 (particle size $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; $2.1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$; Waters, Ireland) for lipid detection.

Data was analysed by Micromass MassLynx v.4.1 software and mass spectra of protein samples were analysed applying the MagTran software 1.03 (Amgen Inc.), which implements de Zscore algorithm. ${ }^{402}$

LC-MS/MS analysis performed at the Universidad del País Basco. Ultrahigh Pressure Liquid Chromatography was ACQUITY UPLC from Waters coupled to a mass detector quadrupole time-of-flight (Q-ToF, SYNAPT G2 HDMS, Waters). Water, methanol, acetonitrile, 2-propanol and formic acid were Optima ${ }^{\circledR}$ quality purchased at Fisher Scientific. Hydrated leucin acetate enkephalin ( $95 \%$ purity), sodium hydroxide solution ( 0.1 M ) and ammonium acetate ( $99.99 \%$ purity) were from Sigma Aldrich. The analytical column was Acquity UHPLC CSH C18 2.1x $100 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Waters). Employed softwares were MassLynx V4.1 (Waters) for data acquisition and treatment, and Simlipid software (Premier Biosoft) for the structural identification of lipids. It was operated in negative electrospray ionisation mode. Full scan spectra from 50 to 1200 Da for the quantification and from 50 to 1000 Da for the identification were acquired and individual spectra were summed to produce data points each 0.3 s and 0.1 s , respectively. Mass accuracy and reproducibility were maintained by using an independent reference spray by the Lock Spray interference. The two mobile phases were phase A : Acetonitrile $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40: 60, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ and 10 mM ammonium formate $+0.1 \%$ formic acid; phase B: Acetonitrile/Isopropanol ( $10: 90, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and 10 mM ammonium formate+ $0.1 \%$ formic acid. The gradient was: $0-2 \mathrm{~min}$ from 40 to $43 \%$ of $B, 2.1 \mathrm{~min} 54 \%$ of $B, 12.1 \mathrm{~min} 70 \%$ of $B, 18 \mathrm{~min} 100 \%$ of $B, 19 \mathrm{~min}$ isocratic $100 \%$ of $B$. The flow rate was $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The column was held at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Acquity UPLC BEH300 C18 column

$10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were injected and eluted at a flow rate of $0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The mobile phase employed consisted of a 20 mM formic acid solution in acetonitrile (solution A) and a 20 mM formic acid solution in water (solution B). Gradient elution started at $10 \%$ solution A, was increased to $100 \%$ solution A over 5 min , held for 1.5 min , and then returned to $10 \%$ solution A over 1.5 min . The acquisition range of the TOF detector was $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 50$ to 1.500 , the capillary voltage was set to 3.0 kV , the desolvation temperature was $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the desolvation gas flow rate was $600 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}$. Individual chromatographic peaks of the peptides were isolated from full-scan MS spectra by selecting the theoretical exact mass using the Micromass MassLynx software. Positive identification of the species was based on accurate mass measurement with an error $<5 \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Acquity UPLC BEH C8 column

$10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were injected at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and eluted at a flow rate of $0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The 2 mobile phases were 1 mM ammonium formate in methanol (phase A) and 2 mM ammonium formate in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (phase B), both phases with 0.05 mM formic acid. A linear gradient was programmed $-0.0 \mathrm{~min}: 80 \%$ B; 3 min: $90 \%$ B; 6 min: $90 \%$ B; $15 \mathrm{~min}: 99 \%$ B; 18 $\mathrm{min}: 99 \% \mathrm{~B} ; 20 \mathrm{~min}: 80 \% \mathrm{~B}$. The flow rate was $0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The column was held at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The acquisition range of the TOF detector was $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 50$ to 1.500 , the capillary voltage was set to 3.0 kV , the desolvation temperature was $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the desolvation gas flow rate was $600 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}$. The linear dynamic range was determined by injecting standard mixtures. Positive identification of compounds was based on the accurate mass measurement with an error < 5 ppm and its LC retention time, compared to that of a reference compounds ( $\pm 2 \%$ ).

## 6. Expression and purification of the proteins

### 6.1. Atg4B production

## Expression and purification of N -(His) $)_{\sigma}-3 \mathrm{C}-$-Atg 4

The pOPIN-N-(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ plasmid was transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells, transformed cells were selected by plating on nutrient agar containing ampicillin (100 $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mL}$ ) and overnight incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A single colony was then inoculated into 60 mL of autoinduction media (Terrific Broth (TB) media: $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KHPO}_{4}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO}{ }_{4}$, $1 \%$ glucose) containing $100 \mu \mathrm{mLL}^{-1}$ of ampicillin, and the culture was grown overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 200 rpm . This pre-culture was used to seed 7.5 L of fresh TB medium (containing $100 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ of ampicillin and $0.01 \% \alpha$-lactose-monohydrate), and the culture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 190 rpm until the optical density (OD600) reached $0.6-0.8$, followed by overnight incubation at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 190 rpm . The cells were then harvested by centrifugation ( $6000 \mathrm{rpm}, 20 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

The bacterial pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer ( $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KHPO}{ }_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 8,0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$, 20 mM imidazole) containing 1 X protease inhibitors cocktail. Cells were lysed by passing them through a CF Cell Disruptor. Triton X-100 (1\% final concentration) and DNAse ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were added into the cell lysate and the lysate was centrifuged at 13000, $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The protein was initially purified by Ni-NTA-affinity purification. To this end, the supernatant was filtered through a $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ paper (Whatman) and loaded onto a HisTrap ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ HP 5 mL column equilibrated with lysis buffer by Äkta. The column was washed with $100 \%$ lysis buffer, and then the protein was eluted with a step gradient of 7-16 \% elution buffer ( $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KHPO}{ }_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 8,0.3 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ imidazole) followed by a linear gradient of $16-100 \%$ of elution buffer until absorbance reached baseline. The fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE and collected. N -(His) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ was then concentrated to a final volume of 3 mL employing an Amicon ${ }^{\circledR}$ centrifugal filter (Amicon MWCO 10 KDa).

The protein was further purified by size exclusion chromatography using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 PG column connected to an Äkta and equilibrated with Gel Filtration Buffer ( 25 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,40 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT). The pooled fractions were quantified ( $4.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) employing a NanoDrop ND-8000 spectrophotometer in conjunction with the Scope's method ${ }^{403}$ and the BCA assay, aliquoted, shock frozen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## His $_{6}$-tag cleavage and purification of the resulting Atg4B

To release the N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ protein from the affinity tag, the fusion protein was cleaved by overnight incubation at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a human rhinovirus 3 C protease (HRV 3C) bearing a $N$-terminal GST (a kind gift of Dr. Roman Bonet). Briefly, 10 mg of protein were treated overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without shaking with 100 U of HRV $3 \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{U}=1 \mathrm{mg})$. HRV 3C was then removed using a GSTrap 4B 1 mL column (GE Healthcare) on Äkta. The protein was further purified by size exclusion chromatography using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 PG column coupled to Äkta and equilibrated with Gel Filtration Buffer ( 25 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,40 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT). The pooled fractions were quantified ( $4.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) by NanoDrop ND-8000 spectrophotometer and the BCA assay. After aliquoting, the protein was shock frozen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Identity and purity of cleaved protein was verified by SDS-PAGE and MALDI/TOF using sinapinic acid as matrix.

### 6.2. N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C)-Biotin production

## Site-directed mutagenesis

L123C Mutation was introduced in proLC3B by site-directed mutagenesis using the following primers:

Forward: 5'-GACGTTCGGGATGAAATGCTCAGTGTAAGAATTC-3'

## Reverse: 5'-CTGAGAATTCTTACACTGAGCATTTCATCCCGAACG-3'

For individual mutagenesis, PCR was carried out in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction mixture containing the following components: $35.5 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ plasmid template ( 25 ng of total plasmid templates per reaction, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction buffer 10X (Agilent), $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ dNTP mix provided by the kit, $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each mutagenic primer ( 125 ng of each primer at 10 mM in $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ per reaction, Sigma Aldrich), and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PfuUltra HF DNA Polymerase ( 2.5 $\mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L}$; Agilent). PCR conditions were: 30 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to denature the template, followed by 16 cycles of 30 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~min}$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $1 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{kb}$ at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. PCR products were treated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 1 h with $1 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{DpnI}(10 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L}$; Agilent) to digest methylated parental DNA, leaving the non-methylated amplified DNA containing the desired mutations. DNA amplification of the plasmid $(2 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was then carried out by transformation in chemically competent E. coli NovaBlue (Merck Millipore). $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of competent cells were gently added and mixed with $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA and kept 5 min on ice. Tubes were transferred to a $42{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath and incubated for 30 s , followed by 2 min on ice. Subsequently, 0.5 mL of LB media was added to each tube and, following a 10 min incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, all the content was transferred onto LB-Ampicillin plates and grown overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. One colony was grown in LB-Ampicillin media by overnight incubation and shacking at 200 rpm and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The plasmid DNA was isolated with a QIAGEN Plasmid Mini Kit and the expected mutation was confirmed by DNA sequencing.

## Expression and purification of N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C)

pOPIN-N-(His) ${ }_{6}$-proLC3B was transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) competent cells (Biolabs) and transformed cells were selected by plating on nutrient agar containing ampicillin ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and overnight incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A single colony was then inoculated into 60 mL of LB medium containing ampicillin ( $100 \mathrm{\mu g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ ) and the culture was grown overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 200 rpm . This pre-culture was used to seed 3.6 L of fresh LB medium (containing $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ ampicillin), and the culture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the optical density at 600 nm (OD600) reached $0.6-0.8$. After cooling down, protein expression was induced with IPTG, final concentration 1 mM , overnight at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 200 rpm . The cells were harvested by centrifugation ( 6000 rpm , $20 \min , 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

The bacterial pellet was resuspended in breaking buffer ( $25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,0.5$ $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ PMSF and 1X protease inhibitors cocktail) and cells were then lysed using a CF Cell Disruptor (Constant Systems Ltd.). Triton X-100 (1\% final concentration) and DNAse ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were added into the cell lysate and the lysate was centrifuged at $13.000 \mathrm{rpm}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The supernatant was filtered through a $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter (Whatman) and loaded onto a HisTrap ${ }^{\top \mathrm{M}}$ excel 5 mL column coupled to Äkta and equilibrated with buffer $\mathrm{A}\left(50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} \mathrm{NO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.02 \mathrm{M}\right.$ imidazole). The column was washed with buffer A , and then the protein was eluted using a $3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flux with a step gradient of $8 \%$ and then $16 \%$ of buffer B ( 50 mM $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5,0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ imidazole) of 5 column volumes each one, followed by a linear gradient $16-100 \%$ of buffer B until absorbance reached baseline ( 5 column volumes). The fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE and collected fractions were then concentrated to 3 mL by size exclusion filtration (Amicon MWCO 3 kDa ), the buffer was then exchanged to phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2, 70013 from Gibco ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) containing 5 mM DTT.

The protein was further purified by size exclusion chromatography using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 PG column equilibrated with PBS containing 5 mM DTT at 2 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{mL}$ flow. The pooled fractions were quantified ( $23.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer in conjunction with the Scope's method ${ }^{003}$ and the bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA), aliquoted, shock frozen and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Biotinylation

N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B(L123C) ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were mixed with 10 eq of tris(2carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP, Sigma) dissolved in DMSO (stock solution $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and the resulting mixture was then shaken 1 h at room temperature. TCEP was then removed employing a PD-10 desalting column (GE Healthcare) and the protein was concentrated to a final volume $<2 \mathrm{~mL} .10$ eq of EZ-Link ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ BMCC-Biotin dissolved in DMSO (stock solution $4.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) or 10 eq of EZ-Link ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Maleimide-PEG ${ }_{2}$-Biotin dissolved in ${ }_{\text {dd }} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (stock solution $10.52 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were then added and the reaction mixture was incubated for 2.5 h at room temperature on a rotary shaker. PBS was added up to a final volume of 2.5 mL and the reaction mixture was desalted employing a PD-10 desalting column. Finally, the total protein amount was quantified using the BCA assay yielding 5 mg of biotinylated protein. Identity and purity of the biotinylated protein was confirmed by MALDI-TOF using sinapinic acid as matrix, SDSPAGE and Western Blot analysis using a streptavidin-HRP conjugate.

### 6.3. N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) Biotin as Atg4B substrate monitored by SDS-PAGE

In order to confirm ability of the enzyme to cleave the biotinylated substrate, both purified proteins were mixed ( $4: 1 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ of N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) and Atg4B) and incubated for 2 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that, samples were diluted in Laemmli Buffer and boiled for 5 min . $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein of each sample was loaded onto a $15 \%$ polyacrylamide gel separated by electrophoresis at $160 \mathrm{~V} / 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$. The gel was then stained by Coomassie.

### 6.4. Detection of biotinylated protein using HRP-streptavidin

100 ng of N -(His) $\mathbf{6}_{6}$-LC3(L123C) Biotin were diluted in Laemmli Buffer and boiled for 5 min. Samples were loaded onto a $15 \%$ polyacrylamide gel, separated by electrophoresis at $160 \mathrm{~V} / 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and transferred onto a PVDF membrane ( $100 \mathrm{~V} / 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ). Unspecific binding sites were then blocked with $5 \%$ milk in TBST ( 50 mM Tris HCl pH $7.6,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ and 0.1 \% Tween 20) for 2 h at room temperature. After washing with TBST, membranes were probed with 1:20000 diluted HRP-streptavidin (initial concentration $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in $3 \%$ in TBST for 1 h at room temperature. Excess of HRPstreptavidin was eliminated by washing with TBST and protein detection was carried out by ECL a, densitometry analysis of the bands was assessed using a LI-COR C-DiGit ${ }^{\circledR}$ Blot Scanner.

## 7. MTT assay

## General Procedure

To determine cell viability, the colorimetric MTT metabolic activity assay was employed. Cells were seeded at a density of $0.1 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mL} /$ well (96-well plates) and cultured at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Twenty-four hours after seeding cells were exposed to varying concentrations of the investigated compounds and viability was determined after $24 \mathrm{~h}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ or later. The control culture was prepared by adding culture medium (cell viability control) or DMSO (vehicle control) in the absence of treatment. After treatment, MTT solution ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ at $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS) was added to each well and the cells were incubated for another 3 h . The solution was removed from the precipitate and the resultant formazan crystals were dissolved in DMSO (100 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ), the absorbance intensity was then measured using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, SpectramaxM5) at 570 nm . All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the relative cell viability (\%) is expressed as a percentage of cell viability relative to the cells treated with the DMSO ( $0.1 \%$, vehicle group).

## Screening of squaramates and squaramides at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $20 \mu M$

Compounds were employed at single concentrations in triplicate ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), the rest of the protocol was followed as detailed in the general procedure. A first
screening was done by treating HGC-27 and HeLa cells at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 24 h . The second screening was also carried out on HGC-27 and HeLa cells for 24 h but the compounds were applied at a $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration.

## $I_{50}$ determination of selected squaramides

Dose-dependent toxicity was explored by the selected squaramides (15-18, 20, 25, 33, 34 and 39) identified from the initial MTT assay. Cisplatin toxicity was also explored using an MTT assay. In all cases HGC-27 and HeLa cells were employed. Moreover, the activity of compound 34 was also studied in other cell lines (T98, U87, HEK293, MDCK and Vero) during 24 h and after 48 h treatment in HGC-27 cells. All compounds were dissolved and diluted in DMSO (stock concentration of 10 mM ). Blank controls were cells treated with the vehicle (DMSO) at $0.1 \%$.

## Compounds identified with the AlphaScreen-based assay

Cell viability was studied for the selected compounds (54,55, 56 and 57 ) identified after AlphaScreen-based HTS. Cell viability was determined using an MTT assay at 24 h in HT-29 and HEK293 overexpressing GFP-LC3B cells. All compounds were dissolved and diluted in DMSO (stock concentration of 10 mM ). Controls were cells treated with the vehicle (DMSO) at 0.1\%.

## Study of the synergic effect of compound 57 with Oxaliplatin

Cell viability inhibition by oxaliplatin was first investigated using an MTT assay. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained after treating HT-29 cells during 24,48 and 72 h with serially diluted samples of oxaliplatin. Oxaliplatin was dissolved in DMSO (stock solution 50 mM ). Blank controls were cells treated with the vehicle (DMSO) at $0.1 \%$. Next, cells were treated with a mix of oxaliplatin ( $33 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and compound $57(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ diluted in DMSO for 24,48 and 72 h . The controls included cells treated individually by either oxaliplatin at $33 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or compound 57 at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

## 8. Other cell viability assays

### 8.1. SRB assay

## General Procedure

Cells were seeded in 96 -well plates at a density of $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL} /$ well $)$, cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}$, and then subjected to various treatments for 24,48 or 72 h . The control culture was prepared by adding DMSO (vehicle control) in the absence of treatment. Once the treatment was finished, cells were fixed with $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ice-cold trichloroacetic acid (TCA) $50 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ and $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ice-cold PBS for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was then removed, and the plate was washed with Milli-Q water four times. After that, $100 \mu \mathrm{l} /$ well of SRB solution ( $0.4 \%$ sulforhodamine B w/v in $0.1 \%$ acetic acid) was added and the samples were incubated for another 30 min at room
temperature. To remove unbound dye, plates were washed five times with $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ acetic acid. SRB was solubilized by adding $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a 10 mM Tris solution ( pH 10.5 ) to each well and shaking for 5 min on a shaker platform. The absorbance intensity was measured using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, SpectramaxM5) at 564 nm. All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the relative cell viability (\%) is expressed as a percentage of cell viability relative to the cells treated with DMSO ( $0.1 \%$, vehicle group).

## ${ } C_{50}$ determination of the selected squaramides

Cell viability was determined for squaramide 34 in HGC-27, MDCK and Vero cells at 24 h using an SRB assay. Compound 34 was dissolved in DMSO (stock solution 10 mM ) and control cells were treated with $0.1 \%$ DMSO. Cell viability was also determined in HGC-27 cells after doxorubicin treatment for 24 h . Doxorubicin was dissolved in DMSO (stock solution 172 mM ) and control cells were treated with $0.1 \%$ DMSO.

## $1 C_{50}$ determination of selected compounds in AlphaScreen-based assay

Cell viability was studied for the selected compounds ( $54,55,56$ and 57 ) employing an SRB assay after 24 h treatment. In all cases HT-29 and HEK293 overexpressing GFPLC3B cells were employed. All compounds were dissolved in DMSO (stock solution 10 mM ) and controls cells were treated with $0.1 \%$ DMSO.

### 8.2. Luminescent Cell Viability Assay

Cells were seeded in 96 -opaque well plates at a density of $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}(0.1$ $\mathrm{mL} /$ well), cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \%$ CO2 for 24 h , and then subjected to 34 treatment for 24 h . The control culture was prepared by adding DMSO (vehicle control) in the absence of treatment. Control wells containing medium without cells were also prepared to obtain a value for the background fluorescence. Once the treatment was finished, the plate and its contents were equilibrated at room temperature for approximately 30 min and $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of CellTiter-Glo ${ }^{\circledR}$ Reagent were added and mixed by an orbital shaker for 2 min in order to induce cell lysis. The luminescent signal was then stabilized through incubating the plate at room temperature for 10 min . The luminescence was then recorded using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, SpectramaxM5). All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the relative cell viability (\%) is expressed as a percentage of cell viability relative to the cells treated with DMSO ( $0.1 \%$, vehicle group)

## 9. Cell cycle analysis

Cells were seeded at $1.5 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ into 6 -well plates ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} /$ well). Cells were allowed to adhere for 24 h , and then they were treated with vehicle ( $0.1 \%$ ethanol), $34(5 \mu \mathrm{M})$ or doxorubicin ( 500 nM ). After exposure for 24 h , cell media were discarded, and cells were washed with $400 \mu$ I PBS-EDTA 1\% BSA and harvested with $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ trypsin-EDTA $1 \%$ BSA ( $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 2 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Cells were pulled down by centrifugation at $1.300 \mathrm{rpm} / 3 \mathrm{~min}$; cell pellet was washed once with $400 \mu \mathrm{I}$ PBS-EDTA $1 \%$ BSA and again centrifuged at the same speed/time. Cells were fixed with a $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight incubation with a $70 \%$ ethanol ( 9.5 mL ) in 1X PBS solution ( 0.5 mL ). Fixed cells were pulled down, washed once with PBS-EDTA $1 \%$ BSA and stained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h with propidium iodide solution ( $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS) and RNase, DNase-free ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Stained cells were analysed by using a Guava EasyCyte ${ }^{T M}$ flow cytometer (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA). Data analysis was performed using the Multicycle AV program (Phoenix Flow Systems, San Diego, CA). Cell cycle assays on cells treated with 34 were carried out by Dr Juan Vicente Alegre whereas assays on cells treated with doxorubicin were done by Mireia Quintana.

## 10. Evaluation of dead cells using Annexin V-FITC/PI and flux cytometry

## General procedure

HGC-27 cells were plated in 6 -well plates ( $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ per well) and were treated with compound $34 ; 0.1 \%$ DMSO was used as a vehicle control. Controls consisted in N -octanoylsphingoine (C8Cer) at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ as positive control of late apoptosis induction and the vehicle as negative control. After induction for 24 h , cells were collected (Trypsin and PBS with $5 \%$ BSA) and the cells were washed with PBS containing 5\% BSA. The percentages of living, apoptotic and necrotic cells were estimated by staining with Annexin-V-FITC and PI (Annexin-V- FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit, Cell signalling). Almost 10.000 events were collected for each sample and analysed by flow cytometry. In brief, cells were incubated with $96 \mu$ l of binding buffer, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Annexin V-FITC and $12.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PI solution at room temperature for 10 min in the dark. After a final addition of $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PBS, HGC-27 cells were immediately analysed on a Guava easyCyte ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Single Sample Flow Cytometer. Samples were excited by the light wavelength of 488 nm with barrier filters of 525 nm and 575 nm for FITC fluorescence and PI detection, respectively. Data were analysed by InCyte Software and plotted for Annexin V-FITC and PI in a two-way dot plot. Live, early apoptotic, late apoptotic and necrotic cells are designed as Annexin-/PI-, Annexin +/PI-, and Annexin+/PI+, respectively. Results are shown as a percentage of cells.

## Apoptosis assays with squaramide 34

Cells were treated with compound 34 at $1,2.5,5$ and $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (stock solutions in DMSO) for 24 h on HGC-27 cells. Doxorubicin effect on the same cells was also evaluated at concentrations of $0.125,0.25,0.5,1$ and $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 24 h . The effect carried out by 34 squaramide over time was also investigated by treatment with a $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of the compound in HGC-27 cells during 4, 8 and 24 h . All assays were performed in triplicate as described in the General Procedure.

## Apoptosis and necroptosis assays with inhibitors

In order to study the cell death mechanism, some pathways were inhibited before 34 treatment. After the appropriated incubation time, treatment with 34 and analysis by flow cytometry were done in triplicate as described in the General Procedure. Inhibitors employed are described in the following Table 18:

| Inhibitor | Pathway inhibited | Final concentration | Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z-VAD-FMK | pancaspase inhibitor, caspase-dependent apoptosis | $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO | 1 h before treatment |
| Z-IETD-FMK | caspase-8 inhibitor, extrinsic caspase-dependent apoptosis | $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO | 1 h before treatment |
| Z-LEHD-FMK | caspase-9 inhibitor, intrinsic caspase-dependent apoptosis | $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO | 1 h before treatment |
| Cathepsin Inhibitor III | cathepsin B, cathepsin-L, cathepsin-S, and papain inhibitor, lysosomal cell death | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO | 1 h before treatment |
| Necrostatin-1 | RIP1 inhibitor, necroptosis | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO | 3 h before treatment |

Table 18. Inhibitors of specific cell death pathways employed in HGC-27 cells to discern the cell death mechanism followed after treatment with 34 .

## 11. Protein analysis by Western Blot

## General procedure

The $15 \%$ polyacrylamide gel loaded with the proteins was separated by electrophoresis at $140 \mathrm{~V} / 60$ min and transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane ( $100 \mathrm{~V} / 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ). PVDF membranes were then cut to separate LC3B and $\beta$-actin bands. Unspecific binding sites were then blocked with $5 \%$ milk in TBS with $0.1 \%$ Tween 20 (TBST) in case of LC3B and p62 membranes and with 3\% BSA in TBST in case
of anti- $\beta$-actin antibody. Anti-LC3B antibody was diluted 1:1000 in $5 \%$ milk in TBST, anti-p62 antibody was diluted 1:1000 in milk in TBST and anti- $\beta$-actin antibody was diluted 1:2000 in 3\% BSA in TBST. Membranes were incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under gentle agitation. After washing with TBST, membranes were probed with the correspondent secondary antibody for 1 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (LC3B: 1:1000 dilution in 3\% BSA in TBST; p62: 1:1000 dilution in 3\% BSA in TBST; Actin: 1:10000 dilution in 5\% milk in TBST). Antibody excess was eliminated by washing with TBST and protein detection was carried out using ECL and membrane scanning with LI-COR C-DiGit® blot scanner. Band intensities were quantified using the LI-COR Image Studio Lite software. All experiments were performed in triplicate and band intensities are expressed as a relation of the samples to the control protein.

## Study of the time dependence effect of 34 in HGC-27 cells

$1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{HGC}-27$ cells were plated in 6 -well plates and were allowed to adhere for 24 h . In the samples containing protease inhibitors, cells were treated with $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of pepstatin A at a concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in 9:1 of methanol and acetic acid $1 \%$, and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of E-64-D at a concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in ethanol. After 2 h incubation, cells were treated with $34(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ or DMSO ( $0.1 \%$ ) for 4,8 and 24 h , collected with trypsin, and then the pellets were washed twice with cold PBS. Cell lysis was performed with $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5), $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \%$ Triton X-100, $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ aprotinin, $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ leupeptin and 1 mM PMSF) by five cycles of bath sonication (20 $\mathrm{s}) /$ rest on ice ( 20 s ). Then samples were kept on ice for 30 min and centrifuged for 3 min at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$. Supernatants were collected, and protein determination was performed using the Micro BCA ${ }^{T M}$ protein assay kit. Supernatants were combined with Laemmli sample buffer and boiled for 5 min . Equal amounts of proteins ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) were loaded onto a $15 \%$ polyacrylamide gel and the General Procedure for protein analysis by Western Blot technique was applied.

## Assay to determine the autophagy induced by 34 on HGC-27 cells

The same procedure described in the previous section was performed in the presence of autophagy inhibitors (inhibitors employed are described in Table 19):

| Inhibitor | Pathway inhibited | Final <br> concentration | Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chloroquine (CQ) | Inhibitor of autophagosome | $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in | 2 h before |
| fusion with lysosomes | ethanol <br> 3-methyladenine | PI3K inhibitor | 2 mM in | | 2 h before |
| :---: |
| (3-MA) |

Table 19. Autophagy inhibitors employed.

## Study of the changes in autophagic flux by 57 on HT-29 cells

For protein analysis, $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells were plated in 6 -well plates and were allowed to adhere for 24 h . In the samples containing protease inhibitors, cells were treated with $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of pepstatin A at a concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in 9:1 of methanol and acetic acid $1 \%$, and $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of E-64-D at a concentration of $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in ethanol. After 2 h incubation, cells were treated with compound 57 at 100 and $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or DMSO ( $0.1 \%$ ) for 24 h . In case of autophagy induction, test compounds were diluted in Earle's Balanced Salt Solution (EBSS) and cells were incubated for 3 h with this mixture. Cells were directly lysed in Laemmli sample buffer and boiled for 5 min. Samples were loaded onto a $15 \%$ polyacrylamide gel and the General Procedure for Western Blot technique was followed.

## Analysis of LC3B-II by immunoblotting in U87DND shAtg5 and MEF Atg5-KO and the corresponding control cells

For protein analysis, $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells were plated in 6 -well plates and were allowed to adhere for 24 h . In the samples containing protease inhibitors, cells were treated with $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of pepstatin A at a concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in 9:1 of methanol and acetic acid $1 \%$, and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{E}-64-\mathrm{D}$ at a concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in ethanol. After 2 h incubation, cells were collected with trypsin and then the pellets were washed twice with cold PBS. Cell lysis was performed with $30 \mu$ l of lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5), $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \%$ Triton X-100, $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ aprotinin, $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ leupeptin and 1 mM PMSF) by five cycles of bath sonication ( 20 s )/rest on ice ( 20 s ). Then samples were kept on ice for 30 min and centrifuged for 3 min at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}$. Supernatants were collected and protein determination was performed using the Micro BCA ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ protein assay kit. Supernatants were combined with Laemmli sample buffer and boiled for 5 min and analysed by Western Blot as described in the General Procedure for Western Blot with LC3 and $\beta$-actin antibody as primaries antibodies.

## 12. Analysis of mitochondrial membrane potential

HGC-27 cells were seeded at a density of 100.000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} /$ well) in 6 -well plates, cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and allowed to adhere for 24 h . Cells were treated with 34 at various concentrations ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 2 \mu \mathrm{M}, 8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $16 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO) or DMSO ( $0.1 \%$ ) as negative control (vehicle group). After 24 h incubation, cells were collected (Trypsin with 5\% BSA) and washed with PBS containing 5\% BSA. Cell pellet was then resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ medium and seeded into a 96 -well plate ( 1 sample / well). $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a previously prepared 50X solution of JC-1 and 7-AAD (7aminoactinomycin) were added to each well and cells were incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Finally, cells were collected in Eppendorf tubes and analysed on a Guava easyCyte ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Single Sample Flow Cytometer. Data were analyzed by InCyte Software and plotted for orange (JC-1 aggregates) and green fluorescence (JC-1 monomers).

## 13. ROS detection

Oxidative metabolism was examined in triplicate samples by using $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$ dichlorofluorescin diacetate, a non-fluorescent membrane-permeable compound which can be oxidised to $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-dichlorofluorescein in case cell has high levels of reactive oxygen species. HGC-27 cells were seeded at a density of $0.1 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$, $0.1 \mathrm{~mL} /$ well ( 96 -well plates), cultured at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and allowed to adhere for $24 \mathrm{~h} .2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-dichlorofluorescin diacetate ( $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in DMSO was then added and incubated for 1 h . Compound 34 was then added at various concentrations ( 24 to $1.40 \mu \mathrm{M})$. DMSO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ were added too as a negative and positive control, respectively. After 5 h incubation, fluorescence was measured using a microplate reader (SpectramaxM5, Molecular Devices) at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and emission wavelength of 538 nm .

## 14. TR-FRET measurements

Assay 1. A titration of biotinylated N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) was done (100-0 nM) in buffer consisting of 50 mM Tris-Cl pH 8, 35 mM NaCl and 5 mM TCEP. Next, $10 \mu \mathrm{l}, 5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 2 or 5 nM Eu-Ab and $5 \mu$ l of ULight-Sav at a 1:4 ratio compared to the protein were added diluted in buffer. The mixture was then incubated for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. After that, fluorescence was measured at $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ of 340 nm and $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ of 665 nm with a time delay of $100 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. As a control, a non-biotinylated N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) protein as included at the same concentration. All samples were measured in triplicate.

Assay 2. Biotinylated and non-biotinylated N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) were mixed at different ratios (0-10-40-100\% biotinylated protein) to a final concentration of 100 nM in a buffer consisting of 50 mM Tris-Cl pH 8, $35 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \%$ Triton X-100 and 5 mM TCEP. Next, $10 \mu \mathrm{l}, 5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 2 or 5 nM Eu-Ab and $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ULight-Sav at a 1:4 ratio compared to the protein were added in the same buffer. The mixture was then incubated for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. After that, the fluorescence was measured at $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ of 340 nm and $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ of 665 nm with a time delay of $100 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. As a control, a non-biotinylated N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) at 100 nM (0\% biotinylation) was included in the assay. All samples were measured in triplicate.

## 15. AlphaScreen assay

## General Procedure

Screening based on AlphaScreen technology was conducted in a volume of $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Atg4B was previously incubated in the assay buffer (Hepes $25 \mathrm{mM}, 150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, $0.5 \%$ Triton X-100, $0.1 \%$ BSA, 1 mM TCEP and $1 \%$ glycerol at pH 8 ) for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 additional hour at room temperature in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the compounds dissolved in DMSO to a final concentration of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$. For the screen, a mixture of N (His) ${ }_{6}-\mathrm{LC3B}(\mathrm{~L} 123 \mathrm{C})$ Biotin ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(25 \mathrm{nM})$ in assay buffer, containing either the compounds or vehicle, was added to a 96 -well plate. The resulting mixture was incubated for 30 min . An aliquot of the reaction mixture was diluted to a final concentration of 2.5 nM ( N -(His) $)_{6}-\mathrm{LC3B}(\mathrm{~L} 123 \mathrm{C})$ Biotin) in a final volume of $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, which were added to $1 / 2$ AreaPlate 96 -well plates. Then, nickel chelate acceptor beads $(5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were added to a final concentration of $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and the resulting mixture was incubated 1 h in the dark at room temperature followed by the addition of streptavidin donor beads ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, final concentration $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). The mixture was incubated for 1 h and the plates were read on an EnSpire ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Alpha 2390 Multilabel Reader (Perkin Elmer). AlphaScreen assays were carried out in white, non-binding 96well plates (141804, Daslab) and measured in $1 / 2$ AreaPlate 96 (white) from Perkin Elmer in an EnSpire ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Alpha 2390 Multilabel Reader (Perkin Elmer). AlphaScreen® ${ }^{\circledR}$ Histidine (Nickel Chelate) Detection 500 assay points Kit, which includes streptavidin coated Donor Beads and Nickel chelate Acceptor Beads, as well as the Biotin-(His) ${ }_{6}$ linker peptide employed for the counter screen assay, were purchased from PerkinElmer.

## Optimization assays

- Buffer optimization and determination of appropriate N -(His)6-LC3B(L123C) Biotin concentration

Titration of the protein ( $0-100 \mathrm{nM}$ ) in a variety of buffers was carried out to determine both the additives of the buffer giving a better AlphaScreen signal and also the N -(His) $6_{6}$-LC3B(L123C) Biotin concentration before hook effect. N-(His) ${ }_{6}$ LC3B(L123C) Biotin was diluted in the buffers to a final volume of $40 \mu$ l, then beads were added as described in the General Procedure. All samples were prepared in triplicate. A blank sample without the protein was prepared as control.

Studied buffers are described in the following Table 20. Buffer 8 was the selected buffer for further assays.

| Buffer | Composition |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 25 mM Hepes pH 7.4 |
| 2 | 25 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 0.5 \% Triton X-100 |
| 3 | 25 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 0.1 \% BSA |
| 4 | 25 mM Hepes pH 8, 0.5 \% Triton X-100, 0.1 \% BSA, 1 mM TCEP |
| 5 | 50 mM Tris pH 8, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5$ \% Triton X-100, 0.1 \% BSA, 1 mM TCEP |
| 6 | 25 mM Hepes pH 8, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5$ \% Triton X-100, 0.1 \% BSA, 1 mM TCEP |
| 7 | 25 mM Hepes pH 8, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1$ \% Triton X-100, 0.1 \% BSA, 1 mM TCEP |

## 8 <br> 25 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 8,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5$ \% Triton X-100, 0.1 \% BSA, 1 mM TCEP, 1 \% Glycerol <br> 9 <br> 25 mM Hepes pH 8, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5$ \% Triton X-100, 0.1 \% BSA, 1 mM TCEP, 2 \% Glycerol

Table 20. Buffers employed in AlphaScreen-based assay optimisation.

- Stability evaluation

Aliquots of N -(His) $\sigma_{6}$-LC3B(L123C)Biotin at 8.75 nM each at a final volume of $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were incubated at room temperature for different times (from 0 to 6 h). At each timepoint, beads were added to each sample following the General Procedure and, after incubations in dark, AlphaScreen signal was determined. All samples were prepared in triplicate. A blank sample without the protein was included as a control.

## - Competitive assay

N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B(L123C)Biotin and the same protein without biotin were mixed at different proportions in order to obtain $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B(L123C) with different biotinylating degree ( $0-100 \%$ biotinylation). Then, $40 \mu$ of each sample were added to $1 / 2$ AreaPlate 96 and the beads were added as stated above. All samples were prepared in triplicate. A blank sample without proteins was included as a control.

## - Atg4B concentration

A titration of Atg4B ( $0-100 \mathrm{nM}$ ) was employed to treat 8.75 nM of N -(His) $6^{-}$ LC3B(L123C) Biotin for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a final volume of $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Then the described General Procedure was followed in order to determine the required Atg4B concentration. All samples were prepared in triplicate. A sample without proteins and a sample with N -(His) $)_{6}-\mathrm{LC} 3 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~L} 123 \mathrm{C})$ Biotin alone were included as controls.

## - Counter screen using a Biotin-(His)6-peptide

Each primary hit compound or Atg4B was incubated with a Biotin-(His) ${ }_{6}$-peptide at a final concentration of 10 nM (stock solution $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) diluted in assay buffer for 1 h at room temperature and then 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Donor beads and acceptor beads (final concentration of $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were then added and incubated for 1 h as described in the General Procedure.

- Comparative assay employing N-(His)6-proLC3B(L123C)Biotin biotinylated with either EZ-Link ${ }^{T M}$ BMCC-Biotin or EZ-Link ${ }^{T M}$ Maleimide-PEG ${ }_{2}$-Biotin
$1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the corresponding proLC3B-Biotin was treated with increasing concentrations of $\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(0-50 \mathrm{nM})$ for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in buffer 8 . An aliquot was then diluted to 12.5 nM of proLC3B-Biotin and beads were added as described in the General Procedure. A blank control without enzyme was added in each sequence in order to normalize AlphaScreen values.
- Determination of the appropriate concentration of $N$-(His)6-LC3B(L123C) modified with EZ-Link ${ }^{T M}$ Maleimide-PEG ${ }_{2}$-Biotin

Titration of the protein ( $0-1000 \mathrm{nM}$ ) was carried out in a final volume of $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$. Beads were then added and signal was measured as described in the General Procedure.

## - Atg4B concentration

The enzyme ( $0-386 \mathrm{nM}$ ) was incubated on ice for 1 h and mixed with 1 or $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B(L123C) Biotin to a final volume of $70 \mu \mathrm{l}$ in a 96 -well plate. After incubation for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, aliquots of the reaction mixtures were diluted to a final concentration of 2.5 nM ( N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B(L123C) Biotin) in a final volume of $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Then beads were added and the signal was measured as described in the General Procedure.

## - DMSO tolerance

The effect of DMSO on assay signal was determined by incubating the assay reaction ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of N -(His) $)_{6}-\mathrm{LC3B}(\mathrm{~L} 123 \mathrm{C})$ Biotin with 25 nM of Atg4B) in different wells at various DMSO concentrations ( $0-10 \%$ of DMSO) and read at the same time as described in the General Procedure. All samples were added in triplicate. A blank sample without protein and a reaction sample without DMSO were employed as controls.

## - Z-Factor

$Z^{\prime}$ factor, an index for assay quality control, and $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}$ ratios were determined through performing the assay reaction in presence and absence of Atg4B, that served as positive and negative controls. Thirty aliquots of each were prepared as described in the General Procedure. Then the Z-factor was calculated employing the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}-\text { factor }=1-\frac{3 \mathrm{SD} \text { of pos controls }+ \text { 3SD of neg controls }}{\mid \text { mean of pos controls }- \text { mean of neg controls } \mid}
$$

## Screening

Screening of 250 compounds obtained from the NCI Open Database was followed as detailed in the General Procedure. Identification and obtained docking value are shown in Table 1 from Annexes. Percentage of Atg4B activity was calculated from AlphaScreen signals obtained in control samples. N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B(L123C) Biotin corresponded to 0\% of activity and the reaction sample without inhibitors corresponded to $100 \%$ of the enzyme activity. Z,L-Phenylchloromethylcetone was included as a positive control of inhibition. All samples were prepared in duplicate.

## $I_{50}$ determination

For dose-response curves, compounds were tested at decreasing concentrations starting from $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Inhibitors were all added, as described in the General Procedure, dissolved in $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DMSO at the desired concentration. All samples were prepared in triplicate. Percentage of Atg4B activity was calculated from AlphaScreen signals obtained in control samples. N-(His) ${ }_{6}$-LC3B(L123C) Biotin corresponded to 0\% of activity control and the reaction sample without inhibitors corresponded to $100 \%$ of the enzyme activity. Data analysis for the screen and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ measurements was performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 Software (GraphPad, Inc. San Diego, CA).

## 16. UPLC/TOF-MS-based assays to measure Atg4B activity

## General Procedure

The assay was carried out in glass vials in a total volume of $130 \mu \mathrm{l}$ containing a final concentration of $18 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{N}$-(His) 6 -LC3B(L123C) and 10 nM Atg4B. Atg4B was initially treated with assay buffer ( 25 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 8,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ and 1 mM TCEP) on ice for 1 h , and then $60 \mu$ were dispensed into the vials. Next, solutions of compounds to be tested as inhibitors were prepared in assay buffer (stock solutions in DMSO), $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were added to each vial and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 1 h . N -(His) 6 -LC3B(L123C) was diluted in assay buffer and $60 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were then added to each vial. A blank sample with untreated N -(His) 6 -LC3B(L123C) was also included. The samples were then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during $30 \mathrm{~min} .10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were injected to the UPLC-TOF for MS-based analysis.

Appropriate quantification was performed with an 8-point calibration curve, prepared by serially diluting a fully cleaved sample of $18 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{N}$-(His) 6 -LC3B(L123C) treated with 10 nM Atg4B for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Individual chromatographic peaks of the peptides were isolated from full-scan MS spectra by selecting the theoretical exact mass using the Micromass MassLynx software. Positive identification of the species was based on accurate mass measurement with an error $<5 \mathrm{ppm}$. The data presented are the results of 3 independent experiments and determinations.

## Expected and found peaks

Cleaved peptide: HR-MS (TOF): $m / z$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=567.2635$, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=567.2698$ (RT: 1.5)

N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C): HR-MS (TOF): $m / z$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{702} \mathrm{H}_{1110} \mathrm{~N}_{200} \mathrm{O}_{201} \mathrm{~S}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 15761.1445, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=15760$ (RT: 2.4)

N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B-I: HR-MS (TOF): $m / z:$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{680} \mathrm{H}_{1070} \mathrm{~N}_{194} \mathrm{O}_{195} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \quad[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 15211.8975, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=15210$ (RT: 2.4)

Biotinylated cleaved Peptide: HR-MS (TOF): $m / z$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{77} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=$ 1092.4891, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=1092.4874$ (RT: 1.77)

N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) Biotin: HR-MS (TOF): m/z: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{725} \mathrm{H}_{1145} \mathrm{~N}_{205} \mathrm{O}_{208} \mathrm{~S}_{7}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=16286.3701$, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=16286$ (RT: 2.4)

## Optimization assays

## - N -(His) $\sigma_{\sigma}$ LC3B(L123C) concentration

Titration of the protein $(0-63 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in the assay buffer was done to a final volume of $130 \mu \mathrm{l}$. A blank sample without N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) was also included. $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were injected to the UPLC/TOF for MS-based analysis as described in the General Procedure. Data was analysed using MicroMass MassLynx v4.1 and MagTran software 1.03.

## - Atg4B concentration

Varying concentrations of N -(His) $6_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(0-100 \mathrm{nM})$ were titrated to a fixed protein substrate concentration ( $18 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and the mixture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 30 min . Then, serial dilutions were done in the assay buffer and aliquots of 130 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ were analysed at UPLC/TOF-MS as described in the General Procedure. One sample without proteins and one with the untreated sample were included as controls. The amount of formed peptide was quantified and changes in peak areas were used to calculate the rate of the cleavage by comparison with the calibration curve.

## - Calibration curve of the non-biotinylated and biotinylated MKCSV peptide

$18 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) (biotinylated or not) were treated with 100 nM (excess of enzyme) of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}$-Atg4B in a final volume of $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 2 h . Then, serial dilutions were done in the assay buffer and aliquots of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were analysed at UPLC/TOF/MS as described in the General Procedure. One sample without any protein and one with the untreated sample were included as controls.

## $I_{50}$ determination

For dose-response curves, compounds were tested at decreasing concentrations starting from $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Inhibitors were all added, as described in the General Procedure, dissolved in $10 \mu$ in DMSO at the desired concentration. All samples were prepared in triplicate. Percentage of Atg4B activity was calculated from extrapolated pmols obtained in control samples, N -(His) $)_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) corresponded to $0 \%$ of activity control the protein treated with an excess of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(100 \mathrm{nM})$ for 2 $h$ at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without inhibitors corresponded to $100 \%$ of the enzyme activity control. Data analysis for the screen and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ measurements was performed using Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc. San Diego, CA).

## 17. Papain assay

Papain activity was determined in 96-well plates by modification of the reported procedure. ${ }^{404}$ The reaction mixture contained $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 0.1 M phosphate buffer ( pH 6.5) with $0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KCl}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA and 3 mM DTT; $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of substrate solution (L-pyroglutamyl-L-phenylalanyl-L-leucine-p-nitroanilide; 2.2 mM in DMSO, 0.22 mM final concentration); $20 \mu$ l of enzyme solution ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ in reaction buffer) ; and $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of inhibitor solution or vehicle (DMSO). Chymostatin at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was used as positive control of inhibition of papain activity and $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $Z, L-P C K$ was employed as negative control. The reaction was stopped by addition of $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 1 N HCl , and the OD was measured at 410 nm on a microplate reader (SpectramaxM5, Molecular Devices).

## 18. Calibration curves of phosphatidylethanolamine samples

Phosphatidylethanolamine samples (PEs) were dissolved in methanol at a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ upon sonication. Samples were 10 -fold serially diluted. $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were injected and analysed using an UPLC/TOF-MS and an Acquity UPLC BEH C8 column. Blank controls containing only methanol were added in measurement.

## 19. Determination of the required amount of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ for PE cleavage

Aliquots of three million cells each were collected and centrifuged, the pellets were then resuspended in PBS and lysed using a sonifier probe through five cycles of sonication (10 s) and ice (10 s). Tubes were then centrifuged for 3 min at 3.000 rpm and pellet was discarded. The proteome was immediately precipitated by adding 1 mL of cold acetone and 30 min incubation on ice. Then, the tubes were centrifuged at 13.000 rpm during 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the supernatant was discarded. Then, the pellet containing the washed proteome was dissolved in 0.5 mL buffer ( 50 mM Tris pH 8 , $35 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP). $25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ to $125 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}$-Atg4B were added to each sample and the mixture was incubated 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An untreated samples was used
as control. After that, protein was quantified by Micro BCA ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ protein assay kit and samples were mixed with 4 X Laemmli buffer and boiled at $96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Equal amounts of proteins ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) were loaded onto a $15 \%$ polyacrylamide gel and the General Procedure of protein analysis using western blot was applied to determine the levels of actin, LC3-I and LC3-II.

## 20. Analysis of proteome-bound PEs

Cells were seeded in a $175 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ cell culture flask ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} /$ flask) and were allowed to adhere for 24 h and grow to a confluency of $80 \%$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells were then washed with PBS and treated with 6 mL of Trypsin-EDTA 1X in PBS for 5 min . Next, 10 mL of culture media were added, and cells were counted using a Neubauer chamber. 3 million cells/sample were collected and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 3 min . After discarding the supernatant, the pellet was washed with 10 mL of PBS and centrifuged again. Samples were then stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the assay day.

Pellets were then resuspended in PBS and cells were lysed using a sonifier probe through five cycles of sonication ( 10 s ) and ice ( 10 s ). Tubes were then centrifuged for 3 min at 3000 rpm and pellet was discarded. The proteome was immediately precipitated using 1 mL of cold acetone addition followed by 30 min incubation on ice. Then, tubes were centrifuged at 13.000 rpm during 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the supernatant was discarded. Lipid extraction of the samples was carried out by adding 1 mL of a mixture of chloroform and methanol (2:1), vortexing during 1 min , incubation during 10 min at room temperature and centrifugation at 10.000 rpm and room temperature for 2 min . The supernatant was discarded and this procedure was repeated three times The resulting pellet was then washed two more times with chloroform, methanol and water ( $1: 1: 0.3$ ) and one more time with methanol. Then, pellet containing proteome was dissolved in 0.1 mL buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8,35 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP) using the sonifier probe and $25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ were added to hydrolyse the lipids bounded to LC3/GABARAP and the mixture was incubated for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Untreated samples were added as negative controls. After that, $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of methanol were added to each sample and the mixture was transferred to a glass vial. $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of chloroform and $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the internal standards ( $20 \mu \mathrm{M}, 200 \mathrm{pmol} /$ sample) were then added, and the mixture was sonicated until dispersion. Samples were incubated in a bath at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and the following day were dried under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream and stored at $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

On the same day of the analysis, pellets were resuspended in $150 \mu$ l of methanol and centrifuged at 10.000 rpm during $3 \mathrm{~min} .130 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were then transferred to glass vials for UPLC/TOF-MS analysis.

## PEs analysis at Universidad del País Vasco

Relative quantification of PEs was carried out in a UPLC/ESI/MS and identification of fatty acids composition of the detected species was done in a UHPLC/ESI/MS/MS. Samples were prepared as mentioned in the previous section and reconstituted in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of methanol and $7.5 \mu$ l were injected in UPLC-Q-TOF.

## PE Analysis at IQAC-CSIC

The procedure for lipid extraction detailed in PEs analysis extraction from LC3/GABARAP was followed with HeLa, HEK293, SH-SY5Y, U87DND shC and U87DND shAtg5, MEF WT and MEF Atg5-KO cells. The method employed for UPLC/TOF-MS analysis is described in the Acquity UPLC BEH C8 column section. Blank controls with only methanol were added in each assay.

## 21. Extraction and analysis of cell lipidome

Cells were seeded at $175 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ cell culture flask ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} /$ flask) and were allowed to adhere for 24 h and grow to a confluency of $80 \%$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Cells were then washed with PBS and treated with 6 mL of Trypsin-EDTA 1X in PBS for 5 min . Next, 10 mL of culture media were added and cells were counted using a Neubauer chamber. 3 million cells/sample were collected in a tube and were centrifuged at 3.000 rpm for 3 min . After discarding the supernatant, pellet was washed with 10 mL of PBS and recentrifuged. Samples were then stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the assay day.

Next, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ${ }_{\mathrm{dd}} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of methanol were added to each sample and the mixture was transferred to a glass vial $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of chloroform and $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the internal standards ( $20 \mu \mathrm{M}, 200 \mathrm{pmol} / \mathrm{sample}$ ) were then added, and the mixture was sonicated until dispersion. Samples were incubated in a bath at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and the following day were dried under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream and stored at $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

On the same day of the analysis, pellets were resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of methanol and transferred to Eppendorf tubes where they were dried by under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream. Next, samples were resuspended again in $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of methanol and centrifuged at 1.0000 rpm during $3 \mathrm{~min} .130 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were transferred to UPLC/TOF-MS glass vials for analysis following the method described at Acquity UPLC BEH C8 column section. Blank controls with only methanol were added in each assay.

## 22. Extraction and analysis of cell lipidome in HeLa cells treated with heptadecanoic acid

For the preparation of heptadecanoic acid solution, a 10X solution of heptadecanoic acid ( 5 mM ) was first prepared by dissolving the acid in $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ethanol. $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of NaOH 0.1 M were then added and the mixture was heated on termoblock at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for

10 min. Next, it was sonicated and cell culture media containing 5\% of BSA but not FBS were added to the mixture to a final volume of 5 mL . Finally, the solution was filtered in sterile conditions and it was store at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The same procedure was followed without the addition of heptadecanoic acid in order to prepare the blank control, which was composed by the vehicles.

Cells were seeded at a concentration of $3 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ into 6 well plates ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} /$ well) and were allowed to adhere for 24 h . Medium was replaced with fresh medium without FBS containing heptadecanoic acid at $1 \mathrm{X}(0.5 \mathrm{mM})$ or just the vehicles in blank control. Cells were then incubated for 16 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \%$ of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Next, cells were counted using a Neubauer chamber, collected in Eppendorf of 3 million cells/sample and the lipidome extraction procedure was followed as described in Extraction of lipidome.

On the same day of the analysis, pellets were resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of methanol and transferred to Eppendorf tubes where they were dried under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ stream. Next, samples were resuspended again in $150 \mu$ l of methanol and centrifuged at 10.000 rpm during $3 \mathrm{~min} .130 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were transferred to UPLC/TOF-MS glass vials for analysis following the method described at Acquity UPLC BEH C8 column section. Blank controls with only methanol were added in each measurement.

## 23. Immunoprecipitation of PEs released from LC3B-II

## General Procedure

Cells were seeded at a concentration of $5.5 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ into 10 cm treated-surface culture dishes ( $8 \mathrm{~mL} /$ well) and were allowed to adhere for 24 h . Cells were treated with protease inhibitors ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of pepstatin $A$ at a concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in 9:1 of methanol and acetic acid $1 \%$, and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{E}-64-\mathrm{D}$ at a concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in ethanol). After 2 h incubation, the cells were then washed with cold PBS and collected by a pre-cooled scraper in mild-denaturating lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8,150 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,0.25 \%$ sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM PMSF) containing protease inhibitor cocktail ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ aprotinin, $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ leupeptin, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride). The samples were left on ice for 30 min and then centrifuged at 12.000 rpm for 10 min , the pellet was discarded and the total protein content of the supernatant was quantified using the BCA assay. Next, a preclearing was performed. To this end, $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of resin with Protein A were placed in an Eppendorf tube and were centrifuged at 1.500 rpm for 3 min , the supernatant was discarded and the resin was washed three times with lysis buffer. After that, $750 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein was loaded to the resin and incubated at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h in rotation. The mixture was then centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 3 min and the supernatant was then transferred to $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of resin previously washed and treated overnight with $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ anti-GFP or $6 \mu \mathrm{l}$ antiLC3B antibody at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with end-over-end rotation. Samples in the treated resin were
incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with end-over-end rotation. After 16 h , the resin was washed with lysis buffer for three times and then eluted three times with elution buffer ( 0.2 M glycine pH 2.6 ). $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were added to the resin, incubated at room temperature for 10 min with end-over-end and centrifuged for 3 min at 1.500 rpm , the supernatant was collected and neutralized by adding $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ of neutralizing buffer (2 M Tris pH 8).

Samples were then treated with $25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and internal standards were added. After that, proteins were precipitated by adding 500 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of cold acetone and the supernatant was dried up under a $N_{2}$ stream until the analysis.

Immunoprecipitation was confirmed by Western Blot by employing anti-GFP antibody in case of GFP immunoprecipitation of HEK293 and MCF-7 cells overexpressing GFPLC3B, or anti-LC3B antibody in case immunoprecipitation of LC3B in HeLa cells.

For the analysis by UPLC/TOF-MS, samples were reconstituted in $45 \mu \mathrm{l}$ methanol and placed in vials with inserts containing polymer feet. The analysis was conducted following the method previously described. Blank controls containing only methanol were added in each measurement.

## Optimization

Assay 1. After collecting and lysing HEK293 cells overexpressing GFP-LC3B in PBS, the proteome was immediately precipitated by 1 mL of cold acetone and 30 min incubation on ice. Then, tubes were centrifuged at 13.000 rpm during 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the supernatant was discarded.

Lipid extraction of the samples was carried out by addition of 1 mL of a mixture of chloroform and methanol (2:1), vortex during 1 min , incubation during 10 min at room temperature and centrifugation at 10000 rpm and room temperature for 2 min , supernatant was discarded. This was repeated three times and pellet was then washed two more time with chloroform, methanol and water ( $1: 1: 0.3$ ) and one more time with methanol.

Then pellet, containing the proteome was resuspended in 0.5 mL buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8,35 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP) using the sonifier probe and protein amount was quantified by the BCA assay. Protein ( $750 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) should have been loaded to the resin modified with the anti-GFP antibody, however, the pellet could not be resuspended.

Assay 2. HEK293 cells overexpressing GFP-LC3B were collected and lysed using a cell scrappers and three different buffers: Non-denaturating lysis buffer 1 ( 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, $1 \% \mathrm{NP}-40$ and 1 mM PMSF), non denaturating lysis buffer 2 ( 50 mM Tris, pH 8, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, $0.1 \%$ Triton X-100 and 1 mM

PMSF) and mild-denaturating lysis buffer 3 ( 50 mM Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 8,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \%$ NP40, $0.25 \%$ sodium deoxycholate and 1 mM PMSF). Protein was then quantified using the BCA assay and $750 \mu$ g of proteins were loaded to $25 \mu$ l of non-modified resin. The procedure followed as in the explained General Procedure for immunoprecipitation was carried out employing $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of anti-GFP antibody. GFP-LC3B equilibration of the eluted fraction was initially carried out with the same three lysis buffers. A sample of $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ was collected in each step: supernatant and resin after centrifugation of preclearing step, supernatant and resin after centrifugation of the sample loaded in the resin with antibody and the eluted fraction. Then, $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Laemmli buffer were added to each sample and all the tubes were boiled for 5 min and analysed by Western Blot as described in the General Procedure for Western Blot with GFP antibody as primary antibody.

Assay 3. The procedure was followed as detailed in Assay 2, although equilibration of the eluted fraction of GFP-LC3B from the resin with antibody, was carried out using ( $x$ mL ) of 2 M Tris pH 8.

Assay 4. The same procedure as detailed in Assay 2, although the eluted fraction was concentrated using any Amicon ${ }^{\circledR}$ Ultra - 0.5 mL centrifugal filter Ultracel - MWCO 3K. Moreover, after elution, the resin was again washed with buffer 3 containing $0.2 \%$ SDS and 0.1\% Tween-20. Samples of each step were collected and analysed by Western Blot with anti-GFP antibody: supernatant and resin after centrifugation of preclearing step, supernatant and resin after centrifugation of the sample loaded in the resin with antibody, non-concentrated eluted fraction and concentrated eluted fraction with 0.2 M pH 2.6 glycine, eluted fraction after the second elution and remaining resin.

Assay 5. Immunoprecipitation was performed as described in General Procedure although the resin was treated with $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of anti-GFP antibody. The amount of PEs obtained were checked by UPLC/TOF-MS analysis. For the analysis by UPLC/TOF-MS, samples were reconstituted in $45 \mu \mathrm{l}$ methanol and placed in vials with inserts. The analysis was conducted following the method described at Acquity UPLC BEH C8 column section. Blank controls with only methanol were added in each assay.

## PEs analysis of HEK293 and MCF7 cells overexpressing GFP-LC3

The General Procedure was followed employing two stably transfected cell lines: HEK293 expressing pOPIN-eGFP-LC3B or pBABE-GFP-LC3B (by Dr. Oscar Meca). Transfection employing the first plasmid was carried out by cationic lipid transfection whereas transfection employing the second plasmid was a virus-mediated transfection. In both cases selection of transfected cells for stable transfection was done by flow cytometry. Control samples employed for the PEs analysis were: resin modified with anti-GFP antibody treated and untreated with $N$-(His) $)_{6}-3 C-A t g 4 B$. The General Procedure was also followed for the analysis of MCF7 cells overexpressing

GFP-LC3B. Control samples employed for the analysis were resin with and without anti-GFP antibody untreated and treated with N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$. Identification and quantification of the bound PEs was performed following the method described at Acquity UPLC BEH C8 column section. Blank controls with only methanol were added.

## 24. Lipid content analysis of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$

N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ was prepared at four different protein amounts in triplicate samples ( $0,12.5,25$ and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) in mild denaturating lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris, pH 8 , $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,0.25 \%$ sodium deoxycholate and 1 mM PMSF). Then, $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the internal standards ( $20 \mu \mathrm{M}, 200 \mathrm{pmol} /$ sample), $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of dd $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of methanol were added and the mixture was then transferred to glass vials and $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of chloroform were added. Next, it was incubated at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in agitation overnight and it was dried under a stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Samples were reconstituted in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of methanol and analysed by UPLC/TOF-MS as described in the Acquity UPLC BEH C8 column section. Blank controls with only methanol were added in each assay.

## 25. Delipidation of N -(His) ${ }_{6}$-3C-Atg4B

For the preparation of $12 \%$ Triton X-114 (TX114) assay buffer, 3 mL of TX114 were added to a prepared buffer ( 50 mM Tris pH8, 35 mM NaCl and 5 mM TCEP) in a 50 mL centrifuge tube. The mixture was vortexed, shaken and placed on ice until it clarified. Then, it was shaken and incubated on ice again until clarification. The mixture was next incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without agitation for some hours until detergent phase was formed below with a volume approximately of 24 mL . Aqueous phase (above) was discarded and buffer was added to 50 mL . Vortex, shacking and incubation on ice until clarification was repeated 4-6 times. Finally, aqueous phase was discarded and the rest was kept in the dark at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

For the preparation of $2 \%$ TX114 buffer, 42 mL of buffer without TX114 and 8 mL of buffer containing $12 \%$ TX114 were mixed at room temperature. The solution was clarified on ice and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark.

For the delipidation procedure, $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ sample of N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ were prepared, $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of buffer were added to each sample ( $0 \%$ TX114 as control, 2\% TX114 buffer and $12 \%$ TX114 buffer) and the solutions were vortexed and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . After that, it was centrifuged at 4.000 rpm for 4 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Aqueous phase (above) was transferred to another tube and incubated on ice.

Phospholipid extraction of the aqueous phase was followed by the addition of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the internal standards ( $20 \mu \mathrm{M}, 200 \mathrm{pmol} /$ sample), $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ${ }_{\mathrm{dd}} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of methanol, then it was transferred to glass vials and $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of chloroform were added. Next, it was incubated at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in agitation overnight and it was dried by $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Samples
were reconstituted in $100 \mu$ l of methanol and analysed by UPLC/TOF/MS as described in the Acquity UPLC BEH C8 column method. Blank controls with methanol were added in each assay.

## 26. Activity of delipidated N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$

Activity of the delipidated enzyme was checked by SDS-PAGE. Delipidated protein was quantified by the BCA assay and $23 \mu \mathrm{~g}(18 \mu \mathrm{l})$ of N -( His$)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ was used to treat $23 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of N -(His) $6_{6}$-proLC3B(L123C) $(12 \mu \mathrm{l})$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Next, $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Laemmli buffer were added to each sample and it was then boiled for $10 \mathrm{~min} .10 \mu \mathrm{l} /$ well of each sample were added to SDS-PAGE $15 \%$ polyacrylamide SDS-PAGE. Electrophoresis was performed followed by gel staining with Coomassie.
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## ANNEXES

Table 1. Screened compounds from the NCI Open Database

| NCl Compounds | Docking value | NCl Compounds | Docking value | NCl <br> Compounds | Docking value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10768 | -10,994 | 86286 | -10,467 | 337734 | -7,685 |
| 11614 | -9,228 | 87042 | -8,900 | 339180 | -7,105 |
| 11966 | -7,648 | 88860 | -9,108 | 343971 | -7,111 |
| 12155 | -7,254 | 91309 | -8,345 | 349155 | -11,651 |
| 12270 | -7,289 | 91408 | -10,521 | 352709 | -9,219 |
| 13004 | -7,509 | 92542 | -7,579 | 354315 | -10,000 |
| 13449 | -8,687 | 94498 | -7,901 | 356826 | -8,800 |
| 13718 | -7,124 | 95027 | -8,054 | 364277 | -8,700 |
| 16737 | $-7,731$ | 101685 | -9,494 | 364435 | -7,335 |
| 19142 | -10,306 | 109325 | -7,884 | 373049 | -9,404 |
| 19148 | -9,491 | 109327 | -7,986 | 373058 | -9,522 |
| 22907 | -7,286 | 111285 | -9,307 | 373058 | -9,522 |
| 25353 | -8,800 | 115535 | -7,770 | 374818 | -9,100 |
| 26692 | -9,204 | 116384 | -10,155 | 377095 | -8,446 |
| 27640 | -9,335 | 117829 | -7,882 | 378143 | -9,300 |
| 28570 | -7,637 | 118071 | -8,725 | 400842 | -9,600 |
| 28570 | -7,637 | 118950 | -9,568 | 401011 | -7,237 |
| 29189 | -9,310 | 119608 | -8,094 | 401299 | -9,300 |
| 31075 | -9,300 | 122883 | -8,600 | 401397 | -7,445 |
| 31166 | -9,200 | 123301 | -9,597 | 406291 | -9,499 |
| 32743 | -9,904 | 123301 | -9,597 | 407632 | -9,400 |
| 33565 | -9,093 | 123355 | -8,600 | 601826 | -7,136 |
| 34318 | -7,288 | 123403 | -7,528 | 602693 | -8,900 |
| 35843 | -9,500 | 126353 | -9,847 | 602695 | -9,683 |
| 35847 | -8,700 | 130898 | -7,675 | 605767 | -7,879 |
| 38094 | -9,365 | 130898 | -7,675 | 607743 | -9,302 |
| 38094 | -9,365 | 131354 | -9,100 | 608628 | -9,230 |
| 38280 | -9,000 | 131684 | -8,375 | 610501 | -9,157 |
| 39225 | -8,891 | 132830 | -7,538 | 610936 | -9,417 |
| 39265 | -9,760 | 133357 | -9,000 | 610936 | -9,417 |
| 41527 | -8,601 | 141058 | -11,099 | 612216 | -9,221 |
| 43949 | -9,406 | 142537 | -8,900 | 613315 | -7,500 |
| 45723 | -9,901 | 143347 | -7,782 | 617744 | -9,490 |


| NCl <br> Compounds | Docking value | NCI Compounds | Docking value | NCl <br> Compounds | Docking value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45818 | -9,259 | 147893 | -7,492 | 617794 | -8,600 |
| 48443 | -7,805 | 149051 | -9,204 | 617798 | -9,831 |
| 48454 | -7,135 | 149898 | -9,625 | 618679 | -8,600 |
| 48687 | -8,134 | 151802 | -9,500 | 621178 | -10,499 |
| 48870 | -8,259 | 152439 | -8,584 | 621453 | -9,683 |
| 50469 | -9,500 | 153540 | -7,716 | 622937 | -9,942 |
| 51187 | -8,800 | 157286 | -10,244 | 624948 | -11,073 |
| 51646 | -7,951 | 162039 | -7,355 | 625255 | -7,255 |
| 51654 | -7,819 | 164414 | -9,553 | 625381 | -8,661 |
| 51661 | -7,759 | 171131 | -8,800 | 636783 | -9,200 |
| 52389 | -9,302 | 173352 | -9,623 | 637876 | -9,612 |
| 52444 | -9,233 | 186031 | -10,096 | 640349 | -9,675 |
| 52643 | -7,116 | 186258 | -9,647 | 640350 | -9,853 |
| 53312 | -7,188 | 201602 | -8,700 | 645017 | -8,647 |
| 55143 | -7,416 | 211381 | -10,244 | 646366 | -9,782 |
| 55982 | -10,558 | 211608 | -9,409 | 646375 | -10,077 |
| 57148 | -9,600 | 211608 | -9,409 | 646380 | -10,579 |
| 57153 | -8,800 | 212407 | -10,789 | 648585 | -9,397 |
| 58479 | -9,400 | 212407 | -10,789 | 648585 | -9,397 |
| 58480 | -9,500 | 212412 | -9,249 | 652564 | -8,163 |
| 62494 | -11,556 | 241470 | -10,200 | 656991 | -11,170 |
| 62495 | -9,864 | 241572 | -9,000 | 658245 | -7,315 |
| 63271 | -8,900 | 250293 | -8,058 | 658620 | -9,354 |
| 63688 | -8,800 | 251209 | -9,248 | 664569 | -7,323 |
| 63688 | -8,800 | 261042 | -7,664 | 664724 | -9,971 |
| 63696 | -9,400 | 261042 | -7,664 | 667758 | -7,573 |
| 65372 | -9,400 | 279836 | -9,857 | 669720 | -8,731 |
| 66761 | -9,100 | 281913 | -7,303 | 677285 | -10,009 |
| 66785 | -7,834 | 282125 | -7,728 | 680919 | -8,926 |
| 67734 | -9,300 | 282456 | -7,942 | 681167 | -8,600 |
| 67737 | -8,800 | 290111 | -9,100 | 681168 | -8,600 |
| 70393 | -7,607 | 293888 | -8,707 | 681604 | -9,000 |
| 71204 | -9,700 | 296330 | -8,600 | 704320 | -9,200 |
| 73607 | -8,700 | 299187 | -8,640 | 708458 | -7,135 |


| NCl <br> Compounds | Docking <br> value | NCl <br> Compounds | Docking <br> value | NCl <br> Compounds | Docking <br> value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73849 | $-9,200$ | 310191 | $-9,791$ | 717956 | $-9,500$ |
| 74105 | $-7,131$ | 316458 | $-10,178$ | 722944 | $-9,189$ |
| 75329 | $-9,400$ | 320866 | $-9,100$ | 723574 | $-9,363$ |
| 79222 | $-9,867$ | 322921 | $-8,700$ | 723574 | $-9,363$ |
| 80117 | $-9,100$ | 326057 | $-7,228$ | 724949 | $-10,389$ |
| 80362 | $-8,800$ | 327396 | $-8,032$ | 725602 | $-7,251$ |
| 80965 | $-7,438$ | 328107 | $-7,730$ | 725687 | $-8,800$ |
| 81537 | $-8,436$ | 329254 | $-10,084$ | 725687 | $-10,353$ |
| 83458 | $-9,500$ | 330687 | $-9,200$ | 728031 | $-9,000$ |
| 83713 | $-9,229$ | 336378 | $-9,880$ |  |  |
| 84389 | $-7,245$ | 337734 | $-7,685$ |  |  |

Table 2. Structures of the compounds from the NCI Open Database selected by HTVS against the active site of Atg4B (Glide VS 2 ZZP). Compounds are depicted as the stereoisomer/tautomer/protomer state selected by the docking procedure.

|  <br> NSC: 349155 <br> docking score: -11.651 |  <br> NSC: 62494 <br> docking score: -11.556 | NSC: 656991 <br> docking score: -11.17 |  <br> NSC: 141058 <br> docking score: -11.099 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> NSC: 624948 <br> docking score: -11.073 |  <br> NSC: 10768 docking score: -10.994 |  <br> NSC: 212407 docking score: -10.789 | NSC: 646380 <br> docking score: -10.579 |
|  <br> NSC: 55982 <br> docking score: -10.558 |  <br> NSC: 91408 <br> docking score: -10.521 |  <br> NSC: 621178 <br> docking score: -10.499 | NSC: 611216 <br> docking score: -10.496 |
|  <br> NSC: 724949 <br> docking score: -10.389 |  <br> NSC: 725687 <br> docking score: -10.353 |  <br> NSC: 19142 <br> docking score: -10.306 |  <br> NSC: 211381 <br> docking score: -10.244 |
|  <br> NSC: 157286 <br> docking score: - 10.244 |  <br> NSC: 316458 docking score: -10.178 |  <br> NSC: 186031 docking score: -10.096 |  <br> NSC: 329254 <br> docking score: -10.084 |
|  <br> NSC: 646375 <br> docking score: -10.077 |  <br> NSC: 664724 docking score: -9.971 |  <br> NSC: 622937 <br> docking score: -9.942 |  <br> NSC: 32743 <br> docking score: -9.904 |
|  <br> NSC: 45723 <br> docking score: -9.901 |  <br> NSC: 336378 docking score: -9.88 |  <br> NSC: 79222 <br> docking score: -9.867 |  <br> NSC: 62495 <br> docking score: -9.864 |
|  <br> NSC: 640350 <br> docking score: -9.853 | NSC: 126353 <br> docking score: -9.847 |  <br> NSC: 617798 <br> docking score: -9.831 |  <br> NSC: 310191 <br> docking score: -9.791 |


|  <br> NSC: 646366 <br> docking score: -9.782 |  <br> NSC: 39265 <br> docking score: -9.76 |  <br> NSC: 266535 docking score: -9.726 |  <br> NSC: 602695 <br> docking score: -9.683 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> NSC: 640349 <br> docking score: -9.675 |  <br> NSC: 186258 <br> docking score: -9.647 |  <br> NSC: 149898 <br> docking score: -9.625 |  <br> NSC: 173352 <br> docking score: -9.623 |
|  <br> NSC: 637876 <br> docking score: -9.612 | NSC: 123301 docking score: -9.597 | NSC: 118950 <br> docking score: -9.568 | NSC: 164414 <br> docking score: -9.553 |
| NSC: 373050 <br> docking score: -9.526 | NSC: 373058 docking score: -9.522 | NSC: 406291 <br> docking score: -9.499 |  <br> NSC: 101685 <br> docking score: -9.494 |
|  <br> NSC: 19148 <br> docking score: -9.491 | NSC: 617744 <br> docking score: -9.49 | NSC: 610936 <br> docking score: -9.417 | NSC: 211608 <br> docking score: -9.409 |
| NSC: 373049 <br> docking score: -9.404 |  <br> NSC: 648585 <br> docking score: -9.397 | NSC: 38094 <br> docking score: -9.365 |  <br> NSC: 723574 <br> docking score: -9.363 |
| NSC: 27640 <br> docking score: -9.335 |  <br> NSC: 29189 docking score: -9.31 |  <br> NSC: 111285 <br> docking score: -9.307 |  <br> NSC: 52389 docking score: -9.302 |
|  <br> NSC: 607743 <br> docking score: -9.302 |  <br> NSC: 401299 docking score: -9.3 | NSC: 212412 <br> docking score: -9.249 |  <br> NSC: 251209 <br> docking score: -9.248 |



Table 3. Structures of the compounds from the NCI Open Database selected by HTVS against the second alternative site of Atg4B (Glide VS 2CY7). Compounds are depicted as the stereoisomer/tautomer/protomer state selected by the docking procedure.

| NSC: 86286 <br> docking score: -10.467 | NSC: 279836 <br> docking score: -9.857 |  <br> NSC: 43949 docking score: -9.406 |  <br> NSC: 39225 <br> docking score: -8.981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> NSC: 680919 <br> docking score: -8.926 |  <br> NSC: 669720 <br> docking score: -8.731 |  <br> NSC: 118071 <br> docking score: - 8.725 | NSC: 293888 <br> docking score: -8.707 |
|  <br> NSC: 625381 <br> docking score: -8.661 | NSC: 645017 <br> docking score: -8.647 |  <br> NSC: 299187 <br> docking score: -8.64 |  <br> NSC: 41527 <br> docking score: -8.601 |
|  <br> NSC: 617794 <br> docking score: -8.6 | NSC: 152439 <br> docking score: -8.584 |  <br> NSC: 377095 docking score: -8.446 | NSC: 81537 <br> docking score: -8.436 |
|  <br> NSC: 131684 <br> docking score: -8.375 |  <br> NSC: 91309 <br> docking score: -8.345 |  <br> NSC: 48870 <br> docking score: -8.259 |  <br> NSC: 652564 <br> docking score: -8.163 |
|  <br> NSC: 48687 <br> docking score: -8.134 |  <br> NSC: 119608 <br> docking score: -8.094 |  <br> NSC: 250293 docking score: -8.058 |  <br> NSC: 95027 <br> docking score: -8.054 |
|  <br> NSC: 327396 <br> docking score: -8.032 |  <br> NSC: 109327 <br> docking score: -7.986 |  <br> NSC: 51646 docking score: -7.951 |  <br> NSC: 282456 <br> docking score: -7.942 |
|  <br> NSC: 94498 <br> docking score: -7.901 |  <br> NSC: 109325 <br> docking score: -7.884 |  <br> NSC: 117829 <br> docking score: -7.882 |  <br> NSC: 605767 <br> docking score: -7.879 |


| NSC: 66785 <br> docking score: -7.834 | NSC: 12270 <br> docking score: -7.829 |  <br> NSC: 51654 <br> docking score: -7.819 |  <br> NSC: 48443 docking score: -7.805 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> NSC: 143347 <br> docking score: -7.782 |  <br> NSC: 115535 <br> docking score: -7.77 |  <br> NSC: 51661 <br> docking score: -7.759 |  <br> NSC: 16737 <br> docking score: -7.731 |
|  <br> NSC: 328107 <br> docking score: -7.73 | NSC: 282125 <br> docking score: -7.728 |  <br> NSC: 153540 docking score: -7.716 | NSC: 337734 <br> docking score: -7.685 |
|  <br> NSC: 130898 <br> docking score: -7.675 |  <br> NSC: 261042 <br> docking score: -7.664 | NSC: 11966 docking score: -7.648 |  <br> NSC: 28570 <br> docking score: -7.637 |
|  <br> NSC: 70393 <br> docking score: -7.607 | NSC: 92542 <br> docking score: -7.579 |  <br> NSC: 667758 docking score: -7.573 |  <br> NSC: 132830 docking score: -7.538 |
|  <br> NSC: 123403 <br> docking score: -7.528 |  <br> NSC: 13004 <br> docking score: -7.509 |  <br> NSC: 613315 <br> docking score: -7.5 |  <br> NSC: 401397 <br> docking score: -7.445 |
| NSC: 80965 <br> docking score: -7.438 | NSC: 63688 <br> docking score: -7.437 | NSC: 55143 <br> docking score: -7.416 |  <br> NSC: 162039 <br> docking score: -7.355 |
|  <br> NSC: 364435 docking score: - 7.335 |  <br> NSC: 664569 <br> docking score: -7.323 |  <br> NSC: 658245 <br> docking score: -7.315 |  <br> NSC: 281913 <br> docking score: -7.303 |


| NSC: 34318 <br> docking score: $\mathbf{- 7 . 2 8 8}$ |  <br> NSC: 22907 <br> docking score: - $\mathbf{- 7 . 2 8 6}$ |  <br> NSC: 625255 <br> docking score: - $\mathbf{- 7 . 2 5 5}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NSC: 725602 <br> docking score: -7.251 |  <br> NSC: 84389 <br> docking score: -7.245 | 0 <br> NSC: 401011 <br> docking score: - $\mathbf{- 7 . 2 3 7}$ |  <br> NSC: 326057 <br> docking score: -7.228 |
| NSC: 53312 <br> docking score: -7.188 |  <br> NSC: 601826 <br> docking score: -7.136 | NSC: 48454 <br> docking score: -7.135 |  <br> NSC: 708458 <br> docking score: - $\mathbf{- 7 . 1 3 5}$ |
| NSC: 74105 <br> docking score: -7.131 |  <br> NSC: 13718 <br> docking score: -7.124 | NSC: 52643 docking score: -7.116 | NSC: 343971 <br> docking score: -7.111 |
|  <br> NSC: 339180 <br> docking score: -7.105 |  |  |  |

Table 4. Structures of the compounds from the NCI Open Database selected by HTVS against the second alternative site of Atg4B (Vina VS 2ZZP). Compounds are depicted as the stereoisomer/tautomer/protomer state selected by the docking procedure.

|  <br> NCS: 241470 <br> vina score: -10.2 |  <br> NCS: 354315 <br> vina score: -10.0 |  <br> NCS: 400842 <br> vina score: -9.6 |  <br> NCS: 151802 <br> vina score: -9.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> NCS: 717956 <br> vina score: -9.5 |  <br> NCS: 407632 <br> vina score: -9.4 |  <br> NCS: 31075 <br> vina score: -9.3 |  <br> NCS: 31166 <br> vina score: -9.2 |
|  <br> NCS: 330687 <br> vina score: -9.2 |  <br> NCS: 636783 <br> vina score: -9.2 |  <br> NCS: 704320 <br> vina score: -9.2 | NCS: 320866 <br> vina score: -9.1 |
|  <br> NCS: 80117 <br> vina score: -9.1 |  <br> NCS: 131354 <br> vina score: -9.0 |  <br> NCS: 133357 <br> vina score: -9.0 |  <br> NCS: 681604 <br> vina score: -9.0 |
|  <br> NCS: 728031 <br> vina score: -9.0 | NCS: 142537 <br> vina score: -8.9 | NCS: 602693 <br> vina score: -8.9 |  <br> NCS: 171131 <br> vina score: - 8.8 |
|  <br> NCS: 25353 <br> vina score: -8.8 |  <br> NCS: 356826 <br> vina score: -8.8 | NCS: 51187 <br> vina score: -8.8 |  <br> NCS: 725687 <br> vina score: -8.8 |
|  <br> NCS: 201602 <br> vina score: -8.7 |  <br> NCS: 364277 <br> vina score: -8.7 |  <br> NCS: 73607 <br> vina score: -8.7 |  <br> NCS: 122883 <br> vina score: -8.6 |
|  <br> NCS: 123355 <br> vina score: -8.6 |  <br> NCS: 168901 <br> vina score: -8.6 |  <br> NCS: 208736 <br> vina score: -8.6 |  <br> NCS: 217041 <br> vina score: -8.6 |

(

Table 5. Structures of the compounds from the NCI Open Database selected by HTVS against the second alternative site of Atg4B (Vina VS 2CY7). Compounds are depicted as the stereoisomer/tautomer/protomer state selected by the docking procedure.

| NCS: 71204 <br> vina score: -9.7 | NCS: 57148 <br> vina score: -9.6 | NCS: 35843 vina score: -9.5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCS: 58480 <br> vina score: -9.5 | NCS: 83458 <br> vina score: -9.5 |  | NCS: 63696 <br> vina score: -9.4 |
| NCS: 65372 <br> vina score: -9.4 | NCS: 75329 <br> vina score: -9.4 |  <br> NCS: 67734 <br> vina score: -9.3 |  <br> NCS: 378143 <br> vina score: -9.3 |
| NCS: 73849 <br> vina score: -9.2 | NCS: 66761 <br> vina score: -9.1 | NCS: 290111 <br> vina score: -9.1 | NCS: 374818 <br> vina score: -9.1 |
| NCS: 241572 <br> vina score: -9.0 |  |  <br> NCS: 87042 <br> vina score: -8.9 | NCS: 658260 <br> vina score: -8.9 |
| NCS: 57153 <br> vina score: -8.8 | NCS: 63688 <br> vina score: -8.8 | NCS: 67737 <br> vina score: -8.8 | NCS: 80362 <br> vina score: -8.8 |
|  |  |  <br> NCS: 322921 <br> vina score: -8.7 | NCS: 371869 <br> vina score: -8.7 |
|  <br> NCS: 406034 <br> vina score: -8.7 |  |  | NCS: 110318 <br> vina score: -8.6 |

NCS: 210294
vina score: -8.6

Figure 1. Obtained PEs from two different procedures in HEK293. PEs found from total lipidome extraction are represented in light red. PEs found after precipitation of the proteome followed by N -(His) 6 - 3 C -Atg4B treatment are represented in dark red.


Figure 2. Obtained Pes from two different procedures in SH-SY5Y. PEs found from total lipidome extraction are represented in light blue. PEs found after precipitation of the proteome followed by N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}$ - Atg 4 B treatment are represented in dark blue.


Figure 3. PEs from Hek293 cells overexpressing GFP-LC3B stably transfected by cationic lipid transfection (pOPIN-GFP-LC3B) or viral transfection (pBABE-GFP-LC3B). PEs were obtained through immunoprecipitation of GFP-LC3B employing GFP antibody followed by N-(His) 6 -3C-Atg4B treatment and lipid extraction with cold acetone. Negative controls with untreated samples by N -(His) 6 - 3 C -Atg4B were included. Internal standard was added for the quantification. Results are expressed as percentages of total PEs quantified in each sample.


Table 6. Quantified PEs from HeLa cells. The lipids were obtained through precipitation of the proteome, treatment with N -(His) $\mathbf{6}_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ and extraction by organic solvents.

| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. <br> [M+H]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7162 | 6.13 | 131 | 193 | 182 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4440 | 3.68 | 17 | 29 | 26 | 26.0 | 30.1 | 28.6 | 28.2 | 2.1 | 7.0 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4766 | 662.4760 | 4.73 | 38 | 60 | 43 | 58.0 | 62.2 | 47.3 | 55.8 | 7.7 | 14.0 |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4766 | 674.4758 | 4.47 | 19 | 25 | 22 | 29.0 | 25.9 | 24.2 | 26.4 | 2.4 | 9.0 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5064 | 6.14 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 9.2 | 7.3 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 20.0 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4922 | 4.90 | 46 | 53 | 45 | 70.2 | 54.9 | 49.5 | 58.2 | 10.8 | 19.0 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5079 | 702.5089 | 5.78 | 83 | 117 | 105 | 126.7 | 121.2 | 115.4 | 121.1 | 8.0 | 7.0 |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | 718.5280 | 6.26 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5222 | 6.32 | 222 | 220 | 178 | 338.9 | 228.0 | 195.6 | 254.2 | 75.2 | 30.0 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5063 | 5.02 | 28 | 27 | 22 | 42.7 | 28.0 | 24.2 | 31.6 | 9.8 | 31.0 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5090 | 5.44 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 29.0 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5236 | 728.5239 | 5.88 | 29 | 41 | 34 | 44.3 | 42.5 | 37.4 | 41.4 | 3.6 | 9.0 |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5392 | 730.5368 | 7.52 | 73 | 102 | 95 | 111.5 | 105.7 | 104.4 | 107.2 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5518 | 8.29 | 133 | 118 | 84 | 203.1 | 122.3 | 92.3 | 139.2 | 57.3 | 41.0 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5381 | 6.50 | 135 | 114 | 94 | 206.1 | 118.1 | 103.3 | 142.5 | 55.6 | 39.0 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5373 | 7.08 | 25 | 27 | 22 | 38.2 | 28.0 | 24.2 | 30.1 | 7.2 | 24.0 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5956 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5683 | 9.19 | 11 | 17 | 15 | 16.8 | 17.6 | 16.5 | 17.0 | 0.6 | 3.0 |


|  | Class Lipid Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- |  | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT ( $\min$ ) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | HeLa_1 |  | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 |  | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5392 | 6.46 | 68 | 62 | 48 | 103.8 | 64.2 | 52.7 | 73.6 | 26.8 | 36.0 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 802.6320 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 790.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:7 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 7. Quantified PEs from HeLa cells. The lipids were obtained through precipitation of the proteome, treatment with N -(His) $6-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ and extraction by organic solvents.

| - Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | Found Mass. [M+H]- | RT ( min ) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7201 | 9.18 | 275 | 285 | 205 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| - Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ - | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5266 | 9.33 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 10.9 | 4.9 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 2.5 | 33.1 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5011 | 8.39 | 4 | nd | nd | 2.9 | nd | nd | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5542 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5506 | 10.62 | 20 | 7 | nd | 14.5 | 4.9 | nd | 9.7 | 4.8 | 49.5 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5393 | 9.68 | 22 | 13 | 8 | 16.0 | 9.1 | 7.8 | 11.0 | 3.6 | 32.7 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5369 | 9.80 | 19 | nd | 4 | 13.8 | nd | 3.9 | 8.9 | 5.0 | 56.0 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| - Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5430 | 9.62 | 6 | nd | 1 | 4.4 | nd | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 63.5 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| + Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT ( min ) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7122 | 9.21 | 258 | 266 | 234 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4415 | 6.10 | 13 | 19 | 16 | 10.1 | 14.3 | 13.7 | 12.7 | 1.9 | 14.6 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4739 | 7.45 | 33 | 55 | 48 | 25.6 | 41.4 | 41.0 | 36.0 | 7.4 | 20.4 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4722 | 7.36 | 21 | 32 | 29 | 16.3 | 24.1 | 24.8 | 21.7 | 3.9 | 17.7 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5115 | 8.86 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 10.2 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4959 | 7.89 | 21 | 31 | 30 | 16.3 | 23.3 | 25.6 | 21.7 | 4.0 | 18.3 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5049 | 8.74 | 148 | 183 | 141 | 114.7 | 137.6 | 120.5 | 124.3 | 9.7 | 7.8 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5241 | 9.30 | 32 | 44 | 45 | 24.8 | 33.1 | 38.5 | 32.1 | 5.6 | 17.5 |


| + Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5046 | 8.21 | 9 | 15 | 18 | 7.0 | 11.3 | 15.4 | 11.2 | 3.4 | 30.6 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5542 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5347 | 10.02 | 48 | 57 | 49 | 37.2 | 42.9 | 41.9 | 40.6 | 2.5 | 6.1 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5247 | 9.05 | 17 | 22 | 18 | 13.2 | 16.5 | 15.4 | 15.0 | 1.4 | 9.3 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5553 | 10.62 | nd | 4 | 11 | nd | 3.0 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 51.5 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5350 | 9.55 | 16 | 18 | 32 | 12.4 | 13.5 | 27.4 | 17.8 | 6.8 | 38.3 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5732 | 11.21 | 14 | 26 | 25 | 10.9 | 19.5 | 21.4 | 17.3 | 4.6 | 26.6 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5417 | 9.80 | nd | nd | 11 | nd | nd | 9.4 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| + Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 8. Quantified PEs from HEK293 cells. The lipids were obtained through precipitation of the proteome. treatment with N-(His) 6 -3C-Atg4B and extraction by organic solvents.

| - Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HEK293 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7169 | 9.15 | 229 | 231 | 205 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5204 | 9.24 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 1.9 | 28.2 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5052 | 8.36 | 4 | nd | nd | 3.5 | nd | nd | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5542 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| - Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H] | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HEK293 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5554 | 10.59 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 11.4 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 52.3 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5374 | 9.65 | 18 | 4 | 6 | 15.7 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 63.6 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5397 | 9.77 | 23 | 7 | 7 | 20.1 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 11.0 | 6.4 | 58.6 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | 764.5242 | 8.93 | 10 | nd | nd | 8.7 | nd | nd | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5410 | 9.62 |  | 2 |  |  | 1.7 |  | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| + Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass. [M+H]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HEK293 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7172 | 9.21 | 206 | 225 | 230 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4413 | 6.12 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 8.7 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 12.1 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4775 | 7.45 | 23 | 34 | 44 | 22.3 | 30.2 | 38.3 | 30.3 | 6.5 | 21.5 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | nd | nd | nd | 24 | 29 | nd | 21.3 | 25.2 | 23.3 | 1.9 | 8.3 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5065 | 8.83 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 3.9 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 21.0 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4902 | 7.89 | 17 | 21 | 21 | 16.5 | 18.7 | 18.3 | 17.8 | 0.9 | 5.3 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5060 | 8.74 | 113 | 147 | 155 | 109.7 | 130.7 | 134.8 | 125.1 | 11.0 | 8.8 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | 700.4919 | 7.74 | 3 | nd | 5 | 2.9 | nd | 4.3 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 19.8 |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5261 | 9.21 | 32 | 32 | 35 | 31.1 | 28.4 | 30.4 | 30.0 | 1.1 | 3.7 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5070 | 8.24 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 11.3 | 8.7 | 1.9 | 21.9 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5542 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5388 | 9.99 | 40 | 50 | 49 | 38.8 | 44.4 | 42.6 | 42.0 | 2.3 | 5.6 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5208 | 9.05 | 15 | 19 | 19 | 14.6 | 16.9 | 16.5 | 16.0 | 1.0 | 6.4 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5504 | 10.59 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 1.9 | 27.3 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5354 | 9.55 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 17.4 | 17.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| + Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HEK293 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5692 | 11.12 | 13 | 19 | 26 | 12.6 | 16.9 | 22.6 | 17.4 | 4.1 | 23.6 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5375 | 9.80 | 2 | nd | 8 | 1.9 | nd | 7.0 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 56.4 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | 764.5275 | 9.80 | 10 | nd | nd | 9.7 | nd | nd | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 9. Quantified PEs from SH-SY5Y cells. The lipids were obtained through precipitation of the proteome. treatment with N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ and extraction by organic solvents.

| - Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | $\begin{gathered} \text { RT } \\ (\mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | SH-SY5Y ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | SH-SY5Y_1 | SH-SY5Y_2 | SH-SY5Y_3 | SH-SY5Y_1 | SH-SY5Y_2 | SH-SY5Y_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7141 | 9.18 | 230 | 236 | 219 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| - Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ - | $\begin{gathered} \text { RT } \\ (\min ) \end{gathered}$ | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | SH-SY5Y ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | SH-SY5Y_1 | SH-SY5Y_2 | SH-SY5Y_3 | SH-SY5Y_1 | SH-SY5Y_2 | SH-SY5Y_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5542 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5491 | 10.56 | 5 | nd | nd | 4.3 | nd | nd | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5323 | 9.68 | 6 | nd | nd | 5.2 | nd | nd | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| - Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ - | $\begin{gathered} \text { RT } \\ (\min ) \end{gathered}$ | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | SH-SY5Y ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | SH-SY5Y_1 | SH-SY5Y_2 | SH-SY5Y_3 | SH-SY5Y_1 | SH-SY5Y_2 | SH-SY5Y_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | + Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. | RT |  | Area |  | Conc | ( $\mathrm{pmol} / \mathrm{samp}$ |  | SH-SY | Y5Y ( $\mathrm{n}=$ |  |
| Class | Lipid | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | (min) | SH-SY5Y_1 | SH-SY5Y_2 | SH-SY5Y_3 | SH-SY5Y_1 | SH-SY5Y_2 | SH-SY5Y_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7124 | 242.00 | 84 | 254 | 218 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4474 | 6.10 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 28.6 | 11.0 | 11.9 | 17.2 | 8.1 | 47.0 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4764 | 7.48 | 37 | 39 | 39 | 88.1 | 30.7 | 35.8 | 51.5 | 25.9 | 50.3 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4767 | 7.30 | 22 | 26 | 26 | 52.4 | 20.5 | 23.9 | 32.2 | 14.3 | 44.4 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5079 | 8.83 | 9 | 8 |  | 21.4 | 6.3 |  | 13.9 | 7.6 | 54.6 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4940 | 7.89 | 22 | 26 | 20 | 52.4 | 20.5 | 18.3 | 30.4 | 15.6 | 51.2 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5051 | 8.71 | 173 | 159 | 132 | 411.9 | 125.2 | 121.1 | 219.4 | 136.1 | 62.0 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5211 | 9.24 | 39 | 38 | 33 | 92.9 | 29.9 | 30.3 | 51.0 | 29.6 | 58.0 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5057 | 8.21 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 26.2 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 14.7 | 8.1 | 55.5 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| + Atg4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | Found Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RT } \\ (\mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | SH-SY5Y ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | SH-SY5Y_1 | SH-SY5Y_2 | SH-SY5Y_3 | SH-SY5Y_1 | SH-SY5Y_2 | SH-SY5Y_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5542 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5353 | 10.02 | 59 | 58 | 45 | 140.5 | 45.7 | 41.3 | 75.8 | 45.8 | 60.4 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5195 | 9.08 | 23 | 22 | 18 | 54.8 | 17.3 | 16.5 | 29.5 | 17.8 | 60.4 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5541 | 10.56 | 3 | nd | nd | 7.1 | nd | nd | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5389 | 9.52 | 13 | 15 | 20 | 31.0 | 11.8 | 18.3 | 20.4 | 7.9 | 39.0 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5712 | 11.12 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 54.8 | 16.5 | 20.2 | 30.5 | 17.2 | 56.5 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5397 | 9.77 |  | 3 |  |  | 2.4 |  | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | 796.5856 | 10.75 | nd | nd | 4 | nd | nd | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 10. Quantified PEs from the total lipidome of HeLa cells. The lipids were obtained through incubation of the cells with a mixture of organic solvents at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .

| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ - | Found Mass. [M+H]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7186 | 9.21 | 63 | 45 | 78 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 364.4437 | 6.20 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 15.4 | 19.9 | 3.2 | 16.2 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4756 | 7.52 | 55 | 65 | 66 | 174.6 | 288.9 | 169.2 | 210.9 | 55.2 | 26.2 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | 676.4889 | 6.73 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 31.7 | 35.6 | 20.5 | 29.3 | 6.4 | 21.8 |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4785 | 7.20 | 31 | 25 | 35 | 98.4 | 111.1 | 89.7 | 99.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5082 | 8.93 | 63 | 58 | 96 | 200.0 | 257.8 | 246.2 | 234.6 | 25.0 | 10.6 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4954 | 7.97 | 1903 | 760 | 1332 | 6041.3 | 3377.8 | 3415.4 | 4278.1 | 1246.8 | 29.1 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | 686.4781 | 6.95 | 320 | 144 | 221 | 1015.9 | 640.0 | 566.7 | 740.8 | 196.8 | 26.6 |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5035 | 8.61 | 211 | 118 | 202 | 669.8 | 524.4 | 517.9 | 570.7 | 70.1 | 12.3 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | 700.4911 | 7.61 | 56 | 42 | 56 | 177.8 | 186.7 | 143.6 | 169.3 | 18.6 | 11.0 |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | 34 | 56 | nd | 151.1 | 143.6 | 147.4 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5248 | 9.34 | 4247 | 2119 | 3701 | 13482.5 | 9417.8 | 9489.7 | 10796.7 | 1899.4 | 17.6 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5116 | 8.37 | 2289 | 1128 | 1555 | 7266.7 | 5013.3 | 3987.2 | 5422.4 | 1369.7 | 25.3 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | 712.4901 | 7.34 | 1578 | 112 | 171 | 5009.5 | 497.8 | 438.5 | 1981.9 | 2141.0 | 108.0 |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5542 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5365 | 10.00 | 263 | 211 | 246 | 834.9 | 937.8 | 630.8 | 801.2 | 127.6 | 15.9 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5217 | 9.02 | 175 | 120 | 163 | 555.6 | 533.3 | 417.9 | 502.3 | 60.3 | 12.0 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5610 | 10.65 | 2882 | 2022 | 2412 | 9149.2 | 8986.7 | 6184.6 | 8106.8 | 1360.8 | 16.8 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5435 | 9.71 | 4448 | 2782 | 3605 | 14120.6 | 12364.4 | 9243.6 | 11909.6 | 2016.9 | 16.9 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | 740.5242 | 8.84 | 603 | 392 | 653 | 1914.3 | 1742.2 | 1674.4 | 1777.0 | 101.0 | 5.7 |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5095 | 8.52 | 584 | 640 | 908 | 1854.0 | 2844.4 | 2328.2 | 2342.2 | 404.5 | 17.3 |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | 736.4915 | 7.58 | 245 | 432 | 530 | 777.8 | 1920.0 | 1359.0 | 1352.3 | 466.3 | 34.5 |


| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass. <br> [M+H]- | RT ( min ) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | HeLa_1 | HeLa_2 | HeLa_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | 734.4765 | 6.55 | nd | 106 | 108 | nd | 471.1 | 276.9 | 374.0 | 97.1 | 26.0 |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | 772.5889 | 11.84 | 89 | 50 | 46 | 282.5 | 222.2 | 117.9 | 207.6 | 68.0 | 32.8 |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5715 | 10.90 | 320 | 146 | 173 | 1015.9 | 648.9 | 443.6 | 702.8 | 236.7 | 33.7 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | 768.5511 | 10.59 | 202 | 312 | 214 | 641.3 | 1386.7 | 548.7 | 858.9 | 375.1 | 43.7 |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5441 | 9.84 | 1749 | 2046 | 2380 | 5552.4 | 9093.3 | 6102.6 | 6916.1 | 1555.8 | 22.5 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | 764.5271 | 8.99 | 1193 | 1215 | 1999 | 3787.3 | 5400.0 | 5125.6 | 4771.0 | 704.5 | 14.8 |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | 762.5068 | 8.24 | 473 | 284 | 310 | 1501.6 | 1262.2 | 794.9 | 1186.2 | 293.5 | 24.7 |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | 800.6131 | 13.00 | 106 | 29 | 44 | 336.5 | 128.9 | 112.8 | 192.7 | 101.9 | 52.9 |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | 798.6088 | 12.04 | nd | 55 | 64 | nd | 244.4 | 164.1 | 204.3 | 40.2 | 19.7 |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | 794.5697 | 10.72 | 42 | 34 | 45 | 133.3 | 151.1 | 115.4 | 133.3 | 14.6 | 10.9 |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5558 | 9.97 | nd | 339 | 363 | nd | 1506.7 | 930.8 | 1218.7 | 287.9 | 23.6 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5383 | 9.68 | 841 | 845 | 922 | 2669.8 | 3755.6 | 2364.1 | 2929.8 | 597.1 | 20.4 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | 788.5209 | 8.61 | 489 | 307 | 421 | 1552.4 | 1364.4 | 1079.5 | 1332.1 | 194.4 | 14.6 |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 11. Quantified PEs from the total lipidome of HEK293 cells. The lipids were obtained through incubation of the cells with a mixture of organic solvents at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .

| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | Found Mass. <br> [M+H]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HEK293 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7195 | 9.20 | 87 | 108 | 107 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4417 | 6.23 | 5 | 4 |  | 11.5 | 7.4 |  | 9.5 | 2.0 | 21.6 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4777 | 6.17 | 25 | 59 | 44 | 57.5 | 109.3 | 82.2 | 83.0 | 21.1 | 25.5 |


|  |  | Calc. Mas | Found |  | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HEK293 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Mass. <br> [M+H]- | RT (min) | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | 676.4883 | 6.80 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 23.0 | 18.5 | 20.6 | 20.7 | 1.8 | 8.8 |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4815 | 7.27 | 20 | nd | 28 | 46.0 | nd | 52.3 | 49.2 | 3.2 | 6.5 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5048 | 8.93 | 70 | 85 | 64 | 160.9 | 157.4 | 119.6 | 146.0 | 18.7 | 12.8 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4922 | 7.92 | 465 | 406 | 490 | 1069.0 | 751.9 | 915.9 | 912.2 | 129.5 | 14.2 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | 686.4763 | 7.03 | 70 | 85 | 70 | 160.9 | 157.4 | 130.8 | 149.7 | 13.4 | 9.0 |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5034 | 8.74 | 176 | 216 | 175 | 404.6 | 400.0 | 327.1 | 377.2 | 35.5 | 9.4 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | 700.4959 | 7.80 | 31 | 70 | 41 | 71.3 | 129.6 | 76.6 | 92.5 | 26.3 | 28.5 |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | 718.5316 | 8.92 | 45 | 39 | 44 | 103.4 | 72.2 | 82.2 | 86.0 | 13.0 | 15.1 |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5269 | 9.34 | 4259 | 2606 | 4843 | 9790.8 | 4825.9 | 9052.3 | 7889.7 | 2187.3 | 27.7 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5099 | 8.39 | 969 | 1062 | 1020 | 2227.6 | 1966.7 | 1906.5 | 2033.6 | 139.3 | 6.9 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | 712.4920 | 7.57 | 52 | 128 | 34 | 119.5 | 237.0 | 63.6 | 140.0 | 72.3 | 51.6 |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5542 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5361 | 10.02 | 250 | 259 | 254 | 574.7 | 479.6 | 474.8 | 509.7 | 46.0 | 9.0 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5247 | 9.15 | 139 | 220 | 148 | 319.5 | 407.4 | 276.6 | 334.5 | 54.4 | 16.3 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5598 | 10.62 | 2153 | 1820 | 2548 | 4949.4 | 3370.4 | 4762.6 | 4360.8 | 704.5 | 16.2 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5438 | 9.60 | 2722 | 2429 | 2937 | 6257.5 | 4498.1 | 5489.7 | 5415.1 | 720.2 | 13.3 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | 740.5208 | 8.74 | 377 | 605 | 363 | 866.7 | 1120.4 | 678.5 | 888.5 | 181.1 | 20.4 |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5077 | 8.39 | 849 | 12 | 981 | 1951.7 | 22.0 | 1833.6 | 1269.1 | 883.2 | 69.6 |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | 736.4922 | 7.58 | 168 | 466 | 173 | 386.2 | 863.0 | 323.4 | 524.2 | 240.9 | 46.0 |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | 772.5855 | 11.90 | 136 | 148 | 162 | 312.6 | 274.1 | 302.8 | 296.5 | 16.4 | 5.5 |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5698 | 10.87 | 383 | 323 | 435 | 880.5 | 598.1 | 813.1 | 763.9 | 120.4 | 15.8 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | 768.5522 | 10.27 | 217 | 404 | 230 | 498.9 | 748.1 | 429.9 | 559.0 | 136.7 | 24.5 |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5386 | 9.93 | nd | nd | 3445 | nd | nd | 6439.3 | 6439.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | Found Mass. [M+H]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HEK293 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | HEK_1 | HEK_2 | HEK_3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | 761.5272 | 8.99 | 2088 | nd | 2071 | 4800.0 | nd | 3871.0 | 4335.5 | 464.5 | 10.7 |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | 762.5087 | 8.29 | 275 | 321 | 565 | 632.2 | 594.4 | 1056.1 | 760.9 | 209.3 | 27.5 |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | 800.6183 | 12.97 | 131 | 266 | 136 | 301.1 | 492.6 | 254.2 | 349.3 | 103.1 | 29.5 |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | 798.6026 | 12.03 | nd | 405 | 166 | nd | 750.0 | 310.3 | 530.1 | 219.9 | 41.5 |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | 796.5808 | 11.43 | nd | 160 | nd | nd | 296.3 | nd | 296.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | 794.5721 | 10.75 | 195 | 397 | 229 | 448.3 | 735.2 | 428.0 | 537.2 | 140.3 | 26.1 |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5585 | 9.99 | nd | 1209 | 466 | nd | 2238.9 | 871.0 | 1555.0 | 683.9 | 44.0 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5427 | 9.65 | 1643 | 1643 | 1637 | 3777.0 | 3042.6 | 3059.8 | 3293.1 | 342.2 | 10.4 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | 788.5212 | 8.58 | 523 | 986 | 517 | 1202.3 | 1825.9 | 966.4 | 1331.5 | 362.6 | 27.2 |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 12. Quantified PEs from the total lipidome of SH-SY5Y cells. The lipids were obtained through incubation of the cells with a mixture of organic solvents at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .

| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | SH-SY5Y ( $n=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_3 } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7203 | 9.18 | 84 | 94 | 107 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4737 | 7.52 | nd | 14 | nd | nd | 29.8 | nd | 29.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5099 | 8.93 | 11 | 37 | 14 | 26.2 | 78.7 | 26.2 | 43.7 | 24.8 | 56.7 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4906 | 7.89 | 149 | 244 | 177 | 354.8 | 519.1 | 330.8 | 401.6 | 83.7 | 20.8 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | 686.4719 | 7.05 | 20 | 41 | 23 | 47.6 | 87.2 | 43.0 | 59.3 | 19.9 | 33.5 |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


|  |  |  | Found |  |  | Area |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | SH-SY5Y ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_3 } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5038 | 8.68 | 37 | 55 | 43 | 88.1 | 117.0 | 80.4 | 95.2 | 15.8 | 16.6 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | 718.5414 | 8.99 | nd | nd | 20 | nd | nd | 37.4 | 37.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5270 | 9.31 | 1202 | 1755 | 1268 | 2861.9 | 3734.0 | 2370.1 | 2988.7 | 564.0 | 18.9 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5078 | 8.43 | 203 | 702 | 222 | 483.3 | 1493.6 | 415.0 | 797.3 | 493.2 | 61.9 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | 712.4879 | 7.36 | 13 | 30 | 15 | 31.0 | 63.8 | 28.0 | 40.9 | 16.2 | 39.6 |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5542 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5360 | 9.97 | 66 | 122 | 82 | 157.1 | 259.6 | 153.3 | 190.0 | 49.2 | 25.9 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 718.5235 | 9.02 | 29 | 94 | 33 | 69.0 | 200.0 | 61.7 | 110.2 | 63.5 | 57.6 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5580 | 10.65 | 1247 | 2036 | 1297 | 2969.0 | 4331.9 | 2424.3 | 3241.8 | 802.3 | 24.7 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5387 | 9.71 | 1302 | 3525 | 1257 | 3100.0 | 7500.0 | 2349.5 | 4316.5 | 2271.8 | 52.6 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | 740.5255 | 8.77 | 102 | 398 | 125 | 242.9 | 846.8 | 233.6 | 441.1 | 286.9 | 65.0 |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5099 | 8.46 | 146 | 226 | 179 | 347.6 | 480.9 | 334.6 | 387.7 | 66.1 | 17.0 |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | 736.4922 | 7.58 | 30 | 82 | 36 | 71.4 | 174.5 | 67.3 | 104.4 | 49.6 | 47.5 |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | 772.5826 | 11.85 | 37 | 97 | 41 | 88.1 | 206.4 | 76.6 | 123.7 | 58.6 | 47.4 |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5675 | 10.88 | 75 | 312 | 88 | 178.6 | 663.8 | 164.5 | 335.6 | 232.1 | 69.2 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | 768.5472 | 10.28 | 27 | 166 | 52 | 64.3 | 353.2 | 97.2 | 171.6 | 129.1 | 75.3 |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5474 | 9.84 | 938 | 1010 | 1017 | 2233.3 | 2148.9 | 1900.9 | 2094.4 | 141.1 | 6.7 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | 764.5284 | 8.87 | 251 | 707 | 1999 | 597.6 | 1504.3 | 3736.4 | 1946.1 | 1319.0 | 67.8 |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | 762.5073 | 8.24 | 97 | 223 | 112 | 231.0 | 474.5 | 209.3 | 304.9 | 120.2 | 39.4 |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | 800.6165 | 13.07 | 60 | 344 | 51 | 142.9 | 731.9 | 95.3 | 323.4 | 289.5 | 89.5 |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | 798.6000 | 12.06 | 40 | 292 | 48 | 95.2 | 621.3 | 89.7 | 268.7 | 249.3 | 92.8 |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ - | Found Mass. <br> [M+H]- | RT ( min ) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | SH-SY5Y ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SH- } \\ \text { SY5Y_3 } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | 794.5686 | 10.78 | 69 | 59 | 73 | 164.3 | 125.5 | 136.4 | 142.1 | 16.3 | 11.5 |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5585 | 9.97 | 301 | 318 | 359 | 716.7 | 676.6 | 671.0 | 688.1 | 20.3 | 3.0 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5416 | 9.65 | 520 | 562 | 538 | 1238.1 | 1195.7 | 1005.6 | 1146.5 | 101.1 | 8.8 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | 788.5226 | 8.68 | 62 | 177 | 68 | 147.6 | 376.6 | 127.1 | 217.1 | 113.1 | 52.1 |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 13. Quantified PEs from the total lipidome of HeLa cells after treatment with heptadecanoic acid. The lipids were obtained through incubation of the cells with a mixture of organic solvents at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .

| - C17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clas |  | Calc. | Found |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| s | Lipid | Mass. <br> [M+H]- | Mass. <br> [M+H]- | $(\min )$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7119 | 8.93 | 174 | 160 | 126 | 75 | 244 | 242 | 200.0 | $\begin{gathered} 200 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 6 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 5.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4737 | 7.21 | nd | 20 | 22 | 25 | 47 | 59 | nd | 25.0 | 34.9 | 66.7 | 38.5 | 48.8 | 42.8 | 14.2 | 33.1 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | 676.4933 | 7.90 | nd | 7 | 11 | 6 | 14 | 18 | nd | 8.8 | 17.5 | 16.0 | 11.5 | 14.9 | 13.7 | 3.2 | 23.1 |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4803 | 6.89 | nd | 10 | nd | 8 | 18 | 19 | nd | 12.5 | nd | 21.3 | 14.8 | 15.7 | 16.1 | 3.3 | 20.2 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5103 | 8.62 | 9 | 27 | 36 | 18 | 57 | 61 | 10.3 | 33.8 | 57.1 | 48.0 | 46.7 | 50.4 | 41.1 | 15.4 | 37.5 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4916 | 7.80 | 35 | 288 | 338 | 355 | 888 | 1129 | 40.2 | $\begin{gathered} 360 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 536.5 | 946.7 | 727.9 | 933.1 | 590.7 | $\begin{gathered} 322 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 54.5 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | 686.4772 | 6.70 | nd | 59 | 79 | 95 | 233 | 271 | nd | 73.8 | 125.4 | 253.3 | 191.0 | 224.0 | 173.5 | 65.6 | 37.8 |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5052 | 8.43 | 71 | 70 | 85 | 52 | 86 | 109 | 81.6 | 87.5 | 134.9 | 138.7 | 70.5 | 90.1 | 100.5 | 26.4 | 26.2 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | 700.4933 | 7.46 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 18 | 33 | 47 | 21.8 | 23.8 | 33.3 | 48.0 | 27.0 | 38.8 | 32.1 | 9.1 | 28.4 |


| - C17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calc. | Found |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| S | Lipid | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | (min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | 718.5388 | 9.94 | 78 | nd | 18 | 8 | 347 | 24 | 89.7 | nd | 28.6 | 21.3 | 284.4 | 19.8 | 88.8 | $\begin{gathered} 101 . \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 114 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5237 | 9.15 | 311 | 530 | 629 | 747 | 2698 | 3065 | 357.5 | $\begin{gathered} 662 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 998.4 | $\begin{gathered} 1992 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2211 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2533 . \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1459 . \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 823 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 56.4 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5097 | 8.09 | 108 | 398 | 471 | 502 | 881 | 909 | 124.1 | $\begin{gathered} 497 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 747.6 | $\begin{gathered} 1338 . \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 722.1 | 751.2 | 696.9 | $\begin{gathered} 361 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 51.9 |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | 710.4799 | 6.74 | nd | 13 | 12 | nd | 12 | 20 | nd | 16.3 | 19.0 | nd | 9.8 | 16.5 | 15.4 | 3.4 | 22.1 |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | 732.5598 | 11.19 | 29 | 26 | 37 | nd | nd | nd | 33.3 | 32.5 | 58.7 | nd | nd | nd | 41.5 | 12.2 | 29.3 |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5396 | 9.72 | 241 | 93 | 108 | 74 | 129 | 148 | 277.0 | $\begin{gathered} 116 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 171.4 | 197.3 | 105.7 | 122.3 | 165.0 | 59.6 | 36.1 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5223 | 8.78 | 152 | 77 | 92 | 59 | 142 | 159 | 174.7 | 96.3 | 146.0 | 157.3 | 116.4 | 131.4 | 137.0 | 25.9 | 18.9 |
| PE | 35:3 | 726.5074 | 726.5036 | 7.80 | nd | 7 | 9 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 8.8 | 14.3 | nd | nd | nd | 11.5 | 2.8 | 24.0 |
| PE | 35:4 | 724.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:5 | 722.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:6 | 720.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5541 | 10.41 | 155 | 372 | 437 | 449 | 1531 | 1521 | 178.2 | $\begin{gathered} 465 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 693.7 | $\begin{gathered} 1197 . \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1254 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1257 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 841.0 | $\begin{gathered} 423 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 50.3 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5359 | 9.53 | 125 | 648 | 760 | 806 | 3038 | 3344 | 143.7 | $\begin{gathered} 810 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1206 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2149 . \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2490 . \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2763 . \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1593 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 944 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 59.2 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | 740.5224 | 8.56 | nd | 238 | 287 | 133 | 312 | 364 | nd | $\begin{gathered} 297 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 455.6 | 354.7 | 255.7 | 300.8 | 332.9 | 68.9 | 20.7 |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5090 | 8.15 | 40 | 205 | 250 | 109 | 187 | 233 | 46.0 | $\begin{gathered} 256 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 396.8 | 290.7 | 153.3 | 192.6 | 222.6 | $\begin{gathered} 110 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 49.6 |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | 736.4911 | 7.30 | 28 | 157 | 213 | 49 | 97 | 118 | 32.2 | $\begin{gathered} 196 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 338.1 | 130.7 | 79.5 | 97.5 | 145.7 | 99.5 | 68.3 |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 37:0 | 760.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 37:1 | 758.5700 | 758.5739 | 10.97 | 90 | 43 | 56 | 17 | 83 | 82 | 103.4 | 53.8 | 88.9 | 45.3 | 68.0 | 67.8 | 71.2 | 19.8 | 27.8 |


| - C17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clas |  | Calc. | Found |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| s | Lipid | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | $(\min )$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 37:2 | 756.5543 | 756.5510 | 10.09 | 18 | 26 | 35 | 21 | 44 | 46 | 20.7 | 32.5 | 55.6 | 56.0 | 36.1 | 38.0 | 39.8 | 12.6 | 31.6 |
| PE | 37:3 | 754.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 37:4 | 752.5230 | 752.5236 | 8.84 | 211 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 242.5 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 242.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 37:5 | 750.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 37:6 | 748.4918 | 748.4912 | 7.02 | 41 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 47.1 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 47.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 37:7 | 746.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | 772.5896 | 8.37 | 41 | nd | 11 | 5 | nd | 9 | 47.1 | nd | 17.5 | 13.3 | nd | 7.4 | 21.3 | 15.3 | 71.7 |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5714 | 10.60 | nd | 68 | 94 | 58 | 221 | 200 | nd | 85.0 | 149.2 | 154.7 | 181.1 | 165.3 | 147.1 | 32.9 | 22.4 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | 768.5491 | 9.97 | 21 | 141 | 140 | nd | nd | nd | 24.1 | $\begin{gathered} 176 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 222.2 | nd | nd | nd | 140.9 | 84.6 | 60.1 |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | 764.5248 | 8.74 | nd | 417 | 535 | 182 | 472 | 530 | nd | $\begin{gathered} 521 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 849.2 | 485.3 | 386.9 | 438.0 | 536.1 | $\begin{gathered} 162 . \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 30.4 |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | 762.5029 | 7.96 | nd | 255 | 333 | 94 | 262 | 307 | nd | $\begin{gathered} 318 . \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 528.6 | 250.7 | 214.8 | 253.7 | 313.3 | $\begin{gathered} 112 . \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 36.0 |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | 800.6174 | 9.78 | 13 | 6 | 7 | nd | nd | nd | 14.9 | 7.5 | 11.1 | nd | nd | nd | 11.2 | 3.0 | 27.2 |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | 798.6032 | 11.82 | nd | 21 | 26 | 25 | 94 | 117 | nd | 26.3 | 41.3 | 66.7 | 77.0 | 96.7 | 61.6 | 25.1 | 40.8 |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | 794.5684 | 10.44 | nd | 15 | 20 | nd | 34 | 35 | nd | 18.8 | 31.7 | nd | 27.9 | 28.9 | 26.8 | 4.9 | 18.2 |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5552 | 9.69 | nd | 152 | 186 | 41 | nd | nd | nd | $\begin{gathered} 190 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 295.2 | 109.3 | nd | nd | 198.2 | 76.1 | 38.4 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5413 | 9.37 | nd | 293 | 344 | 80 | 268 | 302 | nd | $\begin{gathered} 366 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 546.0 | 213.3 | 219.7 | 249.6 | 319.0 | $\begin{gathered} 126 . \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 39.6 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | 788.5175 | 8.40 | nd | 265 | 309 | 75 | 122 | 159 | nd | $\begin{gathered} 331 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 490.5 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 131.4 | 250.6 | $\begin{gathered} 143 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 57.4 |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| PE | $\begin{gathered} 44: 1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - C17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Calc. | Found |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| s | Lipid | Mass. <br> [M+H]- | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | (min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | Std | 619.6037 | 619.5982 | 11.21 | 7430 | $\begin{gathered} 1293 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1080 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1922 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1859 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1794 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 166.0 | $\begin{gathered} 166 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 30:0 | 558.5098 | 558.5086 | 8.67 | 283 | 608 | 571 | 521 | 488 | 450 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 8.8 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 30.0 |
| DAG | 30:1 | 556.4942 | 556.4876 | 7.91 | 93 | 222 | 229 | nd | nd | nd | 2.1 | 2.8 | 3.5 | nd | nd | nd | 2.8 | 0.6 | 20.9 |
| DAG | 30:2 | 554.4786 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:3 | 552.4630 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:4 | 550.4474 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 31:0 | 572.5274 | 572.5271 | 9.36 | 1275 | 167 | 147 | 1407 | 1353 | 1269 | 28.5 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 8.8 | 76.5 |
| DAG | 31:1 | 570.5098 | 570.5046 | 8.44 | 112 | 121 | 100 | nd | nd | nd | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | nd | nd | nd | 1.9 | 0.5 | 24.2 |
| DAG | 32:0 | 586.5411 | 586.5440 | 10.08 | 3047 | 1944 | 2047 | 3024 | 2798 | 2520 | 68.1 | 25.0 | 31.4 | 26.1 | 25.0 | 23.3 | 33.1 | 15.8 | 47.8 |
| DAG | 32:1 | 584.5255 | 584.5186 | 9.14 | 289 | 1346 | 1437 | 1092 | 1006 | 869 | 6.5 | 17.3 | 22.1 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 5.6 | 46.9 |
| DAG | 32:2 | 582.5099 | 582.5112 | 8.10 | 65 | 192 | 213 | nd | 230 | 176 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 3.3 | nd | 2.1 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 30.0 |
| DAG | 32:3 | 580.4943 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:4 | 578.4787 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:5 | 576.4631 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 33:0 | 600.5567 | 600.5592 | 10.70 | $\begin{gathered} 1316 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 262 | 280 | $\begin{gathered} 1302 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1863 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1482 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 294.1 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 112.4 | 166.4 | 137.1 | 119.6 | 99.8 | 83.5 |
| DAG | 33:1 | 598.5411 | 594.5410 | 9.79 | 619 | 460 | 529 | 2653 | 2695 | 2658 | 13.8 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 22.9 | 24.1 | 24.6 | 16.6 | 7.7 | 46.3 |
| DAG | 33:2 | 596.5254 | 596.5237 | 9.01 | 69 | 50 | 57 | 566 | 531 | 558 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 66.5 |
| DAG | 34:0 | 614.5724 | 614.5691 | 11.33 | $\begin{gathered} 5272 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 846 | 821 | nd | $\begin{gathered} 4498 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | nd | $\begin{gathered} 1177 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 10.9 | 12.6 | nd | 401.6 | nd | 400.7 | $\begin{gathered} 476 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 118 . \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| DAG | 34:1 | 612.5568 | 612.5568 | 10.39 | 3906 | 4118 | 4652 | $\begin{gathered} 2056 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2849 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2768 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 87.3 | 52.9 | 71.4 | 177.5 | 254.4 | 256.0 | 149.9 | 84.1 | 56.1 |
| DAG | $34: 2$ | 610.5412 | 610.5390 | 9.51 | 487 | 911 | 936 | 4342 | 4281 | 4252 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 14.4 | 37.5 | 38.2 | 39.3 | 25.3 | 13.1 | 51.6 |
| DAG | 34:3 | 608.5256 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 73 | 67 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 0.6 | 0.6 | nd | 0.6 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| DAG | 34:4 | 606.5100 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:5 | 604.4944 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| DAG | 34:6 | 602.4788 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAG | 35:0 | 628.5880 | 628.5881 | 11.89 | 5155 | nd | nd | 7536 | 8042 | 7427 | 115.2 | nd | nd | 65.1 | 71.8 | 68.7 | 80.2 | 20.3 | 25.4 |
| - C17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clas |  | Calc. | Found |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| S | Lipid | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | (min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | 35:1 | 626.5723 | 626.5743 | 11.02 | 2894 | 426 | 561 | $\begin{gathered} 2144 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2089 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1706 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 64.7 | 5.5 | 8.6 | 185.1 | 186.6 | 157.9 | 101.4 | 78.1 | 77.1 |
| DAG | 35:2 | 624.5567 | 624.5573 | 11.21 | 185 | 1987 | 1973 | 7006 | 6512 | 6721 | 4.1 | 25.5 | 30.3 | 60.5 | 58.1 | 62.2 | 40.1 | 21.7 | 54.1 |
| DAG | 36:0 | 642.6040 | 642.6038 | 12.49 | 2083 | 254 | 136 | 7741 | 9153 | 8405 | 46.5 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 66.8 | 81.7 | 77.7 | 46.4 | 32.8 | 70.8 |
| DAG | 36:1 | 640.5881 | 640.5854 | 11.58 | 945 | 909 | 1082 | 6912 | 6889 | 6367 | 21.1 | 11.7 | 16.6 | 59.7 | 61.5 | 58.9 | 38.2 | 22.0 | 57.4 |
| DAG | 36:2 | 638.5725 | 638.5800 | 10.73 | 567 | 2013 | 2298 | $\begin{gathered} 1525 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1495 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1398 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 12.7 | 25.8 | 35.3 | 131.7 | 133.5 | 129.4 | 78.1 | 53.9 | 69.0 |
| DAG | 36:3 | 636.5569 | 636.5582 | 9.86 | 116 | 416 | 449 | 510 | 483 | nd | 2.6 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 4.4 | 4.3 | nd | 4.7 | 1.4 | 29.9 |
| DAG | 36:4 | 634.5413 | 634.5405 | 8.98 | 58 | 65 | 167 | 123 | 65 | nd | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 0.6 | nd | 1.3 | 0.7 | 54.5 |
| DAG | 36:5 | 632.5257 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:6 | 630.5101 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 37:0 | 657.6271 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | $37: 1$ | 654.6036 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 37:2 | 652.5880 | 652.5921 | 11.42 | 40 | nd | 99 | 3043 | 3064 | 3285 | 0.9 | nd | 1.5 | 26.3 | 27.4 | 30.4 | 17.3 | 13.2 | 76.4 |
| DAG | 37:3 | 650.5723 | 650.5723 | 10.64 | 192 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 4.3 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 37:4 | 648.5567 | 648.5542 | 10.17 | 435 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 9.7 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 37:5 | 646.5411 | 646.5421 | 9.32 | 96 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 2.1 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 38:0 | 670.6350 | 670.6307 | 13.53 | 176 | 76 | 38 | nd | nd | nd | 3.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 | nd | nd | nd | 1.8 | 1.5 | 81.7 |
| DAG | 38:1 | 668.6194 | 668.6177 | 7.79 | 403 | 267 | 202 | nd | nd | nd | 9.0 | 3.4 | 3.1 | nd | nd | nd | 5.2 | 2.7 | 52.3 |
| DAG | 38:2 | 666.6038 | 666.6094 | 11.99 | 60 | 386 | 422 | nd | nd | nd | 1.3 | 5.0 | 6.5 | nd | nd | nd | 4.3 | 2.2 | 50.6 |
| DAG | 38:3 | 664.5882 | 664.5936 | 11.24 | nd | 279 | 470 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 3.6 | 7.2 | nd | nd | nd | 5.4 | 1.8 | 33.7 |
| DAG | 38:4 | 662.5726 | 662.5677 | 10.80 | 82 | 349 | 559 | nd | nd | nd | 1.8 | 4.5 | 8.6 | nd | nd | nd | 5.0 | 2.8 | 55.9 |


| + C17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calc. | Found |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Clas } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | Lipid | Mass. [M+H]- | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | (min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mea n | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7150 | 8.93 | 117 | 173 | 174 | 171 | 172 | 171 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | $\begin{gathered} 200 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 200 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4766 | 7.20 | 24 | nd | nd | 21 | 23 | 21 | 41.0 | 0.0 | nd | 24.6 | 26.7 | 24.6 | 23.4 | 13.2 | 56.5 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 20 | 19 | 19 | nd | nd | nd | 23.4 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 22.6 | 0.6 | 2.6 |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4783 | 6.95 | 12 | nd | nd | 55 | 61 | 68 | 20.5 | nd | nd | 64.3 | 70.9 | 79.5 | 58.8 | 22.8 | 38.7 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5022 | 8.61 | 35 | 10 | 9 | 23 | 23 | 26 | 59.8 | 11.6 | 10.3 | 26.9 | 26.7 | 30.4 | 27.6 | 16.3 | 59.2 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4908 | 7.74 | 367 | 48 | 42 | 191 | 198 | 224 | 627.4 | 55.5 | 48.3 | $\begin{gathered} 223 . \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 230 . \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 262.0 | 241.1 | $\begin{gathered} 192 . \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 79.7 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | 686.4722 | 6.65 | nd | 5 | 3 | 59 | 64 | 67 | nd | 5.8 | 3.4 | 69.0 | 74.4 | 78.4 | 46.2 | 34.1 | 73.8 |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5063 | 8.37 | 89 | 78 | 74 | 851 | 794 | 976 | 152.1 | 90.2 | 85.1 | $\begin{gathered} 995 . \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 923 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 1141 | 564.6 | $\begin{gathered} 460 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 81.6 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | 700.4948 | 7.33 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 310 | 328 | 355 | 41.0 | 27.7 | 25.3 | $\begin{gathered} 362 . \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 381 . \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 415.2 | 208.9 | $\begin{gathered} 178 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 85.3 |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | 718.5372 | 9.93 | 21 | 89 | 85 | 338 | 113 | 113 | 35.9 | 102.9 | 97.7 | $\begin{gathered} 395 . \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131 . \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 132.2 | 149.2 | $\begin{gathered} 114 . \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 76.8 |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5197 | 9.09 | 620 | 378 | 358 | 2819 | 2879 | 3078 | 1059 | 437.0 | 411.5 | 3297 | 3347 | 3600 | 2025 | 1408 | 69.5 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5087 | 8.11 | 739 | 109 | 107 | 577 | 786 | 936 | 1263 | 126.0 | 123.0 | $\begin{gathered} 674 . \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 914 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3600 | 1116 | 1182 | $\begin{gathered} 105 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | 712.4976 | 7.17 | 56 | 6 | 5 | 29 | 30 | 36 | 95.7 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 33.9 | 34.9 | 1094 | 212.0 | $\begin{gathered} 395 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 186 . \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | 710.4778 | 6.73 | 17 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 29.1 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 42.1 | 35.6 | 6.5 | 18.3 |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | 732.5555 | 9.35 | nd | 9 | 29 | 51 | 53 | 48 | nd | 10.4 | 33.3 | 59.6 | 61.6 | 56.1 | 44.2 | 19.7 | 44.6 |


| + C17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clas |  | Calc. |  |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Clas } \\ \text { s } \end{gathered}$ | Lipid | Mass. <br> [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | $(\min )$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mea n | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5349 | 9.68 | 115 | 292 | 270 | 1080 | 1247 | 1329 | 196.6 | 337.6 | 310.3 | 1263 | 1450 | 1554 | 852.0 | $\begin{gathered} 578 . \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 67.9 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5240 | 8.77 | 93 | 184 | 173 | 978 | 996 | 1184 | 159.0 | 212.7 | 198.9 | 1143 | 1158 | 1384 | 709.6 | $\begin{gathered} 525 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 74.1 |
| PE | 35:3 | 726.5074 | 728.5244 | 8.78 | nd | 26 | 27 | 95 | 97 | 102 | nd | 30.1 | 31.0 | $\begin{gathered} 111 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112 . \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 119.3 | 80.9 | 41.2 | 50.9 |
| PE | 35:4 | 724.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:5 | 722.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:6 | 720.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5525 | 10.40 | 469 | 161 | 160 | 573 | 684 | 746 | 801.7 | 186.1 | 183.9 | $\begin{gathered} 670 . \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 795 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 872.5 | 585.0 | $\begin{gathered} 289 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 49.4 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5338 | 9.49 | 735 | 138 | 120 | 781 | 772 | 964 | $\begin{gathered} 1256 . \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 159.5 | 137.9 | $\begin{gathered} 913 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 897 . \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1127 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 748.7 | $\begin{gathered} 441 . \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 59.0 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | 740.5222 | 8.52 | 296 | 26 | 27 | 91 | 83 | 95 | 506.0 | 30.1 | 31.0 | $\begin{gathered} 106 . \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 96.5 | 111.1 | 146.9 | $\begin{gathered} 164 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 111 . \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5065 | 8.11 | 274 | 46 | 53 | 83 | 87 | 98 | 468.4 | 53.2 | 60.9 | 97.1 | $\begin{gathered} 101 . \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 114.6 | 149.2 | 144. <br> 4 | 96.8 |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | 736.4904 | 7.33 | 235 | 27 | 27 | 43 | nd | 77 | 401.7 | 31.2 | 31.0 | 50.3 | nd | 90.1 | 120.9 | $\begin{gathered} 142 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 117 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 37:0 | 760.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 37:1 | 758.5700 | 758.5705 | 11.07 | 63 | 131 | 32 | 100 | 104 | 103 | 107.7 | 151.4 | 36.8 | $\begin{gathered} 117 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 120 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 120.5 | 109.0 | 35.0 | 32.1 |
| PE | 37:2 | 756.5543 | 756.5543 | 10.00 | 39 | 19 | 20 | 148 | 161 | 173 | 66.7 | 22.0 | 23.0 | $\begin{gathered} 173 . \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 187 . \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 202.3 | 112.4 | 77.1 | 68.6 |
| PE | 37:3 | 754.5387 | 754.5400 | 9.35 | nd | 39 | 37 | nd | 70 | nd | nd | 45.1 | 42.5 | nd | 81.4 | nd | 56.3 | 17.7 | 31.5 |
| PE | 37:4 | 752.5230 | 752.5263 | 8.88 | nd | 243 | 222 | nd | 408 | 456 | nd | 280.9 | 255.2 | nd | $\begin{gathered} 474 . \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 533.3 | 386.0 | $\begin{gathered} 120 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 31.1 |


| + C17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clas |  | Calc. | Found |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| S | Lipid | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | (min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mea n | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 37:5 | 750.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 37:6 | 748.4918 | 748.4950 | 6.99 | nd | 41 | 32 | nd | nd | 81 | nd | 47.4 | 36.8 | nd | nd | 94.7 | 59.6 | 25.2 | 42.2 |
| PE | 37:7 | 746.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | 772.5881 | 8.41 | nd | 54 | 49 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 62.4 | 56.3 | nd | nd | nd | 59.4 | 3.1 | 5.1 |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5669 | 10.62 | 101 | 15 | 14 | 63 | 60 | 68 | 172.6 | 17.3 | 16.1 | 73.7 | 69.8 | 79.5 | 71.5 | 52.1 | 72.9 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | 768.5513 | 10.00 | 157 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 268.4 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 268.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | 764.5247 | 8.74 | 586 | nd | 544 | 214 | 199 | 243 | 1001 | nd | 625.3 | $\begin{gathered} 250 . \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 231 . \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 284.2 | 478.6 | $\begin{gathered} 298 . \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 62.4 |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | 762.5043 | 7.93 | 376 | 50 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 642.7 | 57.8 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 350.3 | $\begin{gathered} 292 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 83.5 |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | 800.6176 | 9.79 | nd | 15 | 14 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 17.3 | 16.1 | nd | nd | nd | 16.7 | 0.6 | 3.7 |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | 798.6074 | 11.72 | 27 | nd | 5 | 37 | 29 | 34 | 46.2 | nd | 5.7 | 43.3 | 33.7 | 39.8 | 33.7 | 14.6 | 43.3 |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | 794.5653 | 10.47 | 23 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 28 | 39.3 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 32.7 | 36.0 | 3.3 | 9.1 |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5543 | 9.68 | 200 | nd | nd | 5 | nd | nd | 341.9 | nd | nd | 5.8 | nd | nd | 173.9 | $\begin{gathered} 168 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 96.6 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5403 | 9.37 | 331 | 484 | 84 | nd | nd | nd | 565.8 | 559.5 | 96.6 | nd | nd | nd | 407.3 | $\begin{gathered} 219 . \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 54.0 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | 788.5207 | 8.40 | 333 | 80 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 569.2 | 92.5 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 330.9 | $\begin{gathered} 238 . \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 72.0 |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | Standar d | 619.6037 | 619.6060 | 11.27 | $\begin{gathered} 1063 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 7376 | 6829 | $\begin{gathered} 1252 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3225 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3145 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | $\begin{gathered} 166 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 166 . \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 166.0 | 166.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 30:0 | 558.5098 | 558.5098 | 8.69 | 620 | 276 | 249 | 1232 | 1315 | 981 | 9.7 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 16.3 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 8.4 | 3.8 | 45.7 |


| + C17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calc. | Found |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| s | Lipid | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | (min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mea <br> n | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | 30:1 | 556.4942 | 556.4916 | 7.72 | 222 | 77 | 73 | nd | nd | nd | 3.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | nd | nd | nd | 2.3 | 0.8 | 34.7 |
| DAG | 30:2 | 554.4786 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:3 | 552.4630 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:4 | 550.4474 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 31:0 | 572.5274 | 572.5251 | 9.29 | 160 | 1069 | 1038 | nd | 225 | nd | 2.5 | 24.1 | 25.2 | nd | 1.2 | nd | 13.2 | 11.4 | 86.3 |
| DAG | 31:1 | 570.5098 | 570.5036 | 8.35 | 107 | 112 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 1.7 | 2.5 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 2.1 | 0.4 | 20.3 |
| DAG | 32:0 | 586.5411 | 586.5406 | 10.08 | 2226 | 3530 | 3001 | 2727 | $\begin{gathered} 1267 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 5474 | 34.8 | 79.4 | 72.9 | 36.1 | 65.2 | 28.9 | 52.9 | 20.2 | 38.2 |
| DAG | 32:1 | 584.5255 | 584.5264 | 9.13 | 1578 | 284 | 273 | 2399 | 1946 | 1595 | 24.6 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 31.8 | 10.0 | 8.4 | 14.6 | 9.9 | 67.5 |
| DAG | 32:2 | 582.5099 | 582.5101 | 8.13 | 208 | 33 | 29 | 820 | nd | 365 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 10.9 | nd | 1.9 | 3.5 | 3.8 | $\begin{gathered} 108 . \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| DAG | 32:3 | 580.4943 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:4 | 578.4787 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:5 | 576.4631 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 33:0 | 600.5567 | 600.5529 | 10.61 | 319 | $\begin{gathered} 1552 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1336 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | nd | nd | nd | 5.0 | 349.4 | 324.9 | nd | nd | nd | 226.4 | $\begin{gathered} 156 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 69.3 |
| DAG | 33:1 | 598.5411 | 598.5404 | 9.79 | 539 | 716 | 709 | 626 | nd | 334 | 8.4 | 16.1 | 17.2 | 8.3 | nd | 1.8 | 10.4 | 5.7 | 55.0 |
| DAG | 33:2 | 596.5254 | 596.5239 | 8.88 | 48 | 82 | 80 | nd | nd | nd | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | nd | nd | nd | 1.5 | 0.5 | 35.8 |
| DAG | 34:0 | 614.5724 | 614.5739 | 11.36 | 960 | $\begin{gathered} 5736 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5473 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | nd | $\begin{gathered} 1154 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 9187 | 15.0 | $\begin{gathered} 1290 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1330 . \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | nd | 59.4 | 48.5 | 548.8 | $\begin{gathered} 622 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113 . \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| DAG | 34:1 | 612.5568 | 612.5549 | 10.42 | 4709 | 4615 | 3980 | $\begin{gathered} 1178 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1298 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1193 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 73.5 | 103.9 | 96.7 | $\begin{gathered} 156 . \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 66.8 | 63.0 | 93.3 | 31.9 | 34.1 |
| DAG | 34:2 | 610.5412 | 610.5446 | 9.61 | 967 | 548 | 511 | 2337 | 1505 | 1519 | 15.1 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 31.0 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 14.4 | 7.8 | 54.3 |
| DAG | 34:3 | 608.5256 | 608.5254 | 8.63 | nd | nd | nd | 237 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 3.1 | nd | nd | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 34:4 | 606.5100 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:5 | 604.4944 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:6 | 602.4788 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 35:0 | 628.5880 | 628.5905 | 11.11 | 209 | 6815 | 5829 | nd | nd | nd | 3.3 | 153.4 | 141.7 | nd | nd | nd | 99.4 | 68.2 | 68.6 |


| + C17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | HeLa ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | 35:1 | 626.5723 | 626.5699 | 11.02 | 560 | 3976 | 3315 | 621 | 1239 | 1136 | 8.7 | 89.5 | 80.6 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 33.2 | 36.7 | 110.5 |
| DAG | 35:2 | 624.5567 | 624.5601 | 11.27 | 1863 | 756 | 725 | 1139 | 541 | 786 | 29.1 | 17.0 | 17.6 | 15.1 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 14.3 | 8.9 | 62.1 |
| DAG | 36:0 | 642.6040 | 642.6016 | 12.43 | 191 | 3960 | 2614 | 3708 | 16733 | 4650 | 3.0 | 89.1 | 63.5 | 49.1 | 86.1 | 24.5 | 52.6 | 31.2 | 59.3 |
| DAG | 36:1 | 640.5881 | 640.5896 | 11.67 | 1012 | 1402 | 1108 | 3085 | 3238 | 2728 | 15.8 | 31.6 | 26.9 | 40.9 | 16.7 | 14.4 | 24.4 | 9.7 | 39.8 |
| DAG | 36:2 | 638.5725 | 638.5719 | 10.76 | 2244 | 624 | 595 | 13012 | 16126 | 15474 | 35.0 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 172.5 | 83.0 | 81.7 | 66.8 | 55.0 | 82.4 |
| DAG | 36:3 | 636.5569 | 636.5588 | 9.92 | 424 | 114 | 121 | 1559 | 623 | 526 | 6.6 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 20.7 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 100.6 |
| DAG | 36:4 | 634.5413 | 634.5444 | 9.07 | 55 | 59 | 59 | nd | nd | nd | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | nd | nd | nd | 1.2 | 0.3 | 20.7 |
| DAG | 36:5 | 632.5257 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:6 | 630.5101 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 37:0 | 657.6271 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 37:1 | 654.6036 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 37:2 | 652.5880 | 652.5913 | 11.33 | 103 | 41 | 43 | nd | nd | nd | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 | nd | nd | nd | 1.2 | 0.3 | 25.0 |
| DAG | 37:3 | 650.5723 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 37:4 | 648.5567 | 648.5556 | 10.15 | nd | 510 | 495 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 11.5 | 12.0 | nd | nd | nd | 11.8 | 0.3 | 2.4 |
| DAG | 37:5 | 646.5411 | 646.5425 | 9.39 | nd | 102 | 100 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 2.3 | 2.4 | nd | nd | nd | 2.4 | 0.1 | 2.9 |
| DAG | 38:0 | 670.6350 | 670.6321 | 13.46 | nd | 456 | 329 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 10.3 | 8.0 | nd | nd | nd | 9.1 | 1.1 | 12.4 |
| DAG | 38:1 | 668.6194 | 668.6178 | 7.76 | nd | nd | 324 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 7.9 | nd | nd | nd | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 38:2 | 666.6038 | 666.6084 | 11.95 | 414 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 6.5 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 38:3 | 664.5882 | 664.6884 | 11.20 | 282 | nd | nd | 282 | nd | nd | 4.4 | nd | nd | 3.7 | nd | nd | 4.1 | 0.3 | 8.2 |
| DAG | 38:4 | 662.5726 | 662.5723 | 10.76 | 493 | 112 | 114 | 93 | nd | nd | 7.7 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.2 | nd | nd | 3.6 | 2.5 | 69.2 |

Table 14. Quantified PEs from the immunoprecipitation of HEK293 cells overexpressing pOPIN-GFP-LC3B employing anti-GFP antibody followed by treatment with N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$. Three different amounts of anti-LC3B antibody were tested ( 2,3 and $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ).

| ( Buffer 3-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calc. Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HEK293 pOPIN-GFP-LC3B ( $n=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ |  |  | $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std |  | 747.7176 | 747.7154 | 9.10 | 191 | 201 | 72 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4478 | 6.10 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 12.1 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4730 | 7.49 | 24 | 24 | 7 | 25.1 | 23.9 | 19.4 | 22.8 | 2.4 | 10.7 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4745 | 7.30 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 12.6 | 13.9 | 8.3 | 11.6 | 2.4 | 20.5 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5073 | 8.83 | 6 | 6 |  | 6.3 | 6.0 |  | 6.1 | 0.2 | 2.6 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4966 | 7.90 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 15.7 | 4.0 | 11.1 | 10.3 | 4.8 | 47.0 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | 684.4579 | 7.27 | 5 | 6 | nd | 5.2 | 6.0 | nd | 5.6 | 0.4 | 6.6 |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5036 | 8.74 | 79 | 95 | 28 | 82.7 | 94.5 | 77.8 | 85.0 | 7.0 | 8.3 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5240 | 9.17 | 20 | 24 | 11 | 20.9 | 23.9 | 30.6 | 25.1 | 4.0 | 16.0 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5081 | 8.20 | 6 | 7 | nd | 6.3 | 7.0 | nd | 6.6 | 0.3 | 5.2 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5447 | 10.02 | 32 | 39 | 11 | 33.5 | 38.8 | 30.6 | 34.3 | 3.4 | 10.0 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5220 | 9.05 | 13 | 12 | 4 | 13.6 | 11.9 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 1.0 | 8.5 |
| PE | 35:3 | 726.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:4 | 724.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:5 | 722.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:6 | 720.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| Buffer 3 - Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HEK293 pOPIN-GFP-LC3B } \\ & (n=3) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5518 | 10.58 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 8.3 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 36.4 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5344 | 9.93 | 32 | 40 | 4 | 33.5 | 39.8 | 11.1 | 28.1 | 12.3 | 43.8 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5685 | 11.09 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 11.5 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 10.3 | 1.4 | 13.5 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5372 | 9.80 | 3 | 3 | nd | 3.1 | 3.0 | nd | 3.1 | 0.1 | 2.6 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| Buffer 3 + Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HEK293 pOPIN-GFP-LC3B } \\ & (n=3) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7154 | 9.10 | 239 | 246 | 90 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4402 | 6.10 | 10 | 17 | 5 | 8.4 | 13.8 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 2.2 | 20.1 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4770 | 7.45 | 31 | 45 | 11 | 25.9 | 36.6 | 24.4 | 29.0 | 5.4 | 18.6 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4797 | 7.36 | 18 | 29 | 7 | 15.1 | 23.6 | 15.6 | 18.1 | 3.9 | 21.6 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5037 | 8.83 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 22.3 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4927 | 7.89 | 18 | 25 | 6 | 15.1 | 20.3 | 13.3 | 16.2 | 3.0 | 18.3 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5076 | 8.74 | 131 | 193 | 51 | 109.6 | 156.9 | 113.3 | 126.6 | 21.5 | 17.0 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5230 | 9.18 | 29 | 44 | 12 | 24.3 | 35.8 | 26.7 | 28.9 | 5.0 | 17.1 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5078 | 8.27 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 6.7 | 10.6 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 1.6 | 18.2 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5419 | 10.02 | 50 | 86 | 21 | 41.8 | 69.9 | 46.7 | 52.8 | 12.3 | 23.2 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5239 | 9.05 | 13 | 24 | 8 | 10.9 | 19.5 | 17.8 | 16.1 | 3.7 | 23.2 |
| PE | 35:3 | 726.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:4 | 724.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:5 | 722.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:6 | 720.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

## Buffer 3 + Atg4B

| Buffer 3 + Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | HEK293 pOPIN-GFP-LC3B ( $n=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ab}$ | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5389 | 9.93 | 43 | 70 | 18 | 36.0 | 56.9 | 40.0 | 44.3 | 9.1 | 20.5 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5665 | 11.12 | 11 | 25 | 6 | 9.2 | 20.3 | 13.3 | 14.3 | 4.6 | 32.1 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5471 | 9.80 | 2 | 9 | nd | 1.7 | 7.3 | nd | 4.5 | 2.8 | 62.8 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 15. Quantified PEs from the immunoprecipitation of HEK293 cells overexpressing pOPIN-GFP-LC3B employing anti-GFP antibody followed by treatment with N -(His) ${ }_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}$-Atg4B.

| Class | Lipid | Calc. <br> Mass. | Found Mass. | RT (min) | Area - Atg4B |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conc. (pmol/sample) } \\ \text { - Atg4B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Area } \\ +A \operatorname{tg} 4 B \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conc. (pmol/sample) } \\ + \text { Atg4B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | HEK293 pOPIN-GFP-LC3B -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HEK293 pOPIN- } \\ \text { GFP-LC3B +Atg4 (n=3) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | [M+H]- |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7167 | 9.30 | 53 | 165 | 23 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 114 | 177 | 246 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 40.5 | 20.3 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4438 | 6.33 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 4 | 9 | 7 | 7.0 | 10.2 | 5.7 | nd | nd | nd | 7.6 | 1.9 | 24.6 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4766 | 7.67 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 9 | 31 | 25 | 15.8 | 35.0 | 20.3 | nd | nd | nd | 23.7 | 8.2 | 34.6 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4820 | 7.51 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 5 | 13 | 13 | 8.8 | 14.7 | 10.6 | nd | nd | nd | 11.3 | 2.5 | 21.8 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5040 | 9.02 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 7 | 5 | nd | 7.9 | 4.1 | nd | nd | nd | 6.0 | 1.9 | 32.1 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4886 | 8.08 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 8 | 23 | 15 | 14.0 | 26.0 | 12.2 | nd | nd | nd | 17.4 | 6.1 | 35.1 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5059 | 8.93 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 40 | 105 | 92 | 70.2 | 118.6 | 74.8 | nd | nd | nd | 87.9 | 21.8 | 24.9 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5215 | 9.39 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 11 | 33 | 26 | 19.3 | 37.3 | 21.1 | nd | nd | nd | 25.9 | 8.1 | 31.2 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5016 | 8.52 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 5 | 30 | 30 | 8.8 | 33.9 | 24.4 | nd | nd | nd | 22.4 | 10.4 | 46.3 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5395 | 10.18 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 13 | 41 | 39 | 22.8 | 46.3 | 31.7 | nd | nd | nd | 33.6 | 9.7 | 28.8 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5189 | 9.24 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 5 | 13 | 10 | 8.8 | 14.7 | 8.1 | nd | nd | nd | 10.5 | 3.0 | 28.0 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | 746.5663 | 7.32 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 9 | nd | nd | 10.2 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5495 | 10.71 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 3 | 4 | nd | 3.4 | 3.3 | nd | nd | nd | 3.3 | 0.1 | 2.1 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5394 | 9.68 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 13 | 65 | 66 | 22.8 | 73.4 | 53.7 | nd | nd | nd | 50.0 | 20.8 | 41.7 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | 740.5204 | 8.92 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 9 | nd | nd | 7.3 | nd | nd | nd | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5026 | 8.58 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 74 | 54 | nd | 83.6 | 43.9 | nd | nd | nd | 63.8 | 19.9 | 31.1 |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found <br> Mass. <br> [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RT } \\ & (\mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ | Area - Atg4B |  |  | ```Conc. (pmol/sample) - Atg4B``` |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ +A \operatorname{tg} 4 B \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conc. (pmol/sample) } \\ & + \text { Atg4B } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | HEK293 POPIN-GFP-LC3B -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  | HEK293 pOPIN-GFP-LC3B +Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5718 | 11.24 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 6 | 11 | 13 | 10.5 | 12.4 | 10.6 | nd | nd | nd | 11.2 | 0.9 | 7.9 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5417 | 9.99 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 234 | 167 | nd | 264.4 | 135.8 | nd | nd | nd | 200.1 | 64.3 | 32.1 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | 764.5204 | 8.98 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 60 | 42 | nd | 67.8 | 34.1 | nd | nd | nd | 51.0 | 16.8 | 33.0 |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | 762.5071 | 8.36 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 222 | 108 | nd | 250.8 | 87.8 | nd | nd | nd | 169.3 | 81.5 | 48.1 |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5530 | 10.09 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 19 | 23 | nd | 21.5 | 18.7 | nd | nd | nd | 20.1 | 1.4 | 6.9 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5390 | 9.80 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 146 | 102 | nd | 165.0 | 82.9 | nd | nd | nd | 123.9 | 41.0 | 33.1 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | 788.5212 | 8.83 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 24 | 15 | nd | 27.1 | 12.2 | nd | nd | nd | 19.7 | 7.5 | 38.0 |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 16. Quantified PEs from the immunoprecipitation of HEK293 cells overexpressing pBABEpuro-GFP-LC3B employing anti-GFP antibody followed by treatment with N -(His) $6-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$.

| Class | Lipid | Calc. <br> Mass. | Found <br> Mass. | RT (min) | Area-Atg4B |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conc. (pmol/sample) } \\ \text { - Atg4B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ + \text { Atg4B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conc. (pmol/sample) } \\ & + \text { Atg4B } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | HEK293 pBABEpuro-GFP-LC3B -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  | HEK293 pBABEpuro-GFP-LC3B +Atg4 ( $n=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [M+H]- | [M+H]- |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7132 | 9.31 | 122 | 98 | 78 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 147 | 111 | 171 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4471 | 6.27 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 3 | 6 | nd | 4.1 | 10.8 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 7.4 | 3.4 | 45.2 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4734 | 7.58 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 6 | 13 | nd | 8.2 | 23.4 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 15.8 | 7.6 | 48.3 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4774 | 7.49 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 5 | 9 | nd | 6.8 | 16.2 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 11.5 | 4.7 | 40.9 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4917 | 8.08 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 6 | 12 | nd | 8.2 | 21.6 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 14.9 | 6.7 | 45.2 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5056 | 8.90 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 39 | 81 | nd | 53.1 | 145.9 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 99.5 | 46.4 | 46.7 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5205 | 9.31 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 9 | 24 | 11 | 12.2 | 43.2 | 12.9 | nd | nd | nd | 22.8 | 14.5 | 63.5 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5089 | 8.46 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 5 | 49 | 44 | 6.8 | 88.3 | 51.5 | nd | nd | nd | 48.9 | 33.3 | 68.2 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5368 | 10.18 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 17 | 30 | nd | 23.1 | 54.1 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 38.6 | 15.5 | 40.1 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5191 | 9.21 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 4 | 14 | nd | 5.4 | 25.2 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 15.3 | 9.9 | 64.5 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | 746.5759 | 7.30 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 4 | nd | nd | 5.4 | nd | 9.4 | nd | nd | nd | 7.4 | 2.0 | 26.5 |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5579 | 10.74 | nd | 8 | nd | nd | 16.3 | nd | nd | nd | 8 | nd | nd | 53.8 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5400 | 9.87 | 4 | nd | nd | 6.6 | nd | nd | 7 | 65 | 46 | 9.5 | 117.1 | nd | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.3 | 53.8 | 85.0 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| Class | Lipid | Calc. <br> Mass. <br> [M+H]- | Found <br> Mass. <br> [M+H]- | RT (min) | Area - Atg4B |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conc. (pmol/sample) } \\ \text { - Atg4B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ + \text { Atg4B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conc. (pmol/sample) } \\ + \text { Atg4B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | HEK293 pBABEpuro-GFP-LC3B -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  | HEK293 pBABEpuro-GFP-LC3B +Atg4 ( $n=3$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5104 | 8.55 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 102 | 135 | nd | 183.8 | 157.9 | nd | nd | nd | 170.8 | 12.9 | 7.6 |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | 736.4979 | 7.67 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 6 | nd | nd | 10.8 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5710 | 11.22 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 5 | 9 | nd | 6.8 | 16.2 |  | nd | nd | nd | 11.5 | 4.7 | 40.9 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5372 | 9.93 | 4 | nd | nd | 6.6 | nd | nd | nd | 205 | 314 | nd | 369.4 | 367.3 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 368.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | 764.5190 | 8.98 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 72 | 123 | nd | 129.7 | 143.9 | nd | nd | nd | 136.8 | 7.1 | 5.2 |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | 762.5129 | 8.30 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 173 | 353 | nd | 311.7 | 412.9 | nd | nd | nd | 362.3 | 50.6 | 14.0 |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | 794.5745 | 10.81 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 7 | nd | nd | 12.6 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 12.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5572 | 10.02 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 34 | 36 | nd | 61.3 | 42.1 | nd | nd | nd | 51.7 | 9.6 | 18.5 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5354 | 9.71 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 145 | 213 | nd | 261.3 | 249.1 | nd | nd | nd | 255.2 | 6.1 | 2.4 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | 788.5219 | 8.77 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 26 | 40 | nd | 46.8 | 46.8 | nd | nd | nd | 46.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 17. Quantified PEs from the immunoprecipitation of MCF7 cells overexpressing pOPIN-GFP-LC3B employing anti-GFP antibody followed by treatment with N -(His) $\mathbf{6}_{6} 3 \mathrm{C}$-Atg4B.

| - Antibody |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. <br> [M+H]- | RT (min) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ - \text { Atg4B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conc. (pmol/sample) } \\ \text { - Atg4B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ +A \operatorname{tg} 4 B \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conc. (pmol/sample) } \\ + \text { Atg4B } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | MCF7 pOPIN-GFP-LC3B -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MCF7 pOPIN- } \\ \text { GFP-LC3B +Atg4 }(n=3) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 749.7324 | 9.15 | 420 | 473 | 515 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 193 | 392 | 427 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | 704.5294 | 8.82 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 32 | 50 | 112 | 33.2 | 25.5 | 52.5 | nd | nd | nd | 37.0 | 11.3 | 30.6 |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | 718.5338 | 9.17 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 33 | 46 | nd | 16.8 | 21.5 | nd | nd | nd | 19.2 | 2.4 | 12.3 |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | 732.5498 | 9.98 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 27 | 51 | nd | 13.8 | 23.9 | nd | nd | nd | 18.8 | 5.1 | 26.8 |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5328 | 9.01 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 8 | 18 | nd | 4.1 | 8.4 | nd | nd | nd | 6.3 | 2.2 | 34.8 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | 746.5707 | 8.60 | 46 | 73 | nd | 21.9 | 30.9 | nd | 14 | 60 | 92 | 14.5 | 30.6 | 43.1 | 26.4 | 4.5 | 17.0 | 29.4 | 11.7 | 39.8 |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5509 | 9.51 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 52 | nd | nd | 24.4 | nd | nd | nd | 24.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| - Antibody |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RT } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ | Area <br> - Atg4B |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) <br> - Atg4B |  |  | Area$+A \operatorname{tg} 4 B$ |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample)+ Atg 4 B + Atg4B |  | MCF7 pOPIN- <br> GFP-LC3B -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |  | MCF7 pOPIN-GFP-LC3B +Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | 774.5952 | 9.98 | 28 | 40 | nd | 13.3 | 16.9 | nd | nd | nd | 49 | nd | nd | 23.0 | 15.1 | 1.8 | 11.8 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | 800.6202 | 10.40 | nd | 10 | nd | nd | 4.2 | nd | nd | nd | 15 | nd | nd | 7.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | 794.5654 | 9.54 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 24 | nd | nd | 12.2 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5346 | 9.96 | nd | 77 | nd | nd | 32.6 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 32.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| - Antibody |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RT } \\ (\mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ | Area <br> - Atg4B |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) <br> - Atg4B |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ + & \text { Atg4B } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conc. } \\ & \text { (pmol/sample) } \\ & + \text { Atg4B } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | MCF7 pOPIN-GFP-LC3B -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  | MCF7 pOPIN-GFP-LC3B $+\operatorname{Atg} 4(n=3)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 18. Quantified PEs from the immunoprecipitation of HeLa cells employing anti-LC3B antibody followed by treatment with N-(His) 6 - 3 C -Atg4B.

| - Antibody - Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clas | Lipid | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. |  | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | HeLa -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |  |  |
| Clas | Lipid | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7174 | 9.19 | 111 | 138 | 130 | 69 | 90 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4777 | 7.46 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4767 | 7.21 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5044 | 8.78 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5234 | 9.25 | nd | 35 | 21 | 6 | 6 | nd | 50.7 | 32.3 | 17.4 | 13.3 | 28.4 | 14.7 | 51.6 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5120 | 8.25 | nd | 42 | 21 | 3 | nd | nd | 60.9 | 32.3 | 8.7 | nd | 34.0 | 21.3 | 62.8 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| - Antibody - Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | HeLa -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5403 | 10.00 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5215 | 9.06 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5572 | 10.66 | 11 | 20 | 11 | nd | 3 | 19.8 | 29.0 | 16.9 | nd | 6.7 | 18.1 | 8.0 | 44.0 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5330 | 9.66 | 59 | 77 | 43 | nd | 9 | 106.3 | 111.6 | 66.2 | nd | 20.0 | 76.0 | 36.8 | 48.4 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | 740.5198 | 8.72 | nd | 14 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 20.3 | nd | nd | nd | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5082 | 8.37 | nd | 13 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 18.8 | nd | nd | nd | 18.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5665 | 10.96 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | 798.6042 | 7.96 | 62 | 15 | nd | nd | nd | 111.7 | 21.7 | nd | nd | nd | 66.7 | 45.0 | 67.4 |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | 788.5245 | 9.87 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| - Antibody + Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. |  | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | HeLa +Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |  |  |
|  |  | [M+H]- | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7174 | 9.19 | 76 | 87 | 80 | 100 | 30 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4777 | 7.46 | 7 | nd | 7 | 10 | nd | 18.4 | nd | 17.5 | 20.0 | nd | 18.6 | 1.0 | 5.5 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4767 | 7.21 | nd | nd | nd | 7 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | 14.0 | nd | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5044 | 8.78 | 35 | 28 | 27 | 43 | 13 | 92.1 | 64.4 | 67.5 | 86.0 | nd | 77.5 | 11.8 | 15.2 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5234 | 9.25 | 24 | 30 | 24 | 20 | 7 | 63.2 | 69.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 46.7 | 55.8 | 10.8 | 19.3 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5120 | 8.25 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 6 | nd | 52.6 | 52.9 | 60.0 | 12.0 | nd | 44.4 | 18.9 | 42.6 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5403 | 10.00 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 20 | nd | 31.6 | 29.9 | 27.5 | 40.0 | nd | 32.2 | 4.7 | 14.6 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5215 | 9.06 | 12 | 6 | 5 | nd | nd | 31.6 | 13.8 | 12.5 | nd | nd | 19.3 | 8.7 | 45.1 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5572 | 10.66 | 10 | 14 | 10 | 8 | nd | 26.3 | 32.2 | 25.0 | 16.0 | nd | 24.9 | 5.8 | 23.3 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5330 | 9.66 | 65 | 68 | 51 | 20 | nd | 171.1 | 156.3 | 127.5 | 40.0 | nd | 123.7 | 50.8 | 41.1 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | 740.5198 | 8.72 | 7 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 18.4 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 18.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5082 | 8.37 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| - Antibody + Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | HeLa +Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5665 | 10.96 | nd | nd | nd | 9 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 18.0 | nd | 18.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | 798.6042 | 7.96 | 29 | 15 | nd | nd | nd | 76.3 | 34.5 | nd | nd | nd | 55.4 | 20.9 | 37.8 |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | 788.5245 | 9.87 | 4 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 10.5 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| + Antibody - Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | HeLa -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7184 | 9.09 | 91 | 70 | 71 | 99 | 66 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4416 | 6.17 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4763 | 7.46 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4784 | 7.21 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5013 | 8.84 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4920 | 7.81 | nd | nd | nd | 2 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 4.0 | nd | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| + Antibody - Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | HeLa -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5080 | 8.78 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5239 | 9.31 | 27 | 17 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 59.3 | 48.6 | 42.3 | 12.1 | 27.3 | 37.9 | 16.6 | 43.7 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5026 | 8.31 | 39 | 16 | 12 | 4 | nd | 85.7 | 45.7 | 33.8 | 8.1 | nd | 43.3 | 28.0 | 64.6 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5419 | 10.03 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5204 | 9.09 | 5 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 11.0 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5546 | 10.60 | 15 | 9 | 7 | nd | 5 | 33.0 | 25.7 | 19.7 | nd | 15.2 | 23.4 | 6.7 | 28.6 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 743.5345 | 9.56 | 62 | 33 | 29 | 9 | nd | 136.3 | 94.3 | 81.7 | 18.2 | nd | 82.6 | 42.3 | 51.2 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | 740.5209 | 8.78 | 11 | 6 | nd | nd | nd | 24.2 | 17.1 | nd | nd | nd | 20.7 | 3.5 | 17.0 |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5072 | 8.41 | 10 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 22.0 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5661 | 11.13 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| + Antibody - Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | HeLa -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5574 | 8.36 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| + Antibody + Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. |  | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | HeLa +Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |  |  |
|  |  | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7184 | 9.09 | 97 | 56 | 86 | 96 | 102 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4416 | 6.17 | 7 | nd | nd | 4 | 5 | 14.4 | nd | nd | 8.3 | 9.8 | 10.9 | 2.6 | 23.9 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4763 | 7.46 | 24 | 8 | nd | 12 | 13 | 49.5 | 28.6 | nd | 25.0 | 25.5 | 32.1 | 10.1 | 31.5 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4784 | 7.21 | nd | nd | nd | 7 | 8 | nd | nd | nd | 14.6 | 15.7 | 15.1 | 0.6 | 3.6 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5013 | 8.84 | 5 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 10.3 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4920 | 7.81 | nd | nd | nd | 10 | 14 | nd | nd | nd | 20.8 | 27.5 | 24.1 | 3.3 | 13.7 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5080 | 8.78 | 104 | 39 | 52 | 43 | 53 | 214.4 | 139.3 | 120.9 | 89.6 | 103.9 | 133.6 | 43.7 | 32.7 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5239 | 9.31 | 44 | 19 | 29 | 20 | 23 | 90.7 | 67.9 | 67.4 | 41.7 | 45.1 | 62.6 | 17.8 | 28.5 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5026 | 8.31 | 25 | 12 | 23 | 10 | 13 | 51.5 | 42.9 | 53.5 | 20.8 | 25.5 | 38.8 | 13.4 | 34.4 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| + Antibody + Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | HeLa +Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5419 | 10.03 | 45 | 15 | 22 | 20 | 25 | 92.8 | 53.6 | 51.2 | 41.7 | 49.0 | 57.6 | 18.0 | 31.3 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5204 | 9.09 | 15 | nd | 9 | nd | 7 | 30.9 |  | 20.9 | nd | 13.7 | 21.9 | 7.1 | 32.3 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5546 | 10.60 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 6 | nd | 20.6 | 28.6 | 27.9 | 12.5 | nd | 22.4 | 6.5 | 29.1 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 743.5345 | 9.56 | 54 | 32 | 44 | 18 | 23 | 111.3 | 114.3 | 102.3 | 37.5 | 45.1 | 82.1 | 33.6 | 41.0 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | 740.5209 | 8.78 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5072 | 8.41 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5661 | 11.13 | 17 | nd | nd | nd | 7 | 35.1 | nd | nd | nd | 13.7 | 24.4 | 10.7 | 43.7 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5574 | 8.36 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 9 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 17.6 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 19. Quantified PEs from U87DND shC and shAtg5 cells. The lipids were obtained through precipitation of the proteome, treatment with N (His) ${ }_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ and extraction by organic solvents.

| + Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. | RT (min) | Area shC |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) shC |  |  | Area shAtg5 |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) shAtg5 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U87DND shC } \\ & \text { +Atg4 }(n=3) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | U87DND shAtg5$+A \operatorname{tg} 4(n=3)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7201 | 8.83 | 368 | 449 | 376 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 477 | 243 | 377 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4466 | 5.95 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 10.3 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 18 | 6 | 15 | 7.5 | 4.9 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 0.9 | 10.3 | 6.8 | 1.3 | 19.6 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4769 | 7.17 | 54 | 49 | 53 | 29.3 | 21.8 | 28.2 | 49 | 22 | 43 | 20.5 | 18.1 | 22.8 | 26.5 | 3.3 | 12.5 | 20.5 | 1.9 | 9.4 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4736 | 7.04 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 13.6 | 10.7 | 12.8 | 26 | 9 | 21 | 10.9 | 7.4 | 11.1 | 12.3 | 1.2 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 1.7 | 17.4 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5049 | 8.55 | 13 | 11 | 13 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 13 | 7 | 12 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 15.7 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 6.5 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4909 | 7.67 | 46 | 30 | 31 | 25.0 | 13.4 | 16.5 | 28 | 24 | 26 | 11.7 | 19.8 | 13.8 | 18.3 | 4.9 | 26.9 | 15.1 | 3.4 | 22.5 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | 686.4745 | 6.73 | 9 | nd | nd | 4.9 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.4963 | 8.52 | 157 | 171 | 189 | 85.3 | 76.2 | 100.5 | 187 | 64 | 151 | 78.4 | 52.7 | 80.1 | 87.3 | 10.0 | 11.5 | 70.4 | 12.5 | 17.8 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | 718.5252 | 8.61 | 4 | nd | nd | 2.2 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5212 | 8.99 | 113 | 56 | 55 | 61.4 | 24.9 | 29.3 | 56 | 81 | 53 | 23.5 | 66.7 | 28.1 | 38.5 | 16.3 | 42.2 | 39.4 | 19.4 | 49.1 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5054 | 8.05 | 77 | 23 | 25 | 41.8 | 10.2 | 13.3 | 22 | 54 | 23 | 9.2 | 44.4 | 12.2 | 21.8 | 14.2 | 65.3 | 22.0 | 15.9 | 72.6 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | 712.4953 | 7.19 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 1.6 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 1.9 | 1.4 | 71.5 | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5400 | 9.77 | 52 | 58 | 56 | 28.3 | 25.8 | 29.8 | 61 | 25 | 60 | 25.6 | 20.6 | 31.8 | 28.0 | 1.6 | 5.8 | 26.0 | 4.6 | 17.7 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.7156 | 8.77 | 27 | 19 | 17 | 14.7 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 20 | 12 | 16 | 8.4 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 10.7 | 2.8 | 26.1 | 8.9 | 0.7 | 7.6 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | 40 | nd | nd | 17.8 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 17.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5538 | 10.40 | 73 | nd | 30 | 39.7 | nd | 16.0 | 18 | nd | 28 | 7.5 | nd | 14.9 | 27.8 | 11.9 | 42.6 | 11.2 | 3.7 | 32.6 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5420 | 9.62 | 206 | 52 | 51 | 112.0 | 23.2 | 27.1 | 40 | 152 | 49 | 16.8 | 125.1 | 26.0 | 54.1 | 41.0 | 75.7 | 56.0 | 49.0 | 87.6 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | 740.5223 | 8.46 | 26 | nd | 7 | 14.1 | nd | 3.7 | nd | 20 | 4 | nd | 16.5 | 2.1 | 8.9 | 5.2 | 58.3 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 77.2 |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5039 | 8.08 | 22 | 7 | 7 | 12.0 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 5 | 20 | 7 | 2.1 | 16.5 | 3.7 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 64.3 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 86.5 |


| + Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area shC |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conc. (pmol/sample) } \\ & \text { shC } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Area shAtg5 |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) shAtg5 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U87DND shC } \\ & \text { +Atg4 }(\mathrm{n}=3) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | U87DND shAtg5 $+A \operatorname{tg} 4$ ( $n=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5721 | 10.87 | 34 | 25 | 25 | 18.5 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 25 | 32 | 29 | 10.5 | 26.3 | 15.4 | 14.3 | 3.1 | 21.5 | 17.4 | 6.6 | 38.1 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | 768.5592 | 10.34 | 24 | 7 | 9 | 13.0 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 6 | 36 | 8 | 2.5 | 29.6 | 4.2 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 62.1 | 12.1 | 12.4 | 102.2 |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5411 | 9.49 | 153 | 26 | 36 | 83.2 | 11.6 | 19.1 | 24 | 148 | 40 | 10.1 | 121.8 | 21.2 | 38.0 | 32.1 | 84.6 | 51.0 | 50.3 | 98.5 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | 762.5067 | 7.92 | 29 | 4 | 5 | 15.8 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 4 | 22 | nd | 1.7 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 94.9 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 123.9 |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | 798.6068 | 11.72 | 7 | nd | nd | 3.8 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5526 | 9.62 | 25 | nd | 6 | 13.6 | nd | 3.2 | nd | 33 | nd | nd | 27.2 | nd | 8.4 | 5.2 | 62.0 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5397 | 9.30 | 25 | 5 | 10 | 13.6 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 5 | 29 | 11 | 2.1 | 23.9 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 68.1 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 89.7 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 20. Quantified PEs from MEF Atg5 $5^{-/}$and Atg5 ${ }^{+/+}$cells. The lipids were obtained through precipitation of the proteome, treatment with N (His) ${ }_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ and extraction by organic solvents.

| Atg $5^{-/-}$- Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | Atg5-/--Atg4 (n=6) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7117 | 8.98 | 335 | 197 | 218 | 9387 | 10452 | 14324 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4504 | 6.09 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4803 | 7.30 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4750 | 7.09 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5033 | 8.70 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4872 | 7.79 | nd | 5 | 15 | 185 | 407 | nd | nd | 5.1 | 13.8 | 3.9 | 7.8 | nd | 7.6 | 3.8 | 49.7 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5067 | 8.60 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | 718.5370 | 10.06 | nd | 4 | 9 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 4.1 | 8.3 | nd | nd | nd | 6.2 | 2.1 | 34.1 |
| PE | $34: 1$ | 716.5230 | 716.5195 | 9.16 | 24 | 33 | 61 | nd | nd | nd | 14.3 | 33.5 | 56.0 | nd | nd | nd | 34.6 | 17.0 | 49.2 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5092 | 8.20 | 12 | 13 | 28 | nd | nd | nd | 7.2 | 13.2 | 25.7 | nd | nd | nd | 15.4 | 7.7 | 50.3 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5391 | 9.87 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5209 | 8.91 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5534 | 10.49 | 36 | 51 | 112 | nd | nd | nd | 21.5 | 51.8 | 102.8 | nd | nd | nd | 58.7 | 33.5 | 57.1 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5381 | 9.56 | 64 | 76 | 143 | 1066 | 780 | 705 | 38.2 | 77.2 | 131.2 | 22.7 | 14.9 | 9.8 | 49.0 | 42.9 | 87.6 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5027 | 8.26 | nd | 4 | 13 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 4.1 | 11.9 | nd | nd | nd | 8.0 | 3.9 | 49.2 |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| Atg $5^{-/-}$- Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | Atg5-/--Atg4 (n=6) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | 772.5854 | 10.52 | nd | nd | nd | 63 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 1.3 | nd | nd | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5712 | 10.83 | nd | nd | nd | 117 | 111 | 121 | nd | nd | nd | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 15.6 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | 768.5569 | 10.43 | 16 | 21 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 9.6 | 21.3 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 15.4 | 5.9 | 38.1 |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5311 | 9.59 | 39 | 51 | 94 | nd | nd | nd | 23.3 | 51.8 | 86.2 | nd | nd | nd | 53.8 | 25.7 | 47.9 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | 764.5264 | 8.63 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5537 | 9.81 | 13 | nd | 32 | nd | nd | nd | 7.8 | nd | 29.4 | nd | nd | nd | 18.6 | 10.8 | 58.2 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5342 | 9.47 | 9 | 16 | 27 | nd | nd | nd | 5.4 | 16.2 | 24.8 | nd | nd | nd | 15.5 | 7.9 | 51.3 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| Atg $5 / \%$ Atg 4 B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | Atg5-/- +Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7117 | 8.98 | 182 | 156 | 238 | 10295 | 10623 | 10426 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4504 | 6.09 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 123 | 161 | 180 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 28.4 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4803 | 7.30 | 11 | 11 | 14 |  | 484 | 509 | 12.1 | 14.1 | 11.8 | nd | 9.1 | 9.8 | 11.4 | 1.8 | 15.6 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4750 | 7.09 | 6 | nd | 8 | nd | 275 | nd | 6.6 | nd | 6.7 | nd | 5.2 | nd | 6.2 | 0.7 | 11.4 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5033 | 8.70 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 223 | 240 | 258 | 4.4 | 7.7 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 24.3 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4872 | 7.79 | 15 | 23 | 10 | 811 | 429 | 462 | 16.5 | 29.5 | 8.4 | 15.8 | 8.1 | 8.9 | 14.5 | 7.5 | 51.9 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5067 | 8.60 | 28 | 24 | 31 | 2177 | 2661 | 2744 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 26.1 | 42.3 | 50.1 | 52.6 | 38.8 | 10.2 | 26.3 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | 718.5370 | 10.06 | 5 | 11 | 3 | nd | nd | nd | 5.5 | 14.1 | 2.5 | nd | nd | nd | 7.4 | 4.9 | 66.6 |
| PE | $34: 1$ | 716.5230 | 716.5195 | 9.16 | 50 | 85 | 23 | 622 | 745 | 737 | 54.9 | 109.0 | 19.3 | 12.1 | 14.0 | 14.1 | 37.2 | 35.3 | 94.8 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5092 | 8.20 | 25 | 43 | 8 | nd | nd | nd | 27.5 | 55.1 | 6.7 | nd | nd | nd | 29.8 | 19.8 | 66.6 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5391 | 9.87 | 14 | 17 | 23 | 836 | 1561 | 1492 | 15.4 | 21.8 | 19.3 | 16.2 | 29.4 | 28.6 | 21.8 | 5.5 | 25.3 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5209 | 8.91 | 4 |  | 6 | 346 | 439 | 468 | 4.4 |  | 5.0 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 26.5 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5534 | 10.49 | 80 | 127 | 27 | nd | nd | nd | 87.9 | 162.8 | 22.7 | nd | nd | nd | 91.1 | 57.3 | 62.8 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5381 | 9.56 | 110 | 181 | 41 | 285 | 659 | 638 | 120.9 | 232.1 | 34.5 | 5.5 | 12.4 | 12.2 | 69.6 | 82.6 | 118.7 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | 738.5027 | 8.26 | nd | 18 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 23.1 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 23.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | 772.5854 | 10.52 | 9 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 9.9 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| Atg $5 / \%+$ Atg 4 B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | Atg5-/- +Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5712 | 10.83 | 27 | 17 | nd | 805 | 1002 | 829 | 29.7 | 21.8 | nd | 15.6 | 18.9 | 15.9 | 20.4 | 5.2 | 25.3 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | 768.5569 | 10.43 | 32 | 56 | 11 | nd | nd | nd | 35.2 | 71.8 | 9.2 | nd | nd | nd | 38.7 | 25.7 | 66.2 |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5311 | 9.59 | 64 | 123 | 26 | nd | nd | nd | 70.3 | 157.7 | 21.8 | nd | nd | nd | 83.3 | 56.2 | 67.5 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | 764.5264 | 8.63 | 27 | 60 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 29.7 | 76.9 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 53.3 | 23.6 | 44.3 |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5537 | 9.81 | nd | 42 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 53.8 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 53.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5342 | 9.47 | 18 | 34 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 19.8 | 43.6 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 31.7 | 11.9 | 37.6 |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| Atg $5^{+/+}$- Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | Atg5+/+ MEF -Atg4 (n=5) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7147 | 9.07 | 238 | 237 | 294 | 13133 | 10022 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4359 | 6.09 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4799 | 7.33 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| Atg ${ }^{+/+}$- Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | Atg5+/+ MEF -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4719 | 7.21 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5039 | 8.79 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4882 | 7.77 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5001 | 8.66 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | 718.5379 | 10.09 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5210 | 9.16 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5075 | 8.14 | nd | 4 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 3.4 | nd | nd | nd | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5380 | 9.93 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5209 | 8.97 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5499 | 10.43 | 4 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 3.4 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5348 | 9.50 | 10 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 8.4 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5729 | 11.02 | nd | nd | nd | 120 | 142 | nd | nd | nd | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 21.6 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | 768.5482 | 10.52 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5390 | 9.65 | nd | nd | 10 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 6.8 | nd | nd | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| Atg $5^{+/+}$- Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. |  | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | Atg5+/+ MEF -Atg4 (n=5) |  |  |
| Class | Lipid | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | 798.6022 | 12.01 | nd | nd | nd | 388 | 377 | nd | nd | nd | 5.9 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 0.8 | 12.0 |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5522 | 9.84 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5429 | 9.44 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| Atg $5^{+/+}+\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | Atg5+/+ MEF -Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 747.7176 | 747.7147 | 9.07 | 245 | 214 | 270 | 10442 | 11332 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 634.4448 | 634.4359 | 6.09 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 144 | 158 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 23.1 |
| PE | 30:0 | 662.4761 | 662.4799 | 7.33 | 18 | 13 | 17 | 592 | nd | 14.7 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 11.3 | nd | 12.7 | 1.2 | 9.8 |
| PE | 31:0 | 676.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 674.4761 | 674.4719 | 7.21 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 349 | 354 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 10.5 |
| PE | 32:0 | 690.5074 | 690.5039 | 8.79 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 208 | 335 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 26.4 |
| PE | 32:1 | 688.4920 | 688.4882 | 7.77 | 17 | 8 | 13 | 612 | 682 | 13.9 | 7.5 | 9.6 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 2.2 | 20.1 |
| PE | 32:2 | 686.4760 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 684.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | 702.5001 | 8.66 | 31 | 25 | 43 | 2688 | 3897 | 25.3 | 23.4 | 31.9 | 51.5 | 68.8 | 40.2 | 17.4 | 43.4 |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| Atg $5^{+/+}+\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | Atg5+/+ MEF -Atg4 (n=5) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 33:3 | 698.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 718.5387 | 718.5379 | 10.09 | 2 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 1.6 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 34:1 | 716.5230 | 716.5210 | 9.16 | 48 | nd | 46 | 794 | 1049 | 39.2 | nd | 34.1 | 15.2 | 18.5 | 26.7 | 10.1 | 37.8 |
| PE | 34:2 | 714.5074 | 714.5075 | 8.14 | 22 | nd | 18 | nd | nd | 18.0 | nd | 13.3 | nd | nd | 15.6 | 2.3 | 14.8 |
| PE | 34:3 | 712.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 710.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 732.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 730.5387 | 730.5380 | 9.93 | 22 | 19 | 28 | nd | 384 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 20.7 | nd | 6.8 | 15.8 | 5.3 | 33.8 |
| PE | 35:2 | 728.5230 | 728.5209 | 8.97 | nd | nd | 6 | 381 | 590 | nd | nd | 4.4 | 7.3 | 10.4 | 7.4 | 2.4 | 33.0 |
| PE | 36:0 | 746.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 744.5543 | 744.5499 | 10.43 | 87 | 9 | 70 | nd | nd | 71.0 | 8.4 | 51.9 | nd | nd | 43.8 | 26.2 | 59.9 |
| PE | 36:2 | 742.5387 | 742.5348 | 9.50 | 135 | nd | 114 | 252 | 475 | 110.2 | nd | 84.4 | 4.8 | 8.4 | 52.0 | 46.3 | 89.1 |
| PE | 36:3 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 736.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 734.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 774.6013 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 772.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 770.5700 | 770.5729 | 11.02 | 39 | nd | 20 | 98 | 120 | 31.8 | nd | 14.8 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 12.7 | 12.2 | 96.7 |
| PE | 38:3 | 768.5543 | 768.5482 | 10.52 | 22 | nd | 19 | nd | nd | 18.0 | nd | 14.1 | nd | nd | 16.0 | 1.9 | 12.1 |
| PE | 38:4 | 766.5390 | 766.5390 | 9.65 | 50 | nd | 39 | nd | nd | 40.8 | nd | 28.9 | nd | nd | 34.9 | 6.0 | 17.1 |
| PE | 38:5 | 764.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 762.5070 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 760.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 798.6013 | 798.6022 | 12.01 | 11 | nd | 9 | 281 | 315 | 9.0 | nd | 6.7 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 21.6 |
| PE | 40:2 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 794.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 792.5543 | 792.5522 | 9.84 | 9 | nd | 9 | nd | nd | 7.3 | nd | 6.7 | nd | nd | 7.0 | 0.3 | 4.9 |
| PE | 40:5 | 790.5387 | 790.5429 | 9.44 | 17 | nd | 15 | nd | nd | 13.9 | nd | 11.1 | nd | nd | 12.5 | 1.4 | 11.1 |


| Atg $5^{+/+}+\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | Atg5+/+ MEF -Atg4 (n=5) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 40:6 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 786.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:2 | 852.6483 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 44:12 | 834.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 21. Quantified PEs from the protein N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}$-Atg4B. The lipids were obtained through incubation of the protein ( $0,12.5,25 \mathrm{and} 50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) with a mixture of organic solvents at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .

| $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His) ${ }_{6}$-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calc. | Found |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  | $0 \mu \mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{n}=6)$ |  |  |
| Class | Lipid | Mass. [M+H]- | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT ( min ) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 749.7332 | 749.7352 | 9.22 | 5050 | 2706 | 2602 | 3317 | 5410 | 4084 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 636.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 664.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 678.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 676.4918 | 676.4919 | 7.42 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 692.5230 | 692.5181 | 8.76 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:1 | 690.5074 | 690.5031 | 7.98 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:2 | 688.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 686.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | 704.5254 | 8.81 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 720.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 718.5386 | 718.5408 | 9.22 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:2 | 716.5230 | 716.5248 | 8.41 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:3 | 714.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 712.4917 | 712.4919 | 6.74 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His) ${ }_{6}$-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ Atg4B ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 35:0 | 734.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 732.5543 | 732.5551 | 10.15 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:2 | 730.5387 | 730.5364 | 9.15 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 748.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 746.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:2 | 744.5543 | 744.5507 | 9.59 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:3 | 742.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 736.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 776.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 774.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 772.5856 | 772.5859 | 11.23 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:3 | 770.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 768.5546 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 766.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 764.5226 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 762.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 802.6325 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 798.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 794.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 790.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His) $)_{6}$-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ - | Found Mass.[M+H]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ Atg4B ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 40:8 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His) ${ }_{6}$-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calc. | Found |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | 12.5 Mg Atg4B ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| Class | Lipid | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 749.7332 | 749.7352 | 9.22 | 3502 | 4648 | 5717 | 4923 | 4954 | 5163 | 200 | 200 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 636.4604 | nd | nd | 16 | 41 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 0.9 | 1.8 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 1.3 | 0.4 | 31.8 |
| PE | 30:0 | 664.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 678.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 676.4918 | 676.4919 | 7.42 | nd | nd | nd | 43 | 51 | 41 | nd | nd | nd | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 10.9 |
| PE | 32:0 | 692.5230 | 692.5181 | 8.76 | 30 | nd | 74 | nd | nd | nd | 1.7 | nd | 2.6 | nd | nd | nd | 2.2 | 0.4 | 20.3 |
| PE | 32:1 | 690.5074 | 690.5031 | 7.98 | nd | nd | nd | 58 | 63 | 55 | nd | nd | nd | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 7.2 |
| PE | 32:2 | 688.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 686.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | 704.5254 | 8.81 | 493 | 786 | 720 | 372 | 465 | 368 | 28.2 | 33.8 | 25.2 | 15.1 | 18.8 | 14.3 | 22.6 | 7.1 | 31.6 |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 720.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | $34: 1$ | 718.5386 | 718.5408 | 9.22 | 870 | 552 | 338 | 123 | 139 | 116 | 49.7 | 23.8 | 11.8 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 16.7 | 16.2 | 96.7 |
| PE | 34:2 | 716.5230 | 716.5248 | 8.41 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 30 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 34:3 | 714.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 712.4917 | 712.4919 | 6.74 | nd | nd | nd | 16 | 19 | 20 | nd | nd | nd | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 7.8 |
| PE | 35:0 | 734.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 732.5543 | 732.5551 | 10.15 | nd | nd | nd | 156 | 161 | 144 | nd | nd | nd | 6.3 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 6.5 |
| PE | 35:2 | 730.5387 | 730.5364 | 9.15 | 90 | 102 | 180 | 82 | 92 | 83 | nd | 4.4 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 27.0 |
| PE | 36:0 | 748.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 746.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:2 | 744.5543 | 744.5507 | 9.59 | 75 | 104 | 147 | 47 | 59 | 47 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 40.0 |

## $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~N}$-(His) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{-}$-3C-Atg4B

| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | 12.5 ¢ g Atg4B ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 36:3 | 742.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 736.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 776.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 774.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 772.5856 | 772.5859 | 11.23 | 158 | 123 | nd | 115 | 99 | 103 | 9.0 | 5.3 | nd | 4.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 1.9 | 34.8 |
| PE | 38:3 | 770.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 768.5546 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 766.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 764.5226 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 762.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 802.6325 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 798.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 794.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 790.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His) 6 $^{\text {-3C-Atg4B }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calc. | Found |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ Atg4B ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| Class | Lipid | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT ( min ) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 749.7332 | 749.7336 | 9.04 | 3364 | 7008 | 7089 | 3364 | 7008 | 7089 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 636.4604 | 636.4588 | 6.09 | 98 | 93 | 98 | 98 | 93 | 98 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 39.2 |
| PE | 30:0 | 664.4918 | 664.4877 | 7.41 | 301 | 292 | 324 | 301 | 292 | 324 | 17.9 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 17.9 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 11.8 | 4.3 | 36.7 |
| PE | 31:0 | 678.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 676.4918 | 676.4943 | 7.25 | 325 | 218 | 281 | 325 | 218 | 281 | 19.3 | 6.2 | 7.9 | 19.3 | 6.2 | 7.9 | 11.2 | 5.8 | 52.1 |
| PE | 32:0 | 692.5230 | 692.5202 | 8.73 | 97 | 197 | 182 | 97 | 197 | 182 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 4.9 |
| PE | 32:1 | 690.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:2 | 688.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 686.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | 704.5200 | 8.67 | 1501 | 2356 | 2394 | 1501 | 2356 | 2394 | 89.2 | 67.2 | 67.5 | 89.2 | 67.2 | 67.5 | 74.7 | 10.3 | 13.8 |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 720.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 718.5386 | 718.5387 | 9.04 | 570 | 1082 | 2610 | 570 | 1082 | 2610 | 33.9 | 30.9 | 73.6 | 33.9 | 30.9 | 73.6 | 46.1 | 19.5 | 42.2 |
| PE | 34:2 | 716.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:3 | 714.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 712.4917 | 712.4974 | 6.63 | 18 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 16 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 39.7 |
| PE | 35:0 | 734.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 732.5543 | 732.5499 | 9.98 | 5268 | 2781 | 1977 | 5268 | 2781 | 1977 | 313.2 | 79.4 | 55.8 | 313.2 | 79.4 | 55.8 | 149.4 | 116.2 | 77.7 |
| PE | 35:2 | 730.5387 | 730.5363 | 8.98 | 341 | 565 | 545 | 341 | 565 | 545 | 20.3 | 16.1 | 15.4 | 20.3 | 16.1 | 15.4 | 17.3 | 2.2 | 12.5 |
| PE | 36:0 | 748.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 746.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:2 | 744.5543 | 744.5508 | 9.39 | 191 | 481 | 630 | 191 | 481 | 630 | 11.4 | 13.7 | 17.8 | 11.4 | 13.7 | 17.8 | 14.3 | 2.6 | 18.5 |
| PE | 36:3 | 742.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 736.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 776.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 774.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His) ${ }_{6}$-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $25 \mu \mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{n}=6)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 38:2 | 772.5856 | 772.5854 | 11.08 | 677 | 311 | 585 | 677 | 311 | 585 | 40.2 | 8.9 | 16.5 | 40.2 | 8.9 | 16.5 | 21.9 | 13.4 | 61.1 |
| PE | 38:3 | 770.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 768.5546 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 766.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 764.5226 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 762.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 802.6325 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 798.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 794.5699 | 794.5676 | 11.02 | 38 | nd | nd | 38 | nd | nd | 2.3 | nd | nd | 2.3 | nd | nd | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 40:5 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 790.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

$50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His) ${ }_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$

| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. [M+H]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $50 \mu \mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{n}=6)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 749.7332 | 749.7336 | 9.04 | 3874 | 5766 | 6073 | 3874 | 5766 | 6073 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 636.4604 | 636.4588 | 6.09 | 52 | 41 | 46 | 52 | 41 | 46 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 30.7 |
| PE | 30:0 | 664.4918 | 664.4877 | 7.41 | 162 | nd | 202 | 162 | nd | 202 | 8.4 | nd | 6.7 | 8.4 | nd | 6.7 | 7.5 | 0.9 | 11.4 |
| PE | 31:0 | 678.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 676.4918 | 676.4943 | 7.25 | 238 | nd | 238 | 238 | nd | 238 | 12.3 | nd | 7.8 | 12.3 | nd | 7.8 | 10.1 | 2.2 | 22.1 |
| PE | 32:0 | 692.5230 | 692.5202 | 8.73 | nd | nd | 141 | nd | nd | 141 | nd | nd | 4.6 | nd | nd | 4.6 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His) ${ }_{6}$-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class |  | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $50 \mu \mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{n}=6)$ |  |  |
| Class | Lipid | [M+H]- | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 32:1 | 690.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:2 | 688.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 686.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | 704.5200 | 8.67 | 751 | 862 | 1725 | 751 | 862 | 1725 | 38.8 | 29.9 | 56.8 | 38.8 | 29.9 | 56.8 | 41.8 | 11.2 | 26.8 |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 720.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 718.5386 | 718.5387 | 9.04 | 522 | 464 | 1649 | 522 | 464 | 1649 | 26.9 | 16.1 | 54.3 | 26.9 | 16.1 | 54.3 | 32.4 | 16.1 | 49.5 |
| PE | 34:2 | 716.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:3 | 714.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 712.4917 | 712.4974 | 6.63 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 734.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 732.5543 | 732.5499 | 9.98 | nd | 1226 | 486 | nd | 1226 | 486 | nd | 42.5 | 16.0 | nd | 42.5 | 16.0 | 29.3 | 13.3 | 45.3 |
| PE | 35:2 | 730.5387 | 730.5363 | 8.98 | 185 | 245 | 388 | 185 | 245 | 388 | 9.6 | 8.5 | 12.8 | 9.6 | 8.5 | 12.8 | 10.3 | 1.8 | 17.7 |
| PE | 36:0 | 748.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 746.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:2 | 744.5543 | 744.5508 | 9.39 | 116 | 200 | 269 | 116 | 200 | 269 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 8.9 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 8.9 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 16.4 |
| PE | 36:3 | 742.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 736.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 776.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 774.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 772.5856 | 772.5854 | 11.08 | 388 | 272 | 143 | 388 | 272 | 143 | 20.0 | 9.4 | 4.7 | 20.0 | 9.4 | 4.7 | 11.4 | 6.4 | 56.2 |
| PE | 38:3 | 770.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 768.5546 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 766.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 764.5226 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His) ${ }_{6}$-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $50 \mu \mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 38:7 | 762.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 802.6325 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 798.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 794.5699 | 794.5676 | 11.02 | 40 | 69 | nd | 40 | 69 | nd | 2.1 | 2.4 | nd | 2.1 | 2.4 | nd | 2.2 | 0.2 | 7.4 |
| PE | 40:5 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 790.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 22. Quantified DAGs from the protein $N$-(His) $6-3 C-A t g 4 B$. The lipids were obtained through incubation of the protein ( $0,12.5,25$ and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) with a mixture of organic solvents at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .

| $0 \mu \mathrm{~g}-$ (His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M-H]+ | Found Mass. [M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | Std | 619.6037 | 619.6093 | 11.27 | 29033 | 15847 | 22386 | 13387 | 23658 | 19078 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 30:0 | 558.5098 | 558.5078 | 8.70 | nd | 290 | 142 | 145 | 143 | 125 | nd | 3.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 48.7 |
| DAG | 30:1 | 556.4942 | 556.4913 | 7.76 | nd | nd | nd | 100 | 99 | 88 | nd | nd | nd | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 26.9 |
| DAG | 30:2 | 554.4786 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:3 | 552.4630 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:4 | 550.4474 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:0 | 586.5411 | 586.5417 | 10.14 | nd | 4528 | 135 | 264 | 417 | 191 | nd | 47.4 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 11.3 | 18.1 | 160.8 |
| DAG | 32:1 | 584.5255 | 584.5214 | 9.32 | nd | nd | nd | 250 | 230 | 245 | nd | nd | nd | 3.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 27.0 |


| $0 \mu \mathrm{~N}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass.[M-H]+ | Found Mass.[M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | 32:2 | 582.5099 | 582.5094 | 8.54 | 70 | 52 | 61 | 126 | 134 | 128 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 49.8 |
| DAG | 32:3 | 580.4943 | 580.4971 | 7.50 | nd | nd | nd | 65 | 103 | 92 | nd | nd | nd | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 4.9 |
| DAG | 32:4 | 578.4787 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:5 | 576.4631 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:0 | 614.5724 | 614.5751 | 11.39 | 448 | 5311 | 71 | 241 | 534 | 140 | 2.6 | 55.6 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 11.1 | 19.9 | 179.4 |
| DAG | $34: 1$ | 612.5568 | 612.5536 | 10.55 | 472 | 453 | 245 | 374 | 413 | 385 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 31.2 |
| DAG | 34:2 | 610.5412 | 610.5414 | 9.64 | 133 | 168 | 78 | 226 | 277 | 247 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 46.6 |
| DAG | 34:3 | 608.5256 | 608.5240 | 8.73 | 11 | nd | nd | 55 | 70 | 60 | 0.1 | nd | nd | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 52.1 |
| DAG | 34:4 | 606.5100 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:5 | 604.4944 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:6 | 602.4788 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:0 | 642.6040 | 642.6033 | 12.46 | 690 | 3361 | 467 | 242 | 315 | 124 | 3.9 | 35.2 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 8.2 | 12.1 | 148.9 |
| DAG | 36:1 | 640.5881 | 640.5902 | 11.67 | 303 | 275 | 172 | 573 | 619 | 855 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 7.1 | 4.3 | 7.4 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 58.7 |
| DAG | 36:2 | 638.5725 | 638.5765 | 10.89 | 588 | 584 | 391 | 1025 | 1264 | 1104 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 12.7 | 8.9 | 9.6 | 7.3 | 3.5 | 48.1 |
| DAG | 36:3 | 636.5569 | 636.5554 | 10.01 | 159 | 157 | nd | 194 | 210 | 209 | 0.9 | 1.6 | nd | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 29.4 |
| DAG | 36:4 | 634.5413 | 634.5409 | 9.07 | 29 | 55 | nd | 119 | 153 | 146 | 0.2 | 0.6 | nd | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 52.4 |
| DAG | 36:5 | 632.5257 | 632.5285 | 8.40 | nd | nd | nd | 27 | 51 | 48 | nd | nd | nd | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 9.4 |
| DAG | 36:6 | 630.5101 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:0 | 670.6350 | 670.6370 | 13.77 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:1 | 668.6194 | 668.6167 | 12.74 | nd | nd | nd | 463 | 440 | 473 | nd | nd | nd | 5.7 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 25.3 |
| DAG | 38:2 | 666.6038 | 666.6023 | 11.96 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| $0 \mu \mathrm{~g}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass.$[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]+$ | Found Mass.[M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{n}=6)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | 38:3 | 664.5882 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:4 | 662.5726 | 662.5720 | 10.64 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 12.5 ¢g N-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M-H]+ | Found Mass.[M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | 12.5 ¢ g Atg4B ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | Standard | 619.6037 | 619.6093 | 11.27 | 15666 | 25964 | 30665 | 26339 | 18508 | 22146 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 30:0 | 558.5098 | 558.5078 | 8.70 | 1233 | 2754 | 598 | 310 | 200 | 270 | 13.1 | 17.6 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 95.6 |
| DAG | 30:1 | 556.4942 | 556.4913 | 7.76 | nd | nd | nd | 100 | 110 | 104 | nd | nd | nd | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 18.3 |
| DAG | 30:2 | 554.4786 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:3 | 552.4630 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:4 | 550.4474 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:0 | 586.5411 | 586.5417 | 10.14 | 12464 | 43116 | 3933 | 3761 | 296 | 2472 | 132.1 | 275.7 | 21.3 | 23.7 | 2.7 | 18.5 | 79.0 | 97.8 | 123.8 |
| DAG | 32:1 | 584.5255 | 584.5214 | 9.32 | 174 | 1312 | 1366 | 292 | 283 | 271 | 1.8 | 8.4 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 69.2 |
| DAG | 32:2 | 582.5099 | 582.5094 | 8.54 | 312 | 386 | 360 | 152 | 161 | 150 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 43.5 |
| DAG | 32:3 | 580.4943 | 580.4971 | 7.50 | nd | 50 | 21 | 109 | 115 | 120 | nd | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 56.7 |
| DAG | 32:4 | 578.4787 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:5 | 576.4631 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:0 | 614.5724 | 614.5751 | 11.39 | 13383 | 39878 | 3276 | 4731 | 240 | 2752 | 141.8 | 255.0 | 17.7 | 29.8 | 2.2 | 20.6 | 77.8 | 91.6 | 117.7 |
| DAG | 34:1 | 612.5568 | 612.5536 | 10.55 | 1338 | 2314 | 2098 | 481 | 420 | 497 | 14.2 | 14.8 | 11.4 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 8.5 | 5.1 | 60.0 |
| DAG | 34:2 | 610.5412 | 610.5414 | 9.64 | 419 | 620 | 892 | 307 | 277 | 304 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 34.0 |
| DAG | 34:3 | 608.5256 | 608.5240 | 8.73 | 121 | 166 | 172 | 72 | 81 | 85 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 32.5 |
| DAG | 34:4 | 606.5100 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:5 | 604.4944 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:6 | 602.4788 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:0 | 642.6040 | 642.6033 | 12.46 | 5381 | 19119 | 3201 | 1629 | 239 | 716 | 57.0 | 122.2 | 17.3 | 10.3 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 35.7 | 42.8 | 119.7 |
| DAG | 36:1 | 640.5881 | 640.5902 | 11.67 | 806 | 1404 | 1485 | 802 | 523 | 716 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 1.8 | 26.1 |
| DAG | 36:2 | 638.5725 | 638.5765 | 10.89 | 2291 | 3813 | 3598 | 147 | 1261 | 1425 | 24.3 | 24.4 | 19.5 | 0.9 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 15.2 | 8.4 | 55.4 |


| $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. <br> [M-H]+ | Found Mass. [M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | 12.5 g Atg 4B ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | 36:3 | 636.5569 | 636.5554 | 10.01 | 406 | 558 | 924 | 256 | 215 | 265 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 42.3 |
| DAG | 36:4 | 634.5413 | 634.5409 | 9.07 | 247 | 400 | 360 | 169 | 161 | 168 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 33.5 |
| DAG | 36:5 | 632.5257 | 632.5285 | 8.40 | nd | nd | 122 | 38 | 48 | 50 | nd | nd | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 35.6 |
| DAG | 36:6 | 630.5101 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:0 | 670.6350 | 670.6370 | 13.77 | nd | 738 | 199 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 4.7 | 1.1 | nd | nd | nd | 2.9 | 1.8 | 62.8 |
| DAG | 38:1 | 668.6194 | 668.6167 | 12.74 | 164 | 320 | 245 | 590 | 495 | 404 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 40.9 |
| DAG | 38:2 | 666.6038 | 666.6023 | 11.96 | 28 | 28 | 95 | nd | nd | nd | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | nd | nd | nd | 0.3 | 0.1 | 42.1 |
| DAG | 38:3 | 664.5882 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:4 | 662.5726 | 662.5720 | 10.64 | 1 | 7 | 6 | nd | nd | nd | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | nd | nd | nd | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.3 |


| $25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ N-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. <br> [M-H]+ | Found Mass.[M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $25 \mu \mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{n}=6)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | Standard | 619.6037 | 619.6009 | 11.51 | 25232 | 32023 | 34764 | 15449 | 15005 | 17617 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 30:0 | 558.5098 | 558.5049 | 8.97 | 447 | 748 | 809 | 150 | 151 | 202 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 80.5 |
| DAG | 30:1 | 556.4942 | 556.4924 | 8.10 | nd | nd | nd | 108 | 97 | 102 | nd | nd | nd | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 15.4 |
| DAG | 30:2 | 554.4786 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:3 | 552.4630 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:4 | 550.4474 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:0 | 586.5411 | 586.5443 | 10.34 | 760 | 4983 | 5483 | 241 | 234 | 655 | 5.0 | 25.8 | 26.2 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 6.2 | 16.2 | 19.2 | 118.3 |
| DAG | 32:1 | 584.5255 | 584.5228 | 950.00 | 565 | 1885 | 1686 | 307 | 254 | 294 | 3.7 | 9.8 | 8.1 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 89.5 |
| DAG | 32:2 | 582.5099 | 582.5078 | 8.69 | 423 | 509 | 640 | 164 | 157 | 162 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 63.5 |
| DAG | 32:3 | 580.4943 | 580.4935 | 7.48 | 10 | 21 | 22 | 110 | 108 | 105 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 80.4 |
| DAG | 32:4 | 578.4787 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:5 | 576.4631 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:0 | 614.5724 | 614.5755 | 11.54 | 652 | 4893 | 4421 | 303 | 250 | 887 | 4.3 | 25.4 | 21.1 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 18.1 | 23.1 | 127.7 |
| DAG | 34:1 | 612.5568 | 612.5521 | 10.67 | 1614 | 2710 | 2941 | 464 | 425 | 428 | 10.6 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 75.1 |
| DAG | 34:2 | 610.5412 | 610.5370 | 9.81 | 777 | 1264 | 1437 | 277 | 252 | 279 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 54.7 |
| DAG | 34:3 | 608.5256 | 608.5234 | 8.94 | 201 | 293 | 323 | 82 | 75 | 70 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 56.6 |

$25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B

| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M-H]+ | Found Mass. [M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $25 \mu \mathrm{Atg4B}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | 34:4 | 606.5100 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:5 | 604.4944 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:6 | 602.4788 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:0 | 642.6040 | 642.6017 | 12.66 | 1095 | 4208 | 4050 | 276 | 200 | 336 | 7.2 | 21.8 | 19.3 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 12.6 | 16.4 | 130.1 |
| DAG | 36:1 | 640.5881 | 640.5910 | 11.89 | 1111 | 1745 | 1794 | 826 | 504 | 955 | 7.3 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 15.5 | 22.0 | 141.8 |
| DAG | 36:2 | 638.5725 | 638.5728 | 11.05 | 3163 | 4765 | 5022 | 1404 | 1279 | 1298 | 20.8 | 24.7 | 24.0 | 15.1 | 14.1 | 12.2 | 18.1 | 8.9 | 49.2 |
| DAG | 36:3 | 636.5569 | 636.5563 | 10.21 | 745 | 1136 | 1265 | 222 | 230 | 230 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 54.2 |
| DAG | 36:4 | 634.5413 | 634.5412 | 9.28 | 511 | 729 | 750 | 174 | 158 | 163 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 49.5 |
| DAG | 36:5 | 632.5257 | 632.5262 | 8.35 | 90 | 129 | 141 | 55 | 51 | 46 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 60.0 |
| DAG | 36:6 | 630.5101 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:0 | 670.6350 | 670.6307 | 12.88 | nd | 277 | 283 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 1.4 | 1.4 | nd | nd | nd | 1.6 | 0.9 | 56.6 |
| DAG | 38:1 | 668.6194 | 668.6189 | 12.74 | 262 | 323 | 381 | 427 | 428 | 551 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 60.1 |
| DAG | 38:2 | 666.6038 | 666.6025 | 11.96 | nd | 96 | 111 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 0.5 | 0.5 | nd | nd | nd | 0.3 | 0.1 | 39.8 |
| DAG | 38:3 | 664.5882 | 664.5851 | 11.21 | 30 | 28 | 39 | nd | nd | nd | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | nd | nd | nd | 0.2 | 0.2 | 61.8 |
| DAG | 38:4 | 662.5726 | 662.5693 | 10.64 | 6 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 0.0 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | 0.1 | 0.0 | 74.9 |


| $50 \mu \mathrm{~N}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M-H]+ | Found Mass.[M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | Standard | 619.6037 | 619.6009 | 11.51 | 28312 | 32247 | 27822 | 22920 | 17012 | 14851 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 30:0 | 558.5098 | 558.5049 | 8.97 | 147 | 415 | 1212 | 303 | 174 | 143 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 7.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 36.7 |
| DAG | 30:1 | 556.4942 | 556.4924 | 8.10 | nd | nd | nd | 107 | 113 | 98 | nd | nd | nd | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 7.7 |
| DAG | 30:2 | 554.4786 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:3 | 552.4630 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:4 | 550.4474 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:0 | 586.5411 | 586.5443 | 10.34 | 658 | 1152 | 9069 | 3932 | 285 | 209 | 3.9 | 5.9 | 54.1 | 28.5 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 11.4 | 10.4 | 91.4 |
| DAG | 32:1 | 584.5255 | 584.5228 | 950.00 | nd | 503 | 2311 | 332 | 294 | 276 | nd | 2.6 | 13.8 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 54.8 |
| DAG | 32:2 | 582.5099 | 582.5078 | 8.69 | 45 | 402 | 696 | 174 | 181 | 142 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 26.3 |
| DAG | 32:3 | 580.4943 | 580.4935 | 7.48 | 1 | 18 | 55 | 120 | 116 | 111 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 85.4 |

## $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ N-(His)6-3C-Atg4B

| $50 \mu \mathrm{~N}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ass |  | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. | min) | Area |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  |  |  |  | $50 \mu \mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{n}=6)$ |  |  |
| ass |  | [M-H]+ | [M-H]+ | min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | 32:4 | 578.4787 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:5 | 576.4631 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:0 | 614.5724 | 614.5755 | 11.54 | 654 | 601 | 10831 | 4245 | 355 | 251 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 64.6 | 30.7 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 10.9 | 9.0 | 83.1 |
| DAG | 34:1 | 612.5568 | 612.5521 | 10.67 | 359 | 1891 | 3273 | 748 | 489 | 402 | 2.1 | 9.7 | 19.5 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 8.7 | 4.3 | 49.5 |
| DAG | 34:2 | 610.5412 | 610.5370 | 9.81 | 286 | 976 | 1472 | 490 | 316 | 263 | 1.7 | 5.0 | 8.8 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 39.6 |
| DAG | 34:3 | 608.5256 | 608.5234 | 8.94 | 84 | 200 | 382 | 93 | 90 | 74 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 31.0 |
| DAG | 34:4 | 606.5100 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:5 | 604.4944 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:6 | 602.4788 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:0 | 642.6040 | 642.6017 | 12.66 | 714 | 1028 | 8131 | 1797 | 327 | 147 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 48.5 | 13.0 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 9.5 | 8.1 | 85.3 |
| DAG | 36:1 | 640.5881 | 640.5910 | 11.89 | 389 | 1102 | 10831 | 1062 | 699 | 545 | 2.3 | 5.7 | 64.6 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 8.1 | 1.3 | 15.6 |
| DAG | 36:2 | 638.5725 | 638.5728 | 11.05 | 872 | 3605 | 5911 | 2475 | 1711 | 1345 | 5.1 | 18.6 | 35.3 | 17.9 | 16.7 | 15.0 | 18.5 | 4.9 | 26.5 |
| DAG | 36:3 | 636.5569 | 636.5563 | 10.21 | 230 | 850 | 1199 | 396 | 258 | 224 | 1.3 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 41.7 |
| DAG | 36:4 | 634.5413 | 634.5412 | 9.28 | 284 | 499 | 839 | 224 | 182 | 169 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 35.6 |
| DAG | 36:5 | 632.5257 | 632.5262 | 8.35 | 9 | 72 | 166 | 61 | 51 | 37 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 13.6 |
| DAG | 36:6 | 630.5101 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:0 | 670.6350 | 670.6307 | 12.88 | nd | 134 | 417 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 0.7 | 2.5 | nd | nd | nd | 1.4 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| DAG | 38:1 | 668.6194 | 668.6189 | 12.74 | 99 | 241 | 360 | 594 | 578 | 365 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 47.5 |
| DAG | 38:2 | 666.6038 | 666.6025 | 11.96 | 34 | 54 | 85 | nd | nd | nd | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | nd | nd | nd | 0.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| DAG | 38:3 | 664.5882 | 664.5851 | 11.21 | 19 | 32 | 76 | nd | nd | nd | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | nd | nd | nd | 0.2 | 0.0 | 12.7 |
| DAG | 38:4 | 662.5726 | 662.5693 | 10.64 | nd | 3 | 18 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 0.0 | 0.1 | nd | nd | nd | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 23. Quantified CEs from the protein N -(His) $)_{6}$-3C-Atg4B. The lipids were obtained through incubation of the protein ( $0,12.5,25$ and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) with a mixture of organic solvents at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .

| $0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass.[M+NH4]+ | Found Mass.[M+NH4]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | $0 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ Atg4B ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | Std | 656.6346 | 656.6348 | 17.75 | 715 | 1114 | 1129 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188 | 0 | 0 |
| CE | 14:0 | 614.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 15:0 | 628.5728 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:0 | 642.6194 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:1 | 640.6038 | 640.5974 | 16.54 | 185 | 827 | 146 | 48.6 | 139.6 | 24.3 | 71 | 50 | 70 |
| CE | 16:2 | 638.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 17:1 | 654.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:0 | 670.6507 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:1 | 668.6342 | 668.6298 | 17.41 | 323 | 353 | 261 | 84.9 | 59.6 | 43.5 | 63 | 17 | 27 |
| CE | 18:2 | 666.6198 | 666.6144 | 16.79 | 128 | 241 | 145 | 33.7 | 40.7 | 24.1 | 33 | 7 | 21 |
| CE | 18:3 | 664.6062 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:0 | 698.6820 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:1 | 696.6664 | 696.6631 | 14.02 | 166 | 175 | 128 | 43.6 | 29.5 | 21.3 | 31 | 9 | 29 |
| CE | 20:2 | 694.6508 | 694.6487 | 17.56 | 143 | 158 | 145 | 37.6 | 26.7 | 24.1 | 29 | 6 | 20 |
| CE | 20:3 | 692.6352 | 692.6367 | 16.94 | 143 | 220 | 190 | 37.6 | 37.1 | 31.6 | 35 | 3 | 8 |
| CE | 20:4 | 690.6196 | 690.6161 | 14.71 | 37 | 55 | 51 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 8.5 | 9 | 1 | 6 |
| CE | 20:5 | 688.6040 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:6 | 686.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:0 | 726.7133 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:1 | 724.6977 | 724.6983 | 14.30 | 310 | 299 | 235 | 81.5 | 50.5 | 39.1 | 57 | 18 | 31 |
| CE | 22:2 | 722.6821 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:3 | 720.6665 | 720.6664 | 17.47 | 20 | 34 | 45 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 6 | 1 | 16 |
| CE | 22:4 | 718.6509 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:5 | 716.6353 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:6 | 714.6197 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:0 | 754.7446 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:1 | 752.7290 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| $0 \mu \mathrm{~N}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+NH4]+ | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH} 4$ ]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | $0 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ Atg4B ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | 24:2 | 750.7134 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:3 | 748.6978 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:4 | 746.6822 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:5 | 744.6666 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:6 | 742.6510 | 742.6548 | 15.14 | 142 | 287 | 156 | 37.3 | 48.4 | 26.0 | 37 | 9 | 25 |


| (12.5 $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+NH4]+ | Found Mass.[M+NH4]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) 12.5 |  |  | 12.5 M $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | Std | 656.6346 | 656.6348 | 17.75 | 1329 | 1109 | 1085 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CE | 14:0 | 614.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 15:0 | 628.5728 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:0 | 642.6194 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:1 | 640.6038 | 640.5974 | 16.54 | 705 | 549 | 558 | 99.7 | 93.1 | 96.7 | 96.5 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| CE | 16:2 | 638.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 17:1 | 654.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:0 | 670.6507 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:1 | 668.6342 | 668.6298 | 17.41 | 194 | 368 | 384 | 27.4 | 62.4 | 66.5 | 52.1 | 17.5 | 33.6 |
| CE | 18:2 | 666.6198 | 666.6144 | 16.79 | 275 | 196 | 270 | 38.9 | 33.2 | 46.8 | 39.6 | 5.6 | 14.0 |
| CE | 18:3 | 664.6062 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:0 | 698.6820 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:1 | 696.6664 | 696.6631 | 14.02 | 161 | 127 | 156 | 22.8 | 21.5 | 27.0 | 23.8 | 2.4 | 9.9 |
| CE | 20:2 | 694.6508 | 694.6487 | 17.56 | 182 | 169 | 191 | 25.7 | 28.6 | 33.1 | 29.2 | 3.0 | 10.4 |
| CE | 20:3 | 692.6352 | 692.6367 | 16.94 | 210 | 226 | 229 | 29.7 | 38.3 | 39.7 | 35.9 | 4.4 | 12.3 |
| CE | 20:4 | 690.6196 | 690.6161 | 14.71 | 62 | 62 | 67 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 11.6 | 10.3 | 1.2 | 11.4 |
| CE | 20:5 | 688.6040 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:6 | 686.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:0 | 726.7133 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:1 | 724.6977 | 724.6983 | 14.30 | 319 | 253 | 156 | 45.1 | 42.9 | 27.0 | 38.3 | 8.1 | 21.0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|       <br> Class Lipid Calc. Mass. Found Mass. (His)6-3C-Atg4B  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class | Lipid | [M+NH4]+ | [M+NH4]+ | RT (min) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | 22:2 | 722.6821 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:3 | 720.6665 | 720.6664 | 17.47 | 24 | 34 | 34 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 22.9 |
| CE | 22:4 | 718.6509 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:5 | 716.6353 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:6 | 714.6197 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:0 | 754.7446 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:1 | 752.7290 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:2 | 750.7134 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:3 | 748.6978 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:4 | 746.6822 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:5 | 744.6666 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:6 | 742.6510 | 742.6548 | 15.14 | 205 | 106 | 186 | 29.0 | 18.0 | 32.2 | 26.4 | 6.1 | 23.1 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class Calc. Mass. $\quad$ Found Mass. $\quad 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{N-(His)6-3C-Atg4B} \quad$ Area $\quad$ Conc. (pmol/sample) $\quad 25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Atg} 4$ ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | [M+NH4]+ | $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH} 4]+$ |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | Std | 656.6346 | 656.6370 | 17.75 | 1033 | 1081 | 1161 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188 | 0 | 0 |
| CE | 14:0 | 614.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 15:0 | 628.5728 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:0 | 642.6194 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:1 | 640.6038 | 640.5980 | 16.51 | nd | 800 | 580 | nd | 139.1 | 93.9 | 117 | 23 | 19 |
| CE | 16:2 | 638.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 17:1 | 654.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:0 | 670.6507 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:1 | 668.6342 | 668.6380 | 17.41 | 282 | 304 | 240 | 51.3 | 52.9 | 38.9 | 48 | 6 | 13 |
| CE | 18:2 | 666.6198 | 666.6225 | 16.73 | 197 | 229 | 238 | 35.9 | 39.8 | 38.5 | 38 | 2 | 4 |
| CE | 18:3 | 664.6062 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:0 | 698.6820 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:1 | 696.6664 | 696.6614 | 14.00 | 161 | 131 | 148 | 29.3 | 22.8 | 24.0 | 25 | 3 | 11 |


| ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class Lipid |  | Calc. Mass. [M+NH4]+ | Found Mass. [M+NH4]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | $25 \mu \mathrm{Atg} 4$ ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | 20:2 |  | 694.6508 | 694.6465 | 17.53 | 202 | 162 | 156 | 36.8 | 28.2 | 25.3 | 30 | 5 | 16 |
| CE | 20:3 | 692.6352 | 692.6313 | 16.91 | 230 | 202 | 196 | 41.9 | 35.1 | 31.7 | 36 | 4 | 12 |
| CE | 20:4 | 690.6196 | 690.6181 | 14.68 | 64 | 57 | 57 | 11.6 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 10 | 1 | 10 |
| CE | 20:5 | 688.6040 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:6 | 686.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:0 | 726.7133 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:1 | 724.6977 | 724.6970 | 14.30 | 306 | nd | 264 | 55.7 | nd | 42.7 | 49 | 6 | 13 |
| CE | 22:2 | 722.6821 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:3 | 720.6665 | 720.6702 | 17.44 | 32 | 54 | 31 | 5.8 | 9.4 | 5.0 | 7 | 2 | 28 |
| CE | 22:4 | 718.6509 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:5 | 716.6353 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:6 | 714.6197 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:0 | 754.7446 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:1 | 752.7290 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:2 | 750.7134 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:3 | 748.6978 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:4 | 746.6822 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:5 | 744.6666 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:6 | 742.6510 | 742.6531 | 15.14 | 164 | 130 | 134 | 29.8 | 22.6 | 21.7 | 25 | 4 | 15 |


| $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+NH4]+ | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH} 4]+$ | RT ( min ) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \operatorname{tg} 4$ ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | Std | 656.6346 | 656.6370 | 17.75 | 813 | 1037 | 1091 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CE | 14:0 | 614.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 15:0 | 628.5728 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:0 | 642.6194 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:1 | 640.6038 | 640.5980 | 16.51 | 735 | 540 | 332 | 170.0 | 97.9 | 57.2 | 108.4 | 46.6 | 43.0 |
| CE | 16:2 | 638.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$-(His)6-3C-Atg4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+NH4]+ | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH} 4$ ]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | $50 \mu \mathrm{Atg} 4$ ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | 17:1 | 654.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:0 | 670.6507 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:1 | 668.6342 | 668.6380 | 17.41 | 323 | 365 | 365 | 74.7 | 66.2 | 62.9 | 67.9 | 5.0 | 7.3 |
| CE | 18:2 | 666.6198 | 666.6225 | 16.73 | 250 | 253 | 257 | 57.8 | 45.9 | 44.3 | 49.3 | 6.0 | 12.2 |
| CE | 18:3 | 664.6062 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:0 | 698.6820 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:1 | 696.6664 | 696.6614 | 14.00 | 184 | 189 | 202 | 42.5 | 34.3 | 34.8 | 37.2 | 3.8 | 10.2 |
| CE | 20:2 | 694.6508 | 694.6465 | 17.53 | 171 | 183 | 178 | 39.5 | 33.2 | 30.7 | 34.5 | 3.7 | 10.8 |
| CE | 20:3 | 692.6352 | 692.6313 | 16.91 | 228 | 243 | 221 | 52.7 | 44.1 | 38.1 | 45.0 | 6.0 | 13.4 |
| CE | 20:4 | 690.6196 | 690.6181 | 14.68 | 70 | 64 | 64 | 16.2 | 11.6 | 11.0 | 12.9 | 2.3 | 17.8 |
| CE | 20:5 | 688.6040 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:6 | 686.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:0 | 726.7133 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:1 | 724.6977 | 724.6970 | 14.30 | 349 | 471 | 260 | 80.7 | 85.4 | 44.8 | 70.3 | 18.1 | 25.8 |
| CE | 22:2 | 722.6821 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:3 | 720.6665 | 720.6702 | 17.44 | 34 | 35 | 54 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 15.4 |
| CE | 22:4 | 718.6509 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:5 | 716.6353 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:6 | 714.6197 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:0 | 754.7446 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:1 | 752.7290 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:2 | 750.7134 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:3 | 748.6978 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:4 | 746.6822 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:5 | 744.6666 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:6 | 742.6510 | 742.6531 | 15.14 | 189 | 257 | 176 | 43.7 | 46.6 | 30.3 | 40.2 | 7.1 | 17.6 |

Table 24. Quantified PEs from the delipidated protein N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}$-Atg4B employing a 0,2 and $12 \%$ of TX114 containing buffer. The lipids were obtained through incubation of the protein with a mixture of organic solvents at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .

| 0\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 0\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 749.7332 | 749.7327 | 9.46 | 3605 | 3381 | 4224 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 636.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 664.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 678.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 676.4918 | 676.4935 | 7.70 | 72 | nd | 65 | 4.0 | nd | 3.1 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 13.0 |
| PE | 32:0 | 692.5230 | 692.5190 | 9.15 | 43 | 35 | 47 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 5.8 |
| PE | 32:1 | 690.5074 | 690.5098 | 8.28 | 113 | 85 | 135 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 0.6 | 10.4 |
| PE | 32:2 | 688.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 686.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | 704.5269 | 9.06 | 650 | 509 | 577 | 36.1 | 30.1 | 27.3 | 31.2 | 3.6 | 11.7 |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 720.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 718.5386 | 718.5316 | 9.49 | 178 | 144 | 172 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 8.8 | 0.7 | 8.4 |
| PE | 34:2 | 716.5230 | 716.5256 | 8.60 | 46 | 37 | 46 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 7.5 |
| PE | 34:3 | 714.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 712.4917 | nd | nd | nd | 10 | nd | nd | 0.6 | nd | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 35:0 | 734.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 732.5543 | 732.5578 | 10.30 | 342 | 273 | 315 | 19.0 | 16.1 | 14.9 | 16.7 | 1.7 | 10.2 |
| PE | 35:2 | 730.5387 | 730.5397 | 9.37 | 120 | 91 | 126 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 8.7 |
| PE | 36:0 | 748.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 746.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:2 | 744.5543 | 744.5541 | 9.90 | 57 | 39 | 77 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 18.2 |
| PE | 36:3 | 742.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 736.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 0\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 0\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 38:0 | 776.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 774.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 772.5856 | 772.5856 | 11.45 | 155 | 118 | 99 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 4.7 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 23.8 |
| PE | 38:3 | 770.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 768.5546 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 766.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 764.5226 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 762.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 802.6325 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 798.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 794.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 790.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 2\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 2\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 749.7332 | 749.7327 | 9.46 | 5403 | 6267 | 4405 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 636.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 664.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 678.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 676.4918 | 676.4935 | 7.70 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 692.5230 | 692.5190 | 9.15 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:1 | 690.5074 | 690.5098 | 8.28 | nd | 46 | nd | nd | 1.5 | nd | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 32:2 | 688.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 686.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 2\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ ]- | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 2\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | 704.5269 | 9.06 | 26 | 208 | 84 | 1.0 | 6.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 60.9 |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 720.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 718.5386 | 718.5316 | 9.49 | nd | 60 | 27 | nd | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 21.9 |
| PE | 34:2 | 716.5230 | 716.5256 | 8.60 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:3 | 714.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 712.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 734.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 732.5543 | 732.5578 | 10.30 | nd | 434 | 49 | nd | 13.9 | 2.2 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 72.3 |
| PE | 35:2 | 730.5387 | 730.5397 | 9.37 | nd | 40 | 17 | nd | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 24.6 |
| PE | 36:0 | 748.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:1 | 746.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:2 | 744.5543 | 744.5541 | 9.90 | nd | 41 | nd | nd | 1.3 | nd | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 36:3 | 742.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 736.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 776.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 774.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 772.5856 | 772.5856 | 11.45 | nd | 39 | 18 | nd | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 20.7 |
| PE | 38:3 | 770.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 768.5546 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 766.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 764.5226 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 762.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 802.6325 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 798.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 2\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+H]- | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT ( min ) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 2\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 40:4 | 794.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 790.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 12\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Calc. Mass. | Found Mass. |  |  | Area |  |  | pmol/s | ple) | 12\% | 114 |  |
| Class |  | [M+H]- | [M+H]- |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | Std | 749.7332 | 749.7327 | 9.46 | 4901 | 6605 | 5594 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 28:0 | 636.4604 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 30:0 | 664.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:0 | 678.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 31:1 | 676.4918 | 676.4935 | 7.70 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:0 | 692.5230 | 692.5190 | 9.15 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:1 | 690.5074 | 690.5098 | 8.28 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:2 | 688.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 32:3 | 686.4761 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:0 | 704.5230 | 704.5269 | 9.06 | nd | nd | 56 | nd | nd | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PE | 33:1 | 702.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 33:2 | 700.4918 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:0 | 720.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:1 | 718.5386 | 718.5316 | 9.49 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:2 | 716.5230 | 716.5256 | 8.60 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:3 | 714.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 34:4 | 712.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:0 | 734.5700 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:1 | 732.5543 | 732.5578 | 10.30 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 35:2 | 730.5387 | 730.5397 | 9.37 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:0 | 748.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 12\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | Found Mass. $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 12\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| PE | 36:1 | 746.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:2 | 744.5543 | 744.5541 | 9.90 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:3 | 742.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:4 | 740.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:5 | 738.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 36:6 | 736.4917 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:0 | 776.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:1 | 774.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:2 | 772.5856 | 772.5856 | 11.45 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:3 | 770.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:4 | 768.5546 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:5 | 766.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:6 | 764.5226 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 38:7 | 762.5074 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:0 | 802.6325 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:1 | 800.6169 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:2 | 798.6012 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:3 | 796.5856 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:4 | 794.5699 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:5 | 792.5543 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:6 | 790.5386 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| PE | 40:8 | 788.5230 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 25. Quantified DAGs from the delipidated protein $N$-(His) $6-3 C-A t g 4 B$ employing a 0,2 and $12 \%$ of TX114 containing buffer. The lipids were obtained through incubation of the protein with a mixture of organic solvents at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .

| 0\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M-H]+ | Found Mass. [M-H]+ | RT ( min ) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 0\% Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | Std | 619.6037 | 749.7327 | 11.73 | 12088 | 8364 | 14620 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 30:0 | 558.5098 | 558.5061 | 9.18 | 183 | 199 | 116 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 41.5 |
| DAG | 30:1 | 556.4942 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:2 | 554.4786 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:3 | 552.4630 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:4 | 550.4474 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:0 | 586.5411 | 586.5386 | 10.54 | 627 | 862 | 207 | 8.6 | 17.1 | 2.4 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 64.6 |
| DAG | 32:1 | 584.5255 | 584.5195 | 9.81 | 318 | 306 | 213 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 34.8 |
| DAG | 32:2 | 582.5099 | 582.5086 | 8.97 | 137 | 143 | 97 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 36.6 |
| DAG | 32:3 | 580.4943 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:4 | 578.4787 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:5 | 576.4631 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:0 | 614.5724 | 614.5687 | 11.82 | 640 | 679 | 168 | 8.8 | 13.5 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 59.0 |
| DAG | 34:1 | 612.5568 | 612.5536 | 11.01 | 472 | 532 | 318 | 6.5 | 10.6 | 3.6 | 6.9 | 2.9 | 41.4 |
| DAG | 34:2 | 610.5412 | 610.5399 | 10.11 | 237 | 225 | 182 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 30.0 |
| DAG | 34:3 | 608.5256 | 608.5250 | 9.21 | 47 | 43 | 41 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 24.2 |
| DAG | 34:4 | 606.5100 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:5 | 604.4944 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:6 | 602.4788 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:0 | 642.6040 | 642.6891 | 12.91 | 278 | 343 | 94 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 60.1 |
| DAG | 36:1 | 640.5881 | 640.5860 | 12.16 | 1323 | 1123 | 746 | 18.2 | 22.3 | 8.5 | 16.3 | 5.8 | 35.5 |
| DAG | 36:2 | 638.5725 | 638.5710 | 11.33 | 1386 | 2035 | 780 | 19.0 | 40.4 | 8.9 | 22.8 | 13.1 | 57.7 |
| DAG | 36:3 | 636.5569 | 636.5576 | 10.49 | 234 | 249 | 177 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 35.5 |
| DAG | 36:4 | 634.5413 | 634.5415 | nd | nd | 105 | 83 | nd | 2.1 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 37.7 |
| DAG | 36:5 | 632.5257 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:6 | 630.5101 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:0 | 670.6350 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 0\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M-H]+ | Found Mass.[M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 0\% Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | 38:1 | 668.6194 | 668.6183 | 7.67 | 835 | 767 | 357 | 11.5 | 15.2 | 4.1 | 10.2 | 4.6 | 45.3 |
| DAG | 38:2 | 666.6038 | 666.6003 | 6.77 | 285 | 280 | 220 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 31.3 |
| DAG | 38:3 | 664.5882 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:4 | 662.5726 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 2\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M-H]+ | Found Mass. [M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 2\% Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | Std | 619.6037 | 749.7327 | 11.73 | 20410 | 20400 | 15379 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 30:0 | 558.5098 | 558.5061 | 9.18 | 183 | 325 | 129 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 30.9 |
| DAG | 30:1 | 556.4942 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:2 | 554.4786 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:3 | 552.4630 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:4 | 550.4474 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:0 | 586.5411 | 586.5386 | 10.54 | 2006 | 5175 | 266 | 16.3 | 42.1 | 2.9 | 20.4 | 16.3 | 79.7 |
| DAG | 32:1 | 584.5255 | 584.5195 | 9.81 | 229 | 343 | 243 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 16.7 |
| DAG | 32:2 | 582.5099 | 582.5086 | 8.97 | 87 | 136 | 117 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 22.8 |
| DAG | 32:3 | 580.4943 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:4 | 578.4787 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:5 | 576.4631 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:0 | 614.5724 | 614.5687 | 11.82 | 1891 | 4014 | 284 | 15.4 | 32.7 | 3.1 | 17.0 | 12.1 | 71.3 |
| DAG | 34:1 | 612.5568 | 612.5536 | 11.01 | 618 | 730 | 412 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 12.0 |
| DAG | 34:2 | 610.5412 | 610.5399 | 10.11 | 269 | 370 | 190 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 17.5 |
| DAG | 34:3 | 608.5256 | 608.5250 | 9.21 | 38 | 68 | 45 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 22.9 |
| DAG | 34:4 | 606.5100 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:5 | 604.4944 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:6 | 602.4788 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:0 | 642.6040 | 642.6891 | 12.91 | 757 | 1902 | 154 | 6.2 | 15.5 | 1.7 | 7.8 | 5.8 | 74.1 |
| DAG | 36:1 | 640.5881 | 640.5860 | 12.16 | 698 | 811 | 657 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 9.1 |


| 2\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M-H]+ | Found Mass.[M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 2\% Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | 36:2 | 638.5725 | 638.5710 | 11.33 | 1628 | 1906 | 851 | 13.2 | 15.5 | 9.2 | 12.6 | 2.6 | 20.7 |
| DAG | 36:3 | 636.5569 | 636.5576 | 10.49 | 301 | 316 | 172 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 13.6 |
| DAG | 36:4 | 634.5413 | 634.5415 | nd | 105 | 124 | 83 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 7.1 |
| DAG | 36:5 | 632.5257 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:6 | 630.5101 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:0 | 670.6350 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:1 | 668.6194 | 668.6183 | 7.67 | 636 | 464 | 335 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 16.7 |
| DAG | 38:2 | 666.6038 | 666.6003 | 6.77 | 292 | 190 | 227 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 19.3 |
| DAG | 38:3 | 664.5882 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:4 | 662.5726 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 12\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M-H]+ | Found Mass. [M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 12\% Atg4 ( $n=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | Std | 619.6037 | 749.7327 | 11.73 | 18909 | 22627 | 22413 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 166.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DAG | 30:0 | 558.5098 | 558.5061 | 9.18 | 263 | 516 | 175 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 41.5 |
| DAG | 30:1 | 556.4942 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:2 | 554.4786 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:3 | 552.4630 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 30:4 | 550.4474 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:0 | 586.5411 | 586.5386 | 10.54 | 3559 | 9327 | 261 | 31.2 | 68.4 | 1.9 | 33.9 | 27.2 | 80.3 |
| DAG | 32:1 | 584.5255 | 584.5195 | 9.81 | 259 | 479 | 370 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 18.0 |
| DAG | 32:2 | 582.5099 | 582.5086 | 8.97 | 112 | 188 | 162 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 13.6 |
| DAG | 32:3 | 580.4943 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:4 | 578.4787 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 32:5 | 576.4631 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:0 | 614.5724 | 614.5687 | 11.82 | 3946 | 7535 | 258 | 34.6 | 55.3 | 1.9 | 30.6 | 22.0 | 71.8 |
| DAG | 34:1 | 612.5568 | 612.5536 | 11.01 | 599 | 1062 | 577 | 5.3 | 7.8 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 25.7 |
| DAG | 34:2 | 610.5412 | 610.5399 | 10.11 | 247 | 438 | 277 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 21.1 |


| (12\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M-H]+ | Found Mass. [M-H]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 12\% Atg4 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| DAG | 34:3 | 608.5256 | 608.5250 | 9.21 | 49 | 82 | 91 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 18.0 |
| DAG | 34:4 | 606.5100 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:5 | 604.4944 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 34:6 | 602.4788 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:0 | 642.6040 | 642.6891 | 12.91 | 1662 | 3409 | 229 | 14.6 | 25.0 | 1.7 | 13.8 | 9.5 | 69.3 |
| DAG | 36:1 | 640.5881 | 640.5860 | 12.16 | 800 | 1163 | 834 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 13.4 |
| DAG | 36:2 | 638.5725 | 638.5710 | 11.33 | 1790 | 2381 | 1437 | 15.7 | 17.5 | 10.6 | 14.6 | 2.9 | 19.8 |
| DAG | 36:3 | 636.5569 | 636.5576 | 10.49 | 275 | 423 | 256 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 20.0 |
| DAG | 36:4 | 634.5413 | 634.5415 | nd | 111 | 163 | 133 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 9.7 |
| DAG | 36:5 | 632.5257 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 36:6 | 630.5101 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:0 | 670.6350 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:1 | 668.6194 | 668.6183 | 7.67 | 507 | 286 | 534 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 28.9 |
| DAG | 38:2 | 666.6038 | 666.6003 | 6.77 | 204 | 441 | 235 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 30.7 |
| DAG | 38:3 | 664.5882 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| DAG | 38:4 | 662.5726 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |

Table 26. Quantified CEs from the delipidated protein N -(His) $)_{6}-3 \mathrm{C}$-Atg4B employing a 0,2 and $12 \%$ of TX114 containing buffer. The lipids were obtained through incubation of the protein with a mixture of organic solvents at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h .

| 0\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+NH4]+ | Found Mass. [M+NH4]+ | RT ( min ) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 0\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | Standard | 656.6346 | 656.6336 | 17.78 | 810 | 805 | 1353 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CE | 14:0 | 614.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 15:0 | 628.5728 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:0 | 642.6194 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:1 | 640.6038 | 640.6028 | 16.54 | nd | 236 | 228 | nd | 55.1 | 31.7 | 43.4 | 11.7 | 27.0 |
| CE | 16:2 | 638.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 0\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+NH4]+ | Found Mass. [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH} 4$ ]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 0\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | 17:1 | 654.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:0 | 670.6507 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:1 | 668.6342 | 668.6318 | 17.44 | 189 | 195 | 299 | 43.9 | 45.5 | 41.5 | 43.7 | 1.6 | 3.8 |
| CE | 18:2 | 666.6198 | 666.6141 | 16.79 | 471 | 28 | 154 | 109.3 | 6.5 | 21.4 | 45.8 | 45.4 | 99.1 |
| CE | 18:3 | 664.6062 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:0 | 698.6820 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:1 | 696.6664 | 696.6655 | 14.12 | 212 | 134 | 66 | 49.2 | 31.3 | 9.2 | 29.9 | 16.4 | 54.8 |
| CE | 20:2 | 694.6508 | 694.6496 | 17.56 | 81 | 57 | 64 | 18.8 | 13.3 | 8.9 | 13.7 | 4.1 | 29.6 |
| CE | 20:3 | 692.6352 | 692.6345 | 16.94 | 73 | 56 | 86 | 16.9 | 13.1 | 11.9 | 14.0 | 2.1 | 15.3 |
| CE | 20:4 | 690.6196 | 690.6222 | 14.68 | nd | nd | 20 | nd | nd | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CE | 20:5 | 688.6040 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:6 | 686.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:0 | 726.7133 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:1 | 724.6977 | 724.7010 | 14.34 | 275 | 316 | 72 | 63.8 | 73.8 | 10.0 | 49.2 | 28.0 | 56.9 |
| CE | 22:2 | 722.6821 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:3 | 720.6665 | 720.6682 | 17.44 | 10 | 28 | 14 | 2.3 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 57.8 |
| CE | 22:4 | 718.6509 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:5 | 716.6353 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:6 | 714.6197 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:0 | 754.7446 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:1 | 752.7290 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:2 | 750.7134 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:3 | 748.6978 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:4 | 746.6822 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:5 | 744.6666 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:6 | 742.6510 | 742.6524 | 15.14 | nd | nd | 295 | nd | nd | 41.0 | 41.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |


| 2\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+NH4]+ | Found Mass. [M+NH4]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 2\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | Standard | 656.6346 | 656.6336 | 17.78 | 923 | 779 | 1065 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CE | 14:0 | 614.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 15:0 | 628.5728 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:0 | 642.6194 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:1 | 640.6038 | 640.6028 | 16.54 | 593 | 399 | 177 | 120.8 | 96.3 | 31.2 | 82.8 | 37.8 | 45.6 |
| CE | 16:2 | 638.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 17:1 | 654.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:0 | 670.6507 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:1 | 668.6342 | 668.6318 | 17.44 | 298 | 331 | 387 | 60.7 | 79.9 | 68.3 | 69.6 | 7.9 | 11.3 |
| CE | 18:2 | 666.6198 | 666.6141 | 16.79 | 233 | 130 | 300 | 47.5 | 31.4 | 53.0 | 43.9 | 9.2 | 20.8 |
| CE | 18:3 | 664.6062 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:0 | 698.6820 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:1 | 696.6664 | 696.6655 | 14.12 | 174 | 167 | 119 | 35.4 | 40.3 | 21.0 | 32.3 | 8.2 | 25.4 |
| CE | 20:2 | 694.6508 | 694.6496 | 17.56 | 69 | 55 | 81 | 14.1 | 13.3 | 14.3 | 13.9 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| CE | 20:3 | 692.6352 | 692.6345 | 16.94 | 86 | 68 | 89 | 17.5 | 16.4 | 15.7 | 16.5 | 0.7 | 4.5 |
| CE | 20:4 | 690.6196 | 690.6222 | 14.68 | 23 | 20 | 21 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 11.3 |
| CE | 20:5 | 688.6040 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:6 | 686.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:0 | 726.7133 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:1 | 724.6977 | 724.7010 | 14.34 | 269 | 287 | 126 | 54.8 | 69.3 | 22.2 | 48.8 | 19.7 | 40.3 |
| CE | 22:2 | 722.6821 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:3 | 720.6665 | 720.6682 | 17.44 | 12 | 7 | 13 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 15.2 |
| CE | 22:4 | 718.6509 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:5 | 716.6353 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:6 | 714.6197 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:0 | 754.7446 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:1 | 752.7290 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:2 | 750.7134 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:3 | 748.6978 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:4 | 746.6822 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 2\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+NH4]+ | Found Mass.$[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH} 4]+$ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 2\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | 24:5 | 744.6666 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:6 | 742.6510 | 742.6524 | 15.14 | 318 | 246 | 197 | 64.8 | 59.4 | 34.8 | 53.0 | 13.1 | 24.6 |
| 12\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+NH4]+ | Found Mass.[M+NH4]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 12\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | Standard | 656.6346 | 656.6336 | 17.78 | 815 | 547 | 1387 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CE | 14:0 | 614.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 15:0 | 628.5728 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:0 | 642.6194 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 16:1 | 640.6038 | 640.6028 | 16.54 | 259 | 590 | 194 | 59.7 | 202.8 | 26.3 | 96.3 | 76.5 | 79.5 |
| CE | 16:2 | 638.5571 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 17:1 | 654.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:0 | 670.6507 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 18:1 | 668.6342 | 668.6318 | 17.44 | 326 | 316 | 206 | 75.2 | 108.6 | 27.9 | 70.6 | 33.1 | 46.9 |
| CE | 18:2 | 666.6198 | 666.6141 | 16.79 | 107 | 210 | 120 | 24.7 | 72.2 | 16.3 | 37.7 | 24.6 | 65.3 |
| CE | 18:3 | 664.6062 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:0 | 698.6820 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:1 | 696.6664 | 696.6655 | 14.12 | 173 | 173 | 140 | 39.9 | 59.5 | 19.0 | 39.4 | 16.5 | 41.9 |
| CE | 20:2 | 694.6508 | 694.6496 | 17.56 | 72 | 85 | 119 | 16.6 | 29.2 | 16.1 | 20.7 | 6.1 | 29.3 |
| CE | 20:3 | 692.6352 | 692.6345 | 16.94 | 81 | 71 | 144 | 18.7 | 24.4 | 19.5 | 20.9 | 2.5 | 12.1 |
| CE | 20:4 | 690.6196 | 690.6222 | 14.68 | 20 | 17 | 35 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 10.9 |
| CE | 20:5 | 688.6040 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 20:6 | 686.5884 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:0 | 726.7133 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:1 | 724.6977 | 724.7010 | 14.34 | 323 | 328 | 130 | 74.5 | 112.7 | 17.6 | 68.3 | 39.1 | 57.2 |
| CE | 22:2 | 722.6821 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:3 | 720.6665 | 720.6682 | 17.44 | 9 | 6 | 18 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 8.0 |
| CE | 22:4 | 718.6509 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |


| 12\% TX114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Lipid | Calc. Mass. [M+NH4]+ | Found Mass.[M+NH4]+ | RT (min) | Area |  |  | Conc. (pmol/sample) |  |  | 12\% TX114 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Mean | SD | \%CV |
| CE | 22:5 | 716.6353 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 22:6 | 714.6197 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:0 | 754.7446 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:1 | 752.7290 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:2 | 750.7134 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:3 | 748.6978 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:4 | 746.6822 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:5 | 744.6666 | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| CE | 24:6 | 742.6510 | 742.6524 | 15.14 | 187 | 233 | 123 | 43.1 | 80.1 | 16.7 | 46.6 | 26.0 | 55.8 |
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# Squaramides with cytotoxic activity against human gastric carcinoma cells HGC-27: synthesis and mechanism of action $\dagger \ddagger$ 

Mireia Quintana, $\S^{a}$ Juan V. Alegre-Requena, $\S^{\text {ab }}$ Eugenia Marqués-López, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Raquel P. Herrera*b and Gemma Triola*a


#### Abstract

A series of squaramates and squaramides have been synthesized and their cytotoxic activity has been investigated in different cancer cell lines. Among the studied compounds, squaramide 34 showed a potent and selective cytotoxicity against the human gastric cancer cell line HGC-27. Studies directed to elucidate the mechanism of induced cell death were performed. Cell cycle distribution analysis and cell death studies showed that compound 34 induces cell cycle arrest at the $G_{1}$ phase and caspase-dependent apoptosis. In conclusion, squaramide 34 can be considered a potential anticancer agent for gastric carcinoma.


## 1. Introduction

The field of squaramides has experienced an extraordinary growth since the work reported by Rawal and co-workers in 2008, ${ }^{1}$ where these molecules were used as organocatalysts. Their promising properties also make them appealing for diverse areas of research beyond organocatalysis. ${ }^{2-4}$

Their unique structural features are believed to have an effect on the biological activity of these substrates; squaramide structures present a planar aromatic framework ${ }^{5}$ bearing two adjacent carbonyl acceptor groups and two NH donor sites that are able to establish multiple hydrogen bond interactions. ${ }^{6}$ In this sense, due to their important ability to selectively bind through cooperative hydrogen bonds, squaramides have received special attention in medicinal chemistry since they may be able to interact with biological targets via specific molecular recognition. ${ }^{7}$

This has promoted recent interest of medicinal chemists in considering squaramide motifs as promising candidates for drug design and interesting tools as bioisosteres of ureas, guanidines or phosphates, among others. ${ }^{8-16}$ Moreover, squaramides exhibit an interesting dual behaviour, as they are able to act as good hydrogen bond acceptors and hydrogen bond donors, which make them suitable for both cation

[^0]and anion recognition. This could be crucial for the success of cell internalization of these compounds, one of the most important issues in drug design.

In spite of the increasing number of bioactive squaramidebased compounds found in the literature, their application as anticancer agents has not yet been intensively explored and no exhaustive studies in different cancer cells have been previously reported. ${ }^{17,18}$ In addition, some squaramides have been shown to selectively bind protein kinases ${ }^{18}$ or the CXCR2 receptor, ${ }^{19}$ thus indicating that this class of compounds may exert their function by selectively binding to cellular targets. Hence, this interesting behaviour suggests that squaramides may serve as a good starting point to identify molecules that can specifically target cancer cells. This, together with the interest in searching for new anticancer agents, prompted us to evaluate the antitumor activity of a variety of squaramides synthesized following a protocol recently published by us. ${ }^{20-22}$

Based on these precedents, we envisioned that the ability of squaramides to generate hydrogen bonds and electrostatic interactions could be an interesting option to design specific recognition systems with potential antitumor effects. Herein, we present a series of squaramides and squaramates and the study of their activity against different cancer cells that confirms the antitumor properties of this family of promising drug candidates.

## 2. Results and discussion

Firstly, following our optimized reaction conditions, ${ }^{20,22}$ a series of differently substituted squaramates $\mathbf{1 - 1 4}$ and squaramides 15-39 were synthesized (Charts 1 and 2). Substituents with different stereoelectronic properties and






Chart 1 Squaramates and squaramides evaluated.
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Chart 2 Squaramides evaluated.
lipophilicities were incorporated with the aim of investigating if their biological activity may correlate with the presence of different NH amide-type donor sites.

### 2.1. Cell-type specific cytotoxic effect of squaramides

The effect of squaramides on cell viability was initially evaluated on different tumor cell lines (HeLa, cervical carcinoma,
and HGC-27, gastric carcinoma) using an MTT assay after 24 h of treatment at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Fig. 1).

As shown in Fig. 1, treatment with some of the compounds resulted in significant loss of cell viability. Some structure-activity relationships can be disclosed from this initial screening. Hence, squaramates 1-14 (Chart 1) showed no remarkable activity in any of the cases, thus indicating that the second NH amide bond is required for activity.


Fig. 1 Percentage of cell viability after 24 h of treatment with a concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ as measured by an MTT assay. The cells were grown on a 96 -well plate and treated with the squaramides or DMSO (vehicle group). After 24 h of treatment, cell viability was evaluated using an MTT assay, as described in the Experimental section. Cell viability is represented in relation to the vehicle group. Data are the average $\pm$ SD of three experiments.

Substantial inhibition can be seen for compounds 15-20 all of them sharing a cinchona-based substituent. Interestingly, the presence of a 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl moiety confers almost in all the cases inhibition of cell viability (15, 16, 21, 27, 34-38), irrespective of the other substituent present at the second amide. Similarly, 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl substituted squaramides 22 and 26 also show good activities in HGC-27 cells, whereas they are less active in HeLa cells.

After this initial screening, the most potent compounds were selected and were further evaluated at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Fig. 2). Interesting information was revealed from this second screening. In general, HGC-27 cells seem to be more sensitive than HeLa cells to the effect of the tested squaramides, except for compound 27 which shows a more potent cell viability inhibitory activity in HeLa cells than in HGC-27. This celltype specificity may discard a general non-specific cytotoxic effect exerted by the squaramides. Again, the cinchona-based
compounds 15-20 showed good inhibitory activities, independently of the substituents present at the other point of diversity. Squaramides containing bulky and chiral substituents at both sites $(25,39)$ are more potent than the corresponding $p$-trifluoromethylphenyl analogues (29, 30). The 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl substituted squaramides 34-38 displayed different potencies depending on their substitution pattern, with the highly lipophilic 33 and 34 being the most potent compounds. As a result of this second screening, squaramides 21, 22, 28-30, 32 and 35-37 were discarded due to the low potency displayed at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and, consequently, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were measured for the remaining compounds (Table 1).

The increased sensitivity of HGC-27 cells compared to HeLa cells was again proven, showing in all the cases $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the low micromolar range for all the tested squaramides. Interestingly, squaramide 34, bearing a 1,1,2triphenylethanol and a 3,5-trifluoromethylphenyl substituent,


Fig. 2 Percentage of cell viability after 24 h of treatment with a concentration of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ as measured by an MTT assay. The cells were grown on a 96 -well plate and treated with the squaramides or DMSO (vehicle group). After 24 h of treatment, cell viability was evaluated using an MTT assay, as described in the Experimental section. Cell viability is represented in relation to DMSO. Data are the average $\pm$ SD of three experiments. Note: compounds 29, 30 and $33-35$ were not investigated in HeLa cells at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Table 1 Effect of squaramides on cell viability against HeLa and HGC-27 cell lines as measured by an MTT assay. Cisplatin and doxorubicin were added as a positive control. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are indicated as the average $\pm$ SD of three experiments

|  | Mean IC ${ }_{50}(95 \%$ CI $)$ in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Compound | HeLa cells | HGC-27 cells |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $11.26(10.33-12.2)$ | $8.12(7.14-9.24)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $15.17(13.2-17.4)$ | $8.21(7.68-8.78)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $10.79(9.49-12.26)$ | $4.53(3.83-5.36)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $>20$ | $12.76(11.84-13.7)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $12.08(11.37-3.93)$ | $3.01(2.26-5.02)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $>20$ | $11.11(10.51-11.74)$ |
| 33 | $>20$ | $3.39(2.85-4.04)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $34.63(27.97-42.87)$ | $1.81(1.47-2.23)$ |
| 39 | $>20$ | $10.79(10.30-11.20)$ |
| Cisplatin | - | $20.44(19.84-22.18)$ |
| Doxorubicin | - | $37.40(30.63-45.67)$ |
|  |  |  |

was found to be one of most potent and selective compounds tested, showing an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $34.63 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in HeLa cells and $1.81 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in HGC-27 cells. Analogously, squaramide 33, where the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl had been replaced by 3,5-difluorophenyl, displayed a similar activity pattern. Moreover, this low micromolar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ decreased further to nanomolar values ( 660 nM , Table 2) when HGC-27 cells were exposed to compound 34 for 48 h .

With these promising results in hand regarding the activity and selectivity of squaramide 34 , we decided next to explore the activity profile of 34 on different cell lines. Hence, cell viability studies were extended to the glioblastoma cells lines, T98 and U87 (the most aggressive type of primary brain tumor) and the non-cancer cells HEK293, MDCK (MadinDarby Canine Kidney Epithelial cells) and the Vero cell line (African green monkey kidney cell line). The results are displayed in Table 2 and confirm certain cell-dependent toxicity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $60.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $7.15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for the glioblastoma cell lines U87 and T98, respectively, $9.03 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for the HEK293 cells, $70.20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for the MDCK cells and $33.40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for the Vero cells).

Gastric cancer accounts for $8 \%$ of the total cases of cancers and $10 \%$ of total deaths. The survival for patients with this type of cancer has improved only modestly over the last 50 years. Consequently, there is still a clear need for the development of more efficient treatments. Moreover, gastric cancer presents high molecular heterogeneity, which prevents the uniform application of specific targeted agents, ${ }^{23}$ and therefore the identification of novel targets or novel com-
pounds active on gastric cancer cells is of great interest. ${ }^{23,24}$ With this aim, and due to the high potency displayed by 34 in the gastric cancer cells HGC-27 together with its interesting selectivity profile observed in the other cell lines, we aimed to further examine the molecular mechanisms underlying 34-mediated cell death in HGC-27 cells.

### 2.2. Apoptotic cell death study

The MTT assay reflects viable cells but cannot be employed as a marker of cell proliferation. A decrease in the number of viable cells can be attributed to cell cycle arrest and/or cell death. To determine whether 34 -induced decrease in cell viability in HGC-27 cells was accompanied by alterations in cell cycle distribution, the percentage of cells in the different stages of the cell cycle was analysed by flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 3, treatment with 34 for 24 h induced a significant accumulation of $G_{0} / G_{1}$ cells associated with a decrease in the $S-\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ cell population, suggesting that cell cycle arrest at the $\mathrm{G}_{0} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$ phase contributes to the observed effect, whereas treatment of cells with doxorubicin, included as a positive control, resulted in $G_{2} / M$ arrest.

Cell death can be controlled by several mechanisms or pathways. ${ }^{25}$ Therefore, we decided to quantify the cell death caused by 34 as well as determine the specific cell death modality, which is mainly apoptosis, necrosis or autophagy that may be prevalent in these cells. With this aim, we evaluated the apoptotic effect of 34 on HGC-27 cells using an Annexin V-FITC/PI staining assay and analysed the stained cells by flow cytometry. ${ }^{26}$ Briefly, loss of plasma membrane integrity is indicative of cell death and this can be assessed using cell-impermeable dyes that are able to enter the cell once the integrity of the plasma membrane is lost, such as propidium iodide (PI). Moreover, apoptotic cells expose phosphatidylserine (PS) before membrane rupture, whereas in necrotic cells PS exposure and membrane disruption occur simultaneously, thus discriminating early and late apoptotic cells as well as necrotic cells. To study the effect of 34 on HGC-27 cells, cells were treated with the vehicle alone as control or with 34 at three different concentrations (1, 2.5 and $8 \mu \mathrm{M})$. After 24 hours, the samples were double stained with Annexin V-FITC and PI and the percentage of cells was analysed. As shown in Fig. 4, compound 34 induced cell apoptosis in a concentration-dependent manner. In the case of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ treated HGC-27 cells, the total percentage of early and late apoptotic cells were $2.4 \%, 24.7 \%$,

Table 2 Effect of squaramide 34 on cell viability against various human cancer cell lines as measured by an MTT assay. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are indicated as the mean $\pm$ SD of three experiments

|  | Mean $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | HeLa | HGC-27 | T98 | U87 | HEK293 | MDCK |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | 34.63 | $1.81(1.47-2.23)$ | 7.15 | 60.25 | 9.03 | 70.20 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(27.97-42.87)$ | $0.66^{a}$ | $(6.12-8.34)$ | $(41.52-87.42)$ | $(7.07-11.54)$ | $(50.58-97.43)$ | $(27.96-39.90)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(0.57-0.76)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## A



Fig. 3 Cell cycle distribution of HGC-27 cells with or without treatment of compound 34 at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO for 24 h . Doxorubicin ( 500 nM ) was included as a positive control. The cells were collected and processed for cell cycle analysis. A) Representative cell cycle histograms obtained from HGC-27 cells after 24 h of exposure to vehicle or 34 . B) Quantification of the relative number of cells in each stage of the cell cycle (Multicycle $A V$ software). Data are the average $\pm$ SD of three experiments.


Fig. 4 Apoptotic effect of squaramide 34 on HGC-27 cells. Cells were treated with DMSO (vehicle group) or 34 alone or together with Z-VADFMK ( $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), Z-IETD-FMK ( $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), Z-LEHD-FMK ( $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), Nec-1 ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) or cathepsin inhibitor III (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Cells were pre-treated for 1 h with Z-VAD-FMK, Z-IETD-FMK, Z-LEHD-FMK and cathepsin inhibitor III and 3 h with Nec-1 before adding 34 . C8-ceramide ( $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was added as a positive control. After 24 h of treatment, the cells were double-stained with Annexin V and PI and analysed. The percentage of apoptotic and necrotic cells upon incubation with 34 were quantified by flow cytometry as described in the Experimental section (live cells (bottom left quadrant), early apoptotic cells (bottom right quadrant), late apoptotic cells (upper right quadrant) and necrotic cells (upper left quadrant)). The graph shows the quantitative analysis of necrosis and early and late apoptosis in treated cells. The data were obtained from three independent experiments.
and $60.1 \%$, respectively. In contrast, only $6.7 \%$ of the early and late apoptotic cells were detected in the control, which revealed that compound 34 efficiently induces apoptosis in HGC-27 cells (Fig. 4). Doxorubicin and cisplatin have been used for the treatment of gastric cancer, usually in combination with other anticancer agents. Therefore, the effect of doxorubicin in HGC-27 cells was also investigated, resulting in dose-dependent induction of apoptosis. HGC-27 cells treated with a concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of doxorubicin ${ }^{27}$ presented $3.2 \%$ of early apoptotic cells, $16.7 \%$ of late apoptotic cells and $32.4 \%$ of necrotic cells, thus showing a slightly less potent effect than squaramide 34 .

To explain the high doses required to detect cell death compared to the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained in the MTT assays, we measured the effect of these compounds on cell viability by an alternative assay based on the quantification of cellular ATP levels. Indeed, although $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values resulting from these studies were in a similar range, the dose-dependent curve obtained in the ATP-based analysis was in agreement with concentrations required in cells to cause an apoptotic effect (Fig. S1\$). Alternatively, a sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay was employed to investigate the cytotoxicity of 34 . SRB is a dye that binds proteins under mild acidic conditions, and the amount of detected dye can be correlated with the cell mass. ${ }^{28}$ Hence, the SRB assay is independent of the mitochondrial function. In this case, an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $9.74 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was obtained for squaramide 34 in HGC-27 cells ( $v s .149 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $73.85 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in MDCK and Vero cells, respectively). In contrast to the MTT assay that requires cellular metabolic activity and therefore only stains viable cells, SRB stains viable and dead cells, thus giving generally slightly higher $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. ${ }^{28}$

### 2.3. Squaramide 34 induces caspase-dependent cell death

Apoptosis can be triggered through caspase-dependent or independent mechanisms. To explore whether 34 induces cell death through a caspase-dependent mechanism, Z-VAD-FMK, a pan-caspase inhibitor was employed. Hence, pre-treatment of cells with Z-VAD-FMK $(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 1 h partially prevented 34-induced cell death, thus suggesting that a caspasedependent mechanism may be involved (Fig. 4).

Apoptosis may be initiated by the extrinsic or the intrinsic signaling pathway. The extrinsic signaling pathway involves death receptors located at the membrane, such as FasL/FasR and TNF- $\alpha /$ TNFR1, the transmission of the signal from the cell surface to intracellular signaling pathways and the implication of caspase-8. The intrinsic pathway is the mitochondrial pathway and may be caused by different stimuli including free radicals, toxins, or hypoxia, and involves the activation of caspase-9. The extrinsic pathway and the intrinsic pathway converge on the final execution phase, mediated by the effector caspase-3. ${ }^{29}$ Hence, we next investigated the implication of both pathways by pre-treatment of the cells with a caspase-8 (Z-IETD-FMK) or a caspase-9 (Z-LEHD-FMK) inhibitor. Interestingly, the percentage of apoptotic cells decreased markedly upon treatment with the caspase-9 inhibi-
tor Z-LEHD-FMK, compared to the results obtained with the other caspase inhibitors, thus suggesting the implication of the mitochondrial pathway (Fig. 4). A smaller decrease in apoptosis rate was also observed when cells were treated with the caspase inhibitor Z-IETD-FMK, which could be due to the described cross reactivity for these inhibitors. ${ }^{30}$

### 2.4. Alternative cell death mechanism

Cathepsin-dependent cell death. Cell death can also be mediated by lysosomal cathepsin proteases in the so-called lysosomal cell death (LCD), consisting of lysosomal membrane permeabilization (LMP) and subsequent release of lysosomal proteases to the cytosol. ${ }^{31}$ Extensive LMP leads to uncontrolled necrosis, whereas limited LMP can activate caspase-dependent or independent pathways, ${ }^{32}$ resulting in a cell death that can be prevented upon cathepsin inhibition. Hence, to elucidate whether part of the cell death observed in 34-treated cells was due to a cathepsin-mediated process, cells were incubated with 34, with or without a cathepsin inhibitor cocktail (cathepsin inhibitor III, EMD Millipore) which primarily targets cathepsin $B$, and the extent of cell death was then measured by an Annexin V-FITC/PI staining assay. As shown in Fig. 4, the presence of the cathepsin inhibitor did not have any effect on the percentage of live cells but on the contrary it did dramatically induce necrosis on HGC-27 cells. Treatment of HGC-27 cells with cathepsin inhibitor III alone did not have remarkable apoptotic effects (not shown). The obtained results discard the involvement of LCD in the molecular mechanism responsible for 34 effects.

Necrosis. Initial cell death analysis by flow cytometry studies revealed that 34 also causes a significant increase in the number of necrotic cells (Fig. 4). Necrosis may be primary or secondary. Secondary necrotic cells follow apoptosis, whereas primary necrosis, also termed necroptosis, is a nonapoptotic programmed cell death that is mediated by kinases of the receptor interacting protein (RIP) family. ${ }^{33}$ Hence, we then investigated if necroptosis could contribute to the caspase-independent cell death. With this aim, HGC-27 cells were pre-treated with Necrostatin-1 (Nec-1), an RIP1 inhibitor that blocks this pathway. Interestingly, the necrosis induced by 34 turned out to be not Nec-1 sensitive, thus discarding the involvement of necroptosis as a cell death method, and suggesting that the necrotic cells observed in the flow cytometry analysis are probably post-apoptotic cells.

Autophagy. Apart from apoptosis or necrosis, another mechanism of programmed cell death is associated with excessive levels of autophagy in a process known as autophagic cell death (ACD). ${ }^{34}$ Considering that an autophagic pathway could play a role in the 34 -mediated effect, we determine whether this compound induces autophagy in HGC-27 cells and how this process may impact the cellular fate. Therefore, we evaluated the effects of squaramide 34 on autophagy by assessing a key marker of this process, LC3-II. During autophagosome formation, microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 (LC3-I) gets lipidated at the C-terminus with a
phosphatidylethanolamine unit (LC3-II) and subsequently associated with the autophagosome membrane. Since the amount of LC3-II correlates well with the number of autophagosomes, it has been widely employed to monitor autophagy. Hence, HGC-27 cells were treated with 34 for 24 h , and the cell lysates were subjected to Western blotting to determine the changes in expression of LC3-II. As shown in Fig. 5, Western blot analysis revealed a minor increase in this specific autophagic marker after squaramide 34 treatment. Because autophagy is a dynamic process, LC3 accumulation can occur as a result of autophagy induction or due to impairment of the lysosomal function. Moreover, certain cells present a high basal autophagic flux, and as a consequence, autophagy induction is not always correlated with a clear LC3-II accumulation. ${ }^{35}$ To discard these possibilities, LC3-II levels were also measured upon inhibition of the lysosomal function. Indeed, these studies revealed a pronounced accu-
mulation of LC3-II in 34-treated cells, indicating a correct autophagic flux, an unmasked LC3-II accumulation probably due to its rapid turnover and confirming an important autophagy induction.

Although it has been proven that autophagy can contribute to cell death, ${ }^{36}$ there is still a controversy whether it is a cell death caused by autophagy, or a cell death accompanied by induction of autophagy that may exert a survival or cytoprotective effect. ${ }^{37,38}$ To determine how this autophagy induction impacts the cell fate, we aimed to test if autophagy plays a causative role in preventing 34 -induced cell death by employing autophagy inhibitors. Herein, we used two autophagy inhibitors, chloroquine (CQ), a lysosomotropic agent that impairs the lysosomal function, and 3-methyladenine (3-MA), a pan inhibitor of the lipid kinase phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), involved in the first steps of autophagosome formation, and the percentage of apoptotic/


Fig. 5 HGC-27 cells were exposed to 34 for 24 h . A) Levels of LC3-Il were assessed by Western blotting of cell lysates as described in the Experimental procedures. XM462 ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), a known autophagy inducer in HGC-27 cells, was used as a positive control. ${ }^{47}$ The images shown are representative of three independent experiments with similar results. B) Quantified LC3-II levels with respect to $\beta$-actin. C) Effect of autophagy inhibition on apoptosis/necrosis of treated HGC-27 cells. Cells were treated with DMSO (vehicle group), 34 alone, chloroquine (CQ, $50 \mu M$ in EtOH) or 3-methyladenine (3-MA, 2 mM in DMSO). The cells were pre-treated for 2 h with CQ or 3 h with 3-MA before adding 34 . After 24 h of treatment, the cells were double-stained with Annexin $V$ and PI and analysed. The percentage of apoptotic and necrotic cells upon incubation with 34 were quantified by flow cytometry as described in the Experimental section (live cells (bottom left quadrant), early apoptotic cells (bottom right quadrant), late apoptotic cells (upper right quadrant) and necrotic cells (upper left quadrant)). The graph shows the quantitative analysis of necrosis and early and late apoptosis in cells treated with 34. The data were obtained from three independent experiments.
necrotic and live cells upon autophagy inhibition was analysed. As shown in Fig. 5, co-treatment of 34 with autophagy inhibitors such as CQ or 3-MA did not increase the number of live cells, but on the contrary significantly increased the number of necrotic cells. Taken all together, all data described above suggest that autophagy is triggered by 34 exposure to HGC-27 cells as a protective response to alleviate its cytotoxicity. Moreover, the fact that cathepsin inhibition, which causes lysosomal dysfunction, results also in increased cell death may underscore the pro-survival function of autophagy, which requires a correct lysosomal function to take place (Fig. 4). ${ }^{39}$

### 2.5. Mitochondria and ROS

MTT assays measure the activity of succinate dehydrogenase, a mitochondrial enzyme, hence reflecting the activity status of this organelle and suggesting that the differences observed in both cell viability methods employed above (MTT vs. ATPbased assay) may occur due to mitochondrial damage, which would be in agreement with the increased viability upon treatment with the caspase- 9 inhibitor, a marker of the intrinsic mitochondrial pathway.

If the intrinsic mitochondrial pathway is activated, there are alterations in the inner mitochondrial membrane, resulting in changes in transmembrane potential, loss of membrane permeability and activation of caspase. Therefore, we next investigated the mitochondrial membrane potential in HGC-27 cells treated with squaramide 34. JC-1 accumulates as aggregates in the mitochondria (orange color) and the color changes from orange to green fluorescence in apoptotic cells with depolarization of mitochondrial membrane potential, where JC-1 exists in the monomeric form. ${ }^{40}$ Therefore, JC- 1 has been widely used to detect mitochondrial depolarization during apoptosis. The results showed that 34 efficiently caused mitochondrial membrane depolarization. When $\Delta \Psi_{\mathrm{m}}$ levels were assessed quantitatively by the ratio of orange/green fluorescence intensity, there was a significant reduction of $\Delta \Psi_{\mathrm{m}}$ in HGC-27 cells compared to control cells after a $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ treatment for 24 h (Fig. 6), which indicates that compound 34 induces $\Delta \Psi_{\mathrm{m}}$ changes in HGC-27 cells during apoptosis. These results confirmed the implication of the intrinsic pathway in the 34-mediated cell death.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are known triggers of the intrinsic apoptotic cascade. ${ }^{41}$ Therefore, oxidative damage caused by the generation of ROS may be one of the underlying mechanisms of 34-mediated cell death. To elucidate whether oxidative stress mediated by ROS generation plays a critical role in the onset of cell death caused by 34 , we determined the changes in the intracellular redox potential upon treatment with 34 by employing the fluorescent probe $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA), which is converted to highly fluorescent dichlorofluorescein (DCF) in the presence of intracellular ROS. However, although a remarkable increase in ROS formation was detected when $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was employed as a positive control, no signs of ROS production


Fig. 6 Mitochondrial membrane potential in 34-treated HGC-27 cells evaluated by JC-1 staining and flow cytometry analysis. Quantification of the orange/green ratio. Data are the average $\pm$ SD of three experiments.
could be detected in HGC-27 treated cells, thus discarding the implication of oxidative damage in the molecular mechanism responsible for 34-mediated cell death (Fig. S2 $\ddagger$ ).

## Summary and conclusions

In this study, it has been shown that substituted squaramide 34 effectively inhibited the proliferation of HGC-27 cells in a concentration-dependent manner. These inhibitory effects were associated with the cell cycle arrest and induction of apoptosis dependent of caspases that implicates the activation of the intrinsic pathway and the subsequent mitochondrial membrane depolarization. The implication of ROS as stimuli for the activation of the intrinsic pathway has been discarded, suggesting that other stimuli or pro-apoptotic proteins may be involved in the activation of the intrinsic pathway. Apart from the observed caspase-dependent apoptosis, no signs of cathepsin-mediated cell death or necroptosis have been detected. Moreover, the cell death caused by 34 is accompanied by autophagy induction having a protective effect. These overall results indicate that the decrease in cell viability caused by squaramide 34 is most likely mediated by $\mathrm{G}_{0} / \mathrm{G}_{1}$ cell cycle arrest and caspase-mediated apoptosis. Since the identification of compounds inducing a specific cell death subroutine is preferred to avoid side-effects and nonspecific cytotoxic effects, squaramide 34 can be considered a promising agent for the treatment of gastric carcinoma. Moreover, although 34 presents an specific effect on HGC-27 cells vs. HeLa, U87 and T98 cells, similar anti-tumor effects on other epithelial tumor types, particularly those of the GI tract, cannot be discarded and will be characterized in future studies.

## Experimental section

## Materials

Minimum essential medium eagle, fetal bovine serum, nonessential amino acids, 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), BSA, NADH, Tween 20, trypsin-EDTA, chloroquine diphosphate, 3-methyladenine, necrostatin-1, pepstatin A, C8-ceramide, doxorubicin, $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$ -
dichlorofluorescein diacetate and protease inhibitors were obtained from Sigma. Laemmli buffer and acrylamide were procured from BioRad, SDS from Fluka, and the microBCA protein assay kit from Thermo Scientific. The protease inhibitor cocktail contained $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ aprotinin, $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}{ }^{-1}$ leupeptin, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride. Z-VAD-FMK and E-64-D were acquired from Enzo Life Science. Z-IEDT-FMK and Z-LEHD-FMK were purchased from Alfa Aesar and cathepsin inhibitor III from CalBioChem. Annexin V-FITC early apoptosis detection kit was obtained from Cell Signaling. Antibodies: microtubule-associated protein 1-light chain 3 (LC3) II (rabbit) was obtained from Abcam, and $\beta$-actin (mouse) was purchased from Sigma. HRP secondary antibodies were acquired from GE Healthcare.

## General experimental methods

Purification of reaction products was carried out either by filtration or by flash chromatography using silica gel (0.0630.200 mm ). Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed on 0.25 mm silica gel 60-F plates. The ESI ionization method and a mass analyzer type MicroTof-Q were used for ESI measurements. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra were recorded at 300 and $400 \mathrm{MHz} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR-APT spectra were recorded at 75 and $100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO- $d_{6}$ were used as solvents. Chemical shifts were reported in the $\delta$ scale relative to residual $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( 7.26 ppm ) and DMSO ( 2.50 ppm ) for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and to the central line of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(77 \mathrm{ppm})$ and DMSO- $d_{6}$ ( 39.43 ppm ) for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR-APT. All commercially available solvents and reagents were used as received.

## Representative procedure for the synthesis of squaramates and squaramides

To a mixture of 3,4-dimethoxy-3-cyclobutene-1,2-dione ( 0.2 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.75-1.5 \mathrm{ml}$ ), appropriate amine ( 0.2 mmol ) was added at room temperature. After the appropriate reaction time, the corresponding squaramate was purified either by filtration, washing with MeOH at $-25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, or column chromatography (see the ESI $\ddagger$ ). Squaramate 1 is commercially available. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectral data for squaramates $2,{ }^{42} 3,{ }^{10} 4,{ }^{42} 9,{ }^{42}$ and $10,{ }^{42}$ are consistent with values previously reported in the literature. For spectral and analytical data for all new squaramates (5-8 and 11-14), see the ESI. $\ddagger$

Squaramides 15-39 were synthesized following our own reported procedure. ${ }^{20,22}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectral data for squaramides 15-18, ${ }^{43} 19,{ }^{44} 20,{ }^{1} 21,{ }^{20} 22,{ }^{20} 23,{ }^{20} 24,{ }^{20}$ $25,{ }^{20} 26,{ }^{1} 27,{ }^{45} 28,{ }^{20} 29,{ }^{46} 30,{ }^{43} 31,{ }^{20} 32,{ }^{20} 33,{ }^{20} 34,{ }^{20} 35,{ }^{20}$ $36,{ }^{20} 37,{ }^{45} 38,{ }^{20}$ and $39,{ }^{20}$ are consistent with values previously reported in the literature.

## Cell culture

The human gastric cancer cell line HGC-27 was cultured at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in minimum essential medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum and $1 \%$ nonessential amino acids. Cells were routinely grown at $80 \%$ maximum confluence. Glioblastoma cells T98G and U87 as well as

HEK293 and HeLa cells were maintained at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum and $100 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ each of penicillin and streptomycin.

## Cell viability assay

To determine cell viability, the colorimetric MTT metabolic activity assay was employed. Cells were seeded at a density of $0.1 \times 10^{6}$ cells $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}, 0.1 \mathrm{ml}$ per well ( 96 -well plates) and cultured at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Twenty-four hours after seeding, cells were exposed to varying concentrations of the investigated compounds and viability was determined 24 h or 48 h later. The control culture was prepared by addition of culture medium (cell viability control) or DMSO (vehicle control) in the absence of treatment. The stock solution of doxorubicin was prepared in water. After 24 h or 48 h of treatment, MTT solution ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ at $5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ in PBS) was added to each well and the cells were incubated for another 3 h . The solution was removed from the precipitate and the resulting formazan crystals were dissolved in DMSO ( $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and the absorbance intensity was measured using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, SpectramaxM5) at 570 nm . All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the relative cell viability (\%) is expressed as the percentage of cell viability relative to the cells treated with DMSO ( $0.1 \%$, vehicle group).

## SRB assay

Cells were seeded at a density of $0.1 \times 10^{6}$ cells per $\mathrm{ml}, 0.1 \mathrm{ml}$ per well (96-well plates) and cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Twenty-four hours after seeding, cells were exposed to varying concentrations of 34 and viability was determined after 24 h . The control culture was prepared by addition of DMSO (vehicle control) in the absence of treatment. After $24 \mathrm{~h}, 50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of TCA $(50 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ and $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PBS were added to each well and the cells were incubated for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was then removed and the plate was washed with Milli-Q water four times. After that, $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ per well of SRB solution $(0.4 \%$ sulforhodamine B $\mathbf{w} / \mathrm{v}$ in $0.1 \%$ acetic acid) was added and the samples were incubated for another 30 min at room temperature. The plate was then washed with $1 \%$ acetic acid five times and $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a 10 mM Tris solution ( pH 10.5 ) were added to each well. After 30 min of incubation at room temperature, the absorbance intensity was measured using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, SpectramaxM5) at 564 nm . All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the relative cell viability (\%) is expressed as the percentage of cell viability relative to the cells treated with DMSO ( $0.1 \%$, vehicle group).

## Cell cycle analysis

Cells were seeded at 150000 cells per ml in 6 -well plates ( 1 ml per well). Cells were allowed to adhere for 24 h , and then they were treated with the vehicle ( $0.1 \%$ ethanol) or 34. After exposure for 24 h , cell media were discarded and cells were washed with $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PBS-EDTA ( $1 \%$ BSA) and
harvested with $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of trypsin-EDTA ( $1 \%$ BSA) $\left(37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ per 2 min ). Cells were pulled down by centrifugation at 1300 rpm per 3 min ; the cell pellet was washed once with $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PBS-EDTA ( $1 \%$ BSA) and again centrifuged at the same speed/time. Cells were fixed by $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight incubation with $70 \%$ ethanol $(9.5 \mathrm{ml})$ in $1 \times$ PBS solution ( 0.5 ml ). Fixed cells were pulled down, washed once with PBS-EDTA ( $1 \% \mathrm{BSA}$ ) and stained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h with propidium iodide solution ( $0.1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ in PBS) and RNase, DNase-free ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ). Stained cells were analyzed by using a Guava EasyCyte ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ flow cytometer (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA). Data analysis was performed using the program Multicycle AV (Phoenix Flow Systems, San Diego, CA).

## Apoptosis assay

HGC-27 cells were plated in 6 -well plates ( 100000 cells per ml per well) and were treated with compound $34 ; 0.1 \%$ DMSO was used as a vehicle control. After induction for 24 h , both treated and untreated cells were collected (trypsin and PBS with $5 \%$ BSA) and the cells were washed with PBS containing $5 \%$ BSA. The percentages of apoptotic cells were estimated by staining with Annexin-V-FITC and PI (Annexin-VFITC apoptosis detection kit, Cell Signaling). Almost 10000 events were collected for each sample and analyzed by flow cytometry. In brief, cells were incubated with $96 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of binding buffer, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Annexin V-FITC and $12.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PI solution at room temperature for 10 min in the dark. After a final addition of $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PBS, HGC-27 cells were immediately analyzed on a Guava EasyCyte ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ single sample flow cytometer. Samples were excited by a light wavelength of 488 nm with barrier filters of 525 nm and 575 nm for FITC fluorescence and PI detection, respectively. Data were analyzed by InCyte Software and plotted for Annexin V-FITC and PI in a two-way dot plot. Live, early apoptotic, late apoptotic and necrotic cells are designed as Annexin-/PI-, Annexin+/PI-, and Annexin+/PI+, respectively. Results are shown as the percentage of cells.

## Western blotting

For protein analysis, $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells were plated in 6 -well plates and were allowed to adhere for 24 h . In the samples containing protease inhibitors, cells were treated with protease inhibitors, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of pepstatin A at a concentration of 1 mg $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ in 9:1 of methanol and acetic acid (1\%), and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of E-64-D at a concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ in ethanol. After 2 h of incubation, HGC-27 cells were treated with $34(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ or DMSO ( $0.1 \%$ ) for 24 h , collected with trypsin, and then the pellets were washed twice with cold PBS. Cell lysis was performed with $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris-Cl ( pH 7.5 ), $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \%$ Triton X-100, $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ aprotinin, $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ leupeptin and 1 mM PMSF) by five cycles of bath sonication ( 20 s )/rest on ice ( 20 s ). Then samples were kept on ice for 30 min and centrifuged for 3 min at 10000 rpm . Supernatants were collected and protein determination was performed using the Micro $\mathrm{BCA}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ protein assay kit. Superna-
tants were combined with Laemmli sample buffer and boiled for 5 min . Equal amounts of proteins ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) were loaded onto a $15 \%$ polyacrylamide gel, separated by electrophoresis at 140 V per 60 min , and transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane ( $100 \mathrm{~V} / 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ). PVDF membranes were then cut to separate LC3B and $\beta$-actin bands. Unspecific binding sites were then blocked with $5 \%$ milk in TBS with $0.1 \%$ Tween 20 (TBST) in the case of the LC3B membrane and with $3 \%$ BSA in TBST in the case of the anti- $\beta$-actin antibody. The anti-LC3B antibody was diluted $1: 1000$ in $5 \%$ milk in TBST, and the anti- $\beta$-actin antibody was diluted 1:2000 in 3\% BSA in TBST. Membranes were incubated overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under gentle agitation. After washing with TBST, membranes were probed with the corresponding secondary antibody for 1 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (LC3B: 1:1000 dilution in 3\% BSA in TBST; actin: 1:10000 dilution in 5\% milk in TBST). Antibody excess was eliminated by washing with TBST and protein detection was carried out using ECL and membrane scanning with a LI-COR C-DiGit® blot scanner. Band intensities were quantified by LI-COR Image Studio Lite Software. All experiments were performed in triplicate and band intensities were expressed as the relation of the samples with the control.

## Mitochondrial membrane potential

HGC-27 cells were seeded at a density of 100000 cells per ml ( 1 ml per well) in 6 -well plates, cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and allowed to adhere for 24 h . Cells were treated with 34 at various concentrations ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 2 \mu \mathrm{M}, 8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in DMSO) or DMSO ( $0.2 \%$ ) as negative control (vehicle group). After 24 h of incubation, cells were collected (trypsin with 5\% BSA) and washed with PBS containing 5\% BSA. The cell pellet was then resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of medium and seeded in a 96-well plate ( 1 sample per well). $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a previously prepared $50 \times$ solution of JC-1 and 7-AAD were added to each well and cells were incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Finally, cells were collected in Eppendorf flasks and analysed on a Guava EasyCyte ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Single Sample Flow Cytometer. Data were analyzed by InCyte Software and plotted for orange (JC-1 aggregates) and green fluorescence (JC-1 monomers).

## ROS detection

The oxidative metabolism was examined in triplicate samples by using $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-dichlorofluorescein diacetate, a non-fluorescent membrane-permeable compound which can be oxidised to $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-dichlorofluorescein in case the cell has high levels of reactive oxygen species.

HGC-27 cells were seeded at a density of $0.1 \times 10^{6}$ cells per $\mathrm{ml}, 0.1 \mathrm{ml}$ per well ( 96 -well plates), cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \%$ and allowed to adhere for $24 \mathrm{~h} .2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-Dichlorofluorescein diacetate $(25 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in DMSO was then added and incubated for 1 h . Compound 34 was then added at various concentrations (24 to $1.40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). DMSO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ were added too as a negative and positive control, respectively. After 5 h of incubation, fluorescence was measured by a microplate reader
(Spectramax) at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 538 nm .
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#### Abstract

Targeting autophagy is a promising therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment. As a result, the identification of novel autophagy inhibitors is an emerging field of research. Herein, we report the development of a novel AlphaScreen HTS assay that combined with a MS-based assay and a structure-based highthroughput virtual screening have enabled the identification of benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one as a novel scaffold that targets Atg4B. Thus, an initial screening campaign led to the identification of NSC126353 and NSC611216 bearing a chlorohydrin moiety. Structural-activity relationship analysis of the initial hits provided an optimized lead, compound 33, bearing a 7 -aminobenzo[cd]indol-2-[1H]-one scaffold and a propyl group replacing the chlorine. Inhibition of autophagy was also investigated in cells by measuring LC3-II and p62 protein levels. Moreover, the synergistic effect of $\mathbf{3 3}$ combined with oxaliplatin resulted in an enhanced cell death in the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29. We are convinced that the developed AlphaScreen and MS-based assays can be key tools enabling the high-throughput identification of novel Atg4B inhibitors. Moreover, the aminobenzo[cd]indol-2-[1H]-one scaffold represents a novel chemotype for the further development of small molecule inhibitors of Atg4B.


© 2019 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Autophagy is an evolutionarily conserved pathway of lysosomal degradation and recycling of obsolete organelles, long-lived proteins and the clearance of protein aggregates and pathogens. This process starts with the formation of a double-membrane phagophore which is then elongated and it eventually closes, engulfing the cargo to be degraded, to form the autophagosome. The final step is the fusion of the autophagosome with the lysosome and the enzymatic digestion of the cargo by lysosomal hydrolases.

This recycling mechanism is involved in several essential processes including cellular development, differentiation, survival and homeostasis [1]. As a result, excessive or deficient levels of autophagy are strongly associated with several pathologies, such as neurodegeneration and cancer [2,3]. Thus, autophagy activators are expected to be potential therapies for neurodegenerative disorders [4], whereas autophagy inhibitors can prevent tumor growth, particularly in nutrient deprived and hypoxic environments or in some types of cancer resistance to chemotherapeutic agents [5,6].

[^2]The combination of chemotherapy and autophagy inhibition has already shown promising results in the treatment of several types of cancer [5,7-9].

In the last decade, autophagy has become an emerging field of research. Hence, there is a great demand of small-molecule autophagy modulators that can aid to decipher the underlying molecular mechanism regulating this process, to understand the relevance between autophagy and disease and to offer new opportunities for drug discovery [10-12]. Despite this growing interest, chemical modulators of autophagy are limited and in most cases act non-specifically [13]. One relevant example are lysosomotropic agents such as chloroquine and derivatives. These compounds act as autophagy inhibitors by preventing lysosomal acidification and blocking the function of pH -dependent lysosomal hydrolases and proteases [14]. Another interesting target for autophagy inhibition is the class III phosphoinositide-3-kinase complex (PI3K), involved in autophagosome initiation. Wortmannin and 3-methyladenine belong to this compound class. However, both are considered non-selective inhibitors because they target all isoforms of the PI3K family [15]. More recently, potent and selective inhibitors of the class III PI3K vacuolar protein sorting 34 (Vps34) have been reported [16,17]. These compounds can effectively block cellular autophagy but also alter endosomal trafficking.

Substantial progress has been made in recent years with the identification of more than 36 autophagy-related proteins (Atgs). These proteins are responsible for the core machinery of autophagosome formation, thereby serving as starting point for the development of specific inhibitors. One of the best-characterized Atg proteins is the autophagy marker MAP1A/B/LC3 (microtu-bule-associated proteins $1 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{LC} 3$ (light chain 3)) together with GABARAP ( $\gamma$-aminobutyric acid receptor-associated protein), the other mammal homolog of the yeast Atg8, which is recruited to autophagosomal membranes after conjugation with phosphatidylethanolamine. The conversion of proLC3 into LC3-I and the subsequent delipidation of LC3-II to LC3-I requires the activity of the cysteine protease Atg4. There is only one Atg4 protein in yeast [18], but four homologs (Atg4A, Atg4B, Atg4C, Atg4D) in mammals [19] with different substrate specificity [20]. However, Atg4B seems to be the principal homolog in mammals as it can cleave both the LC3 and the GABARAP subfamily [21]. Moreover, its genetic deletion results in notable defects in autophagy [22,23] and inhibits tumor growth in cancer cells [7,24]. Thus Atg4B can be considered as a promising target for the identification of selective autophagy modulators.

A number of small-molecule compounds with moderate inhibitory activity against Atg4B have been previously reported, including peptidic and covalent active-site directed inhibitors equipped with halomethyl moieties [25,26], and polyphenolic structures (Fig. 1). More recently, compound S130 [27], the antifungal drug tioconazole [28] and the quinoline LV-320 [29] have been identified as Atg4 inhibitors. However, most of the identified compounds are known promiscuous inhibitors lacking the necessary drug-like properties for lead optimization, and the identification of novel chemotypes for Atg4B still remains an urgent need. Here, we report the development of a novel HTS assay that together with a structure-based virtual screening, a medicinal chemistry optimization and biological evaluation led us to the discovery of the benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one scaffold as a new class of Atg4B inhibitor.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Assay development

During the past years a limited number of protocols for assaying small-molecule Atg4B inhibitors have been described. One of the major limitations is that Atg4B requires full length protein substrates since short peptides spanning the natural pro-LC3B cleavage site cannot be efficiently processed by the enzyme $[20,32,33]$ or
present moderate $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ values [35]. The existing assays include the electrophoretic separation of a cleaved LC3B-GST protein [20,33], and an indirect assay based on a LC3-PLA 2 fusion protein [30]. However, the first approach is not suitable for high-throughput screening (HTS) and the second assay requires a counterscreen to eliminate compounds directly inhibiting PLA 2 . A doubly fluorescence LC3B protein has been also employed in a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based assay $[32,36]$ but it presented significant donor-acceptor emission overlap and fluorescence photobleaching. More recently, a TR-FRET assay has been developed employing a doubly-tagged GATE-16 as substrate because LC3B could not be efficiently processed under the same conditions [26].

Our initial aim was to develop an AlphaScreen-based assay and to optimize the assay conditions for future HTS applications. AlphaScreen technology offers important advantages. It provides a luminescent readout based on transfer within 200 nm of singlet oxygen molecules, thereby overcoming the Förster radius constrains of FRET assays [37]. Another important feature is a low background caused by a time-resolved readout and an emission wavelength lower than the excitation wavelength, which reduces interferences from other assay components, resulting in excellent signal-to-noise ratios [38]. To set up a robust assay to identify Atg4B inhibitors, we decided to apply a substrate depletion approach employing a doubly tagged substrate. Thus, the intact substrate would yield a high signal and the cleavage of the tagged protein by Atg4B would result in a loss of signal that could be prevented by compounds inhibiting Atg4B activity. To generate an appropriate substrate, a proLC3B protein was fused at the $N$-terminus with a (His) ${ }_{6}$-tag, that binds nickel chelate acceptor beads, and a biotin moiety, that binds streptavidin donor beads, was incorporated at the C-terminus. Site-selective modification was achieved by the introduction of a cysteine residue (L123C) into proLC3B by sitedirected mutagenesis, followed by a coupling reaction with a maleimide-containing biotin. The strategy for large-scale production and purification of the resulting N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B(L123C)Biotin is illustrated in Fig. 2 and involves the expression and site-specific modification of the (His) $6_{6}$-tagged protein ( N -(His) $)_{6}-\mathrm{LC} 3 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~L} 123 \mathrm{C})$ ). Identity and purity of the modified protein were assessed by SDSPAGE followed by Coomassie staining and Western-Blot of the gel (Fig. S2) and MALDI-TOF mass analysis (Fig. 2B). Atg4B was initially expressed as a N -(His) $)_{6}$-tagged protein but the tag was cleaved to prevent interference with the assay components (Fig. S1).

We next explored if the doubly tagged protein was an appropriate substrate for Atg4B. To this end, the modified protein was


Fig. 1. Structures of the Atg4B inhibitors 201508 [30], NSC185058 [31], Aurin tricarboxylic acid [32], UAMC-2526 [33,34], FMK-9a [26], LV-320 [29] and S130 [27] and their reported $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values.
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Fig. 2. A) L123C mutation was introduced in (His) $6_{6}$-tagged proLC3B by mutagenesis. The resulting protein was treated with a maleimide-containing Biotin to generate a doubly labeled proLC3B with a (His) $)_{6}$-tag at the $N$-terminus and a Biotin at the $C$-terminus ( N -(His) $)_{6}-\mathrm{LC} 3 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~L} 123 \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Biotin}$ ). B) Purity and identity of the modified protein was confirmed by MALDI-TOF. C) Titration curve of N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B(L123C)Biotin treated with increasing concentrations of Atg4B. Upon incubation with a range of concentrations of Atg4B, N(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B(L123C)Biotin is cleaved at Gly120 releasing the biotinylated peptide. The increase in the distance between donor and acceptor results in a loss of AlphaScreen signal that can be monitored, thus enabling the detection of Atg4B inhibitors.
incubated with Atg4B, thus generating the (His) 6 $_{6}$-tagged LC3B-I and a biotinylated peptide. Substrate cleavage was verified by mass spectrometry (MS) analysis by UPLC-TOF. Thus, Atg4B was shown to cleave (His) 6 $_{6}$-proLC3B-Biotin yielding the corresponding biotinylated peptide (Fig. S3).

Then we set out to develop an HTS assay based on this substrate. First, optimal assay conditions were determined. Stability of the signal was investigated using different buffer solutions, pH , salt concentration, DMSO content and additives. The use of 25 mM Hepes buffer resulted in protein aggregation and high signal due to non-specific interactions. Addition of detergents and bovine serum albumin (BSA) minimized this effect resulting in a dose-dependent increase of the signal, whereas similar conditions employing a Tris buffered solution resulted in a complete loss of signal. However, the 25 mM Hepes buffer showed a loss of $90 \%$ signal after 1 h incubation which was not compatible with assay conditions (Fig. S4A). To improve this performance, the stability of the protein solution over time was improved by increasing the ionic strength $(\mathrm{NaCl})$ and glycerol concentration of the buffer solution. The strongest and most stable LC3B signal was obtained using the following assay buffer: 25 mM Hepes pH 8 containing $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \%$ Triton X$100,0.1 \%$ BSA, $1 \%$ glycerol and 1 mM TCEP (Fig. S3B).

Next, the optimal enzyme concentration to achieve a robust assay signal was investigated. Thirty minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was chosen as an incubation period for this assay to accommodate future automation on a large scale. In addition, Atg4B was activated by preincubation for 1 h with a suitable reducing agent (TCEP) and reactions were eventually run at pH 8.0 , which has been previously reported to be optimal for enzyme activity [39]. Then, the N -(His) ${ }_{6}$ LC3B(L123C)Biotin protein was titrated with increasing concentrations of Atg4B (Fig. 2C). Substrate depletion measurements are based on signal changes from a high baseline value. Hence, to obtain a sufficient assay window, the substrate turnover may need to be close to $50 \%$ or higher [40,41]. As a result, a 25 nM concentration of enzyme was chosen showing a S/B ratio ~3 (Fig. 2C).

Most of compound collections are stored in DMSO solutions. Hence, the effect of DMSO on enzyme activity and assay performance was determined by incubating the assay mixture with increasing concentrations of DMSO. No significant differences were observed in any case, indicating that the assay can tolerate up to $5 \%$ DMSO (not shown). With the optimized assay conditions ( 25 mM Hepes buffer pH 8, containing $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \%$ Triton X-100, $0.1 \%$ BSA, $1 \%$ glycerol and 1 mM TCEP), good assay stability and consistence could be achieved with a $Z^{\prime}$ factor of 0.52 (Fig. S4C). The established HTS assay was then employed to search for Atg4B inhibitors. Using this assay, we validate the effect of Z-L-Phe-chloromethyl ketone ( $Z$-L-PheCMK) showing a concentration-dependent inhibition with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $38 \mu \mathrm{M}[32,33]$. Thus, the assay can quantitatively determine the effects of small molecule modulators of Atg4B. As a second positive control, we tested compound NSC185058 identified by Akin et al. as Atg4B inhibitor [31]. However, in this case we could only confirm a slight inhibitory activity at concentrations up to $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ( $11 \%$ inhibition). This lack of activity has been also reported by other authors [29,33]. The observed discrepancies may be attributed to different assay conditions.

### 2.2. Computational structural analysis

There are a few crystal structures reported for human Atg4B in the literature [42-44] which show the existence of two structurally different forms of the enzyme: the form found when there is no substrate bound, where a regulatory loop (residues 258-263) masks the entrance to the active site, i.e. the "closed" form, and that found when the LC3 substrate is bound, where the regulatory loop is displaced from its original location, i.e. the "open" form. PDB structure 2CY7 [44] is representative of the closed Atg4B (Fig. 3A,C,E), and structure 2ZZP [43], corresponding to the complex of the inactive Atg4B C74S mutant with a bound LC3 substrate, is representative of the open form (Fig. 3B,D,F). Aside from the differences in the conformation of this regulatory loop, comparison of


Fig. 3. Crystal structure of (A) free Atg4B (PDB 2CY7) and (B) the LC3-Atg4B C74S complex (PDB 2ZZP), showing the N-terminal tail (residues 5-26) in red, the C-terminal tail (355-377) in yellow (A), the regulatory loop (258-263) in cyan, the LC3 substrate in orange (B), and the catalytic cysteine (C74, A) or the mutationally introduced serine (S74, B) with green C-atoms. Detailed view of the active center of (C) free Atg4B, showing the distance between the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$-atoms of residues W142 and P260, and (D) the same for the LC3Atg4B C74S complex. Surface representation of Atg4B in 2 CY7 (E) and 2ZZP (F) showing the region close to residues D278 and H280, where a potential ligand binding site present only in the closed form is highlighted with white spheres. Surface coloring is the same as in panels A-B. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
the two forms of the enzyme makes evident that binding of LC3 produces additional conformational changes in the structure of Atg4B. In particular, the N -terminal tail, located at the exit of the active site in the free Atg 4 B form, is detached from the enzyme core when LC3 is bound. Furthermore, the C-terminal tail is also displaced from its location upon complex formation [43]. Finally, a large loop located far from the active site of Atg4B (residues 186-218) and several additional residues are unresolved in all reported structures (see Materials and Methods). All of this reveals a high conformational flexibility of different regions of the protein.

In order to get insight into the conformational mobility of Atg4B and, specifically, to determine if the open form of the protein is only accessible when the LC3 substrate is bound, molecular dynamics studies on the open and closed forms of Atg4B were undertaken. For that purpose and given the high number of unresolved residues on the crystal structures of the protein, a model of the closed form was obtained from the ModBase database of comparative protein structure models $[45,46]$ which comprises the full structure of residues 5-377, and we called it 2CY7_full since it was based on the 2 CY 7 structure. Furthermore, based on 2CY7_full and on the 2ZZP structure, we also built a structural model of the full Atg4B C74S sequence between residues 6-354 complexed to LC3, which we
called 2ZZP_full. These two models were submitted to molecular dynamics ( 500 ns ) in explicit solvent. The results from these simulations showed that while the LC3-Atg4B complex is mostly stable through all the simulation, ie. the LC3 substrate and the regulatory loop remain in place, in the structure of the free Atg4B the regulatory loop fluctuates between two conformations corresponding to the closed and open states of the protein (Fig. S7). This is clear when analyzing the distance between the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$-atoms of residues W142 and P260 of Atg4B, which on the closed form interact and fix the conformation of the regulatory loop, i.e. distance $\left(C_{\alpha}^{142}-C_{\alpha}^{260}\right) \sim 6.6 \AA$, while on the open form both residues are set appart and the distance ( $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}^{142}-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}^{260}$ ) increases to $\sim 13 \AA$ (Fig. 3C and D). In the simulation of 2ZZP_full this distance remains esentially unperturbed and close to $13 \AA$ during the whole simulation, whereas for 2CY7_full that distance remains close to $7 \AA$ for the initial 180 ns but then it increases to about $13 \AA$ And remains like that during the rest of the simulation (Fig. 4A and B). This suggests that the presence of the LC3 substrate is not required to reach the open form of Atg4B, and that potential ligands could bind into the active site of the protease, directly interfering with the binding of the natural substrate.

An alternative potential binding site close to residues D278 and


Fig. 4. Results from molecular dynamics simulations: Time dependence of the distance between the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$-atoms of residues W142 and P260 of Atg4B from the simulations of (A) 2CY7_full and (B) 2ZZP_full.

H280, at the interface between the detachable N-terminal tail and the core of the closed form of the protein, has also been proposed as target to identify compounds which could lock Atg4B in its closedinactive form [31]. This site is depicted in Fig. 3E and the results of MD show that, although with small changes in shape, it perdures during the whole simulation (Fig. S7A). On the contrary and as mentioned above, binding of LC3 induces a change in the conformation of the N -terminal tail that removes this site (Fig. 3F) and it is not restored during the simulation (Fig. S7B). Thus, the Atg4B structure from 2ZZP_full was chosen to identify ligands that could bind into the active site while that from 2CY7_full would allow to identify ligands that could target the second alternative site. ${ }^{2}$

### 2.3. High throughput virtual screening (HTVS)

Virtual screening was performed employing the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Open Database as source of potential ligands

[^3](265242 compounds) and the two Atg4B structures, 2CY7_full (closed form) and 2ZZP_full (open form). This collection of compounds has already been used by other authors to search for Atg4B inhibitors [47-50]. At variance with these other studies, which apparently used the structures deposited in the Protein Data Bank without adding the fragments that were not defined in the crystal structures (see Materials and Methods), here we used the mostly complete protein sequence to avoid artifacts due to the absence of those fragments. In addition, among the large number of docking programs that can be used for virtual screening, we chose Glide [47-50] as it is one of the best recognized high throughput docking softwares available at the moment [51,52].

As preliminary control, we run a small docking test using the 2CY7_full structure as target, in order to check the binding of two compounds, NSC185058 [27] and S130 [27], that were identified as inhibitors of Atg4B. The results obtained (Fig. S8) showed that both compounds could bind with similar poses to those previously reported, although with moderate docking scores (>-6 kcal/mol). This discrepancy relative to the reported results could arise from the fact that the protein structures used for docking are not the same, as well as the different docking methods employed in each case.

Thus, starting with the full NCI database and after an initial filtering to remove compounds with undesired properties and a structure expansion step to generate different tautomers, protomers, and ring conformers of each compound (see Materials and Methods), a total of 395712 structures resulted which were submitted to HTVS against the two Atg4B target sites. For that purpose, a virtual screening workflow [53] that uses the docking software Glide [47-50] to perform the flexible docking of the ligand structures at different levels of accuracy was employed (Fig. 5).

The results of this virtual screening showed that many of the best hits at the AtgB4 active site were mainly stabilized by hydrophobic contacts and $\pi$-stacking interactions with residue W 142 , (Fig. 6A,C,D). This residue has been shown to be crucial for the processing activity of Atg4B since it acts as a clamp to keep residues F119 and G120 of the natural LC3 substrate in place, previous to the cleavage of the contiguous peptide scissile-bond [43]. On the other


Fig. 5. Screening funnel to identify binders against each of the two Atg4B target sites.


Fig. 6. Docked poses for the best hits from HTVS against (A) the active site of Atg4B (NSC349155) and (B) the second alternative site (NSC86286). These compounds could not be tested biologically because they were not available from the NCI. Docked poses for the two best Atg4B inhibitors determined by biological testing, (C) NSC126353 and (D) NSC611216. Ligands are shown with yellow C -atoms and protein residues with green C -atoms. The regulatory loop is highlighted in cyan and the N -terminal tail in red. Interactions are depicted with dashed lines: Hydrogen bonds in blue, salt bridges in magenta, hydrophobic in green and $\pi$-stacking in orange. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
hand, common features of the best hits from screening at the second site were the presence of at least one cationic group (i.e. protonated amine), that could establish electrostatic interactions with residue D278 of Atg4B, and an extended structure that allowed them to occupy the relatively narrow groove at the interface between the N-terminal tail and the Atg4B core, making hydrophobic contacts with residues L11, A14 and F16 (Fig. 6B). The top scored compounds from virtual screening at the two Atg 4 B sites were visually inspected and, once promiscuous and non-specific compounds were discarded [54] and availability was checked, 75 compounds were selected as potential binders against the Atg4B active site and 81 against the second alternative site (Tables S2 and S3). These compounds were obtained from the NCI for biological testing.

### 2.4. Biological screening

Among the 75 active-site directed hits tested, three compounds (NSC83713, NSC126353 and NSC611216) showed around $50 \%$ or better inhibition in a preliminary single concentration assay ( $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration), while only one (NSC43949) of the 81 hits against the second binding site showed $>50 \%$ inhibition (Fig. 7). All active hits, except the commercially available NSC126353, were resynthesized to confirm the identity of the library samples and their inhibitory activity. Moreover, purity and identity of the active library samples and the synthesized compounds were checked by Mass Spectrometry (MS). These validation assays discarded NSC43949, that did not fulfill the analysis requirements, and corrected the structure of NSC83713 that corresponded to the depicted analog (Fig. 7). Half-maximal inhibitory concentrations ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) were then determined for these initial hits in concentration response studies. The compounds showed promising dose-dependent
inhibition of Atg4B with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ranging from 104 to $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The inhibitory profiles of these three compounds with an unambiguous dose-dependent effect are shown in Fig. 7.

To identify compounds that specifically interfere with Atg4B activity and do not act as pan assay interference compounds (PAINS) [55], hits were also evaluated in two different counterscreen assays. An AlphaScreen assay employing a (His) 6 -tagged $^{2}$ biotinylated peptide as substrate was applied to discard false positives or target-independent hits such as oxygen quenchers, color quenchers, biotin mimetics, and nickel chelate competitors. The tested compounds did not show inhibition of the AlphaScreen signal when employing the (His) ${ }_{6}$-tagged biotinylated peptide.

In addition, an orthogonal MS-based assay was used to measure the cleavage of proLC3B and the concomitant release of the corresponding C-terminal peptide. This assay employed the full length protein proLC3 as a substrate and Atg4B and it is based on the MS detection of the cleaved peptide. First, (His) ${ }_{6}$-Atg4B was shown to cleave the N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B-L123C and convert it to LC3-I, together with the release of the corresponding C-terminal peptide with an observed molecular mass of 567.2643 Da that matches its calculated monoisotopic mass (Fig. S5). Next, a calibration curve for the cleaved peptide was established by injecting serially diluted samples of N -(His) $\mathbf{6}_{6}$-LC3B-L123C ( $18 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) fully cleaved by incubation with 100 nM of $\operatorname{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Complete reaction was confirmed by disappearance of N -(His) $)_{6}$-LC3B-L123C and formation of LC3B-I. Linearity of the signal-concentration relationship was confirmed in all the range of concentrations investigated (Fig. S6A). Then, varying concentrations of N -(His) $)_{6}$ - $\mathrm{Atg} 4 \mathrm{~B}(0-100 \mathrm{nM})$ were titrated to a fixed protein substrate concentration $(18 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and the mixture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 30 min . The amount of formed peptide was quantified and changes in peak areas were used to calculate the rate of the cleavage by comparison with the
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 NSC83713 and NSC611216. Values shown are means of 3 experiments performed in duplicate.
calibration curve. (Fig. S6B). Based on these results, a 10 nM concentration of NHis-Atg4B was chosen. Once established, the MSbased assay was employed to validate and confirm the activity of the hits detected in the AlphaScreen assay.

Thus, all compounds were found to inhibit the activity of Atg4B with similar or slightly better $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values when employing the LC-MS-based assay (NSC126353 ~ $79 \mu \mathrm{M}$, NSC83713 ~ $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$, NSC611216 ~ $97 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The MS-based assay employs higher substrate and lower enzyme concentrations, what can explain the better $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained.

### 2.5. Synthesis and testing of new Atg4B inhibitors

Focused libraries based on the more potent scaffolds NSC611216 and NSC126353 were next designed and synthesized. Quinoxaline derivatives are privileged scaffolds with a wide array of biological activities [ 56,57$]$. Hence, a series of NSC126353 derivatives were designed to explore the chemical space for affinity improvement (Scheme 1). The results of virtual screening suggest that the quinoxaline of NSC126353 could occupy the active site cavity of Atg4B (Fig. 6C), with its heterocyclic ring establishing hydrogen bonds with the backbone of residue Y143 as well as a $\pi$-stacking interaction with W142, and leaving little available space in the cavity. At the other end of the molecule, the bicyclic substituent is placed in a more exposed region, with its aromatic moiety making a $\pi$-stacking interaction with H280. Thus, we preferentially evaluated modifications of the benzene ring of the quinoxaline with small hydrophobic substituents $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CN}\right)$ and variations on the substituent linked to the hydrazine moiety ( $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Py}, \ldots$ ) (Table 1). Induced fit docking results suggested that these
modifications were compatible with binding at the Atg4B active site with similar affinity to the parent NSC126353 (Fig. S9).

Briefly, condensation of phenylenediamines with diethylacetylenedicarboxylate proceeded in acetonitrile at room temperature with good yields to yield 3-ethoxycarbonylmethylquinoxalin$2(1 \mathrm{H}$ )-ones 1-3, which were then converted to 3-hydrazinocarbonylmethyl- quinoxalin- $2(1 \mathrm{H}$ )-one ( $\mathbf{4}-\mathbf{6}$ ) by reaction with a 10 -fold excess of hydrazine hydrate [58]. Finally, reaction with aliphatic aldehydes, substituted benzaldehydes and heteroaryl aldehydes afforded 2-hydroxyquinoxalines (7-14). Activities were then investigated using the established assays. Unfortunately, none of the synthesized compounds lead to inhibitors showing higher potency. Only Compound 14 (Table 1) bearing a dichlorohydroxyquinoxaline scaffold and a hydroxybenzene moiety showed moderate response. However, with a 3 -fold decrease in activity compared to NSC126353, it was less potent than the parent compound thereby suggesting that the presence of the indane ring is important for compound activity.

We then focused on NSC611216. The benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one scaffold has attracted increasing attention in the last years. Thus, 5,6-disubstituted derivatives have been shown to be effective thymidylate synthase inhibitors, [59] 5-sulfonamide derivatives have been described as BET bromodomain inhibitors [60] phosphodiesterase 2A inhibitors [61] and protein kinase FGFR1 inhibitors, [62] and 3-pyrrolyl derivatives substituted at the 5,6-position have also showed CDK2 inhibitory activity [63]. However, 7-amino-benzo[cd] indol- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-ones derivatives represent a novel class of inhibitors with no biological activity associated.

The synthesis of NSC611216 was performed as depicted in Scheme 2. Briefly, 3-nitro-1,8-naphthalic anhydride was reacted with hydroxylamine hydrochloride and $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride


Scheme 1. Synthesis of compounds 7-14.
Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; (ii) $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, EtOH, reflux 3-6 h; (iii) $\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{CHO}$, EtOH, reflux, 3-6 h.

Table 1
Residual activities (Act. \%) of Atg4B compared to control with no inhibitor present ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of the most active compounds.

| Compound | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | Act. (\%) | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NSC126353 |  | - | - | 55 | 104 (87-125) |
| 4 | - | H | H | 76 | - |
| 5 |  | CN | H | N.A. | - |
| 6 |  | Cl | Cl | N.A. | - |
| 7 |  | H | H | 74 | - |
| 8 |  | H | H | 71 | - |
| 9 |  | CN | H | 78 | - |
| 10 |  | Cl | Cl | 70 | - |
| 11 |  | H | H | 93 | - |
| 12 |  | Cl | Cl | 64 | - |
| 13 |  | H | H | 61 | - |
| 14 |  | Cl | Cl | 51 | 315 (292-337) |

[^4]Scheme 2. Synthesis of benzo $[c d]$ indol-2 $(1 \mathrm{H})$-one derivatives NSC611216 and 20.
Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, pyridine, reflux, 1 h , then $p$ - TsCl , reflux, 2 h ; (ii) NaOH in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / E t O H$, reflux 1 h , then HCl ; (iii) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{HCl}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$. (iv) epichlorohydrin, $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{mw}, 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 150 \mathrm{~W}, 60 \mathrm{~min}$.
in the presence of pyridine to yield compound 15 that could be easily isolated after crystallization in excellent yields. Lossen rearrangement of the imidate to the lactam was accomplished in two steps. First, treatment with ethanolic NaOH gave the intermediate
aminocarboxylic acid that was converted upon treatment with hot water acidified to $\mathrm{pH} 2-3$ to the desired lactams 4 - (16) and 7-nitrobenz[cd]indol-2-(1H)-one (17) in a $2: 1$ ratio, that were not easily separable by column chromatography. Finally, reduction of
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Scheme 3. A) Synthesis of 6 -amino-benzo[ $[d]$ indol-2( 1 H )-one derivative 23. B) Synthesis of the 1,8 -naphthalimide derivative 26.
Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ in glacial acetic acid, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{HCl}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) epichlorohydrin, $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) $\mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{reflux}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (v) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{HCl}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vi) epichlorohydrin, LiBr, DMF, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14 \mathrm{~h}$.
the aromatic nitro compounds to aniline was performed with $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ and hydrochloric acid. Epoxide ring opening of ( $\pm$ )-epichlorohydrin with the poorly nucleophilic aromatic amines was facilitated by the presence of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ [64], owing to the coordination of the lithium ion with the oxygen atom of the epoxide. The ring opening of the terminal epoxide is completely regioselective due to the attack of the amine nucleophile at the less hindered site of the oxirane moiety. The reaction was promoted by microwave irradiation yielding the expected $\beta$-amino alcohols (NSC611216 and 20) with moderate yields. Typically, a 4 -fold excess of lithium perchlorate and equivalent amounts of amine and epoxide were used in this procedure. Large excess of amines was avoided due to their costly preparation.

Then, based on their similar binding mode and docking scores (Fig. S9) we decided to explore several modifications on the benzo [cd]indol- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one scaffold and on the exocyclic substituents. As a first attempt, we kept the benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one core and change the position of the amino group. The synthesis of the 6-amino-benzo $[\mathrm{cd}]$ indol- $2(1 \mathrm{H}$ )-one derivative (23) was more straightforward and started with the nitration of the commercially available benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one to yield the known 6-nitrobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (21, Scheme 3A) [59]. Reduction and subsequent alkylation of the aniline nitrogen in refluxing ethanol provided the desired compound 23. The activity of the synthesized analogues was then evaluated. Hence, the resynthesized 4-(3-chloro-2-hydroxypropylamino)benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)one exhibited similar potency to the parent compound NSC611216 $(126 \mu \mathrm{M} v s 132 \mu \mathrm{M})$, whereas the 7 -substituted analog (20) showed a potency enhancement of about two-fold ( $69 \mu \mathrm{M}$, Table 2).

However, the 6 -substituted analog (23) exhibited a significant decrease in potency (Table 2). The moderate potency gain of analog 20 relative to NSC611216 was compatible with the similar binding modes of both compounds (Figs. 6D and 8A and S9). Thus, despite having the acyclic substituent at a different position of the main core, the results from Induced Fit Docking suggest that $\mathbf{2 0}$ could adopt a disposition at the Atg4B active site inverted $180^{\circ}$ relative to that of NSC611216, that allows it to keep the main interactions of the benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one core with residue W142, as well as the hydrogen bonds established by the endocyclic amide group. On the other hand, the decreased potency of compound $\mathbf{2 3}$ correlates with a binding mode where the exocyclic chain is placed at the other end of the active site cavity, more exposed to the bulk solvent (Fig. S9).

The benzo[ $c d$ ]indol- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one core was then replaced by a $1,8-$ naphthalimide scaffold. This class of compounds has gained attention as anticancer and antiviral agents. Amonafide, with activity as DNA intercalating agent and topoisomerase II inhibitor [65], has entered into phase II clinical trials for prostate cancer, and sulfonated derivatives of 1,8-naphthalimides can block viral expression in HIV-infected human peripheral blood mononuclear cells by inhibiting reverse transcriptase [66]. Hence, synthesis of naphthalimide derivative 26 started with the reaction of the commercially available of 3-nitro-1,8-naphthalic anhydride with concentrated ammonium hydroxide. Subsequent reduction of the nitro group with $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ and monoalkylation of the resulting aniline afforded compound 26 (Scheme 3B), which unfortunately did not show activity as Atg4B inhibitor (Table 2).

Table 2
Activities of compounds NSC611216, 20, 23 and 26. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Compound | Compound | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $132(99-163)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NSC611216 |  |  |  |

[^5]Table 3
Activities of compounds 27-36. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Coaffold
${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ( $\mu \mathrm{M}, 95 \%$ confidence intervals) values were calculated from AlphaScreen assay and validated with the MS-based assay. Data from at least three independent experiments performed in duplicate. N. A.: not active.


Scheme 4. Synthesis of the disubstituted derivative 31
Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$; then $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, pyridine, reflux, 1 h ; then $p-\mathrm{TsCl}$, reflux, 2 h ; NaOH in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux 1 h , then HCl (ii) $\mathrm{SnCl} 2, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{HCl}$, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) epichlorohydrin, $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{mw}, 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 150 \mathrm{~W}, 60 \mathrm{~min}$.

Based on the previous experimental results, we selected NSC611216 and $\mathbf{2 0}$ for further structural modification. We kept the 4 -amino and 7 -aminobenzo[cd]indol-2-[1H]-one core and focused on modifications on the alkyl group. Different substituent groups were attached to the aniline nitrogen to explore their effect on the inhibition. $\alpha$-chlorohydrins are moderately reactive alkylating agents that can covalently react with proteins, thereby causing its inactivation. To explore if this effect was the cause of the observed inhibition, two analogues in which the chlorine was replaced by a methyl group (27) and (28) were prepared. These compounds were prepared by aminolysis under refluxing ethanol of $(R, S)-( \pm)-1,2-$ epoxybutane in the presence of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ as a catalyst, affording the corresponding $\beta$-amino alcohols 27 and 28 in good yields (Table 3 ). The presence of the methyl group improved the inhibition and the effect was more pronounced in (28) which exhibited a more than two-fold increase in potency relative to $\mathbf{2 0}$. To explore the structure-activity relationship (SAR), the 4,7-diaminobenzo[cd] indol- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-one scaffold was also prepared starting by nitration of the commercially available 3-nitro-1,8-naphtalic anhydride [67], followed by Lossen rearrangement to afford 4,7-dinitrobenzo[cd] indol-2(1H)-one (29). Reduction to the corresponding dianiline followed by double amine alkylation with $(R, S)-( \pm)-1,2-$ epoxybutane yielded disubstituted 31 in moderate yields. However, the 4,7-dialkylated lactam (31) exhibited an intermediated potency, with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $68 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Scheme 4, Table 3). Extension of the alkyl chain was also explored. Hence, reaction with $(R, S)-( \pm)-1,2-$ epoxyhexane gave different results depending on the regioisomers. Thus, whereas the 7 -aminobenzo $[c d]$ indol-2-[1H]-one derivative (33) showed an improved $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $12 \mu \mathrm{M}$, the activity of the 4 -aminobenzo[cd]indol-2-[1H]-one derivative (32) dropped significantly (Table 3). Similarly, reaction of the 4 -aminobenzo[ $c d$ ]indol2 -[1H]-one with 1,2-epoxy-5-hexene afforded compound 34,
which showed an even lower activity than 32. The activity of compound $\mathbf{3 3}$ could be rationalized on the basis of its binding to Atg4B which is similar to that of analog 20 (Fig. 8A). However, the longer hydrophobic chain of $\mathbf{3 3}$ allows it to establish new hydrophobic interactions with residues M75, P145 and A263, which could explain the improved activity observed (Fig. 8B).

We next explored if chirality played a role in the biological activity of 27 . With this aim, enantiomerically pure $\beta$-amino alcohols were prepared from epoxide ring opening of ( $S$ )-(-)-1,2epoxybutane and ( $R$ )-(+)-1,2-epoxybutane. However, no substantial differences were detected and the resulting compounds $\mathbf{3 5}$ and 36 showed essentially the same activity than the racemic mixture 27.

Atg4B shows the highest structural similarity to papain and its homologous proteases [44].Thus to evaluate the selectivity over other cysteine proteases, we tested whether NSC611216 and compound 33 could also inhibit papain activity. Neither NSC611216 nor 33 inhibited the activity of this cysteine protease thus suggesting certain selectivity for Atg4B, whereas chymostatin completely block the activity and substantial inhibition was observed with Z,LPheCMK (Fig. 9A).

Based on the structure-activity relationship (SAR) investigation, compound 33 was chosen for further in-depth characterization. Thus, we next studied if the treatment of cells with $\mathbf{3 3}$ resulted in changes cellular autophagy. To do this, autophagy was induced by amino acid deprivation (Earle's Balanced Salt Solution, EBSS) and the levels of the autophagy markers LC3-II and p62 were examined by immunoblotting. Typically, the chemical and genetic inhibition of Atg4B leads to accumulation of LC3-II and increased levels of the cargo adaptor of proteins p62 due to its decreased clearance [29]. Moreover, as differences in the protein levels can be caused by both modulation in autophagy or changes in lysosomal degradation of


Fig. 8. Best poses from Induced Fit Docking of (A)(S)-20, (B)(R)-33. Ligands are shown with yellow C -atoms and protein residues with green C -atoms. The regulatory loop is highlighted in cyan. Interactions are depicted with dashed lines: Hydrogen bonds in blue, hydrophobic in green and $\pi$-stacking in orange. Similar binding modes were observed for the alternative enantiomers of $\mathbf{2 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 3}$ (Fig. S9). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
the proteins, these studies were performed in the presence lysosomal inhibitors to estimate the overall autophagic flux [68]. As depicted in Fig. 9, 33 resulted in an increase of LC3-II in starved HT29 cells comparable to Chloroquine (CQ). Autophagy flux analysis indicated that 33 enhanced the LC3-II accumulation induced by protease inhibitors (Fig. 9B and C). In addition, treatment with 33 decreased the autophagy-induced degradation of p62. The observed changes support an impairment of autophagy and suggest an inhibition of autophagic flux.

Oxaliplatin is a drug commonly used for the treatment of colorectal cancer. Its mechanism of action is mediated by the formation of inter-strand and intra-strand crosslinks with DNA, thereby inhibiting DNA replication and resulting in apoptosis. It has been reported that compounds which inhibit autophagy can sensitize cancer cells to oxaliplatin, and Beclin1 knockdown enhances oxaliplatin sensitivity in colon cancer cells under normoxic and hypoxic conditions [69]. Hence, we next investigated the antitumor activity of $\mathbf{3 3}$ against HT-29 cells in the presence and absence of oxaliplatin. As depicted in Fig. 9D cell viability inhibition by oxaliplatin was markedly enhanced in the presence of a nontoxic concentration of 33, thus indicating that autophagy inhibition may result in an additive or synergic anticancer effect. However, it cannot be discarded an additional effect of $\mathbf{3 3}$ on other
cellular targets such as kinases, thereby contributing to the final outcome [63]. Interestingly, a recent work has described that benzo [ $c d]$ indol-2-[1H]-ones bearing a polyamine tail on the lactam ring inhibit tumor growth probably via activation of autophagy and apoptosis. These results can be caused by its specific lysosomal localization probably mediated by the polyamine tail, but it may also indicate that the substitution pattern may play a role on the activity of benzo $[c d]$ indol-2-[1H]-ones [70].

## 3. Conclusions

In summary, we report the development and optimization of a novel AlphaScreen-based assay for measuring the catalytic activity of the cysteine protease Atg4B that combined with a structurebased high-throughput virtual screening of a library of about 265242 compounds yielded a series of novel autophagy inhibitors. Medicinal chemistry optimization of the initial hit NSC611216 provided insight into the structural requirements for the inhibition of Atg4B. The most potent compound 33 shows a 10 -fold improvement relative to the parent hit and in vitro activities in the range or better than inhibitors previously identified. This compound also demonstrated a good cytotoxicity profile while maintaining autophagy inhibition, as measured by LC3-II and p62 protein levels. Moreover, the synergistic effect of $\mathbf{3 3}$ combined with oxaliplatin resulted in an enhanced cell death in the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29. All in all, the developed AlphaScreen and MS-based assays can be key tools enabling the high-throughput identification of novel Atg4B inhibitors. Moreover, the aminobenzo[ $c d]$ indol-2-[1H]-one scaffold represents a novel chemotype for the further development of small molecule inhibitors of Atg4B. We are convinced that these results will contribute to expand the toolbox used to study autophagy in mammal cells and to unravel the role of Atg4B in cancer treatment.

## 4. Experimental section

Chemistry. All commercially available compounds were used as provided without further purifications. Chemicals and solvents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, Acros Organics, Merck Chemicals, Life Technologies, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, TCI and Fisher Scientific. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on ALUGRAM SIL G/UV 254 precoated aluminum sheets (Macherey-Nagel). Compounds were visualized by UV light, or stained with potassium permanganate, $5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ ethanolic solution of phosphomolybdic acid, or ninhydrin solution. Flash chromatographic purifications were performed on silica gel Acros $60 \AA$ (particle size $0.035-0.070 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) or using a Biotage Isolera Prime purification system with a cartridge and solvent gradient as indicated. Dry solvents were obtained by passing through an activated alumina column on a Solvent Purification System (SPS). NMR experiments were carried out on a Varian Mercury 400 instrument ( 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 101 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ). Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in part per million ( ppm ) referenced to the residual solvent. Signal characterization is described using the following abbreviations: s (singlet), d (doublet), dd (doublet of doublets), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), br (broad signal) and app (apparent). Spinspin coupling constant ( $J$ ) are reported in Hertz (Hz). 2D-NMR experiments COSY, HSQC and HMBC were used where necessary for assigning NMR spectra. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on an Acquity UPLC system coupled to a LCT Premier orthogonal accelerated time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Waters) using electrospray ionization (ESI) technique and an Acquity UPLC ${ }^{\circledR}$ BEH C18 $1.7 \mathrm{~mm}, 2.1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ column; flow rate: $0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, mobile phase: water with 20 mM formic acid and acetonitrile with 20 mM formic acid.
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Fig. 9. (A) Effect of NSC611216 and $\mathbf{3 3}$ on papain activity. The enzyme ( $0.6 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was incubated with the substrate ( 0.22 mM ) in the absence (Control) or presence of Chymostatin $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and the test compounds ( $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Absorbance was determined at 410 nm after 30 min . Chymostatin was used as positive control of inhibition of papain activity. (B) Analysis of autophagy inhibition in nutrient deprived HT- 29 cells, treated with 33 (or vehicle) for 16 h and subjected to western blotting to assess LC3-II and p62 expression. $\beta$-Actin served as a loading control. Representative blots from 3 independent experiments are shown. (C) Bar graph shows LC3-II and p62 expression normalized to $\beta$-actin loading control (fold change); mean $\pm$ SEM; $n=3$; (D) Sensitization of HT- 29 cells by 33 to the cytotoxic effects of oxaliplatin. Cell viability was determined by an MTT assay after treatment with oxaliplatin ( $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for 24,48 and 72 h in the absence or presence of $33(75 \mu \mathrm{M})$.

Reactions under microwave irradiation were carried out in a CEM Discover Focused ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Microwave reactor. The instrument consist on a continuous focused microwave power delivery system with operator selectable power output from 0 to 300 W . Reactions were performed in glass vessels of 10 mL sealed with septum. The temperature of the content of the vessel was monitored using an IR sensor and the indicated temperature corresponds to the maximal temperature reached during each experiment. The content of the vessels are stirred by means of rotating magnetic plate located below the floor of the microwave cavity and a Teflon coated magnetic stir bar in the vessel. The specified time corresponds to the total irradiation time. Efficient cooling is accomplished by means of pressurized air during the entire experiment. Temperature, pressure and power profiles were monitored using commercially available software provided by the microwave manufacturer. Purities of all final compounds were determined to be $\geq 95 \%$ by analytical HPLC analysis.

4-(2,3-dihydro-1H-perimidin-2-yl)phenol. To a stirred solution of 1,8 -diaminonaphthalene ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol, 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $281 \mathrm{mg}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and zinc acetate ( $3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the mixture was left to stir at room temperature for 16 h . The crude was filtered and washed with methanol affording the desired product ( $94 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%$ yield). Further purification was achieved by recrystallisation from hot dichloromethane. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 9.55$ (s, br, 1H), 7.40 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta$ 157.8, 143.4, 134.4, 131.9, 129.1, $126.8,115.1,114.8,112.4,104.2,66.3$. HRMS: $(m / z)$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]: 263.1184$, found: 263.1185.

4-(1H-perimidin-2-yl)phenol (NSC83713). To a stirred solution of 4-(2,3-dihydro-1H-perimidin-2-yl)phenol ( $498 \mathrm{mg}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a 1:3 water-ethanol mixture, sodium disulfite $(361 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.90 mmol ) was added and the mixture was left to stir at reflux temperature for 4 h . The hot crude mixture was filtered and the filtrate was diluted with cold water in order to precipitate the desired product ( $203 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 10.54(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.59 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 160.1,152.4$, 135.0, 128.6, 128.4 (br, x2C), 123.8, 121.2, 118.1 (br, x2C), 115.1 HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: 261.1028, found: 261.1005.

General procedure for the preparation of oxodihydroquinoxaline derivatives. To a stirred solution of the corresponding diamine ( 1 eq .) in acetonitrile, diethyl acetylenedicarboxylate ( 1 eq .) was added and the mixture was left to stir at room temperature for the designed period of time. The reaction was partitioned with ethyl acetate and water, extracted with ethyl acetate, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by recrystallisation from hot dichloromethane afforded the desired products after filtration.

Ethyl 2-(3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetate (1). Following the general procedure using $o$-phenylenediamine $(600 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ with a reaction time of 4 h , the desired product could be isolated ( $1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, 400 MHz ): $\delta 11.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-6.96$ (m, 3H), $5.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta$ 169.2, 155.6, 144.0, 125.1, 124.8, 123.4, 122.5, 115.4, 115.2, 83.7, 59.1, 14.3. HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]: 233.0926$, found: 233.0929 .

Ethyl 2-(6-cyano-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetate (2). Following the general procedure using 3,4diaminobenzonitrile ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with a reaction time of 5 h , the desired product could be isolated ( $155 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 12.02$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 11.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.98
(d, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 168.6,155.8,143.0,129.3,126.2,125.6,119.0$, 118.9, 115.9, 105.0, 85.7, 59.4, 14.3. HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]: 258.0879$, found: 258.0861.

Ethyl 2-(6,7-dichloro-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)ylidene)acetate (3). Following the general procedure using 4,5-dichlorobenzene-1,2-diamine ( $177 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with a reaction time of 20 h , the desired product could be isolated ( $203 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 11.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 168.5,155.4,142.7$, 125.4, 125.3, 124.8, 123.4, 116.8, 115.8, 85.9, 59.3, 30.68, 14.3. HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: 301.0147, found: 301.0124.

General Procedure for the preparation of oxodihydroquinoxaline acetohydrazide derivatives. To a stirred solution of the corresponding 3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)ylidene) ester ( 1 eq. ) in ethanol, hydrazine hydrate ( 10 eq. ) was added dropwise and the mixture was heated to reflux under an inert atmosphere and left to stir for $3-6 \mathrm{~h}$. Upon cooling, the crude mixture was filtered, washed with ethanol and dried affording the desired product.

2-(3-0xo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide (4). Following the general procedure using ethyl 2-(3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetate (1) (400 mg, 1.72 mmol ), the desired product was obtained ( $297 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ yield) as a mixture of tautomers, being the tautomeric ratio(enamineimine) $=1: 6$. Spectroscopic data refers to major compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 11.62$ (s, 1H), $9.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 4.30 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.23 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta$ 168.6, 156.5, 140.1, 125.7, 124.7, 123.4, 121.1, 115.1, 114.1, 86.8. HRMS: $(m / z)$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ [M $+\mathrm{H}^{+}$]: 219.0882, found: 219.0853.

2-(6-Cyano-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide (5). Following the general procedure using ethyl 2-(6-cyano-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2( 1 H )-ylidene)acetate (2) ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the desired product was obtained ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield) as a mixture of tautomers, being the tautomeric ratio(en-amine-imine) $=9: 1$. Spectroscopic data refers to major compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 11.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta$ 167.9, 156.6, 138.9, 128.9, $126.5,125.0,119.0,117.5,115.7,105.0,88.8$. HRMS: $(m / z)$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]: 244.0835$, found: 244.0825.

2-(6,7-Dichloro-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene) acetohydrazide (6). Following the general procedure using ethyl 2-(6,7-dichloro-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetate (3) $(600 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$, the desired product was obtained ( $467 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ yield) as a mixture of tautomers, being the tautomeric ratio $($ enamine-imine $)=4: 1$. Spectroscopic data refers to major compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 11.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.24$ ( s , 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 5.65 (s, 1H), 4.34 (s, br, 2H), 4.17 (s, br, 1H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta$ 167.9, $156.3,138.8,126.2$, 125.2, 124.7, 121.9, 115.8, 115.5, 88.9. HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: 287.0103, found: 287.0072.

General procedure for the preparation of $\boldsymbol{N}^{\prime}$-substituted oxodihydroquinoxaline acetohydrazide derivatives. To a stirred solution of the corresponding 3 -oxo- 3,4 -dihydroquinoxalin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$ ylidene) acetohydrazide ( $1 \mathrm{eq}$. ) in ethanol, the corresponding aldehyde ( $1 \mathrm{eq}$. .) was added dropwise and the mixture was heated to reflux under an inert atmosphere and left to stir for 3-6h. Upon cooling, the crude mixture was filtered and crude was washed with ethanol and dried affording the desired product. The desired products were obtained as mixtures of both the enamine and the
imine tautomer of the $E$ and the $Z$ hydrazone regioisomers respectively, affording complex NMR spectra containing 4 different species. Due to this high complexity, the chemical shift values for these compounds are not quoted below.
$N^{\prime}$-Butylidene)-2-(3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide (7). Following the general procedure using 2-(3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide (4) ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and butyraldehyde ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the desired product was obtained ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ yield). HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: 273.1352, found: 273.1339.

## $N^{\prime}$-Benzylidene-2-(3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-yli-

 dene)acetohydrazide (8). Following the general procedure using 2 -(3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide (4) $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzaldehyde $(0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$, the desired product was obtained ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield). HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : 307.1195, found: 307.1167.
## $N^{\prime}$-Benzylidene-2-(6-cyano-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-

 $\mathbf{2 ( 1 H )}$-ylidene)acetohydrazide (9). Following the general procedure using 2-(6-cyano-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene) acetohydrazide (5) (50 mg, 0.21 mmol$)$ and salicylaldehyde ( $0.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the desired product was obtained $(35 \mathrm{mg}$, 50\% yield). HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: 332.1147, found: 332.1140.$N^{\prime}$-Benzylidene-2-(6,7-dichloro-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide
(10). Following the general procedure using 2-(6,7-dichloro-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide (6) (100 mg, 0.35 mmol ) and benzaldehyde ( $0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the desired product was obtained ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ yield). HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: 375.0416, found: 375.0399 .

2-(3-Oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)- $N^{\prime}$-(pyridin-2-ylmethylene)acetohydrazide (11). Following the general procedure using 2-(3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide (4) $\quad(100 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2 pyridinecarboxaldehyde $(0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$, the desired product was obtained ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ yield). HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: 308.1147 , found: 308.1136.

2-(6,7-Dichloro-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-yli-
dene)- $N^{\prime}$-(pyridin-2-ylmethylene)acetohydrazide (12). Following the general procedure using 2-(6,7-dichloro-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide (6) $(100 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.35 mmol ) and 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the desired product was obtained ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield). HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: 376.0368, found: 376.0370.
$N^{\prime}$ '(2-Hydroxybenzylidene)-2-(3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide (13). Following the general procedure using 2-(3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide (4) ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and salicylaldehyde $(0.05 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.46 mmol ), the desired product was obtained ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield). HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / z)$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: 323.1144 , found: 323.1128.

2-(6,7-Dichloro-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-yli-dene)- $N$ '-(2-hydroxybenzylidene)-acetohydrazide
Following the general procedure using 2-(6,7-dichloro-3-oxo-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-ylidene)acetohydrazide (6) (100 mg, 0.35 mmol ) and salicylaldehyde ( $0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the desired product was obtained ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ yield). HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$: 391.0365, found: 391.0356.

5-nitro-1,3-dioxo-1H-benzo [de]isoquinolin-2(3H)-yl p-toluensulfonate (15). 3-nitro-1,8-naphthalic anhydride $(500 \mathrm{mg}$, $2.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ and hydroxylamine hydrochloride $(142.9 \mathrm{mg}$, 2.06 mmol ) were dissolved in pyridine $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 1 h . Then, the reaction was cooled to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and p-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( 784 mg , 4.11 mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux
for 2 additional hours and after this time, it was cooled to room temperature and it was poured into ice water ( 50 mL ) and stirred. The resulting precipitate was filtered and rinsed with additional cold water and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to yield the desired compound ( $830 \mathrm{mg}, 2.06 \mathrm{mmol}$, quantitative yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.0(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 159.3,158.7,147.3,146.8,137.24,136.0$, 134.0, 132.3, 131.8, 130.5, 130.4, 130.0, 129.8, 125.7, 124.8, 123.2, 22.41. HRMS: $(m / z)$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 413.0443, found 413.0409 .

4-nitrobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (16) and 7-nitrobenzo[cd] indol-2(1H)-one (17). Compound 15 ( $778 \mathrm{mg}, 1.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ethanol ( 5 mL ) and water ( 4 mL ) and an aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide $(2.7 \mathrm{M}, 3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at room temperature. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux temperature for 1 h while distilling the ethanol. When TLC showed the completeness of the reaction, the mixture was cooled to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and concentrated hydrochloric acid was added dropwise until a yellow precipitate was formed. After cooling at room temperature, the precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with water, yielding a mixture of 4- and 7-nitrobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one ( $390 \mathrm{mg}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ ) in a $2: 1$ ratio. 4-Nitrobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (16) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 11.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.67 (dd, $J=8.5,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; 7-Nitrobenzo [cd]indol-2(1H)-one (17). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ : $11.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: ( $m / z$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$: 213.0300, found 213.0344.

4-aminobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (18) and 7-aminobenzo [ $\boldsymbol{c d}$ ]indol-2(1H)-one (19). $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 13.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ was mixed with $\mathrm{HCl}(37 \%, 14 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this solution, a mixture of 4-nitrobenzo $[c d]$ indol-2(1H)-one (16) and 7-nitrobenzo[cd] indol-2( 1 H )-one ( $\mathbf{1 7}$ ) ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added under stirring. The mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h until TLC showed completion of the reaction. After cooling the mixture to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was basified to pH 10 by adding a solution of 6 M NaOH . The reaction mixture was then extracted with ethyl acetate (x3). The combined organic layers were then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a $2: 1$ mixture of 4 and 7 -aminobenzo $[c d]$ indol-2 $(1 H)$-one $(540 \mathrm{mg})$ that was separated by flash chromatography (Isolera Biotage, SNAP Cartridge KPSil 25 g , eluent $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (from 98:2 to 97:3), yielding pure 18 $(103.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $19(56.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$, together with a mixed fraction of both ( $369.1 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with an overall yield of $88 \%$. 4-aminobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (18). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73$ (dd, $J=6.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 170.9,149.6,137.2,130.7,128.6,127.5$, 119.6, 118.5, 114.4, 111.9, 103.2. HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / z)$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}:$185.0715, found 185.0702; 7-aminobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (19). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 7.75 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 172.8,150.4,139.5,132.3,129.7,129.5,127.3,122.3$, 120.0, 101.6, 99.9. HRMS: $(m / z)$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 185.0715, found: 185.0712 .

4-((3-chloro-2-hydroxypropyl)amino)benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)one (NSC611216) and 7-((3-chloro-2-hydroxypropyl)amino) benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (20). To a solution of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}(101.7 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.96 mmol ) and epichlorohydrin ( $22.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ), a mixture of anilines 18 and 19 ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred under microwave irradiation for 60 min
( $\mathrm{T}=150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}=150$ PSI, $\mathrm{P}=150 \mathrm{~W}$ ). The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate ( x 3 ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo, giving a crude product which was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\left(100: 0+1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right.$ to $95: 5+1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) to afford NSC611216 ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ ) and $20(10 \mathrm{mg}$, 15\%). NSC611216: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}):=7.53(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73$ (dd, $J=5.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.64(\mathrm{dq}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 175.5,151.6,138.7,132.3,130.1,129.0$, 120.7, 120.1, 115.4, 109.3, 104.5, 70.8, 48.3, 48.1. HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 277.0587, found 277.0280; 20: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}):=7.38 \quad(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31 \quad(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.59 (br s, 1H), 4.31 (m, 1H), 3.80-3.64 (m, 2H), 3.51 (dd, $J=13.4$, $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ : 172.3, 151.6, 138.7, 131.8, 130.3, 128.9, 125.7, 112.3, 110.5, 104.9, 63.0, 48.3, 48.1. HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 277.0744$, found 277.0765.

6-nitrobenz[cd]indo1-2(1H)-one (21).To a solution of benzo [cd]indol- $2(1 \mathrm{H}$ )-one ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL of glacial acid acetic, a solution of $70 \%$ nitric acid ( $171 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h and then cooled to room temperature. The resulting greenish suspension was filtered, washed with $50 \%$ aqueous acetic acid, and dried. The solid obtained was refluxed in 7 mL MeOH during 4 h (the solution turned orange) and then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Finally, the mixture was filtered, washed with cold MeOH and dried under reduced pressure to afford a wet orange filter cake ( 700 mg ) which was carried into the next reaction without further manipulation [59]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 9.07$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.62 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$: 213.0300 found 213.0341.

6-aminobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (22). Compound 21 ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added as a solid under stirring to a solution of $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(645 \mathrm{mg}, 3.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCl}(37 \%, 4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Then it was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and basified to pH 10 by adding an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(6 \mathrm{M})$. The crude was then extracted with ethyl acetate (x3) and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure and filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ to afford the desired compound ( 147 mg , 0.798 mmols, quantitative yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 10.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 7.91 (dd, $J=8.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 168.6,137.2$, 131.5, 129.4, 127.1, 125.9, 124.8, 123.4, 123.3, 122.2, 105.9; HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} /$ $z)$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 185.0715, found 185.0689.

6-((3-chloro-2-hydroxypropyl)amino)benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)one (23). To a solution of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}(76.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ and epichlorohydrin ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 2 mL ) the aniline 22 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After this time another equivalent of epichlorohydrin was added and the reaction was heated again for 24 h . Water ( 2 mL ) was added, and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phases were washed with brine and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was then purified by flash chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$, gradient from 0 to $10 \%$ of MeOH ) to obtain compound 23 ( $12.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ ) together with unreacted starting material ( $22.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.122 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72$ (dd, $J=8.2,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 171.5,165.0,128.31,128.1,127.5,125.3$, 123.3, 110.4, 105.7, 105.0, 70.5, 49.3, 48.2. HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 277.0744$, found $277.0737[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

5-Nitro-1H-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3(2H)-dione (24). Tо а solution of commercial available 3-nitro-1,8-naphthalic anhydride ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) ammonium hydroxide ( $448 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 4 h . The mixture was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with cold water and ethanol. The solid was dried under vacuum to give the expected product that was used without further purification ( $486.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ : 12.03 (br s, 1H), $9.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.60 (dd, $J=7.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 163.5, 162.9, 145.8, 136.3, 133.1, 131.1, 130.8, 129.7, 129.1, 124.5, 123.0, 122.2. HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}: 241.0249$, found 241.0219.

5-Amino-1H-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3(2H)-dione (25). Compound 24 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added as a solid under stirring to a solution of $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ ( $998 \mathrm{mg}, 5.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in HCl $(37 \%, 12 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 5 mL ). The resulting reaction mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until completeness of the reaction. After cooling the mixture to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was basified to pH 10 by adding a solution of 6 M NaOH . The desired amine was extracted with ethyl acetate (x3). The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under vacuum to afford compound 25 ( 283 mg , quantitative yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ : 11.51 (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 164.5,164.3,147.8,133.8,131.5,126.8$, 124.7, 123.1, 122.2, 121.8, 121.0, 111.7. HRMS: ( $m / z$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 213.0664$, found 213.0647.

5-((3-chloro-2-hydroxypropyl)amino)-1H-benzo[de]iso-quinoline-1,3(2H)-dione (26).

Epichlorohydrin ( $36.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 1 mL ) and LiBr ( $5 \% \mathrm{~mol}, 0.0235 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added followed by aniline 25 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After this time, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate (x3). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography (Isolera Biotage, SNAP Cartridge KP-C18-HS 12 g , SNAP Flash, using water $+0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH} / \mathrm{ACN}+0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ (from 90:10 to 80:20) as eluent, to afford the desired compound ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with a $12 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.20$ (dd, $J=7.1$, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.15$ (m, 2H), 3.72-3.57 (ddd, $J=30.6,11.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 166.5,166.2,148.9,135.3,133.1,128.0$, 127.7, 124.1, 123.4, 123.3, 123.1, 114.7, 70.3, 48.5, 44.6. HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 305.0693 , found 305.0613.

General procedure for the synthesis of aminobenz[cd]indol$\mathbf{2 ( 1 H})$-one derivatives. A solution of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}(4 \mathrm{eq})$ and the corresponding epoxide ( 1.5 eq ) in EtOH was added to a $2: 1$ mixture of 4and 7 -aminobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one ( $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 9}, 1$ eq) and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux $\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ overnight. The solvent was then eliminated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ as eluent. Alternatively, the reaction mixture was heated under microwave irradiation for $60 \mathrm{~min}\left(\mathrm{~T}=150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}=150 \mathrm{~W}\right)$, then diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate (x3). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure, giving a crude which was purified by flash chromatography using mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ as eluent.

4-((2-hydroxybutyl)amino)benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (27) and 7-((2-hydroxybutyl)amino)benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (28). General procedure was applied to $40 \mathrm{mg},(0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ of a mixture $2: 1$ of 4 - and 7 -aminobenzo $[c d]$ indol- $2(1 H)$-one and $(R, S)-( \pm)-1,2-$ epoxybutane to yield 29 mg of $\mathbf{2 7}$ ( $0.113 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ), 8.4 mg of $\mathbf{2 8}$ ( $0.033 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \%$ ) together with 10.6 mg ( $0.061 \mathrm{mmols}, 28 \%$ ) of unreacted anilines. (27) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ : 7.50 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=5$, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=13$, $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 172.4,151.8,138.6,132.3,130.0,128.8$, 120.5, 120.1, 115.4, 109.2, 104.4, 72.3, 51.0, 29.0, 10.4. HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}:=257.1290$, found 257.1306. (28) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 7.78$ (d, $\left.J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.64$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~m}, J=, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8$, $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 173.1,151.5,139.5,132.9,130.0,129.7$, 129.6, 127.4, 119.6, 101.6, 95.5, 72.2, 50.8, 29.1, 10.4. HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 257.1290$, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 257.1292$.

4,7-dinitrobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (29). 3-nitro-1,8naphtalic anhydride ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was placed in a flask which contained sulphuric acid ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 73.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was cooled to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath and nitric acid ( $905 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 21.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min and then diluted by addition of water, neutralized with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with dicloromethane (x3). The combined organic layers were dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and concentrated under vacuum to afford 3,6-Dinitro-1,8naphthalic anhydride ( $673 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 47 \%$ ) [67]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 9.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.24$ (d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).

3,6-Dinitro-1,8-naphthalic anhydride ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 4.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $313 \mathrm{mg}, 4.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were then dissolved in pyridine ( 13 mL ) and the resulting mixture was heated under reflux for 1 h and cooled to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that, $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was heated to reflux for 2 additional hours. After this time, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, poured into ice-water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred. The brown precipitate was filtered and rinsed with cold water and saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to give the expected compound 5,8 -dinitro-1,3-dioxo- 1 H -benzo[de]isoquinolin$2(3 \mathrm{H})$-yl p-toluenesulfonate ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ). The resulting compound was then dissolved in ethanol ( 6 mL ) and water ( 5 mL ) and an aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide ( $2.7 \mathrm{M}, 4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was then added at room temperature. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux temperature for 3 h while distilling the ethanol. When TLC showed the completeness of the reaction, the mixture was cooled, an aqueous solution of hydrochloric acid (37\%) was added dropwise and a precipitate was formed. After cooling, the precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with water yielding 4,7-dinitrobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (29) ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ) that was used in the next reaction without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 10.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 166.9,150.9,150.6,140.2,130.7,130.2,128.9,127.2,121.5$, 119.0, 103.1. HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{-}: 258.0151$, found 258.0194.

4,7-diaminobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (30). $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \quad$ ( 1.6 g , $8.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ was mixed with an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(37 \%$, 16 mL ) and water ( 6 mL ). 4,7-dinitrobenzo[ $[d]$ indol-2( 1 H )-one (29) ( $537 \mathrm{mg}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added under stirring to this solution and the resulting solution was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h until TLC showed completion of the reaction. After cooling the mixture to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was basified to pH 10 by adding a solution of

NaOH 6 M . The reaction mixture was then extracted with ethyl acetate ( x 3 ). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the desired compound ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 9.25$ (br s, 1H), 7.08 (d, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 170.8,151.0,150.7,133.5$, 128.7, 116.9, 112.6, 109.5, 109.4, 97.7, 97.1. HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 200.0824$, found $200.0819[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4,7-bis((2-hydroxybutyl)amino)benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (31). Compound 31 was prepared following the general procedure for amine alkylation. $50 \mathrm{mg}(0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{3 0}$ were stirred with $(R, S)-( \pm)$-1,2-epoxybutane in the presence of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ under microwave irradiation for 60 min . The reaction mixture was then diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure, giving a crude compound which was purified by flash chromatography using a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ [(98/2/1 to 96/4/1] as eluent to afford the desired compound 31 with a $29 \%$ yield ( 25 mg , 0.073 mmol ), together with unreacted starting material ( 9.2 mg , $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%$ ), monoalkylated ( $7.58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trialkylated side-products ( $10.72 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \quad$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ (ddd, $J=12.3,4.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ (br s, 1H), 3.31-3.08 (m, 4H), 1.74-1.40 (m, 4H), $1.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 173.4,152.2,151.6,139.2$, 133.8, 128.3, 116.3, 109.6, 108.3, 98.3, 72.4, 72.3, 51.2, 50.9, 29.1, 29.0 10.4. HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 344.1974$, found 344.1964.

4-((2-hydroxyhexyl)amino)benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (32) and 7-((2-hydroxyhexyl)amino)benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (33). The general procedure was applied to.

168 mg , ( 0.91 mmol ) of a $2: 1$ mixture of 4 - and 7 -aminobenzo [ $c d$ ]indol-2(1H)-one using ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{S}$ )-( $\pm$ )-1,2-epoxyhexane to yield 52.8 mg of ( $\mathbf{3 2}$ ) ( $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ ), 20.9 mg of ( $\mathbf{3 3}$ ) ( $0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \%$ ) together with 33 mg ( $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ ) of unreacted starting material. (32) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ (dd, $J=12.9,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 172.4, 151.9, 138.6, 132.3, 130.0, 128.8, 120.5, 120.1, 115.4, 109.2, 104.4, 70.9, 51.4, 36.0, 29.0, 23.8, 14.4. HRMS: $(m / z)$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 285.1603, found 285.1608; (33) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 7.78$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 3.15 (dd, $J=12.9,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55$ (m, 2H), 1.42 (m, 2H), 1.30 (m, 2H), 0.96 (t, $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 173.1$, 151.5, 139.5, 132.9, 130.0, 129.7, 127.4, 122.9, 119.6, 101.6, 95.5, 70.8, 51.2, 36.0, 29.0, 23.9, 14.4. HRMS: $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 285.1603$, found 285.1592.

## 4-((2-hydroxyhex-5-en-1-yl)amino)benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-

 one (34). General procedure promoted by microwave irradiation was applied to 4 -aminobenzo $[c d]$ indol- $2(1 H)$-one ( 23 mg , 0.13 mmol ) and 1,2 -epoxy-5-hexene. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (99:1) as eluent to yield compound 33 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.106 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ yield) together with recovered starting material ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmols}, 17 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30$ (m, 2H), 7.06 (d, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 5.04 (dq, $J=17.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.94 (ddd, $J=10.2,2.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ (dd, $J=13.0,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27$ (m, 1H), 2.17 (m, 1H), $1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 172.4,151.9,139.6,138.7,132.3,130.0,128.9,120.5$, 120.1, 115.4, 115.2, 109.2, 104.4, 70.2, 51.4, 35.5, 31.0. HRMS: $(m / z)$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$283.1447, found 283.1455 .
(S)-4-((2-hydroxybutyl)aminbenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (35). Compound $\mathbf{3 5}$ was prepared under microwave irradiation applying the general procedure to $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ of a $2: 1$ mixture of 4 and 7-aminobenzo[ $c d]$ indol-2(1H)-one. The crude was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ (99:1), yielding 48.5 mg of $35(0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%)$ and $62.6 \mathrm{mg}(0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 47 \%)$ of a mixture of unreacted anilines. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ : $7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69$ (dd, $J=4.7,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9$, $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 172.3, 151.8, 138.6, 132.2, 130.0, 128.8, 120.5, 120.1, 115.4, 109.2, 104.4, 72.3, 51.0, 29.0, 10.4. HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$257.1290, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 257.1286$.
(R)-4-((2-hydroxybutyl)mino)benzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one (36). Compound 36 was prepared under microwave irradiation applying the general procedure to $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ of a $2: 1$ mixture of 4and 7 -aminobenzo[cd]indol-2(1H)-one. The crude was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl} 2 / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (98:2), yielding 48.3 mg of 36 ( $0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%$ ) together with 44.9 mg ( 0.24 mmol , $44 \%$ ) of unreacted starting material. 1H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ C D 3 O D$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 7.50 (d, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.71 (dd, $J=4.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ (dd, $J=12.9,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. 13C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD} 3 \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 172.4, 151.9, 138.6, 132.3, 130.0, 128.3, 120.5, 120.1, 115.4, 109.2, 104.4, 72.3, 51.0, 29.8, 10.4 . HRMS: ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) calcd. for $\mathrm{C} 15 \mathrm{H} 16 \mathrm{~N} 2 \mathrm{O} 2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+: 257.1290$, found 257.1271.

## 5. Computational methods

Molecular Modeling. All the computational work was carried out with the Schrödinger Suite 2016 [71], through its graphical interface Maestro [72]. Coordinates of wild-type Atg4B alone (PDB 2CY7 [44]) and of the inactive mutant C74S in complex with the LC3 natural substrate (PDB 2ZZP [43]) were obtained from the Protein Data Bank [73] at Brookhaven National Laboratory. Structure 2CY7 is representative of the closed form of the enzyme, while chain A of structure 2ZZP is representative of the open form. Since structures 2CY7 and 2ZZP have multiple Atg4B residues that are incomplete (2CY7: 5, 7, 21, 141, 189, 217, 259, 287, 312, 334, 337, 340-342, 350, $373,375,376 ; 2 Z Z P$, chain A: 12, 21, 101, 102, 120, 177, 181, 185, 186, 237, 261, 287, 338, 340, 342) or missing (2CY7: 1-4, 190-216, 288-290, 343-346, 356-361, 378-393; 2ZZP, chain A: 1-5, 187-217, 289-293, 343-344, 355-393), a model of the full structure between residues $5-377$ was obtained from the ModBase database of comparative protein structure models (Database ID Q53NU4) [45,46]. This structure had been built based on the 2CY7 structure and it is essentially identical to that one (RMSD $\sim 0.5 \AA$ ) but includes the previously unresolved residues, except for the N and C-terminal tails (residues $1-4$ and 378-393, respectively), thus we called it 2CY7_full. The Protein Preparation Wizard [74,75] included in Maestro was used to add hydrogens to the 2CY7_full structure and setting the protonation state of its ionizable residues based on PROPKA [76]. Next, the unresolved residues in 2ZZP were built based on 2CY7_full, using the program Prime [77-79] with default settings to minimize the energy of the resulting 2ZZP_full model structure, which includes the LC3 substrate (residues 4-122) and residues 6-354 of Atg4B.

Molecular Dynamics Simulations. Molecular simulations on structures 2CY7_full and 2ZZP_full were performed with the program Desmond [80,81] included in the Schrödinger Suite 2016 [71], using the OPLS3 force field [82]. Simulation systems were built using the System Builder of the Maestro-Desmond interface [83], which automatically assigned parameters to every atom, added
enough $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions to achieve neutralization, and solvated everything with an orthorhombic box of TIP3P water that extended at least $15 \AA ̊$ from any protein atom. Systems were relaxed stepwise with the default relaxation protocol: (1) 100 ps Brownian Dynamics (PBC (periodic boundary conditions), NVT ensemble) at 10 K with 1 fs timestep and restraints ( $50 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \AA^{-2}$ ) on solute heavy atoms; (2) 12 ps MD (PBC, NVT) at 10 K , with 1 fs timestep and restraints on solute heavy atoms, using a Berendsen thermostat; (3) 12 ps MD (PBC, NPT) at 10 K and 1.0 bar, with 2 fs timestep and restraints on solute heavy atoms, using a Berendsen thermostat and barostat; (4) 12 ps MD (PBC, NPT) at 300 K and 1.0 bar, with 2 fs timestep and restraints on solute heavy atoms, using a Berendsen thermostat and barostat; (5) 24 ps MD (PBC, NPT) at 300 K and 1.0 bar, with 2 fs timestep and no restraints, using a Berendsen thermostat and barostat. Production MD simulations ( $500 \mathrm{~ns}, 2 \mathrm{fs}$ timestep) were performed under the same conditions of the last equilibration step (PBC, NPT ensemble, 300 K and 1.0 bar) using the Nose-Hoover thermostat method [84,85] with a relaxation time of 1.0 ps and the Martyna-Tobias-Klein barostat method [86] with isotropic coupling and a relaxation time of 2 ps . Integration was carried out with the RESPA integrator [87] using time steps of 2.0, 2.0, and 6.0 fs for the bonded, short range and long range interactions, respectively. A cut-off of $9.0 \AA$ was applied to van der Waals and shortrange electrostatic interactions, while long-range electrostatic interactions were computed using the smooth particle mesh Ewald method, with an Ewald tolerance of $10^{-9}$ [88,89]. Bond lengths to hydrogen atoms were constrained using the Shake algorithm [90]. Coordinates were saved every 100 ps, hence 5000 snapshots (frames) were obtained from each simulation. The Simulation Event Analysis application included in the Desmond-Maestro interface was used to analyze the simulations results.

Virtual Screening. The source of ligand structures for virtual screening was the downloadable National Cancer Institute Open Database [91], which contains 265242 structures in SDF format. The database was filtered to remove compounds too big (MW $\geq 1000$ ) or too small ( $\mathrm{MW} \leq 100$ ), compounds including rings of more than 9 atoms, compounds with elements other than C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}$, Br and I , or compounds with undesired groups (eg. polyfluorinated, thiols, sulfur acids, ...). Ligands were set up with the LigPrep module [92] included in Maestro to generate ionization states, tautomers, and ring conformers, as well as for geometry optimization. Generation of stereoisomers was not considered to avoid an extremely large number of resulting structures, therefore all compounds were assumed to have the stereochemistry that appeared in the downloaded NCI database. With this protocol, a total of 395712 structures ready for docking were generated which were challenged against the 2CY7_full and 2ZZP_full (without the LC3 substrate) Atg4B structures. In particular, a groove at the interface between the N-terminal tail and the core structure of 2CY7_full (residues 10, 11, 14-17, 261, 264, 276, 278, 280, 306 and 307) and the Atg4B active site cavity of 2ZZP_full were the target regions selected for screening. For that purpose, the Virtual Screening Workflow [53] implemented in the Schrödinger Suite was used. This workflow uses the docking program Glide [47-50] at different levels of accuracy to successively filter the compounds according to their predicted binding potency. Thus, initially all the compounds in the database were docked at the high throughput (HTVS) level, then the best $10 \%$ was subjected to a second round of docking at the standard precision (SP) level, and finally the best $10 \%$ was submitted to a third round of docking at the extra precision (XP) level and ligands were ranked according to their docking scores. Among the final hits from screening against both target sites, compounds that exhibited scores better than $-9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, for screening against 2ZZP_full, or $-7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, for screening against 2CY7_full, were considered as positive hits. Selected ligands were docked using the

Induced Fit Docking Protocol [93] of the Schrodinger Suite, which uses Glide XP [50] to perform the docking phase and takes into consideration the flexibility of the protein residues within a given distance ( $5 \AA \AA$ ) from the bound ligands to refine the geometries of the docked poses.
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