

Contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| List of Figures | v |
| List of Tables | ix |
| Abstract | xi |
| Resumen | xv |
| Structure of the thesis | xix |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Towards all-optical networks | 1 |
| 1.2 Motivations and environments | 5 |
| 1.2.1 Metro area networks | 7 |
| 1.2.2 Wide area networks | 7 |
| 1.3 Methodology and thesis outline | 8 |
| 2 Optical packet switching: an overview | 13 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 13 |
| 2.2 Main concepts | 13 |
| 2.3 Packet formats and network operations | 14 |
| 2.4 Metro area network context | 17 |
| 2.4.1 Examples of OPS-based metro network prototypes | 21 |
| 2.5 Wide area network context | 24 |
| 2.5.1 Node architectures | 24 |
| 2.5.2 Techniques for contention resolution | 26 |
| 2.5.3 Example of OPS-based backbone network prototypes | 28 |
| 3 Introduction to the OPS-based metro area networks | 33 |
| 4 Multi-PON architecture | 37 |
| 4.1 State-of-the-art | 37 |
| 4.1.1 MAC protocol | 37 |
| 4.1.2 Scheduling algorithms | 38 |
| 4.1.3 QoS provisioning | 40 |
| 4.2 Contributions | 41 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 4.2.1 | Simulation scenario | 41 |
| 4.3 | Performance evaluation | 42 |
| 4.4 | Optimization | 47 |
| 4.5 | QoS provisioning | 47 |
| 4.5.1 | Problem formulation | 47 |
| 4.5.2 | QoS strategy: the Limited Attempts (LA) technique | 49 |
| 4.5.3 | Performance evaluation | 49 |
| 4.5.4 | Comparison with other QoS techniques | 53 |
| 4.6 | Summary | 54 |
| 5 | Multi-ring architecture | 57 |
| 5.1 | State-of-the-art | 57 |
| 5.1.1 | MAC protocol | 58 |
| 5.1.2 | Scheduling algorithm | 59 |
| 5.1.3 | Traffic measurements | 60 |
| 5.1.4 | Fairness control | 61 |
| 5.1.5 | QoS provisioning | 61 |
| 5.2 | Contributions | 61 |
| 5.2.1 | Simulation scenario | 61 |
| 5.3 | Performance evaluation | 63 |
| 5.4 | Optimization | 65 |
| 5.5 | QoS provisioning | 66 |
| 5.5.1 | Problem formulation | 66 |
| 5.5.2 | Heuristic solution | 70 |
| 5.5.3 | Performance evaluation | 71 |
| 5.5.4 | Optimization of the QoS mechanism | 73 |
| 5.6 | Summary | 77 |
| 6 | Benchmarking | 83 |
| 6.1 | Methodology and network scenario description | 83 |
| 6.2 | Multi-PON versus multi-ring | 85 |
| 6.3 | Multi-ring versus passive multi-ring, SDH, Ethernet, and RPR | 87 |
| 6.3.1 | Benchmarked solutions | 87 |
| 6.3.2 | Resource dimensioning | 87 |
| 6.4 | Example of CAPEX analysis | 91 |
| 6.5 | Example of OPEX analysis | 91 |
| 6.6 | Conclusions and perspective | 93 |
| 7 | Introduction to the OPS-based wide area network | 97 |
| 7.1 | State-of-the-art | 97 |
| 7.2 | The connection-oriented OPS network | 99 |
| 7.3 | Problems addressed in this thesis | 102 |
| 7.4 | Simulation scenario | 103 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 8 | The OVC setup in connection-oriented OPS networks | 105 |
| 8.1 | Problem description | 105 |
| 8.2 | OWSA algorithms | 107 |
| 8.3 | Performance evaluation | 108 |
| 8.4 | Quality differentiation at the OVC setup | 111 |
| 8.5 | Summary | 112 |
| 9 | QoS management in connection-oriented OPS networks | 115 |
| 9.1 | State-of-the-art | 115 |
| 9.2 | The Service Category-to-Algorithm Switching Selection technique . . | 117 |
| 9.2.1 | Example of defining three different OPS service categories . . | 117 |
| 9.2.2 | Performance evaluation | 121 |
| 9.2.3 | Optical buffer architecture to integrate different SCASS | 122 |
| 10 | Conclusions and future works | 127 |
| A | Acronyms | 131 |
| B | Related publications | 133 |
| B.1 | Papers | 133 |
| B.2 | Projects deliverables | 135 |
| B.3 | Other publications | 135 |
| | Bibliography | 137 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 1.1 | Trend of the optical networking technology | 2 |
| 1.2 | Optical circuit switching solution | 3 |
| 1.3 | Optical burst switching solution | 4 |
| 1.4 | Optical packet switching solution | 5 |
| 1.5 | Network segments | 6 |
| 2.1 | An example of optical packet formats. a) out-of-band control channel, b) in-band control channel | 14 |
| 2.2 | Data incoming from client layers (a) can be placed in different optical packet formats: synchronous, fixed-length packets (b); asynchronous, fixed-length packets (c); synchronous, variable-length packets (d); asyn- chronous, variable-length packets | 16 |
| 2.3 | Schematic example of an OPS node for metro networks | 18 |
| 2.4 | Example of physical topologies for metro networks | 19 |
| 2.5 | Example of composite physical topologies for metro networks | 19 |
| 2.6 | Structure of the AWG-STAR metro network | 22 |
| 2.7 | Structure of the HORNET metro network | 22 |
| 2.8 | Structure of the DBORN metro network | 23 |
| 2.9 | A generic OPS node architecture | 25 |
| 2.10 | Schematic example of the a) single-stage and b) multi-stage node ar- chitecture | 25 |
| 2.11 | Schematic example of the a) feed-forward and b) feedback node archi- tecture | 25 |
| 2.12 | Buffer configurations with 4 FDLs, a) Degenerate $k_j = j - 1$, $\mathbf{Q}_4 =$ $\{0, D, 2D, 3D\}$, b) Non-degenerate $k_j = (j - 1)^2$, $\mathbf{Q}_4 = \{0, D, 4D, 9D\}$ | 27 |
| 2.13 | Structure of the WASPNET switch node | 29 |
| 2.14 | Structure of the DAVID switch node | 29 |
| 3.1 | Architectures considered in the DAVID project | 34 |
| 4.1 | Multi-PON architecture | 38 |
| 4.2 | Timing structure of the wavelength channels | 39 |
| 4.3 | Throughput as a function of the offered load under uniform traffic matrix | 43 |
| 4.4 | Throughput as a function of the offered load under diagonal traffic matrix | 44 |
| 4.5 | Throughput as a function of the offered load under dynamic diagonal traffic matrix | 45 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.6 | Throughput as a function of the offered load comparing the Greedy and the Frame-based algorithm | 46 |
| 4.7 | Average end-to-end delay as a function of the offered load comparing the Greedy and the Frame-based algorithm | 46 |
| 4.8 | Throughput as a function of the offered load comparing the original and the optimized solution | 48 |
| 4.9 | Maximum end-to-end delay as a function of the offered load comparing the original and the optimized solution | 48 |
| 4.10 | Throughput as a function of the offered load comparing TM and HM techniques and considering ($h = 3, k = 7$) | 50 |
| 4.11 | Throughput as a function of the offered load comparing different values of (h, k) and using the TM technique | 51 |
| 4.12 | Packet loss rate as a function of the offered load comparing different values of (h, k) and using the TM technique | 52 |
| 4.13 | Maximum end-to-end delay as a function of the offered load comparing different values of (h, k) and using the TM technique | 52 |
| 4.14 | Throughput as a function of HQ traffic relative load percentage at 100% total load using the TM technique | 54 |
| 4.15 | Throughput as a function of the offered load comparing the AP, RED and LA | 55 |
| 4.16 | Maximum end-to-end delay as a function of the offered load comparing the AP, RED and LA | 55 |
| 5.1 | Architectures of a) PMR node with transmission and reception decoupling and b) MR node with erasure capability | 58 |
| 5.2 | Multi-slot forwarding in the multi-ring. Colors in slot represent packet destinations | 59 |
| 5.3 | Scheduling at the Hub | 60 |
| 5.4 | Throughput as a function of the offered load under uniform traffic matrix | 63 |
| 5.5 | Relative throughput per node for total load on the ring of 0.7, without fairness control (solid line) and with SAT for two values of Q | 64 |
| 5.6 | Throughput as a function of the offered load under diagonal traffic matrix | 65 |
| 5.7 | Throughput as a function of the offered load under diagonal traffic matrix with spatial reuse (dashed line) and without spatial reuse (solid line). a) Network with 16 rings and 4 wavelengths per ring, b) Network with 4 rings and 16 wavelengths per ring | 67 |
| 5.8 | Throughput as a function of the offered load under diagonal-7 traffic matrix with traffic fluctuation comparing a) the original and b) the optimized solution | 68 |
| 5.9 | Throughput as a function of the offered load under uniform traffic matrix. GS load is fixed to 30% | 71 |
| 5.10 | Throughput as a function of GS traffic relative load assuming 100% total load under diagonal traffic matrix | 72 |
| 5.11 | Scheduling wavelength-to-wavelength permutations at the Hub | 73 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.12 | Example of slot forwarding in the multi-ring network with wavelength-to-wavelength permutations | 74 |
| 5.13 | Throughput as a function of GS traffic relative load under the uniform traffic matrix: comparison of a) the optimal and b) the heuristic solution for the passive multi-ring configuration, and c) the heuristic solution for the active multi-ring configuration | 78 |
| 5.14 | Throughput as a function of GS traffic relative load under the diagonal traffic matrix: comparison of a) the optimal and b) the heuristic solution for the passive multi-ring configuration, and c) the heuristic solution for the active multi-ring configuration | 79 |
| 5.15 | Throughput as a function of GS traffic relative load under the power-of-ten traffic matrix: comparison of a) the optimal and b) the heuristic solution for the passive multi-ring configuration, and c) the heuristic solution for the active multi-ring configuration | 80 |
| 5.16 | Throughput as a function of GS traffic relative load under the very unbalanced traffic matrix: comparison of a) the optimal and b) the heuristic solution for the passive multi-ring configuration, and c) the heuristic solution for the active multi-ring configuration | 81 |
| 6.1 | The network dimensioning methodology | 84 |
| 6.2 | The considered switch architecture | 86 |
| 6.3 | Node structures. (DMUX: wavelength demultiplexer; MUX: wavelength multiplexer; NPR: network processing receiver; NPT: network processing transmitter; SW: STM-1/STM-4 switch; Eth SW: Ethernet switch; XC: cross-connect; DAB: data aggregation board. a) Point-to-point Ethernet Hub + Node. b) SDH node. c) RPR node. | 88 |
| 6.4 | Node capacity (in Gbit/s) required in the different network architectures for the three traffic volumes | 89 |
| 6.5 | Example of OPEX analysis: relative annual OPEX cost comparison in the different network architecture for the three traffic volumes | 92 |
| 6.6 | Possible introduction scenario of the different metro technologies | 93 |
| 7.1 | The considered switch architecture | 98 |
| 7.2 | Connection-oriented OPS network | 100 |
| 7.3 | Contention resolution techniques in connection-oriented OPS networks. | 101 |
| 8.1 | Example of OVC forwarding table configurations able to avoid and produce contentions. | 106 |
| 8.2 | Packet loss rate as a function of the relative load at different overall load. | 107 |
| 8.3 | OWSA algorithms. | 109 |
| 8.4 | Packet loss rate as a function of the overall load comparing the RND, RR, BLC, and GRP algorithms under uniform traffic matrix. | 110 |
| 8.5 | Packet loss rate as a function of the overall load comparing the RND, RR, BLC, and GRP algorithms under power-of-two traffic matrix. | 110 |

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 8.6 | Packet loss rate as a function of the overall load comparing the RND, RR, BLC, and GRP algorithms under unbalanced traffic matrix. . . . | 111 |
| 8.7 | Procedure for HQ and LQ OVC. | 112 |
| 8.8 | Packet Loss Rate as a function of the offered load. HQ load increases from 5% to 50% with respect to the overall load under uniform traffic matrix. | 113 |
| 8.9 | Packet Loss Rate as a function of the overall load with HQ load is 25% under a) power-of-two traffic matrix and b) unbalanced traffic matrix. | 113 |
| 9.1 | TSWS algorithms. | 118 |
| 9.2 | LBWS algorithms. | 119 |
| 9.3 | SKWS algorithms. | 120 |
| 9.4 | a) Packet loss rate, b) Forwarding opacity, and c) Out-of-sequence packets as a function of D normalized to the average packet duration, comparing TSWS, LBWS and SKWS | 121 |
| 9.5 | Non-degenerate buffer configuration with 6 FDLs. BE packets can use delays $\{0, D, 2D, 3D\}$, while the RT and LS packets can use delays $\{0, 3D, 6D, 9D\}$ | 123 |
| 9.6 | Packet loss rate as a function of D normalized to the average packet duration. | 124 |
| 9.7 | Packet loss rate as function of the buffer length B | 125 |
| 9.8 | Packet loss rate as function of traffic relative load percentage. | 125 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2.1 | Example of node architectures | 26 |
| 5.1 | Average running times for the four solutions | 72 |
| 6.1 | Node types and traffic assumptions | 84 |
| 6.2 | Major components quantities for mean traffic for 80G scenario | 85 |
| 6.3 | Transport resources required in the different architectures | 90 |
| 6.4 | Example of CAPEX analysis: cost relative to the passive multi-ring | 91 |
| 9.1 | PLR, FO and OS comparing SCASS technique with EQWS and MIN-GAP both adopting a buffer threshold technique | 124 |

